

PAT McCRORY Governor

March 1, 2016

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Raleigh Regulatory Field Office 3331 Heritage Trade Drive, Suite 105 Wake Forest, NC 27587

ATTN: Mr. John Thomas, Jr. NCDOT Division 9 Project Coordinator

SUBJECT: Documentation to Convert from Application for Section 404 Individual Permit and Section 401 Individual Water Quality Certification to Application for Section 404 Nationwide Permit No. 14 and Section 401 General Water Quality Certification No. 3886 for the proposed Village Point Drive project on new location from existing Village Point Drive to Towncenter Drive in the Village of Clemmons in Forsyth County. NCDOT Division 9; TIP Project No. U-5551.

USACE Action ID SAW-2011-00800; NCDWR Project No. 11-0359v2.

Debit \$570.00 from WBS Element No. 46310.1.1.

REFERENCE: U-5551, Application for Section 404 Individual Permit and Section 401 Individual Water Quality Certification, dated November 17, 2015.

Dear Sir:

Per your request to permit impacts associated with this project under a Section 401 Nationwide Permit No. 14 rather than an Individual Permit, I have enclosed a completed Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) for the project to replace the previously-submitted Individual Permit application.

Additionally, per a request from Sue Homewood (N.C. Division of Water Resources) in an email dated December 18, 2015, the permit drawing packet was modified to add the temporary dewatering/bypass channel design associated with each of the two culvert installations (one at Permit Site 1, one at Permit Site 2); additionally, dewatering details were added to the packet. As a result of these inclusions, some of the jurisdictional impacts have been adjusted to correspond with the revised design. Specifically, mechanized clearing impacts at Site 2 have decreased from 0.04 ac. to 0.01 ac. and temporary fill in wetlands at that site have increased from 0.0 ac. to 0.05 ac.

Nothing Compares

State of North Carolina | Department of Transportation | PDEA – Natural Environment Section 1020 Birch Ridge Drive, 27610 | 1598 Mail Service Center | Raleigh, NC 27699-1598 919-707-6000 (T) 919-212-5785 (F) Enclosed please find the requested PCN, as well as the revised permit drawings. Also enclosed is an email from Kelway Howard (Stimmel Associates, PA) to Ms. Homewood, dated February 18, 2016, detailing the decision to use the bypass channel method rather than the full-time bypass pumping method for dewatering purposes. Additionally, the construction plans for Site 2 have been included, which contain construction notes and revegetation specifications related to the restoration of the new temporary wetland impacts at that site.

A copy of this letter and its attachments will be posted on the NCDOT Website at <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/resources/Environmental/Pages/default.aspx</u>, under *Quick Links* > *Permit Applications*. Thank you for your assistance with this project. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Jim Mason at either jsmason@ncdot.gov or (919) 707-6136.

Sincerely,

A

Richard W. Hancock, P.E., Manager Project Development and Environmental Analysis Unit

 cc: NCDOT Permit Application Standard Distribution List Sue Homewood, N.C. Division of Water Resources Wright Archer Sr., District Engineer, District 2 Stephanie Braquet, Environmental Specialist, Division 9





Office Use Only:

Corps action ID no. ___ DWQ project no.

