

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PAT L. MCCRORY GOVERNOR ANTHONY J. TATA SECRETARY

December 12, 2014

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Field Office 3331 Heritage Trade Drive Suite 105 Wake Forest, NC 27587

- ATTN: Mr. Andrew Williams NCDOT Coordinator
- Subject: Application for Section 404 Nationwide Permit 13 for the proposed replacement of Bridge No. 29 over Cub Creek on SR 1001 (Oakwoods Road) in Wilkes County, Federal Aid Project No. BRZ-1001(29), Division 11, WBS Element No. 33831.1.1, TIP No. B-4676.

Dear Sir:

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) proposes to replace Bridge No. 29 over Cub Creek with a two-span box beam bridge in a new location adjacent to the existing alignment. Traffic will be maintained during construction via an off-site detour.

There will be 27 linear feet of stream bank stabilization due to protecting the outlets of three roadside ditches.

Please see enclosed copies of the Pre-Construction Notification (PCN), Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form, stormwater management plan, permit drawings and design plans for the above-referenced project. The Categorical Exclusion (CE) was completed in April 2014 and distributed shortly thereafter. Additional copies are available upon request.

This project is located in a trout county, therefore comments from the NCWRC will be required prior to authorization by the Corps of Engineers. By copy of this letter and attachment, NCDOT hereby requests NCWRC Review. NCDOT requests that NCWRC forward their comments to the Corps of Engineers and the NCDOT within 30 calendar days of receipt of this application.

This project calls for a letting date of August 18, 2015 and a review date of June 30, 2015; however, the let date may advance as additional funding becomes available.

A copy of this permit application and its distribution list will be posted on the NCDOT Website at: http://connect.ncdot.gov/resources/Environmental. If you have any questions or need additional information, please call Erin Cheely at (919) 707-6108.

Sincerely, Richard W. Hancock, P.E., Manager Project Development and Environmental Analysis Unit

cc: NCDOT Permit Application Standard Distribution List

and the second second

ana da Santa Santa

2





Office Use Only: Corps action ID no. _____

DWQ project no.

Form Version 1.3 Dec 10 2008

	Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) Form				
Α.	Applicant Information				
1.	Processing				
1a.	Type(s) of approval sought from Corps:	the	Section 404 Permit Section	on 10 Permit	
1b.	Specify Nationwide Permit (NWP) number:	13 or General Permit (G	P) number:	
1c.	Has the NWP or GP number bee	en verified b	by the Corps?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No
1d.	Type(s) of approval sought from	the DWQ (check all that apply):		
	A01 Water Quality Certification	on – Regula	r 🗌 Non-404 Jurisdictiona	al General Permi	t
	401 Water Quality Certification	on – Expres	s 🗌 Riparian Buffer Autho	orization	
1e.	Is this notification solely for the r	ecord	For the record only for DWQ 401	For the record	only for Corps Permit:
	because written approval is not r	equirea ?	Yes No	🗌 Yes	🖾 No
1f.	. Is payment into a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program proposed for mitigation of impacts? If so, attach the acceptance letter from mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program.			🖾 No	
1g.	g. Is the project located in any of NC's twenty coastal counties. If yes, answer 1h below.			🗌 Yes	🖾 No
1h.	Is the project located within a NC	DCM Area	of Environmental Concern (AEC)?	Yes	🛛 No
2.	Project Information				
2a.	Name of project:	Replacem	nent of Bridge #29 over Cub Creek o	n SR 1001	
2b.	County:	Wilkes			
2c.	Nearest municipality / town:	Wilkesbo	0		
2d.	Subdivision name:	not applic	able		
2e.	NCDOT only, T.I.P. or state project no:	B-4676			
3.	Owner Information	1			
За.	Name(s) on Recorded Deed:	North Car	olina Department of Transportation		
3b.	Deed Book and Page No.	not applicable			
3c.	Responsible Party (for LLC if applicable):	not applicable			
3d.	Street address:	1598 Mail Service Center			
3e.	City, state, zip:	Raleigh, NC 27699-1598			
3f.	Telephone no.:	(919) 707	-6108		
3g.	Fax no.:	(919) 212	-5785		
3h.	Email address: ekcheely@ncdot.gov				

4.	Applicant Information (if different from owner)			
4a.	Applicant is:	Agent Other, specify:		
4b.	Name:	not applicable		
4c.	Business name (if applicable):			
4d.	Street address:			
4e.	City, state, zip:			
4f.	Telephone no.:			
4g.	Fax no.:			
4h.	Email address:			
5.	Agent/Consultant Information	n (if applicable)		
5a.	Name:	not applicable		
5b.	Business name (if applicable):			
5c.	Street address:			
5d.	City, state, zip:			
5e.	Telephone no.:			
5f.	Fax no.:			
5g.	Email address:			

