# National Culvert Removal, Replacement, and Restoration Aquatic Organism Passage Grant Program Fiscal Year 2023

For

Division 3 Replacement of Structure Nos. BP-010-2018 and BP-010-2017

**Over Hood Creek (Double Run and UT Hood Creek)** 

**Blue Banks Road NE** 

State Road 1422

**Brunswick County, NC** 

Submitted By: North Carolina Department of Transportation



February 2023

# FY 2022 National Culvert Removal, Replacement, and Restoration Grant Program (Culvert AOP Program)

The DOT provides this FY 2022 Culvert AOP Program Application Template to assist project sponsors who intend to apply for a Culvert AOP Program FY 2022 grant. Interested eligible applicants should read the FY 2022 Culvert AOP Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) in its entirety and especially where noted in this application template to submit eligible and competitive applications.

This is a copy of the official Application Template that is found on Grants.gov.

## **Basic Project Information**

**Basic Project Information** – Provide a narrative for the below items on basic details pertinent to the project, including project name, description, location, involved parties, etc. Items in this section will be used to determine grant program eligibility as detailed in Section C of the NOFO.

1. Project Name	Division 3 Replacement of Structure Nos. BP-010-2018 and BP-010-2017 Over Tributaries to Hood Creek (Double Run and an unnamed tributary to Hood Creek) on SR 1422 (Blue Banks Road NE) in
	Brunswick County, North Carolina to Improve Aquatic Organism Passage

## Eligibility Criteria

2. Project Description See Narrative below for further details	The culvert replacement project (Project) for which Culvert AOP Program funding is being requested occurs in Brunswick County, which is within the NCDOT Highway Division 3. The project will involve upgrading two culverts to single box culvert design that will improve AOP. The existing crossing structure over Double Run (BP- 010-2017) is a two- barrel 103" x 71" Corrugated Metal Pipe Arch (CMPA) Culvert, with a streambed to crown clearance of 8'. The existing structure over the unnamed tributary (UT) to Hood Creek (BP- 010-2018) is a single-barrel 95" x 67" CMPA culvert, with a streambed to crown clearance of 10'. The pipes in these two culverts are deteriorated and experience frequent blockages from drift. They have also contributed to flooding issues during major storms. Both culverts have beaver grates partially blocking passage and have headwalls that are noted to be in poor condition. The Project will significantly improve aquatic habitat connectivity to approximately a combined 2,310 linear meters (lm) in the Hood Creek watershed (701 lm in the UT Hood Creek, and 1,609 lm in Double Run). The replacement structures will increase the ability for fish passage through an increase in opening size and removal of beaver barrier. Additionally, the replacement structures will reduce flooding

3. Which of the following selection priorities does your project meet?	(Check all that apply)
	□ Anadromous fish listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act.
See Narrative below for further details	Hood Creek flows into the Cape Fear River, in a section which is designated critical habitat for the Atlantic Sturgeon (Unit 2). Potential indirect benefits for the Atlantic Sturgeon and Shortnose Sturgeon are outlined in Narrative 2.
	□ Anadromous fish identified by NMFS or USFWS that could reasonably become listed as a Federally endangered species or a threatened species.
	While in 2013 and again in 2019 the NMFS determined that listing of river herring under the endangered species act was "not warranted", this determination was based in part by the various management and regulatory protective initiatives that are in place. Additionally, the status assessment defined "the foreseeable future" for river herring as 12–18-year time frame (i.e., out to 2030-2036). Thus, it is possible that over this time the conservation and management initiatives prove to not be adequate to offset the various threats to the species. As such, it is very possible that one, or both species of river herring will warrant listing under the ESA in the future. More detailed information on this process is provided in Narrative 2.
	Anadromous fish identified by NMFS or USFWS as prey for endangered species, threatened species, or protected species.
	Anadromous fish identified by NMFS or USFWS as climate resilient stock. See Section H of the NOFO.
	In Project that opens up more than 200 meters of upstream habitat for anadromous fish before the end of the natural habitat.
4. Which anadromous species does your project propose to benefit by meaningfully improving or restoring fish passage?	Alosa aestivalis - Blueback Herring Morone saxatilis - Striped Bass See Narrative below for further details
5. Briefly describe how the proposed project benefits the anadromous species in item 4 above?	Description outlined in Narrative below.
6. Culvert AOP Program Request amount:	Exact amount in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$1,258,600.00

7. Total Project Cost:	Estimate in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$1,573,250.00
8. Who is the Project Sponsor?	(Name and identify which eligible applicant category applies. Select from the below statutory eligible applicants)
	X State – North Carolina Department of Transportation
	□ Unit of local government
	🗆 Indian Tribe
9. If a State or a unit of local government, indicate the percentage, type, and source of non-Federal match.	20% non-Federal matching funds will be provided from NCDOT Division 3 Maintenance funds.