Form Version 1.4 January 2009

	Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) Form						
Α.	Applicant Information						
1.	Processing						
1a.	Type(s) of approval sought from Corps:	the	Section 404 Permit Section	ion 10 Permit			
1b.	Specify Nationwide Permit (NWP) number: 1	4 or General Permit (G	iP) number:			
1c.	Has the NWP or GP number bee	en verified b	by the Corps?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
1d.	Type(s) of approval sought from	the DWQ (check all that apply):				
	A01 Water Quality Certificatio	n – Regula	r 🗌 Non-404 Jurisdictiona	al General Permi	t		
	401 Water Quality Certificatio	n – Expres	s 🗌 Riparian Buffer Autho	orization			
1e.	Is this notification solely for the re-	ecord	For the record only for DWQ 401	For the record	only for Corps Permit:		
	because whiten approval is not i	equired?	\Box Yes \Box No	🗌 Yes	🖂 No		
1f.	f. Is payment into a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program proposed for mitigation of impacts? If so, attach the acceptance letter from mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program.						
1g.	Is the project located in any of Nobelow.	C's twenty	coastal counties. If yes, answer 1h	☐ Yes	🖾 No		
1h.	Is the project located within a NC	DCM Area	of Environmental Concern (AEC)?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No		
2.	Project Information						
2a.	Name of project:	Village Po Towncent	pint Drive project on new location from the Drive in the Village of Clemmons	m existing Village	e Point Drive to		
2b.	County:	Forsyth					
2c.	Nearest municipality / town:	Clemmon	S				
2d.	Subdivision name:	not applic	able				
2e.	NCDOT only, T.I.P. or state project no:	U-5551					
3.	Owner Information	•					
За.	Name(s) on Recorded Deed:	North Car	olina Department of Transportation -	Division 9			
3b.	Deed Book and Page No.	not applic	able				
3c.	Responsible Party (for LLC if applicable):	not applicable					
3d.	Street address:	375 Silas Creek Parkway					
3e.	City, state, zip:	Winston-Salem, NC 28621					
3f.	Telephone no.:	(919) 707	-6136				
3g.	Fax no.:	(919) 212	-5785				
3h.	. Email address: jsmason@ncdot.gov						

4.	Applicant Information (if different from owner)				
4a.	Applicant is:	Agent			
4b.	Name:	Village of Clemmons			
4c.	Business name (if applicable):				
4d.	Street address:	3715 Clemmons Rd			
4e.	City, state, zip:	Clemmons, NC 27013			
4f.	Telephone no.:				
4g.	Fax no.:				
4h.	Email address:				
5.	Agent/Consultant Information	n (if applicable)			
5a.	Name:	not applicable			
5b.	Business name (if applicable):				
5c.	Street address:				
5d.	City, state, zip:				
5e.	Telephone no.:				
5f.	Fax no.:				
5g.	Email address:				

В.	B. Project Information and Prior Project History						
1.	Property Identification						
1a.	Property identification no. (tax PIN or parcel ID):	not applicable					
1b.	Site coordinates (in decimal degrees):	Latitude: 36.0353 Longitude: - 80.3905 (DD.DDDDDD) (-DD.DDDDDD)					
1c.	Property size:	33 acres					
2.	Surface Waters						
2a.	Name of nearest body of water (stream, river, etc.) to proposed project:	Johnson Creek					
2b.	Water Quality Classification of nearest receiving water:	WS-IV					
2c.	River basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee					
3.	Project Description						
За.	Describe the existing conditions on the site and the general lar application: A majority of the proposed project will be on new location; how	nd use in the vicinity of the project at the time of this					
	cleared. Therefore, the site primarily consists of either patches within the vicinity includes Forested Land, Agriculture, Comme	of forested land or maintained/disturbed land. Land use prcial, Industrial, and Residential.					
3b.	List the total estimated acreage of all existing wetlands on the	property:					
	1.06 acres						
3c.	List the total estimated linear feet of all existing streams (interm 2,061 linear feet	nittent and perennial) on the property:					
3d.	Explain the purpose of the proposed project:						
	The purpose and need of the project is to improve access to a Clemmons for economic development.	203-acre, comprehensively planned area in the Village of					
3e.	Describe the overall project in detail, including the type of equi	pment to be used:					
	NCDOT and the Village of Clemmons propose to construct a two-lane road with a center turn lane on new location in the Village of Clemmons, Forsyth County. The road will extend the existing Village Point Drive and connect to Towncenter Drive. This road is part of the Village of Clemmons Small Area Plan, which can be found on their website (http://www.clemmons.org). One year after construction, maintenance of the road will be transferred to the Village of Clemmons request that the USACE and NCDWR include a special condition in the permits stating that the Village of Clemmons will be responsible for the 404 and 401 permits after maintenance responsibilities are transferred. Standard road building equipment, such as trucks, dozers, and cranes will be used.						
4.	Jurisdictional Determinations						
4a.	Have jurisdictional wetland or stream determinations by the Corps or State been requested or obtained for this property / project (including all prior phases) in the past? Comments: USACE Action ID SAW-2011-00800, dated 9/23/15; NCDWR Determination, dated 5/26/15	🖾 Yes 🗌 No 📄 Unknown					
4b.	If the Corps made the jurisdictional determination, what type of determination was made?	Preliminary 🛛 Final					
4c.	If yes, who delineated the jurisdictional areas? Name (if known): Principal Investigator: Jim Mason	Agency/Consultant Company: NCDOT Other:					
4d.	If yes, list the dates of the Corps jurisdictional determinations of 9/23/15; 5/26/15	or State determinations and attach documentation.					