В.	B. Project Information and Prior Project History			
1.	Property Identification			
1a.	Property identification no. (tax PIN or parcel ID):	not applicable		
1b.	Site coordinates (in decimal degrees):	Latitude: 36.14882 Longitude: - 81.14268 (DD.DDDDDD) (-DD.DDDDDD)		
1c.	Property size:	0.9 acre		
2.	Surface Waters			
2a.	Name of nearest body of water (stream, river, etc.) to proposed project:	Cub Creek		
2b.	Water Quality Classification of nearest receiving water:	С		
2c.	River basin:	Yadkin-Pee Dee		
3.	Project Description			
За.	Describe the existing conditions on the site and the general lar application: Land use in the vicinity consists of about 30% forest land, 65%	nd use in the vicinity of the project at the time of this		
	areas, roadsides, utility corridors) and 5% cultivated land (agri	cultural fields and pastures).		
3b.	List the total estimated acreage of all existing wetlands on the	property:		
	No wetlands within construction limits.			
3c.	List the total estimated linear feet of all existing streams (interm 350	nittent and perennial) on the property:		
3d.	 Explain the purpose of the proposed project: The purpose of this project is to replace a structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridge. Sufficiency rating 8.89 of 100, structural evaluation 3 of 9 and deck geometry 2 of 9. 			
3e.	Describe the overall project in detail, including the type of equi The project involves replacing an 81-foot, three-span bridge w the existing alignment while maintaining traffic with an off-site equipment, such as trucks, dozers, and cranes will be used.	ipment to be used: ith a 182-foot, two-span bridge on new location adjacent to detour during construction. Standard road building		
4.	Jurisdictional Determinations			
4a.	Have jurisdictional wetland or stream determinations by the Corps or State been requested or obtained for this property / project (including all prior phases) in the past? Comments: Only one perennial stream, Cub Creek	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Unknown A JD is requested as part of this permit. Approved JD form (Rapanos) is included with this application.		
4b.	If the Corps made the jurisdictional determination, what type of determination was made?	Preliminary Final		
4c.	If yes, who delineated the jurisdictional areas? Name (if known): Erin Cheely	Agency/Consultant Company: NCDOT Other:		
4d.	If yes, list the dates of the Corps jurisdictional determinations of	or State determinations and attach documentation.		
5.	Project History			
5a.	Have permits or certifications been requested or obtained for this project (including all prior phases) in the past?	□ Yes		
5b.	If yes, explain in detail according to "help file" instructions.			
6.	Future Project Plans			
6a.	Is this a phased project?	Yes No		
6b.	If yes, explain.			

C. Proposed Imp	C. Proposed Impacts Inventory					
1. Impacts Summ	ary					
1a. Which sections	were completed be	elow for your project ((check all that a	apply):		
U Wetlands	\boxtimes s	Streams - tributaries	🗌 Bu	ffers		
Open Waters	s 🗌 F	Pond Construction				
2. Wetland Impac	ts					
If there are wetland i	mpacts proposed	on the site, then com	plete this quest	tion for each wetland	area impacte	ed.
2a. Wetland impact	20.	2C.	20.	Ze. Type of juriso	liction	21.
number –	Type of impact	Type of wetland	Forested	(Corps - 404	4, 10 4. othor)	Area of impact
Temporary (T)				000 - 101-40	+, other)	(acres)
Site 1 🔲 P 🗌 T						
			☐ Yes			
Site 3 🗌 P 🗌 T			∏ Yes			
			Yes	Corps		
			🗌 No	DWQ		0 Dermonant
				2g. Total wetla	nd impacts	0 Temporary
2h. Comments: No v	vetlands located w	ithin the construction	footprint of this	s project.		
3. Stream Impacts	6					
If there are perennia question for all strea	l or intermittent str m sites impacted.	eam impacts (includi	ng temporary in	npacts) proposed on	the site, ther	complete this
3a.	3b.	3c.	3d.	Зе.	3f.	3g.
Stream impact	Type of impact	Stream name	Perennial (PER) or	Type of	Average	Impact length
Permanent (P) or			intermittent	(Corps - 404, 10	width	
Temporary (T)			(INT)?	DWQ – non-404,	(feet)	
	Bank			Other)		
Site 1 🛛 P 🗌 T	Stabilization	Cub Creek			20	27
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T						
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T			│	Corps		
Site 🗌 P 🗌 T						
	3h. Total stream and tributary impacts					
3i. Comments: All pe	ermanent stream in	mpacts (27 linear feet	t) are from bank	stabilization. No ter	mporary acce	ess is required to