10. Eligible Facility Type:	(Identify which eligible structure that the proposed project addresses. Select from the below statutory eligible applicants)
	$\square$ Culvert – This project will involve the replacement of two culverts.
	□ Weir

# Additional Project Information

11. State(s) and/or tribal land in which the project is located:	Brunswick County, North Carolina
12. If a joint application, please provide organizational names of sub-recipients that will receive funds and other key partners.	N/A
13. Identify the Lead Applicant (who will also be the applicant responsible for administration of Culvert AOP Program funds if application is selected and the point of contact for the application).	Lead Applicant North Carolina Department of Transportation 1536 Mail Service Center, Raleigh NC, 27699-1536 Point of Contact Kevin Bowen, PE, Eastern Deputy Chief Engineer kgbowen@ncdot.gov
14. What are the proposed design standards and specifications for ensuring resulting infrastructure provides for the safety of the traveling public over the service life of that infrastructure?	Culvert and associated roadway design will follow the design practices laid out in NCDOT's <u>Roadway Design Manual</u> , <u>Structures Management</u> <u>Design Manual</u> , and the <u>NCDOT Guidelines for Drainage Studies and</u> <u>Hydraulic Design (2022)</u> . The objective of NCDOT Hydraulic design standards is to provide a 25 Year LOS for SR routes and a 50 Year LOS for NC, US, and Interstate routes, while also considering impacts for the 100 Year event, especially if in FEMA flood zones. If these design standards cannot be met (e.g., existing road overtops in less than the design year and limitations in raising road grade, limitations in structure replacement size due to road grade constraints, etc.) then the desire is to at least maintain existing LOS or improve relative to existing conditions. Preliminary design indicates that the recommended structures for the Hood Creek sites reduce backwater effects and reduce velocities while maintaining existing roadway grades.

# Location Information

A. Location of eligible facility and project area:	SR 1422, Blue Banks Road NE, Brunswick County, North Carolina
B. Provide name and description of the waterway and watershed.	Hood Creek is a tributary of the Cape Fear River. As presented in the Project Description, the Cape Fear River originates in Chatham County NC, and consists of a 24,087 square kilometer (km <sup>2</sup> ) (9,300 square mile (mi <sup>2</sup> )) watershed within North Carolina's Piedmont and Atlantic Coastal Plain. Hood Creek's confluence with the Cape Fear River is located approximately 29.8 river kilometers (RKM) (18.5 river miles (RM)) from where the river reaches its confluence with the Northeast Cape Fear River. It then flows as the Cape Fear River Estuary another 56.4 RKM (35 RM) before reaching the Atlantic Ocean near Southport, NC.
	The Hood Creek watershed is reflective of much of North Carolina's coastal region, although it is made up of a higher percentage of intact forest than many of its neighboring watersheds. Before reaching its confluence with the Cape Fear River, Hood Creek is fed by several smaller tributaries including the two that are the subject of this project, as well as Alligator Branch, Rattlesnake Branch, Waters Branch, and several others. The land use of the watershed is made up of more than 66% evergreen forest and woody wetlands, with only 5.6% of the watershed utilized for cultivated crop land. The Compass Point Golf Club, built along Alligator Branch upstream of the project area, is the only area of medium-intensity development within the watershed (NLCD 2019).
	The high percentage of forest and woody wetlands within the watershed function to retain and regulate flows from the developed areas that make up a portion of the upstream area (USGS StreamStats, 2023). Therefore, Hood Creek and its floodplain are essential to safeguard the surrounding areas from flooding and regulate stormwater. Based on the First Street Foundation Flood Model Flood Factor Tool (https://riskfactor.com), Brunswick County has a major risk of severe flooding, with approximately 30% of properties subject to flooding within the next 30 years. As the effects of climate change continue to impact the Atlantic Coastline, coastal cities like Wilmington are some of the first to see the increase in flooding and frequency of severe weather events. The project will decrease the potential for localized flooding in the Hood Creek watershed.

C. Provide Census FIPS codes or other geographic code identifiers for the facility location and project area.	Brunswick County NC Census FIPS Code - 37019
D. Provide geographic coordinates for the project.	The coordinates of the Double Run culvert are: 34.320300, -78.117694 The coordinates of the UT Hood Creek culvert are: 34.316965, -78.119642
E. Is the project located (entirely or partially) in a Federally designated community development zone?	The project is located within an Opportunity Zone (#3701920101). The project is not located within a Choice Neighborhood; however, it is located in Block Group 370190201011 with a long term vacancy rate of 8.21%, and a Maximum Poverty/ELI value of 17.98.
development zone?	<ul> <li>☑ Opportunity Zones: (https://opportunityzones.hud.gov/) 3701920101</li> <li>□ Empowerment Zones: (https://www.hud.gov/hudprograms/empowerment_zones)</li> <li>□ Promise Zones: (https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/field_policy_mgt/fieldpolicymgtpz)</li> <li>□ Choice Neighborhoods: (https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/public_indian_housing/programs/ph/cn)</li> </ul>

F. Does the eligible project benefit an economically disadvantaged community or an area of persistent poverty? (See Section H of the NOFO – Definitions)	The project is located in a Historically Disadvantaged Community Tract (201) and is surrounded by Persistent Poverty Tracts to the north (9205.02) and to the west (Columbus County, NC). The median household income of Northwest, the closest town to the project area, was \$37,885 in 2021. This is considerably lower than the North Carolina average, \$60,516.
G. Are the eligible facility and project area located on Federally recognized Tribal land?	<ul> <li>(Please select one)</li> <li>□ Yes</li> <li>⊠ No</li> <li>The project area is not located within a FRTL. A band of Tuscarora and Woccon Indians once lived in Brunswick, Bladen, Columbus and Pender counties, and a small contingency of their descendants remain in the area. The Cape Fear River has a long history of significance for Native Americans despite the lack of FRTL. Furthermore, the Cape Fear River has been a significant river herring fishery for centuries far predating the arrival of European Settlers.</li> </ul>
H. Is the project located in a rural area? (See Section H of the NOFO – Definitions)	<ul> <li>(Please select one)</li> <li>☑ Yes</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>The US Census Bureau uses the population threshold of 50,000 as a defined urbanized area. In 2021, Brunswick County had a total population of 144,215, though the vast majority of the population is located south of US Route 17, where the beach destinations, Shallotte, and Leland (a suburb of Wilmington) are located. Northwest, the closest town to the project area, has a population of 777 as of 2021.</li> </ul>

# **Project Costs**

**Project Costs** – Provide information detailing the costs associated with the project. These costs will be used to determine the eligible award amount, how the project supports financial goals of the program, and other factors. More information on this section can be found in Section D.2.II of the NOFO.