5.	Project History					
5a.	Have permits or certifications been requested or obtained for this project (including all prior phases) in the past?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	Unknown		
5b.	 b. If yes, explain in detail according to "help file" instructions. A portion of Village Point Drive was previously permitted by Novant Health in 2011. The PCN for that permit was dated April 12, 2011. The USACE Action Id No. was 2011-00800 and the DWR No. was 2011-0359. The 2011 application had 2 crossings (referred to as Permit Sites 1 and 2). Crossing 1 (Permit Site 1) was not constructed as part of the 2011 application, but it is now included as part of the current application process, also as Permit Site 1 (along with a new Permit Site 2). 					
	However, the plans for Crossing 1 (Permit Site 1) have changed since the 2011 application. Revised plans, including these revisions to Site 1, were recently provided to agencies on November 17, 2015 as part of an Individual Permit (IP) application for the subject project. Since the submission of the IP application, however, it was determined by the agencies that a NWP No. 14 would be more appropriate for this project. Additionally, revisions to the permit drawings and impacts have occurred since the IP application was submitted. A revised version of the IP application permit drawings accompanies this PCN, which will replace the Novemer 2015 IP application.					
6.	Future Project Plans					
6a.	Is this a phased project?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No			
6b.	If yes, explain. See description in 5b above.					

C. Proposed Impacts Inventory							
1. Impacts Summ	ary						
1a. Which sections	were completed b	elow for your project (check al	I that apply):				
🛛 Wetlands 🛛 Streams - tributaries 🗌 Buffers							
Open Waters	s 🗌 F	Pond Construction					
2. Wetland Impac	ts						
If there are wetland	impacts proposed	on the site, then complete this	s question for	each wetland a	area impacteo	1. Of	
Wetland impact	20.	20.	20.	2e.		21.	
number – Permanent (P) or Temporary (T)	Type of impact	Type of wetland (if known)	Forested	Type of jur	isdiction	Area of impact (acres)	
Site 1 🛛 P 🗌 T	Fill	Headwater Wetland	⊠ Yes □ No		orps WQ	<0.01	
Site 1 🛛 P 🗌 T	Excavation	Headwater Wetland	⊠ Yes □ No	Co	orps WQ	0.01	
Site 1 🛛 P 🗌 T	Mechanized Clearing	Headwater Wetland	⊠ Yes □ No	Co	orps WQ	0.01	
Site 2 🛛 P 🗌 T	Fill	Headwater Wetland	⊠ Yes □ No	Co	orps WQ	0.32	
Site 2 🗌 P 🖾 T	Temp. Fill	Headwater Wetland	⊠ Yes □ No	Co	orps WQ	0.05	
Site 2 🛛 P 🗌 T	Site 2 P I T Mechanized Clearing Headwater Wetland Image: Site 2 Yes Image: Site 2 Image: Site 2				0.01		
			2	g. Total wetlar	nd impacts	0.35 ac Perm. 0.05 ac Temp.	
2h. Comments: Site jurisdictional determ Temporary impacts at this site. Please s	1 impacts occur ir ination identifiers. at Site 2 are assoc ee the attached co	n Wetland WC; Site 2 impacts Rounded total is based on the ciated with the proposed temp onstruction plans for informatic	occur in Wetl sum of the a orary bypass on regarding t	land WA. Wetla actual impacts. channel being he restoration o	nd identifiers employed to i of these impa	reference the nstall the culvert cts.	
3. Stream Impacts If there are perennia question for all stream	s I or intermittent str m sites impacted.	ream impacts (including tempo	orary impacts) proposed on t	he site, then	complete this	
3a. 3b. 3c. 3d. 3e. 3f. 3g. Stream impact number - Type of impact Stream name 3d. Perennial (PER) or intermitte nt (INT)? Type of jurisdiction Average stream Impact (linear				3g. Impact length (linear feet)			
Site 1 🛛 P 🗌 T	Perm. Fill (Culvert)	UT of Johnson Creek (SC)	PER	Corps	3	181	
Site 1 🗌 P 🖾 T	Temp. Impacts	UT of Johnson Creek (SC)	PER	Corps	3	15	
Site 2 🛛 P 🗌 T	Perm. Fill (Culvert)	UT of Johnson Creek (SA/SA Braid)	D PER	Corps	2-5	210	
Site 2 🛛 P 🗌 T	Perm. Fill (Culvert)	UT of Johnson Creek (SA/SA Braid)	PER	Corps	2-5	46	
Site 2 🗌 P 🖾 T	Temp. Impacts	UT of Johnson Creek (SA/SA Braid)	⊠ PER □ INT	⊠ Corps □ DWQ	2-5	50	

