```
> vehicles we would be detouring through town. The ADT is 9200
      > Manager for Wilkesboro) has expressed concern about the number of
      > Alternate A because of the impact to the park. Ken Nowland (town
      s and the two alternates being studied. Our Preferred alternate is
      > on the detour route. I have attached a copy of the vicinity map,
       > over Cub Creek in Wilkes County and would like to get your input
        > We are working on the bridge replacement project for Bridge #29
                                                                    'quəzL <
                                                         Tracy Walter wrote:
                                                                       Trent
                                                                     Thanks,
                                                     questions let me know.
         provide comments concerning the offsite detour. If you have any
      Also, I have asked Dean Ledbetter, PE, Division Traffic Engineer to
 the curve worse. The existing alignment is already less than desirable.
concerns, ADT, and possible liability issues I would not recommend making
         The Division is OK with Alternate A. However, due due to safety
                                                                      Tracy,
                     Dean Ledbetter PE <dledbetter@dot.state.nc.us>
            CC: "Michael A. Pettyjohn PE" <mpettyjohn@dot.state.nc.us>,
                             To: Tracy Walter < twalter @ dot. state.nc.us>
                        Organization: North Carolina Department of Transportation
                       From: "Trent Beaver, PE" <tbeaver@dot.state.nc.us>
                                   Date: Fri, 21 Jul 2006 10:02:45 -0400
                                       Subject: Re: B-4676 Wilkes County
```

> routed onto Main street. In an email you sent to Bill Goodwin on
> December 1, 2003 you mentioned that this detour would not be well
> received by Wilkesboro. Do you have any suggestions to improve
> the detour? Would a temporary light on main street assist?
> Also, Alternate A is taking some LWCF Property (Section 6(f)) at
> the park. What is divisions opinion on moving the location of
> the park that curve a bit worse.
> Tracy
> Tracy

> vpd. EMS is also concerned about the number of vehicles being

Subject: Re: B-4676 Wilkes County

From: "Trent Beaver, PE" <tbeaver@dot.state.nc.us> Date: Thu, 20 Jul 2006 13:56:17 -0400

Organization: North Carolina Department of Transportation

To: Tracy Walter < twalter@dot.state.nc.us>

Dean Ledbetter PE <dledbetter@dot.state.nc.us> CC: "Michael A. Pettyjohn PE" <mpettyjohn@dot.state.nc.us>, Tracy,

questions please let me know. that Dean Ledbetter, Division Traffic Engineer comment on the offsite detour. If you have any additional issues if we had an accident, I would recommend that we not construct a worse curve. Also, I have asked Alternate A is fine with us. However, considering safety, existing alignment, ADT, and possibly legal

Thanks,

Trent

Tracy Walter wrote:

Trent,

the detour? Would a temporary light on main street assist? received by Wilkesboro. Do you have any suggestions to improve December 1, 2003 you mentioned that this detour would not be well routed onto Main street. In an email you sent to Bill Goodwin on vpd. EMS is also concerned about the number of vehicles being vehicles we would be detouring through town. The ADT is 9200 Manager for Wilkesboro) has expressed concern about the number of Alternate A because of the impact to the park. Ken Nowland (town and the two alternates being studied. Our Preferred alternate is on the detour route. I have attached a copy of the vicinity map, over Cub Creek in Wilkes County and would like to get your input We are working on the bridge replacement project for Bridge #29

would make that curve a bit worse. the bridge to the north enough to clear the park property. It the park. What is divisions opinion on moving the location of Also, Alternate A is taking some LWCF Property (Section 6(f)) at

Tracy

0717-517 (619) PD & EA - Bridge Replacement Unit Tracy A. Walter

Name: b4676_rdy_psh_altb.pdf

Subject: Re: B-4676 Wilkes County Date: Thu, 20 Jul 2006 13:56:17 -0400

From: "Trent Beaver, PE" <tbeaver@dot.state.nc.us> Organization: North Carolina Department of Transportation

To: Tracy Walter <twalter@dot.state.nc.us>

CC: "Michael A. Pettyjohn PE" <mpettyjohn@dot.state.nc.us>,

Dean Ledbetter PE <dledbetter@dot.state.nc.us>

Tacy,

Aternate A is fine with us. However, considering safety, existing alignment, ADT, and possibly legal issues if we had an accident, I would recommend that we **not** construct a worse curve. Also, I have asked that Dean Ledbetter, Division Traffic Engineer comment on the offsite detour. If you have any additional questions please let me know.