1. Culvert AOP Program Request Amount:	Exact amount in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$ <u>1,258,600.00</u>
2. Estimated Total of Other Federal funding (excluding Culvert AOP Program request):	Estimate in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$ <u>0.00</u>

3. Estimated Other Federal funding (excluding Culvert AOP Program) further detail:	(List each Federal Program and identify Formula or Discretionary, and the amount for each Federal Program, e.g.: Program: <u>N/A</u> Amount: <u>\$ 0.00</u>
4. Estimated non-Federal funding:	(Identify each source of non-Federal funding and estimated amount, e.g. Source: <u>NCDOT Division 3 Maintenance Funds</u> Amount: <u>\$ 314,650.00</u>
5. Future Eligible Project Cost (Sum of Culvert AOP Program request, Other Federal Funds, and non- Federal Funds, above):	Estimate in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$ <u>1,573,250.00</u>
6. Previously incurred project costs (if applicable):	Estimate in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$ 0.00
7. Total Project Cost (Sum of 'previous incurred' and 'future eligible')	Estimate in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$ <u>1,573,250.00</u>
8. If more than one culvert or weir, will project bundling be used to deliver the Project?	Yes or No. If yes, explain the intended benefits of project bundling. Yes – bundling the projects realizes cost efficiencies in all aspects of preliminary engineering, design, and permitting. Some construction efficiencies are also realized in terms of mob/demob and traffic control logistics. The culvert projects are along the same road, so the replacement of both structures makes the road more resilient to flooding events.
9. If proposed project utilizes bundling, Cost of Unbundled Projects	Estimate in year-of-expenditure dollars: \$ \$1,689,500.00

10. Amount of Future Eligible Costs by Project Type (if applicable):	Project type by structure (if bundling, include the unbundled cost in brackets [ <u>\$]</u> ) <sup>1</sup> (Ex. Culvert Replacement: \$1,000,000 [\$1,500,000])
	1. Project Type <u>Culvert Replacement \$761,250</u> [\$ <u>783,125]</u>
	2. Project Type <u>Culvert Replacement</u> <u>\$812,000</u> [ <u>\$906,375</u> ]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Costs of unbundled project will be compared with bundled costs to determine potential amount of cost savings and as a factor in the ability to unbundle projects for an award

# **Project Selection Criteria**

**Project Selection Criteria** – Provide a narrative response on how the project responds to the selection criteria in Section E.1.a of the NOFO. In responding to Project Selection Criteria, refer to statutory selection priorities included in Section E of the NOFO and address them in the appropriate Project Selection Criteria.

Criterion #1: Conservation Benefits to Anadromous Fish	Improving Blueback Herring access to potential spawning habitat is consistent with federal and state management initiatives to recover stocks to previous levels and prevent the need to list the species in the future. This improved access is expected to lead to increased reproduction and recruitment; thus, with more individuals being produced with each successive generation, there is a better chance that the local population could withstand some of the negative impacts of climate change into the future.		
	Improving river herring access to potential spawning habitat is consistent with federal and state management initiatives to recover stocks to previous levels and prevent the need to list the species in the future. This improved access is expected to lead to increased reproduction and recruitment; thus, with more individuals being produced with each successive generation, there is a better chance that the local population could withstand some of the negative impacts of climate change into the future.		
Criterion #2: Regional and Watershed Context	The localized improvements to spawning habitat access that will result from the culvert replacement project will benefit Blueback Herring stocks in the larger Cape Fear River Basin, as well as the overall South-Atlantic DPS.		
	The Cape Fear River Basin in within the South-Atlantic DPS of the Blueback Herring. While river herring have close affinities to their natal rivers, there is a certain degree of straying (genetic exchange) between river basins within the overall metapopulations of both species. As such, any localized population improvements, such as those expected as a result of this project will contribute to the overall health of the large metapopulations. The highest amount of straying generally occurs towards the next closest river basin (Neuse River to the North, Yadkin/Pee Dee River Basin to the South). Therefore, these localized improvements may also lead to improvements in Blueback Herring populations outside of the state and extend into South Carolina (Yadkin/Pee Dee River Basin).		