	3h. Total stream and tributary impacts 437 Perm. 65 Temp.										
3i. Comme reported as	3i. Comments: Stream identifiers reference the jurisdictional determination identifiers. Impacts to SA (not SA Braid) are reported as it's the main channel in the braided system.										
4. Open	4. Open Water Impacts										
If there are the U.S. th	e propose en indivi	ed impacts to lakes, dually list all open v	ponds, e vater imp	estuari acts b	es, tributar elow.	ies, sounds, the	Atlantic	: Ocean,	or any other op	pen water of	
4a.	4a. 4b. 4c. 4d. 4e.										
Open w	ater	Name of		-	Turne of imm	o ot	Wet	o rhodu	Area of im	no at (a area)	
Permanen Tempora	t (P) or ry (T)	(if applicable)		Type of impact Wa		t	уре	Alea of im	paci (acres)		
01 🗌 P	T										
02 🗌 P	ТП										
O3 🗌 P	ТП										
04 🗌 P	T										
	4f. Total open water impacts 0 Permanent 0 Temporary										
4g. Comm	ents:										
5. Pond	or Lake	Construction									
If pond or I	ake cons	struction proposed,	then com	plete	the chart b	elow.					
5a.	5b.		5c.				5d.			5e.	
Pond ID	Pro	posed use or	Wetland Impacts (acres) Stream I			ream Im	pacts (feet)	Upland (acres)			
number	pur	pose of pond	Flooded		Filled	Excavated	Flo ode d	Filled	Excavated	Flooded	
P1											
P2											
		5f. Total									
5g. Comm	ents:				•		•				
5h. Is a da	5h. Is a dam high hazard permit required? Yes No If yes, permit ID no:										
5i. Expec	5i. Expected pond surface area (acres):										
5j. Size o	f pond w	atershed (acres):									
5k. Metho	d of cons	struction:									

Г

6. Buffer Impacts (for DWQ)									
If project will impact a protected riparian buffer, then complete the chart below. If yes, then individually list all buffer impacts below. If any impacts require mitigation, then you MUST fill out Section D of this form.									
6a. Project is in which	protected basin?	☐ Neuse ☐ Catawba	☐ Tar-Pamlico ☐ Randleman	Other:					
6b. Buffer impact	6c.	6d.	6e.	6f.	6g.				
number – Permanent (P) or Temporary (T)	Reason for impact	Stream name	Buffer mitigation required?	Zone 1 impact (square feet)	Zone 2 impact (square feet)				
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T			☐ Yes ☐ No						
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T			☐ Yes ☐ No						
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T			☐ Yes ☐ No						
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T			☐ Yes ☐ No						
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T	Site 🗌 P 🗌 T								
	6h. Total buffer impacts								
6i. Comments:									

D. Impact Justification and Mitigation

1. Avoidance and Minimization

1a. Specifically describe measures taken to avoid or minimize the proposed impacts in designing project.