4. Open	4. Open Water Impacts									
If there are the U.S. th	If there are proposed impacts to lakes, ponds, estuaries, tributaries, sounds, the Atlantic Ocean, or any other open water of the U.S. then individually list all open water impacts below									
4a. 4b. 4c.					4d.		4e.			
Open v	vater	Name of		–			VA (= t = she = sh		A	·
Impact nu Permaner	mber – ot (P) or	Waterbody (if applicable)		Тур	e of impac	t	Waterbody	/ type	Area of	impact (acres)
Tempora	ary (T)									
01 🗌 F	P □ T									
02 🗌 F	P □ T									
O3 🗌 F	P 🗌 T									
04 🗌 F	P □ T									
						4f. Total o	pen water im	pacts	0 F 0 T	Permanent Temporary
4g. Comm	ents: No	open waters.								
5. Pond	or Lake	Construction								
If pond or	lake cons	struction proposed,	then com	nplete	the chart b	elow.	1			1
5a.	5b.		5c.				5d.			5e.
Pond ID	Pro	nosed use or	VVe	Wetland Impacts (acres)		Stream Impacts (re		ts (feet)	Upland (acres)	
number	pur	pose of pond	Flood	ded	Filled	Excavat ed	Flooded	Fille d	Excavate d	Flooded
P1										
P2										
		5f. Total								
5g. Comm	5g. Comments:									
5h. Is a dam high hazard permit required?			ΠY	es	🗌 No	lf yes, perr	nit ID no	D:		
5i. Expec	5i. Expected pond surface area (acres):									
5j. Size c	of pond w	atershed (acres):								
5k. Metho	od of cons	struction:								

6. Buffer Impacts (for DWQ)						
If project will impact impacts below	If project will impact a protected riparian buffer, then complete the chart below. If yes, then individually list all buffer impacts below. If any impacts require mitigation, then you MUST fill out Section D of this form.					
6a. Project is in which	protected basin?	☐ Neuse ☐ Catawba	☐ Tar-Pamlico ☐ Randleman	Other:		
6b. Buffer impact	6c.	6e.	6f.	6g.		
number – Permanent (P) or Temporary (T)	Reason for impact	Stream name	Buffer mitigation required?	Zone 1 impact (square feet)	Zone 2 impact (square feet)	
B1 🗌 P 🗌 T			☐ Yes ☐ No			
B2 🗌 P 🗌 T	B2 □ P □ T □ Yes □ No					
B3 🗌 P 🗌 T						
6h. Total buffer impacts						
6i. Comments: This project is not located within a protected buffer area.						

D.	D. Impact Justification and Mitigation			
1.	Avoidance and Minimization			
1a.	. Specifically describe measures taken to avoid or minimize the proposed impacts in designing project.			
	The proposed bridge will span the creek and will be constru- than the existing structure (and also higher to allow clearar will not be allowed to discharge directly into the water. The slopes from erosion. All existing stormwater drainage patter possible.	ucted near the existin nce for a greenway un proposed bank stab erns were maintained	ng alignment. It will be significantly longer ander the bridge). Bridge deck drainage ilization at the ditch outfalls will protect the I on the project to the fullest extent	
1b.	Specifically describe measures taken to avoid or minimize	the proposed impact	s through construction techniques.	
	Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be utilized during the receiving stream due to erosion and runoff. Traffic will	construction to attem be maintained via an	pt to reduce the stormwater impacts to off-site detour.	
2.	Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts to Waters of the	U.S. or Waters of th	e State	
2a.	Does the project require Compensatory Mitigation for impacts to Waters of the U.S. or Waters of the State?	☐ Yes	lo stabilization impacts only.	
2b.	If yes, mitigation is required by (check all that apply):		Corps	
2c.	If yes, which mitigation option will be used for this project? Mitigation bank Payment to in-lieu fee program Permittee Responsible Mitigation			
3.	Complete if Using a Mitigation Bank			
За.	Name of Mitigation Bank: not applicable			
3b.	Credits Purchased (attach receipt and letter)	Туре	Quantity	
3c.	Comments:			
4.	Complete if Making a Payment to In-lieu Fee Program			
4a.	Approval letter from in-lieu fee program is attached.	🗌 Yes		
4b.	Stream mitigation requested:	N/A		
4c.	If using stream mitigation, stream temperature:	🗌 warm 🗌 d		
4d.	Buffer mitigation requested (DWQ only):	0 square feet		
4e.	Riparian wetland mitigation requested:	0 acres		
4f.	Non-riparian wetland mitigation requested:	0 acres		
4g.	Coastal (tidal) wetland mitigation requested:	0 acres		
4h. not of \ are	4h. Comments: The NCDOT does not propose mitigation for the 27 linear feet of stream bank stabilization. These actions do not require permanent fill in the stream bed and, therefore, under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, do not constitute Loss of Waters of the U.S. and are not subject to compensatory mitigation. Furthermore, the proposed bank stabilization activities are necessary to prevent erosion and sedimentation, i.e. preventing bank destabilization.			
5.	Complete if Using a Permittee Responsible Mitigation I	Plan		
5a.	5a. If using a permittee responsible mitigation plan, provide a description of the proposed mitigation plan.			