Thanks,

Trent

Tracy Walter wrote:

Trent,

We are working on the bridge replacement project for Bridge #29 over Cub Creek in Wilkes County and would like to get your input on the detour route. I have attached a copy of the vicinity map, and the two alternates being studied. Our Preferred alternate is Alternate A because of the impact to the park. Ken Nowland (town Manager for Wilkesboro) has expressed concern about the number of vehicles we would be detouring through town. The ADT is 9200 vpd. EMS is also concerned about the number of vehicles being routed onto Main street. In an email you sent to Bill Goodwin on December 1, 2003 you mentioned that this detour would not be well received by Wilkesboro. Do you have any suggestions to improve the detour? Would a temporary light on main street assist?

Also, Alternate A is taking some LWCF Property (Section 6(f)) at the park. What is divisions opinion on moving the location of the bridge to the north enough to clear the park property. It would make that curve a bit worse.

Tracy

Tracy A. Walter PD & EA - Bridge Replacement Unit (919) 715-2120

Name: b4676_rdy_psh_altb.pdf

Historic Architecture

Memo

To:

Stacy Baldwin

From:

Vanessa E. Patrick

Date:

November 30, 2005

Subject:

B-4676 and B-4677, Wilkes County

As you know, the state historic preservation office (HPO) determined that neither of the above referenced projects requires architectural survey (see my e-mail to you, August 19, 2005). Attached for your files are copies of a letter and spreadsheet documenting the HPO review. Should you have any questions, please contact me at 715-1617. Thanks.

V.E.P.

Attachments

/		
		,

Subject: Re: B-4676 Wilkes County Date: Fri, 21 Jul 2006 10:02:45 -0400

From: "Trent Beaver, PE" <tbeaver@dot.state.nc.us>
Organization: North Carolina Department of Transportation

To: Tracy Walter <twalter@dot.state.nc.us>

CC: "Michael A. Pettyjohn PE" <mpettyjohn@dot.state.nc.us>,
Dean Ledbetter PE <dledbetter@dot.state.nc.us>

Tracy,

The Division is OK with Alternate A. However, due due to safety concerns, ADT, and possible liability issues I would not recommend making the curve worse. The existing alignment is already less than desirable. Also, I have asked Dean Ledbetter, PE, Division Traffic Engineer to provide comments concerning the offsite detour. If you have any questions let me know.

Thanks,

Trent

Tracy Walter wrote:

```
> Trent,
> We are working on the bridge replacement project for Bridge #29
> over Cub Creek in Wilkes County and would like to get your input
'> on the detour route. I have attached a copy of the vicinity map,
> and the two alternates being studied. Our Preferred alternate is
> Alternate A because of the impact to the park. Ken Nowland (town
> Manager for Wilkesboro) has expressed concern about the number of
> vehicles we would be detouring through town. The ADT is 9200
> vpd. EMS is also concerned about the number of vehicles being
> routed onto Main street. In an email you sent to Bill Goodwin on
> December 1, 2003 you mentioned that this detour would not be well
> received by Wilkesboro. Do you have any suggestions to improve
> the detour? Would a temporary light on main street assist?
> Also, Alternate A is taking some LWCF Property (Section 6(f)) at
> the park. What is divisions opinion on moving the location of
> the bridge to the north enough to clear the park property. It
> would make that curve a bit worse.
> Tracy
> Tracy A. Walter
> PD & EA - Bridge Replacement Unit
> (919) 715-2120
     ______
>
                                    Name: b4676_rdy_psh_altb.pdf
>
    b4676_rdy_psh_altb.pdf
                                    Type: Acrobat (application/pdf)
>
                                Encoding: base64
>
                       Download Status: Not downloaded with message
                                    Name: b4676_rdy_psh_alta.pdf
    b4676_rdy_psh_alta.pdf
                                    Type: Acrobat (application/pdf)
                                Encoding: base64
                         Download Status: Not downloaded with message ?
```

A South State of Stat

	,

FEB-07-2006 15:09

Wang Engineering
Wilkes CD. EMS

919 677 9744

336 651 7500 P.02/02

B4676

gregg hendren

From:

gregg hendren

Sent:

Tuesday, February 07, 2006 12:49 PM

To:

'gpurvis@wang-engineering.com'

Subject: FW: Replacement bridges

Post-it® Fax Note 7671	Date 2/2//04 pages >
TO TRACY WALTER	From GREG PURVIS
Co./Dept PDEA-Bridge	Co.
Phone #	Phone #
Fax # 715-1501	Fax #

From: gregg hendren

Sent: Friday, January 27, 2006 2:29 PM To: 'gpurvis@wang-engineering.com'

Subject: Replacement bridges

Mr. Purvis, thanks for faxing me the information concerning replacing bridges here in Wilkes. Replacing the bridge over Cub Creek on SR 1001 I believe would be a problem if you close the bridge. I don't believe that main street Wilkesboro-could handle the extra traffic and would impede emergency response to those areas. The bridge on SR 1317 I don't believe will be an issue provided we know it's closed so that we can alter our route if needed.