Avoidance:

• Village Point Drive generally runs northeast to southwest from Jessie Lane to Harper Road. There are four streams in the vicinity of Village Point Drive. One of the four streams generally flows northeast to the southwest paralleling Village Point Drive. Village Point Drive alignment was set just to the west of this parallel stream to avoid impacts. The remaining three streams generally flow northwest to southeast, perpendicular to the roadway alignment, and impacts could not be avoided.

Minimization:

- · Headwalls are utilized on each culvert to minimize the length of the impact.
- Plunge pools or minimum length energy dissipaters, as appropriate based on the velocities and flows, were used at each culvert.
- The roadway embankments were steepened to a 2:1 ratio over the stream crossings to minimize the impacts.

1b. Specifically describe measures taken to avoid or minimize the proposed impacts through construction techniques.

- NCDOT's Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the Protection of Surface Waters will be enforced.
- NCDOT's BMPs for Construction and Maintenance Activities will be utilized.

2. Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts to Waters of the	Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts to Waters of the U.S. or Waters of the State					
2a. Does the project require Compensatory Mitigation for impacts to Waters of the U.S. or Waters of the State?	⊠ Yes □ No If no, explain:					
2b. If yes, mitigation is required by (check all that apply):	DWQ Corps					
2c. If yes, which mitigation option will be used for this project?						
3. Complete if Using a Mitigation Bank	3. Complete if Using a Mitigation Bank					
3a. Name of Mitigation Bank: not applicable						
3b. Credits Purchased (attach receipt and letter)	Туре	Quantity				
3c. Comments:						
4. Complete if Making a Payment to In-lieu Fee Program						
4a. Approval letter from in-lieu fee program is attached.	☐ Yes					
4b. Stream mitigation requested:	314 lin. ft. @ 2:1 + 12	314 lin. ft. @ 2:1 + 123 lin. ft. @ 1:1 = 751 linear feet				
4c. If using stream mitigation, stream temperature:	🖾 warm 🗌 coo					
4d. Buffer mitigation requested (DWQ only):	square feet					
4e. Riparian wetland mitigation requested:	4e. Riparian wetland mitigation requested: 0.35 ac. at 2:1 = 0.70 acres					
4f. Non-riparian wetland mitigation requested:	0 acres					
4g. Coastal (tidal) wetland mitigation requested:	0 acres					

4h. Comments: In the initial 2011 application by Novant Health, it was agreed that the 123 linear ft. of permanent stream impacts at that application's Permit Site 1 (also known as Permit Site 1 in the current application) would be mitigated for at a 1:1 ratio. However, that permit site did not get constructed as part of the 2011 project. Now, per USACE, mitigation for impacts to the stream at this site will be required at a 2:1 ratio. Since permanent impacts at Permit Site 1 increased by 58 linear ft. (from 123 linear ft. in the 2011 application to 181 linear ft. in the current application), NCDOT proposes to mitigate for the original 123 linear ft. (that was permitted in the 2011 application, but never impacted) at the previously-determined 1:1 mitigation ratio and mitigate for the newly added 58 linear ft. of stream impacts at the new 2:1 ratio. The 0.02 acres of wetland impacts at Permit Site 1 will be mitigated for at a 2:1 ratio. Documentation of payment to American Wetlands for previously-permitted Site 1 from the 2011 application was submitted to both agencies with the November 2015 IP application.

At Permit Site 2, 256 linear ft. of permanent stream impacts will be mitigated for at a 2:1 ratio. Also at Permit Site 2, 0.33 acres of wetland impacts will be mitigated for at a 2:1 ratio.