Criterion #3: Ecosystem Benefits	In addition to the localized benefits to the Blueback Herring population in the Cape Fear River Basin and the regional benefits to the South-Atlantic DPS metapopulation expected from this project, there are several expected benefits to general ecosystem of the Cape Fear River Basin. Overall ecosystem benefits associated with the project include improved habitat connectivity, as well as localized nutrient retention and reduction in flooding effects (See <b>Narrative</b> 2, Applicable Selection Priority 3.1). Additionally, increases in Blueback Herring numbers as a result of improved access to spawning habitat will benefit species that feed on river herring, most notably Bald Eagle
	and Striped Bass (See Narrative 2, Applicable Selection Priority 3.3).
Criterion #4: Project Design and Delivery Methods	The project will involve upgrading two adjacent culverts to single opening culverts. Aluminum box culverts allow for a better fit to natural stream dimensions. The culverts will be buried 1-foot into natural substrate. These culverts are tributaries of Hood Creek, a stream located in North Carolina's southeast coastal plain that flows 5,3 kilometers (3.3 miles) from the project area to the Cape Fear River, a designated anadromous fish spawning area (AFSA). The Project will potentially restore aquatic habitat connectivity to a combined 2.31 RKM in the Hood Creek watershed. This value is comprised of 0.7011 RKM in the UT Hood Creek, and 1.609 RKM in Double Run. Additionally, the replacement structures will also reduce flooding potential and stream velocity through the passage, as well as making fish passage easier through removal of the beaver barrier that can cause debris backup. All necessary roadway, hydraulic, and structural design will follow established NCDOT design guidelines and policies. The project will take place within existing NCDOT right of way. The project will be documented with a CE and should only require general state and federal regulatory permits. More information is contained in the Narrative below under project description
Criterion #5: Project Monitoring and Evaluation	The NC Wildlife Resource Commission has committed to performing a fish migration barrier assessment at Hood Creek before and after culvert replacement. In addition, NCDOT has approached the North Carolina Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit to develop a more extensive research and monitoring plan for this project should the grant be awarded.

Criterion #6: Climate Change, Sustainability, and Resilience	The NCDOT has a <u>Resilience Policy</u> to consider resilience to all disruptions, including those anticipated due to climate change, in all its work practices. The objective of NCDOT Hydraulic design standards is to provide a 25 Year LOS for SR routes and a 50 Year LOS for NC, US, and Interstate routes, while also considering impacts for the 100 Year event, especially if in FEMA flood zones. If these design standards cannot be met (e.g., existing road overtops in less than the design year and limitations in raising road grade, limitations in structure replacement size due to road grade constraints, etc.) then the desire is to at least maintain existing LOS or improve relative to existing conditions. Preliminary design indicates that the recommended structures for the Hood Creek sites will reduce backwater effects and reduce hydraulic velocities while maintaining existing roadway grades. Although the NMFS considers river herring as "more vulnerable to climate effects", the improved access to spawning grounds that will occur as a result of the project, is expected to lead to increased recruitment; thus, with more individuals that are produced with each generation, there is a better chance that overtime the population could withstand some of the negative impacts of climate change (See <b>Narrative</b> below, Applicable Selection Priority 3.4 for further discussion).
Criterion #7: Equity and Barriers of Opportunity	The project is expected to benefit the Blueback Herring, which, along with the other river herring species along the US Atlantic Coast, the Alewife historically had and continue to have cultural and dietary importance for many Indian Tribes along the Atlantic Coast, As an example of their cultural importance, the Passamaquoddy People in Maine entered into a cooperative restoration effort with USFWS, NOAA, and the ASMFC TEWG to restore the St. Croix Watershed and the once abundant river herring that the communities sustained themselves on for generations. River Herring was a traditional food fish, with evidence of the species' use as far back as 4,000 years in Passamaquoddy fishing village sites. Members of the Cape Fear Band of Skarure and Woccon Indians reside in the portion of North Carolina where the project is located (See Location Information Section G).
	This project also meets the Opportunity criterion because NCDOT in partnership with its Office of Civil Rights actively seeks to certify disadvantaged business enterprises and advertise available opportunities. Members of the Meherrin Indian Tribe reside in the portion of North Carolina where the project is located (See Location Information

Section G).
This project also meets the Opportunity criterion because NCDOT in partnership with its Office of Civil Rights actively seeks to certify disadvantaged business enterprises and advertise available opportunities.

## **Project Readiness Criteria**

**Project Readiness Criteria**– Submit the requested information in Section E.1.b. for the DOT to conduct a review of the project readiness and environmental review and permitting risk criteria for the project and provide a summary. If the project includes multiple culverts or weirs, indicate the information for each structure included in the application and what impact would occur on the timeframes if the project were unbundled.

1. Environmental Review and Permitting Risk	The project addresses the Environmental Review and Permitting Risk criterion by
A. NEPA Status – Indicate if the determination will likely be the result of a Categorical Exclusion (CE), Environmental Assessment (EA), or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).	<ul> <li>Planned or Actual Start of NEPA Date: <u>30 days after grant award</u></li> <li>Planned or Actual Completion of NEPA Date: <u>150 days after start</u></li> <li>Final NEPA Determination or current status of NEPA process:</li> <li>The Hood Creek culvert replacements will be classified as a Type I(A) CE action as defined in the Programmatic Agreement between USDOT FHWA NC Office and NCDOT (2019).</li> </ul>
B. Will all necessary environmental approvals and permits meet the project delivery timeline specified in the project schedule?	<ul> <li>Yes (Please provide documentation)</li> <li>No</li> <li>The NCDOT has designated liaisons within all the necessary regulatory agencies. These liaisons are assigned to work specifically on NCDOT projects. This ensures streamlined coordination and timely issuance of permits.</li> </ul>
C. Are there any prepared environmental studies or documents describing known project impacts and possible mitigation for those impacts?	<ul> <li>☑ Yes (Please provide documentation, preferably through a website link)</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>△ Any unavoidable stream or wetland impacts that may be associated with the Hood Creek project will be mitigated through NCDOT's MOA with the NC Division of Mitigation Services.</li> <li>https://deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/mitigation-services/about-dms/enabling-legislation</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>D. Is the project currently programmed in the</li> <li>TIP</li> <li>STIP</li> <li>MPO Long Range Transportation Plan</li> <li>State Long Range Transportation Plan</li> </ul>	□ Yes ⊠ No (Please specify in which plans the project is currently programmed, the year in which the project is currently programmed and provide the identifying number if applicable)
E. Have there been public engagement opportunities?	<ul> <li>Yes (Provide details, including the degree to which public comments and commitments have been integrated into project development and design)</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
F. Will there be public engagement opportunities?	<ul> <li>☑ Yes (Please provide details)</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>Adjacent property owners will be notified when ground surveys begin. Local law enforcement and school districts will be notified prior to construction.</li> </ul>
2. Indicate detailed project schedule, including all major project milestones.	See attachment 3. Actual schedule dates will depend on date of grant award. Scheduling will also be timed so that construction can be performed outside in-water work moratorium for anadromous fish.
3. Is right-of-way acquisition necessary?	<ul> <li>□ Yes</li> <li>⊠ No</li> <li>□ Not Applicable</li> <li>If Yes, Planned or Actual Start of Right-of-Way Acquisition Date:</li> <li>Planned or Actual Completion of Right-of-Way Acquisition Date:</li> </ul>