If I can be of any assistance, please e-mail or call me at (336)651-7363.

Gregg Hendren, Wilkes EMS Director

I've tried to send this to you twice, but I haven't - had any look.

If I can be of any help, just let me know

Greg

		-



North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources

State Historic Preservation Office

Peter B. Sandbeck, Administrator

Michael F. Easley, Governor Lisbeth C. Evans, Secretary Jeffrey J. Crow, Deputy Secretary Office of Archives and History Division of Historical Resources David Brook, Director

August 5, 2005

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Gregory J. Thorpe

Division of Highways

Department of Transportation

FROM:

Peter Sandbeck 1826 Peter Sandbeck

SUBJECT:

Bridge 29 on SR 1001 over Cub Creek, B-4676, Wilkes County, ER 05-1044

Thank you for your letter of May 10, 2005 concerning the above project.

There are no recorded archaeological sites within the proposed project area. If the replacement is to be located along the existing alignment and there is no onsite detour, it is unlikely that significant archaeological resources will be affected and no investigation is recommended. However, if the replacement is to be in a new location, or an onsite detour is proposed, an archaeological survey is recommended.

We have determined that the project as proposed will not affect any historic structures.

The above comments are made pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's Regulations for Compliance with Seciton 106 codified at 36 CFR Part 800.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration. If you have questions concerning the above comment, please contact Renee Gledhill-Earley, environmental review coordinator, at 919/733-4763. In all future communication concerning this project, please cite the above referenced tracking number.

cc:

Mary Pope Furr, NCDOT Matt Wilkerson, NCDOT

	÷			
·				
		p. St.		

		D.:1.	SR 1445	B-	Davidson	S&P	No
	ER05-	Bridge	Reedy Creek	4694	County	Survey	survey
,	0874	No. 52	Reedy Cieck	7071		Grimes-	neede
\times \wedge				6.50.	ii, made d	Crotts	d
, ,				, ,		Mill (NR)	
			SR 1929	B-4624	Rockingham	No	No
	ER05-			D-4024	County	S&P	survey
	0883	Bridge No.	Wolf Island		Country	Survey	needed
		80	Creek	B-4481	Columbus	No S&P	No
	ER05-	Bridge No.	SR 1831,	D-4401	County	Survey	survey
	0856	279	Livingston		County	Survey	needed
			Creek	D 4400	Columbus	No S&P	No
	ER05-	Bridge No.	SR 1824	B-4480		Survey	survey
\bigcirc	0857	278	Livingston		County	Survey	needed
			Creek	TD 4400	Columbus	No	No
	ÆR05-	Bridge No.	SR 1932	B-4482		S&P Survey	survey
	0855	46	Creek		County	S&I Survey	needed
				D 4645	Stokes	No	No
	ER05-	Bridges	US 52 OUEL	B-4645		S&P Survey	survey
	0879	No. 29 &	Little Yakin River		County	S&I Survey	needed
		30			T7 11	No	Needs
	ER05-	Bridge	SR 1152	B-	Yadkin	S&P	survey
V	0864	No. 20	South Deep	4683	County	Survey	Burvey
<i>f.</i> v			Creek	- · · · · ·	D 1	No	No
,	ER05-	Bridge No.	SR 1744 on	B-4448	Burke	S&P	survey
\cap \checkmark	0868	149	1-40 over SR		County		needed
			1744			Survey	No
	ER05-	Bridge No.	NC 49	B-4626	Davidson-	No S&P	survey
\sim \vee	0881	3	Yadkin River	0	Rowan	10-000	needed
			3 WSSB Railroa		Counties	Survey	No
/	ER05-	Bridge No.	US 1 over	B-4653	Vance	No	survey
\cap \checkmark	0878	44	US 1 business		County	S&P	needed
\mathcal{O}			US 158	12	(Survey	
						7	No
1 74	ER05-	Bridge No.	SR 1573	B-3806	Ashe	Previously	survey
$\times *$	1050	456			County	Surveyed	needed
	-		,				
	ER05-	Bridge No.	SR 1317	B-4677	Wilkes	No	No
()*	1043	99	Creek		County	S&P	survey
	10.0		2			Survey	needed
	ER05-	Bridge	SR 1001	B-1	Wilkes	No	Needs
	1044	No. 29	Cub Creek	4676	County	S&P	survey
(_,/ V .	1					Survey	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	ER05-	Bridge No.	US 220 over	B-4621	Rockingham		No
	0885	150	BUS.US 220		County	S&P	survey
_/ Y	0005	1	Business			Survey	needed
1	ER04-	Bridge No.	Broad Creek	B-4018	Beaufort	No	No .
/ 米	0102	104			County	S&P	survey



A control of

5. 1