Mitigation will be acquired from the Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) (the mitigation acceptance letter was also recently provided to both agencies with the November 2015 IP application).

5. Complete if Using a Permittee Responsible Mitigation Plan

5a. If using a permittee responsible mitigation plan, provide a description of the proposed mitigation plan.

6. Buffer Mitigation (State Regulated Riparian Buffer Rules) – required by DWQ								
6a. Will the project result in an impact within a protected riparian buffer that requires Section Yes No buffer mitigation?								
6b. If yes, th amount	6b. If yes, then identify the square feet of impact to each zone of the riparian buffer that requires mitigation. Calculate the amount of mitigation required.							
Zone	6c. Reason for impact	6d. Total impact (square feet)	Multiplier	6e. Required mitigation (square feet)				
Zone 1			3 (2 for Catawba)					
Zone 2			1.5					
		6f. Total buffer	mitigation required:					
6g. If buffer mitigation is required, discuss what type of mitigation is proposed (e.g., payment to private mitigation bank, permittee responsible riparian buffer restoration, payment into an approved in-lieu fee fund).								
6h. Comme	nts:							

E. Stormwater Management and Diffuse Flow Plan (required by DWQ)	E. Stormwater Management and Diffuse Flow Plan (required by DWQ)					
1. Diffuse Flow Plan						
1a. Does the project include or is it adjacent to protected riparian buffers identified within one of the NC Riparian Buffer Protection Rules?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No				
1b. If yes, then is a diffuse flow plan included? If not, explain why. Comments:	☐ Yes	□ No				
2. Stormwater Management Plan						
2a. What is the overall percent imperviousness of this project?	N/A					
2b. Does this project require a Stormwater Management Plan?	⊠ Yes	🗌 No				
2c. If this project DOES NOT require a Stormwater Management Plan, explain why:						
2d. If this project DOES require a Stormwater Management Plan, then provide a brief, na Please see previously-submitted permit application packet, dated November 17, 201	arrative descriptic 5.	on of the plan:				
2e. Who will be responsible for the review of the Stormwater Management Plan?	☐ Certified Lo ☐ DWQ Storn ⊠ DWQ 401 U	ocal Government nwater Program Jnit				
3. Certified Local Government Stormwater Review						
3a. In which local government's jurisdiction is this project?	not applicable					
3b. Which of the following locally-implemented stormwater management programs apply (check all that apply):	Phase II NSW USMP Water Supp Other:	bly Watershed				
3c. Has the approved Stormwater Management Plan with proof of approval been attached?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No				
4. DWQ Stormwater Program Review	1					
4a. Which of the following state-implemented stormwater management programs apply (check all that apply):	 Coastal co HQW ORW Session La Other: 	unties aw 2006-246				
4b. Has the approved Stormwater Management Plan with proof of approval been attached?	🗌 Yes	No N/A				
5. DWQ 401 Unit Stormwater Review						
5a. Does the Stormwater Management Plan meet the appropriate requirements?	☐ Yes	No N/A				
5b. Have all of the 401 Unit submittal requirements been met?	☐ Yes	No N/A				