6. Buffer Mitigation (State Regulated Riparian Buffer Rules) – required by DWQ							
6a. Will the buffer n	6a. Will the project result in an impact within a protected riparian buffer that requires Yes No buffer mitigation?						
6b. If yes, th amount	6b. If yes, then identify the square feet of impact to each zone of the riparian buffer that requires mitigation. Calculate the amount of mitigation required.						
Zone	Zone6c. Reason for impact6d. Total impact (square feet)Multiplier6e. Required mitigation (square feet)						
Zone 1			3 (2 for Catawba)				
Zone 2			1.5				
		6f. Total buffer	mitigation required:				
6g. If buffer mitigation is required, discuss what type of mitigation is proposed (e.g., payment to private mitigation bank, permittee responsible riparian buffer restoration, payment into an approved in-lieu fee fund).							
6h. Comme	nts:						

E. Stormwater Management and Diffuse Flow Plan (required by DWQ)				
1. Diffuse Flow Plan	-			
1a. Does the project include or is it adjacent to protected riparian buffers identified within one of the NC Riparian Buffer Protection Rules?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No		
1b. If yes, then is a diffuse flow plan included? If not, explain why.Comments: If required from 1a, see attached buffer permit drawings.	☐ Yes	No		
2. Stormwater Management Plan				
2a. What is the overall percent imperviousness of this project?	N/A			
2b. Does this project require a Stormwater Management Plan?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
2c. If this project DOES NOT require a Stormwater Management Plan, explain why:				
2d. If this project DOES require a Stormwater Management Plan, then provide a brief, na See attached permit drawings.	rrative descriptio	n of the plan:		
2e. Who will be responsible for the review of the Stormwater Management Plan?	Certified Loo DWQ Storm DWQ 401 U	cal Government water Program nit		
3. Certified Local Government Stormwater Review				
3a. In which local government's jurisdiction is this project?	not applicable			
3b. Which of the following locally-implemented stormwater management programs apply (check all that apply):	Phase II NSW USMP Water Supp Other:	ly Watershed		
3c. Has the approved Stormwater Management Plan with proof of approval been attached?	Yes	🗌 No		
4. DWQ Stormwater Program Review	1			
4a. Which of the following state-implemented stormwater management programs apply (check all that apply):	Coastal cou HQW ORW Session La Other:	unties w 2006-246		
4b. Has the approved Stormwater Management Plan with proof of approval been attached?	☐ Yes	□ No N/A		
5. DWQ 401 Unit Stormwater Review	1			
5a. Does the Stormwater Management Plan meet the appropriate requirements?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No N/A		
5b. Have all of the 401 Unit submittal requirements been met?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No N/A		

F.	F. Supplementary Information				
1.	Environmental Documentation (DWQ Requirement)				
1a.	Does the project involve an expenditure of public (federal/state/local) funds or the use of public (federal/state) land?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
1b.	If you answered "yes" to the above, does the project require preparation of an environmental document pursuant to the requirements of the National or State (North Carolina) Environmental Policy Act (NEPA/SEPA)?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
1c.	If you answered "yes" to the above, has the document review been finalized by the State Clearing House? (If so, attach a copy of the NEPA or SEPA final approval letter.)	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
	Comments: Categorical Exclusion (CE) approved April 2014				
2.	Violations (DWQ Requirement)				
2a.	Is the site in violation of DWQ Wetland Rules (15A NCAC 2H .0500), Isolated Wetland Rules (15A NCAC 2H .1300), DWQ Surface Water or Wetland Standards, or Riparian Buffer Rules (15A NCAC 2B .0200)?	☐ Yes	🖾 No		
2b.	Is this an after-the-fact permit application?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No		
2c.	If you answered "yes" to one or both of the above questions, provide an explanation of	of the violation(s):			
3.	Cumulative Impacts (DWQ Requirement)				
За.	Will this project (based on past and reasonably anticipated future impacts) result in additional development, which could impact pearby downstream water quality?				
3b.	If you answered "yes" to the above, submit a qualitative or quantitative cumulative imp most recent DWQ policy. If you answered "no," provide a short narrative description.	oact analysis in a	ccordance with the		
	Due to the minimal transportation impact resulting from this bridge replacement, this project will neither influence nearby land uses nor stimulate growth. Therefore, a detailed indirect or cumulative effects study will not be necessary.				
4.	Sewage Disposal (DWQ Requirement)				
4a.	Clearly detail the ultimate treatment methods and disposition (non-discharge or discharge or discharge project, or available capacity of the subject facility.	arge) of wastewat	er generated from		