4. Right-of-way	If right-of-way must be acquired for the project:
acquisition considerations ( <i>if</i>	No right-of-way land acquisition is required for this project.
applicable):	1. Would right-of-way acquisition require relocation of any people or businesses?
	□ Yes
	□ No
	2. If Yes, are people or businesses being relocated members of traditionally underserved and underrepresented populations (Environmental Justice communities)? If Yes, please describe.
5. Design Status ( <i>if applicable</i> ):	Planned or Actual Start of Preliminary Design Date:
	Planned or Actual Completion of Preliminary Design Date:
	Planned or Actual Start of Final Design Date:
	Planned or Actual Completion of Final Design Date:
6. Anticipated Construction Start Date ( <i>if applicable</i> ):	Date:
7. Anticipated Project Completion Date ( <i>if</i> <i>applicable</i> ):	Date:
8. Indicate potential project risks and strategies undertaken or that might be taken to mitigate those risks.	The Hood Creek project will take place entirely within existing NCDOT right of way. There are adjacent utilities so coordination will need to begin as soon as funding is announced. Potential regulatory and permitting concerns have been mitigated through early coordination in the site selection process and designated liaisons within the regulatory agencies will ensure streamlined coordination and timely issuance of permits.
9. The summary on Project Readiness Criteria demonstrates	NCDOT has both the financial capacity and staff (in-house and/or consultant) capacity to begin the project as soon as funding is announced.

#### Narrative

#### **Basic Project Information Sections #1-5**

#### 1. Project Name

# Replacement of Culverts (structures # BP-010-218 and # BP-010-217) over Hood Creek (Double Run) and UT Hood Creek on State Road (SR) 1422 (Blue Banks Road NE) in Brunswick County, North Carolina to Improve Aquatic Organism Passage:

he North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) is requesting \$ 1,258,600 in federal funds for two adjacent culvert replacements (Culverts # BP-010-2018 and # BP-010-2017) over Hood Creek (Double Run and UT Hood Creek) on State Road (SR) 1422 (Blue Banks Road NE) in Brunswick County, North Carolina, to improve aquatic organism passage (AOP), particularly for the anadromous fish species, Blueback Herring (*Alosa aestivalis*), which along with the Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) are collectively referred to as river herring. The Alewife occurs in North Carolina but does not range as far south as the Cape Fear River Basin. Another anadromous fish species, the Striped Bass (*Morone saxatilis*) is also expected to benefit from the project by increasing Blueback Herring numbers, which is a major food resource for the species.

The NCDOT operates and maintains one of the nation's largest state-maintained highway systems, responsible for maintaining nearly 80,000 miles of roadways and over 18,000 bridges, culverts and pipes. These responsibilities are divided across the state into 14 regions, or highway divisions. The portion of the state immediately along the Atlantic Coastline is covered by three divisions, divisions 1-3 from north to south.

#### 2. Project Description

The culvert replacement project (Project) for which federal funding is being requested occurs in Brunswick County, which is within the NCDOT Highway Division 3. The project will involve upgrading two adjacent culverts to single opening culverts. These culverts are tributaries of Hood Creek, a stream located in North Carolina's southeast coastal plain that flows 5,3 kilometers (3.3 miles) from the project area to the Cape Fear River, a designated anadromous fish spawning area (AFSA). Hood Creek is also a designated AFSA with the closest designated area approximately 900 meters (m) downstream of the culvert on Double Run. Once Hood Creek reaches the Cape Fear River, it flows 24.0 RKM (14.9 RM) before reaching its confluence with the Northeast Cape Fear River forming the Cape Fear River Estuary, which flows another 56.33 RKM (35 RM) before entering the Atlantic Ocean near Southport, NC. The existing crossing structures over Double Run and an unnamed tributary (UT) to Hood Creek are small culverts with two-barrel 71" x 55" Corrugated Metal Pipe Culvert, with a streambed to crown clearance of 103". The headwalls on both culverts are damaged/deteriorated and experience frequent blockages from drift, that have resulted in flooding issues during major storms. There are currently beaver grates present on one pipe in each culvert.