F.	F. Supplementary Information						
1.	Environmental Documentation (DWQ Requirement)						
1a.	Does the project involve an expenditure of public (federal/state/local) funds or the use of public (federal/state) land?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No				
1b.	If you answered "yes" to the above, does the project require preparation of an environmental document pursuant to the requirements of the National or State (North Carolina) Environmental Policy Act (NEPA/SEPA)?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No				
1c.	If you answered "yes" to the above, has the document review been finalized by the State Clearing House? (If so, attach a copy of the NEPA or SEPA final approval letter.) Comments:	⊠ Yes	🗌 No				
2.	Violations (DWQ Requirement)						
2a.	Is the site in violation of DWQ Wetland Rules (15A NCAC 2H .0500), Isolated Wetland Rules (15A NCAC 2H .1300), DWQ Surface Water or Wetland Standards, or Riparian Buffer Rules (15A NCAC 2B .0200)?	☐ Yes	🖾 No				
2b.	Is this an after-the-fact permit application?	☐ Yes	🖾 No				
2c.	c. If you answered "yes" to one or both of the above questions, provide an explanation of the violation(s):						
3.	Cumulative Impacts (DWQ Requirement)						
За.	Will this project (based on past and reasonably anticipated future impacts) result in additional development, which could impact nearby downstream water quality?	⊠ Yes □ No					
3b.	If you answered "yes" to the above, submit a qualitative or quantitative cumulative imp most recent DWQ policy. If you answered "no," provide a short narrative description.	oact analysis in a	ccordance with the				
	The project may alter traffic capacity or travel patterns, reduce travel time, affect access to and exposure to properties in the area, and open areas for development or redevelopment. Due to the potential transportation impact-causing activities, this project may influence nearby land uses or stimulate growth. Therefore, a detailed indirect and cumulative effects screening is located in Appendix D of the previously-provided Combined Community Characteristics Report (provided with IP application).						
4.	. Sewage Disposal (DWQ Requirement)						
4a.	Clearly detail the ultimate treatment methods and disposition (non-discharge or discharge or dis	arge) of wastewat	er generated from				
1	••						

5.	Endangered Species and Designated Critical Habitat (Corps Requirement)						
5a.	Will this project occur in or near an an habitat?	ea with federally protected species or	⊠ Yes	□ No			
5b.	Have you checked with the USFWS c impacts?	oncerning Endangered Species Act	Yes	□ No			
5c.	If yes, indicate the USFWS Field Offic	e you have contacted.	☐ Raleigh⊠ Asheville				
5d.	What data sources did you use to det Habitat?	ermine whether your site would impact E	ndangered Species or D	esignated Critical			
	NC Natural Heritage Program data, USFWS website. For the northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis; NLEB), NCDOT determined that this project "may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect" this species. Based on this conclusion, we have currently committed to a tree clearing moratorium from May 15 - August 15 of any calendar year to avoid disturbing potential Northern long-eared bats in their summer roosting habitat. We submitted a concurrence request to USFWS dated July 20, 2015 and they concurred in a letter dated August 25, 2015 (copies of those letters have already been provided to the agencies with the IP application). However, with the enacting of the 4D Rules, effective February 16, 2016, the enforcement of the moratorium is subject to change.						
6.	Essential Fish Habitat (Corps Requ	irement)					
6a.	Will this project occur in or near an are	ea designated as essential fish habitat?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No			
6b.	What data sources did you use to det	ermine whether your site would impact E	ssential Fish Habitat?				
	NMFS County Index	5 					
7.	Historic or Prehistoric Cultural Res	ources (Corps Requirement)					
7a.	Will this project occur in or near an are governments have designated as hav status (e.g., National Historic Trust de North Carolina history and archaeolog	ea that the state, federal or tribal ing historic or cultural preservation signation or properties significant in yy)?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No			
7b.	What data sources did you use to dete	ermine whether your site would impact hi	storic or archeological re	esources?			
	The project was reviewed by the NCD project would have no effect on either Historic Architecture, dated June 26, 2 previously been provided to agencies	OT-Human Environment Section for Cult Historic Architecture or Archaeology. Co 2015, and the 'No Effects' form for Archae with the IP applicaiton.	ural Resources. It was o pies of the 'No Survey R eology, dated August 20	determined that the Required' form for , 2015, have			
8. F	lood Zone Designation (Corps Requ	irement)					
8a.	Will this project occur in a FEMA-desig	nated 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🛛	No No			
8b.	8b. If yes, explain how project meets FEMA requirements: Coordination with FEMA; There are no streams within the project limits that are within Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)-designated flood zones.						
8c.	What source(s) did you use to make th	e floodplain determination? FEMA Maps					
8c. What source(s) did you use to make the floodplain determination? FEMA Maps For Applicant/Agent's Printed Name Applicant/Agent's Signature (Agent's signature is valid only if an authorization letter from the applicant is provided)							