5	Endangered Species and Decignated Critical Habitat (Corne Requirement)				
			() 		
5a.	Will this project occur in or near an are habitat?	ea with federally protected species or	🗌 Yes	🖾 No	
5b.	Have you checked with the USFWS c impacts?	oncerning Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes	🖾 No	
5c.	5c. If yes, indicate the USFWS Field Office you have contacted. Raleigh Asheville				
5d.	What data sources did you use to dete Habitat?	ermine whether your site would impact Er	ndangered Species o	r Designated Critical	
	As of January 14, 2014 the USFWS list the bog turtle exists within the project	sts only one federally listed species for W limits, therefore the biological conclusion	/ilkes County, the bog for this species is "N	turtle. No habitat for Deffect".	
	A US Fish and Wildlife Service proposal for listing the Northern Long-eared Bat (<i>Myotis septentrionalis</i>) as an Endangered species was published in the Federal Register in October 2013. The listing will become effective on or before April, 2015. Furthermore, this species is included in USFWS's current list of protected species for Wilkes County. NCDOT is working closely with the USFWS to understand how this proposed listing may impact NCDOT projects. NCDOT will continue to coordinate appropriately with USFWS to determine if this project will incur potential effects to the Northern long-eared bat, and how to address these potential effects, if necessary.				
6.	Essential Fish Habitat (Corps Requ	irement)			
6a.	Will this project occur in or near an are	a designated as essential fish habitat?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No	
6b.	6b. What data sources did you use to determine whether your site would impact Essential Fish Habitat? NMFS County Index				
7.	Historic or Prehistoric Cultural Res	ources (Corps Requirement)			
7a.	 Will this project occur in or near an area that the state, federal or tribal governments have designated as having historic or cultural preservation status (e.g., National Historic Trust designation or properties significant in North Carolina history and archaeology)? 				
7b.	7b. What data sources did you use to determine whether your site would impact historic or archeological resources? NEPA Documentation				
8. F	8. Flood Zone Designation (Corps Requirement)				
8a.	8a. Will this project occur in a FEMA-designated 100-year floodplain?				
8b.	8b. If yes, explain how project meets FEMA requirements: NCDOT Hydraulics Unit coordination with FEMA				
8c.	8c. What source(s) did you use to make the floodplain determination? FEMA Maps				
for	Applicant/Agent's Printed Name (2/12/14 Applicant/Agent's Signature (Agent's signature is valid only if an authorization letter from the applicant is provided.)				

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: B-4676 (Bridge No.29 on SR 1001)

State: North CarolinaCounty/parish/borough: WilkesCity: WilkesboroCenter coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):Lat. 36.14882° N, Long. -81.14268° W.Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Cub Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Yadkin River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03040101

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 0 acres.
- **c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction** based on: **Established by OHWM.** Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

 $^{^{2}}$ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:	Pick List
Drainage area:	Pick List
Average annual rainfa	ll: inches
Average annual snowf	fall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☐ Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: . Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
	Tributary is: 🗌 Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: . Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: . Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: . Other information on duration and volume: .
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):
Che	mical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW 2.

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List.** Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) 3.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. Approximately (

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. <u>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Cub Creek has strong bed and bank and strong baseflow.
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

Tributary waters: **350** linear feet **20** width (ft).

- Other non-wetland waters:
 - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters:
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹
 - As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
 - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
 - Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "*SWANCC*," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Non-wetland v	vaters (i.e., rive	rs, streams):	linear feet	width (ft)).
Lakes/ponds:	acres.				
Other non-wet	land waters:	acres. List t	ype of aquatic re	source:	
Wetlands:	acres.				
	Non-wetland v Lakes/ponds: Other non-wet Wetlands:	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rive Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: Wetlands: acres.	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List t Wetlands: acres.	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic re- Wetlands: acres.	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):linear feetwidth (ft)Lakes/ponds:acres.Other non-wetland waters:acres. List type of aquatic resource:Wetlands:acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. §	SUPPORTING DATA.	Data reviewed for JD	(check all that apply	 checked items 	shall be included	in case file and,	where checked
	and requested, appropria	ately reference sources l	below):				

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

Data sheets prepared by the Corps:	
------------------------------------	--

- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):

or Other (Name & Date):

- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:



(Version 1.2; Released July 2012)

North Carolina Department of Transportation

Highway Stormwater Program STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

FOR LINEAR ROADWAY PROJECTS

Project/TIP No.:	33831.1.1 (B-4676	i) County(ies):	Wilkes					Page	<u> </u>	of	1
			General Project	t Information							
Project No.:		33831.1.1 (B-4676)	Project Type: Bridge Replacement Date: 9/11/201				<mark>9/11/2014</mark>				
NCDOT Contact:		William (Bill) Zerman, Jr, PE		Contractor / Desig	iner:	Kevin Alford	d, PE (Wetherill	Engineering, Iı	ıc.)		
Address		1590 Mail Service Center			Address:	559 Jones I	Franklin Road				
		Raleigh, NC 27699-1590				Suite 164					
						Raleigh, NC	27606				
	Phone:	919-707-6755			Phone:	919-851-80	77				
	Email:	bzerman@ncdot.gov			Email:	kalford@we	therilleng.com				
City/Town:		Town of Wilkesboro		County(ies):	Wilk	es					
River Basin(s):		Yadkin-Pee Dee		CAMA County?	No	0					
Primary Receiving W	/ater:	Cub Creek		NCDWQ Stream In	dex No.:	12-41					
NCDWQ Surface Wat	ter Classification	for Primary Receiving Water	Primary:	Class	С						
			Supplemental:								
Other Stream Classif	fication:	None									
303(d) Impairments:		None									
Buffer Rules in Effect	;t	N/A									
			Project De	escription							
Project Length (lin. N	Viles or feet):	0.192 Miles	Rural Foothills								
		I				Exis	ting Site				
Project Built-Upon Area (ac.)		0.90	0.60 ac.								
Typical Cross Sectio	on Description:	In the south bound direction, the tra north bound direction the travel lan	avel lane is 12 foot with a 8 foot s e is 14 foot with curb and sidewa	shoulder. In the Ilk.	In the south b north bound c	ound direction the	on, the travel lar travel lane is 10	foot with 4 foo	h a 2 foot s. t shoulder.	houlder.	In the
Average Daily Traffic	: (veh/hr/day):	Design/Future:	r/Future: 8920					7360			
Average Daily Traffic (veh/hr/day): General Project Narrative:		The purpose of the project is to rep 180 feet long providing a minimum for a greenway under the bridge. <i>A</i> all outfalls entering the creek in ord	lace Bridge No. 29 on SR 1001 of 33.42 foot clear deck width. The Il existing stormwater drainage p er to protect the slopes.	over Cub Creek in W e roadway grade of tl batterns were mainta	ilkes County. he new structu ined on the pro	The existing re will be hig oject to the f	pridge is 80.7 f gher than the ex ullest extent pos	eet long. The sting structure sible. Rip rap	eplacemen in order to at embankr	t structuro provide cl nent was	e will be learance added to
			Refere	ences							