Hood Creek is a tributary of the Cape Fear River Basin. The basin originates where the Deep and Haw rivers converge in Chatham County, North Carolina. The entirety of the 14,967 square kilometer (9,300 square mile) watershed is found within North Carolina, making it the largest watershed in the state. The Hood Creek watershed consists of 9.2 kilometers (5.72 miles) of third order stream, 16.8 kilometers (10.44 miles) of second order stream, and 44.04 kilometers (27.37 miles) of first order stream, for a total of 43.53 miles of natural stream. There is an additional 41.81 kilometers (25.98 miles) in the watershed made up of artificial channels such as canals and ditches. Structure # BP-010-2018 is located on a tributary to Hood Creek (Double Run) that reaches its confluence with Hood Creek 0.21 kilometers (0.13 miles) downstream of the structure crossing. Once the tributary reaches its confluence with Hood Creek, the stream flows to the Cape Fear River near the town of Cape Fear North Carolina approximately 5.3 river kilometers (RKM), or 3.3 river miles (RM) downstream. The Other structure (#BP-010-2017) is located on an unnamed tributary to Hood Creek that reaches its confluence with Hood Creek that reaches its confluence being downstream of the UT, the drainage area was calculated from this confluence and is 55.30 square kilometers (3.47 square miles). The drainage area above the project crossing in Double Run is 5.58 square kilometers (3.47 square miles), and 2.80 square kilometers (1.74 square miles) above the project area on the UT to Hood Creek

(USGS StreamStats, 2023).

The Project will potentially restore aquatic habitat connectivity to a combined 2.31 RKM in the Hood Creek watershed. This value is comprised of 0.7011 RKM in the UT Hood Creek, and 1.609 RKM in Double Run. Additionally, the replacement structures will also reduce flooding potential and stream velocity through the passage, as well as making fish passage easier through removal of the beaver barrier that can cause debris backup.

NCDOT Culvert AOP Program Stakeholders Group (Stakeholders), which consists of representatives from NCDOT, NC Wildlife Resources Commission (WRC), NC Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), has identified various culvert crossing structures in the Highway Divisions 1-3 that pose varying degrees of impediments to aquatic The species passage. As such, their respective removals would benefit anadromous fish species, particularly river herring. Potential sites were assigned a priority category of 1 through 3, with Tier 1 being the highest priority. Due to time constraints, the prioritization was primarily a "desktop" evaluation. The structures over Bond Creek/UT Bond Creek were assigned a Tier 1 (highest priority) status for structure replacement to eliminate the barrier.

Factors considered in assigning the priority Tier were proximity to a designated AFSA<sup>1</sup> as well as the length of upstream reach that would be opened. An AFSA designation confirms presence of anadromous species; however, areas not identified as AFSA does not mean there is no presence of anadromous species. The closer the proximity to AFSA the higher the site was ranked and secondly the upstream reach length was factored in. A longer upstream reach increased the benefit of structure removal and therefore a higher priority compared to a short reach. Additionally, fisheries biologist from WRC and DMF with experience in the respective watersheds also weighed in on prioritizing several of these sites and to confirm the potential benefit of the crossing improvements. While the portions of Bond Creek/UT Bond Creek within and above the project area are currently not designated as AFSA, they occur 0.87 RKM (0.54 RM) and 1.30 RKM (0.81 RM) respectively upstream of a designated AFSA (Hood Creek) and the culvert's removal is expected to improve accessibility of river herring to a combined 2.31 RKM of habitat upstream of the culvert.

### 3. Applicable Selection Priorities

Eight species of anadromous fish known to occur in North Carolina (Table 1). Of the anadromous species listed in Table 1, the two species of river herring, Alewife and Blueback Herring are most likely to experience migration barriers from culverts, as they utilize smaller waterbodies to spawn compared to the other species, and culverts are more likely to occur over smaller waterbodies. Culverts have been identified as potential barriers to aquatic organism passage due to channel constriction, increased slope, unnatural channel bottom, perched outlets and susceptibility to damming and flooding effects caused by trapping debris. In addition to physical barriers to passage associated with culverts, low light levels inside culverts have been demonstrated to impede migration of river herring in North Carolina (Moser and Terra 1999). There also appears to be a relationship with higher flow velocity and turbidity in low light conditions that inhibit upstream migration (Kynard 1993). Peak spawning runs of river herring in North Carolina occur from early March to early May, a time of year where flows of the highest mean river flow.

Table 1. Anadromous Fish Species of North Carolina		
Scientific Name	Common Name	
Acipenser brevirostrum	Shortnose Sturgeon <sup>1</sup>	
Acipenser oxyrhynchus oxyrinchus	Atlantic Sturgeon <sup>1</sup>	
Alosa aestivalis	Blueback Herring	
Alosa mediocris	Hickory Shad	
Alosa pseudoharengus	Alewife	
Alosa sapidissima	American Shad	

<b>Table 1.Anadromous</b>	Fish	Species	of North	Carolina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Anadromous fish spawning areas are those areas where evidence of spawning of anadromous fishes has been documented by direct observation of spawning, capture of running ripe females, or capture of eggs or early larvae (15A NCAC 10C.0602)

Morone saxatilis	Striped Bass	
Petromyzon marinus	Sea Lamprey <sup>2</sup>	

1-Federally and State Endangered.

2- The Sea Lamprey is on the NCWRC freshwater list prioritized for conservation.