Mason, James S

From:	Kelway Howard <khoward@stimmelpa.com></khoward@stimmelpa.com>				
Sent:	Thursday, February 18, 2016 8:09 AM				
То:	Homewood, Sue				
Cc:	Moncus, Jeffrey W; Mason, James S; Archer Sr, Wright; Jay Guffey; Mike Gunnell (mgunnell@clemmons.org); Thomas, John T JR SAW				
Subject:	RE: Village Point Drive Revised Stream/Wetland Impact Exhibits				
Importance:	High				

Sue, below is a detailed response relating to the temporary by-pass channel specifically why a by-pass channel was chosen over the alternate method of full time by-pass pumping.

The roadway footprint and culvert will require approximately 6-8 feet of undercutting to remove the soft alluvial soils before the roadway fill can be placed. The duration of the undercutting and culvert installation along with the stream base flow and the probability of reoccurring storm events is why I chose a gravity by-pass channel as I think it will provide better performance over full time pumping. The by-pass pumping option would require 24/7 supervision to ensure the pumps remained operational.

I will place the following notes on the construction plans

- 1. Clearing within the temporary wetland impact area shall be limited to cutting the woody vegetation at the ground surface. Do not remove the stumps.
- 2. Place a woven geotextile fabric over the existing ground.
- 3. Minimize driving mechanical equipment over the temporary wetland impact area to the maximum extent practicable.
- 4. Place fill and riprap as shown on the plans.
- 5. Remove the temporary fill, riprap and geotextile fabric after culvert is installed and backfilled.
- 6. Revegetate the cleared area with the special wetland seeding specification. (We will specify native grasses to stabilize the cleared area)

Let me know if you have any questions or require further clarification. Thanks

Kelway L. Howard III, P.E. Partner/ Senior Project Manager

336.723.1067 x1112



			WETLAND IMPACTS						SURFACE WATER IMPACTS				
Site No.	Station (From/To)	Structure Size / Type	Permanent Fill In Wetlands (ac)	Temp. Fill In Wetlands (ac)	Excavation in Wetlands (ac)	Mechanized Clearing in Wetlands (ac)	Hand Clearing in Wetlands (ac)	Permanent SW impacts (ac)	Temp. SW impacts (ac)	Existing Channel Impacts Permanent (ft)	Existing Channel Impacts Temp. (ft)	Natural Stream Desigr (ft)	
1	34+13.82	66 -INCH RCP	<0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	<0.01	181	15	0	
2	16+21.53 - 17+33.72	66-INCH RCP CULVERT	0.32	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02 PER <0.01 INT	0.01 PER	46 PER 210 INT	50 PER	0	
						TOTA	TOTALS	0.05	0.01	227	05		
								<0.05	0.01	227	0	0	
OTALS*:	<u> </u>		0.32	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.01	437	65	0	

DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS 1/14/2016 Forsyth U-5551 46310.1.1 SHEET OF 1

1

Revised 2013 10 24



601 N. TRADE STREET, SUITE 200 WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27101 www.stimmelpa.com 336.723.1067

.com 336.723.1067 project: Pe LAND PLANNING SCALE: 1"=

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

CIVIL ENGINEERING LANI

 date:
 11/17/2015
 job #:
 15-077

 project:
 Permit Site #1 - Village Point Drive Phase III

 scale:
 1"=50'(H) 1"=5'(V)
 sheet 2
 of 2

 description:
 Wetland & Stream

 Impacts

EXHIBIT





date: 1/14/2016 job #: 15-077 project: Permit Site #1 - Village Point Drive Phase III

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

EXHIBIT

CIVIL ENGINEERING

LAND PLANNING

scale: 1"=40' sheet 1 description: Wetland & Stream

Diversion Channel & Dewatering

of 1





sheet 1 of 2 description: Wetland & Stream Bypass Channel

& Dewatering Details





EXHIBIT

sheet 2

of 2

description: Wetland & Stream Bypass Channel

& Dewatering Details