STATE	STATE	SHEET	TOTAL		
N.C.	E	3–4676	1	ansera	
STAT	E PROJ. NO.	F. A. PROJ. NO.	DESCRIPT	ION	
33	831.1.1	BRZ-1001(29)	PE		
338	31.2.FDI	BRZ-1001(29)	R/W		
3383	1.2.FDU1	BRZ-1001(29)	UTILI	ΓY	



DITCH DETAILS







FROM -L- STA. 14+60 TO STA. 16+58

FROM -L- STA. 14+20 TO STA. 15+41 LT. FROM -DET- STA. 10+37 RT. TO -L- STA. 15+90 LT.

PROJECT REFERENCE NO	SHEET NO.	
B-4676		2-C
ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER		HYDRAULICS ENGINEER
PRELIMINA do not use foi	RY CONST	PLANS FRUCTION
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING/DESIG	554 NG SN - BRI	 Jones Franklin Rd. Suite 164 Raleigh, N.C. 27606 License No. F-0377 Bus: 919 851 8077 Fax: 919 851 8107 DGE/STRUCTURE DESIGN
CIVIL/SITE DESIGN - GIS/GPS	- CONST	RUCTION OBSERVATION











				WE.	TLAND IMPA	CTS			SURFA	CE WATER IN	IPACTS	
Site No.	Station (From/To)	Structure Size / Type	Permanent Fill In Wetlands (ac)	Temp. Fill In Wetlands (ac)	Excavation in Wetlands (ac)	Mechanized Clearing in Wetlands (ac)	Hand Clearing in Wetlands (ac)	Permanent SW impacts (ac)	Temp. SW impacts (ac)	Existing Channel Impacts Permanent (ft)	Existing Channel Impacts Temp. (ft)	Natural Stream Design (ft)
1	-L- STA. 15+49 TO	Bank Stabilization						< 0.01		14		
1	STA. 15+79 RT. -L- STA. 15+80 LT.	Bank Stabilization						< 0.01		13		
OTALS*:								< 0.01		27		

NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATIO DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS 9/25/2014 WILKES COUNTY BRIDGE 29 ON SR 1001 OVER CUB CREEK SHEET 8 OF 8

Revised 2013 10 24



STATE	STATE	SHEET NO.	TOTAL SHEETS			
N.C.	1					
STAT	E PROJ. NO.	DESCRIPT	ION			
33	831.1.1	BRZ-1001(29)		PE		
338	31.2.FDI	BRZ-1001(29)		R/W		
3383	31.2.FDU1	BRZ-1001(29)		UTILITY		

Note: Not to Scale

*S.U.E. = Subsurface Utility Engineering

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

CONVENTIONAL PLAN SHEET SYMBOL

BOUNDARIES AND PROPERTY:

State Line	
County Line	
Township Line	
City Line	
Reservation Line	· · ·
Property Line	
Existing Iron Pin	
Property Corner	
Property Monument	ECM
Parcel/Sequence Number	(23)
Existing Fence Line	
Proposed Woven Wire Fence	
Proposed Chain Link Fence	
Proposed Barbed Wire Fence	
Existing Wetland Boundary	— — — WLB— — — —
Proposed Wetland Boundary	WLB
Existing Endangered Animal Boundary	EAB
Existing Endangered Plant Boundary	EPB
Known Soil Contamination: Area or Site	$-\infty - \infty$
Potential Soil Contamination: Area or Site	-x-x
BUILDINGS AND OTHER CULTU	V RE:
Gas Pump Vent or U/G Tank Cap	0
Sign	S
Well	Ŵ
Small Mine	*
Foundation	
Area Outline	
Cemetery	†

Building School Church Dam

Woods Line

HYDROLOGY:

Stream or Body of Water	
Hydro, Pool or Reservoir	
Jurisdictional Stream	
Buffer Zone 1	BZ 1
Buffer Zone 2	BZ 2
Flow Arrow	~
Disappearing Stream	· >
Spring	0
Wetland	- ¥
Proposed Lateral, Tail, Head Ditch	
False Sump	\sim

RAILROADS:

Standard Gauge	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
RR Signal Milepost	ĊSX`TRANSPORTATION ⊙
Switch	MILEPOST 35
PP Abandonod	SWITCH
PP Discounted	
RIGHT OF WAT:	
Baseline Control Point	—
Existing Right of Way Marker	\bigtriangleup
Existing Right of Way Line	
Proposed Right of Way Line	(îv)
Proposed Right of Way Line with Iron Pin and Cap Marker	
Proposed Right of Way Line with Concrete or Granite R/W Marker	
Proposed Control of Access Line with Concrete C/A Marker	
Existing Control of Access	
Proposed Control of Access	
Existing Easement Line	——E——
Proposed Temporary Construction Easement -	E
Proposed Temporary Drainage Easement —	TDE
Proposed Permanent Drainage Easement ——	PDE
Proposed Permanent Drainage / Utility Easement	DUE
Proposed Permanent Utility Easement	PUE
Proposed Temporary Utility Easement ———	TUE
Proposed Aerial Utility Easement	AUE
Proposed Permanent Easement with	$\langle \diamond \rangle$
ROADS AND RELATED FEATURE	× ۲ ۲۰
Existing Edge of Payament	
Existing Curb	
Prenerad Sland Station Cut	С
Proposed Slope Stakes CUI	F
Proposed Stope Stakes Fill	
Evisiting Motel Curedenil	
Proposed Cuerderil	
Frieding Cable Cuidemil	
Existing Cable Golderali	
ravement Kemoval	KXXXXX
	0
Single Tree	<u>ස</u>
Single Shrub	¢
Hedge	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Orchard — ස ස Vineyard — Vineya

EXISTING STRUCTURES:

MAJOR:	
Bridge, Tunnel or Box Culvert	CONC
Bridge Wing Wall, Head Wall and End Wall-	CONC W
MINOR: Head and End Wall	CONC H
Pipe Culvert	
Footbridge	×
Drainage Box: Catch Basin, DI or JB	
Paved Ditch Gutter	
Storm Sewer Manhole	S
Storm Sewer	s-

UTILITIES:

POWER:	
Existing Power Pole	•
Proposed Power Pole	9
Existing Joint Use Pole	
Proposed Joint Use Pole	-0-
Power Manhole	P
Power Line Tower	\bowtie
Power Transformer	\bowtie
U/G Power Cable Hand Hole	
H-Frame Pole	•—•
Recorded U/G Power Line	P
Designated U/G Power Line (S.U.E.*)	— — — P —
TELEPHONE:	
Existing Telephone Pole	-•-
Proposed Telephone Pole	-0-
Telephone Manhole	\bigcirc
Telephone Booth	Э
Telephone Pedestal	T
Telephone Cell Tower	, T ,

Telephone Cell Tower -U/G Telephone Cable Hand Hole — Recorded U/G Telephone Cable Designated U/G Telephone Cable (S.U.E.*) - ------Recorded U/G Telephone Conduit Designated U/G Telephone Conduit (S.U.E.*)- ------Recorded U/G Fiber Optics Cable Designated U/G Fiber Optics Cable (S.U.E.*) -----

Н_Н

	B-4676	
WATER:		
Water Manhole	W	
Water Meter	O	
Water Valve	⊗	
Water Hydrant		
Recorded U/G Water Line		
Designated U/G Water Line (S.U.E.*)		
Above Ground Water Line	A/G Wa	ter
TV:		
TV Satellite Dish	K	
TV Pedestal	C	
TV Tower	🛇	
U/G TV Cable Hand Hole	H	
Recorded U/G TV Cable	TVTV	
Designated U/G TV Cable (S.U.E.*)-		
Recorded U/G Fiber Optic Cable —	TV FC	
Designated U/G Fiber Optic Cable (S.U.E.*)	_
3	,	
GAS:		
Gas Valve		
Gas Meter	♦	
Recorded U/G Gas Line	GG	
Designated U/G Gas Line (S.U.E.*)—		
Above Ground Gas Line	A/G Gc	IS
SANITARY SEWER:		
Sanitary Sewer Manhole	••••	
Sanitary Sewer Cleanout	()	
U/G Sanitary Sewer Line	SS	
Above Ground Sanitary Sewer	A/G Sanitary	Se
Recorded SS Forced Main Line	FSS -	
Designated SS Forced Main Line (S.	U.E.*) — – – – – – FSS-	
MISCELLANEOUS:		
Utility Pole	•	
Utility Pole with Base	·	
Utility Located Object	O	
Utility Traffic Signal Box	S	
Utility Unknown U/G Line		
U/G Tank; Water, Gas, Oil		٦
Underground Storage Tank, Approx. 1	Loc (<u>"</u>	
A/G Tank; Water, Gas, Oil		٦
Geoenvironmental Boring		
	v	
U/G Test Hole (S.U.E.*)	1.1	
U/G Test Hole (S.U.E.*)	ords AATI	ID







PROJECT REFERENCE NO.