Upgrading these culverts to have a single enlarged openings with headwalls and endwalls helps to mitigate the impediment of constricted channels caused by small pipe culverts to migrating fish. The larger opening allows for increased light penetration and less restricted flow within the crossing structure. This not only helps to lower velocities to allow for easier transition for migrating fish, but also lessens the susceptibility for the upstream area to flood during high flow events. The substrate in this case will also be improved, as the culvert will be buried allowing for the natural substrate to be present through the road crossing.

#### 3.1 Anadromous fish listed as endangered or threatened

The Atlantic Sturgeon and Shortnose Sturgeon are the only two anadromous fish species protected under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) that occur in North Carolina. Both species are known to occur within the Cape Fear River Basin. Both species have been tracked as far as 96 km inland on the Cape Fear River between the months of January and May, with juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon observed in proximity of the freshwater/saltwater line of the Cape Fear River. Sections of the Cape Fear River and Northeast Cape Fear River have been designated as Critical Habitat for the Atlantic Sturgeon, including the portion of the Cape Fear River where Hood Creek flows into the river, approximately 5.15 RKM (3.2 RM) downstream of the project area.

While neither species is expected to utilize Hood Creek or its tributaries above the project area due to their small size and are thus, unlikely to directly benefit from the culvert replacement project, small indirect benefits might occur as a result of the overall ecosystem benefits realized through habitat connectivity, as well as localized nutrient retention and reduction in flooding effects. Smaller watersheds like Hood Creek helps to process upland pollutants and mitigate flooding events, these functions can be improved by improving aquatic organism passage and restoring a more naturalized flow regime.

3.2 Anadromous fish that could reasonably become Federally listed under the ESA

As mentioned in **Narrative**, Applicable Selection Priority 3.1, the Atlantic Sturgeon and Shortnose Sturgeon, are the only two anadromous fish species in North Carolina protected under the endangered species act. However, stocks of the other anadromous fish species in the state have experienced significant declines compared to historical levels. Causes for the decline of river herring stocks have been attributed to overfishing, habitat degradation, and complete, or partial loss of access to historical spawning habitat by dam and culvert construction. As such, in an effort to protect and restore existing stocks, many states have enacted harvest restrictions in waters under their respective jurisdictions. A river herring harvest moratorium was enacted in North Carolina in 2007 and in coastal waters in Virginia that drain to North Carolina in 2008.

Harvest moratoria and catch limits have also been enacted on nearly all anadromous species in North Carolina. In additional to the various harvest restrictions, various recovery initiatives have been implemented for river herring. The WRC began a blueback herring stocking program in the Chowan River Basin in 2012 (Potoka and Smith 2021) to determine the effectiveness of that type of program on increasing populations, with the intent that similar programs can then be implemented in other river basins supporting the species.

Because of the declining stocks, the NMFS evaluated whether listing river herring (Blueback Herring and/or Alewife) under the Endangered Species Act was warranted. In 2013 the NMFS issued a "not warranted" determination. However, after a lawsuit challenging this decision, the NMFS initiated a species status review, that led to a revised listing determination, which again led to a "not warranted" determination in 2019. Various existing conservation initiatives and regulatory protections were factored into the decision not to list. However, the status assessment defined "the foreseeable future" for river herring as 12–18-year time frame (*i.e.*, out to 2030-2036), or a three-generation timeframe. Thus, it is possible that over this time period the conservation and management initiatives that are in place or not adequate to offset the various threats to the species, which would necessitate the need for a re-evaluation. As such, it is very possible that one, or both species of river herring will warrant listing under the ESA in the future. More detailed information on this process is provided below.

On August 12, 2013, the NMFS issued a determination that listing was not warranted at that time. However, it was noted that they were committed to revisit the status of both river herring species in "three to five years" as there were significant data deficiencies. A lawsuit was brought against NMFS in February of 2015 challenging this decision not to

list the Blueback Herring. As part of a negotiated agreement with the plaintiffs, NMFS committed to publishing a revised listing determination for Blueback Herring by 2019. A status review of both river herring species was then initiated in August 2017 to gather the necessary data on whether listing was warranted. The status review (NMFS 2019) identified four Distinct Population Segments (DPS) for the Alewife (Canada, Northern New England, Southern New England and Mid-Atlantic) and three DPS for Blueback Herring (Canada/Northern New England, Mid-Atlantic, and Southern Atlantic. The Cape Fear River Basin is within the Southern DPS for Blueback Herring. The Alewife does not occur in the Cape Fear River Basin.

The status assessment used a "likelihood analysis" to evaluate the overall risk of extinction of both species, with a numerical ranking system that corresponded to "very low, low, medium and high" rankings. The range wide level of extinction risk for Blueback Herring was rated as "low" and "low" in the three DPSs (NMFS 2019) Based on the level of extinction risks determined in the status assessment, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) issued a determination on June 19, 2019, that listing of the Blueback Herring and Alewife was not warranted at that time (NOAA 2019). The factors that support this determination were the justification supporting this conclusion: "(1) The species are broadly distributed over a large geographic range, with no marine barriers to dispersal; (2) genetic data indicate that populations are not isolated; (3) overfishing is not currently occurring within the range; (4) the population size is sufficient to maintain population viability into the foreseeable future (5) there is no evidence that disease or predation is contributing to increasing the risk of extinction; and (6) there is no evidence that the species is currently suffering from depensatory processes (such as reduced likelihood of finding a mate or mate choice or diminished fertilization and recruitment success) or is at risk of extinction due to environmental variation or anthropogenic perturbations" (NOAA 2019).

While the final determination indicated both Alewife and Blueback Herring populations were at "historical low levels", NOAA noted that "improved fisheries management efforts in recent years have reduced fishing mortality rates in stocks and that hundreds of habitat improvement projects have been completed in the past 20 years" (NOAA 2019). This was one of the factors why the two river herring species did not meet the definition of either Endangered or Threatened under the ESA. There are several Federal, State, and non-governmental groups that support the ongoing research of the species as well as evaluate and guide the management efforts for the species. One such group, the Atlantic Coast River Herring Collective (River Herring Forum), is supported by NOAA Fisheries and Atlantic States Marine Fisheries (ASMFC) staff to "promote the conservation of the species, support information exchange, and encourage collaboration." Therefore, although the species are not officially Federally listed, there are monitoring and species augmentation efforts in place to mitigate the ongoing loss of abundance.

While listing of the two river herring species under the ESA is currently not warranted, it is important to note that the status assessment defined "the foreseeable future" for river herring as 12-18-year time frame (*i.e.*, out to 2030-2036), or a three-generation timeframe. This is due to their species inherent reproductive strategy of high numbers of offspring, with short generation times. Thus, it is possible that over this time period the conservation and management initiatives that are in place or not adequate to offset the various threats to the species, which would necessitate the need for a re-evaluation and possible listing.

3.3 Anadromous fish identified as prey for endangered, threatened, or protected species While no longer protected under the ESA, the Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), which is protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and is listed as "Threatened" in North Carolina (NCNHP 2022), occurs throughout the Cape Fear River Basin and has been documented to prey on river herring. Restoration of river herring spawning runs in the Sebasticook River in Maine via a combination of dam removal and fish lift installations in the system were shown to boost survival of immature Bald Eagles as it provided an abundant and "seasonably reliable food resource" (DeSorbo et al. 2015). In addition to the Bald Eagle species of wading birds like the Tri-colored Heron (*Egretta tricolor*), which is listed as a species of Special Concern in North Carolina may also benefit from increases in river herring spawning runs in the project area, as over 90% of their diet consists of fish.

River herring are also a major food resource for many predatory fish species, including the anadromous Striped Bass. This species has long been an important commercial and recreational species that has provided economic benefit to states along the Atlantic Coastline, including North Carolina. It was also noted to be a major component of Native Americans diet. Striped Bass stocks have been subject to large fluctuations in numbers particularly since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> Century. Identified reasons for the fluctuations include fishing pressure, environmental pollution, loss and alteration of habitat, inadequacy of fisheries conservation and management practices, and natural causes. In the 1960's there were consecutive years of reproductive failure in the rivers of North Carolina. Due to the risk of potential depletion of the

species and its cultural and economic importance, the Atlantic Striped Bass Conservation Act was passed in 1984, which in conjunction with the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Management Act of 1993 direct state and federal efforts to manage and protect the species. A designated Striped Bass Management Area (15A NCAC 03R .0201) occurs approximately 4.2 RKM downstream of the project area.

3.4 Anadromous fish identified as climate resilient stock

The NMFS considers river herring as "more vulnerable to climate effects". Threats associated with climate change were identified in the status review on river herring (NMFS 2019) and ranked for both species as a whole as well as each DPS. Potential consequences associated with climate change the were considered included changes in amount of preferred marine habitat, due to increased water temperature and changes in water composition, as well as adverse effects to spawning and early life stages due to changes in riverine flow, all of which could result in a contraction of range and increased risk of extinction.

The threats associated with climate change and vulnerability ranked "medium" for Alewife range wide. However, the southern portions of the range were at slightly higher risk than the northern portion (NMFS 2019). Likewise, the Blueback Herring rank was "medium" range wide. Since the Blueback Herring's range occurs further south than the range of the Alewife, the species currently persists in warmer habitats and therefore, may be more resilient to warmer temperatures (NMFS 2019). The "medium" risk determination may suggest that river herring are moderately resilient to the effects of climate change. While improving access to spawning grounds will not in of itself increase resiliency to climate change, increasing spawning access, is expected to lead to increased recruitment; thus, the more individuals that are produced with each generation, there is a better chance that overtime the population could withstand some of the negative impacts of climate change.

3.5 Project that opens up more than 200 meters of upstream habitat

As mentioned in the project description, this culvert replacement project will open 2.31 RKM of upstream habitat. The Blue Banks Road NE crossing is the only major road crossing in either of these streams' watersheds, and therefore, their improvement would restore passage for almost the entirety of the respective watersheds.

#### 4. Anadromous Species Project Will Benefit

As mentioned, the Blueback Herring and Striped Bass are most likely to directly benefit from this project's completion. The other anadromous fish species known to occur in the Cape Fear River Basin, could indirectly benefit from the project as a result of the overall ecosystem benefits.

#### 5. Description of benefits to Anadromous Species

These two culvert replacements associated with the project will improve access to 2.31 RKM of potential spawning habitat for Blueback Herring. The improved access to spawning habitat is likely to result in localized increased reproductive success (reproduction/recruitment). This type of restoration is consistent with federal and state management initiatives to recover stocks to previous levels and prevent the need to list the species in the future. Additionally, the anadromous Striped Bass population in the Cape Fear River Basin will directly benefit from increased food resources (See **Narrative**, Applicable Selection Priority 3.3). The other anadromous fish species known to occur in the Cape Fear River Basin, could indirectly benefit from the project as a result of the overall ecosystem benefits (See **Narrative**, Applicable Selection Priority 3.1).