SHEET NO.

B-4676		2-A
ROADWAY DESIGN		PAVEMENT DESIGN
PRI	ELIMIN	NARY PLANS
D	D NOT USE	FOR CONSTRUCTION
	ENGINE	559 Jones Franklin Rd. Suite 164 Raleigh, N.C. 27606 ERILL License No. F-0377 ERING Bus: 919 851 8077 FAX 919 851 8107
TRANSPORTATIO CNIL/SITE DE	N PLANNING/L SIGN - GIS/	DESIGN - BRIDGE/STRUCTURE DESIGN GPS - CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION
	P A S C	V E M E N T H E D U L E (*)
	C1	3" \$9.5B
	C2	1.5″ S9.5B
	C3	VAR. DEPTH S9.5B
	D1	4″ I19.0B
	D2	VAR. DEPTH I19.0B
	E1	4″ B25.0B
	E2	VAR. DEPTH B25.0B
	т	EARTH MATERIAL.
	U	EXISTING PAVEMENT.
	V	MILLING 0" TO 1 1/2".
	w	WEDGINJ (SEE SHEET 2).
	EFER TO SHEET NO. 2 L DESCRIPTIONS. AVEMENT EDGE SLOPES UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE.	



DITCH DETAILS

9:29 PM





FROM _L_ STA. 14+60 TO STA. 16+58



FROM -L- STA. 14+20 TO STA. 15+41 LT. FROM -DET- STA. 10+37 RT. TO -L- STA. 15+90 LT.

PROJECT REFERENCE NO	D. SHEET NO.	
B-4676	2-C	
ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER	HYDRAULICS ENGINEER	
PRELIMINA DO NOT USE FOI	RY PLANS CONSTRUCTION	
559 Jones Franklin Rd. Suite 164 Rolegin, N.C. 27000 Licenne No. F-0377 Besser 199 35-0377 TRAINSPORTATION PLANNING/DESIGN - BRIDGE/STRUCTURE DESIGN CMU/STE DESIGN - GIS/GP5 - CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION		









STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA **DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS**

CROSS-SECTION SUMMARY

-L-

NOTE: EMBANKMENT COLUMN DOES NOT INCLUDE BACKFILL FOR UNDERCUT		
Station	Uncl. Exc.	Embt
L	(cu. yd.)	(cu. yd.)
10+95.00	0	0
11+20.00	8	26
11+50.00	14	58
12+00.00	56	94
12+50.00	54	134
12+97.63	17	318
13+50.00	11	519
14+00.00	7	605
14+50.00	5	829
14+72.27	0	459
Station	Uncl. Exc.	Embt
L	(cu. yd.)	(cu. yd.)
16+54.73	0	0
17+00.00	0	1358
17+50.00	0	1241
18+00.00	0	1263
18+50.00	0	989
19+00.00	0	517

27

5

31

-Y-

NOTE: EMBANKMENT COLUMN DOES NOT INCLUDE BACKFILL FOR UNDERCUT

Station	Uncl. Exc.	Embt
Y	(cu. yd.)	(cu. yd.)
10+25.00	0	0
10+50.00	3	5
10+75.00	2	16
11+00.00	7	52
11+50.00	73	294
12+00.00	67	510
12+47.77	11	568

-DET-

NOTE: EMBANKMENT COLUMN DOES NOT INCLUDE BACKFILL FOR UNDERCUT		
Station	Uncl. Exc.	Embt
DET	((
DEI	(cu. ya.)	(cu. ya.)
10+11.22	0	0
10+51.32	19	0
10+82.11	28	6
11+16.96	35	8
11+53.64	23	3
11+84.40	5	3

_	
_	

NOTE: EMBANKMENT COLUMN DOES NOT INCLUDE BACKFILL FOR UNDERCUT		
Station	Uncl. Exc.	Embt
DET REMOVAL	(cu. yd.)	(cu. yd.)
10+11.22	0	0
10+51.32	0	0
10+82.11	6	0
11+16.96	7	0
11+53.64	0	0
11+84.40	0	0

NOTE: EMBANKMENT COLUMN DOES NOT INCLUDE BACKFILL FOR UNDERCUT				
Station	Uncl. Exc.	Embt		
DET REMOVAL	(cu. yd.)	(cu. yd.)		
10+11.22	0	0		
10+51.32	0	0		
10+82.11	6	0		
11+16.96	7	0		
11+53.64	0	0		
11+84.40	0	0		

		-

SHEET	LINE	BEGIN STATION	END STATION
X-1	-L-	10+70.00	11+20.00
X-2	-L-	11+50.00	12+50.00
X-3	-L-	12+97.63	14+00.00
X-4	-L-	14+50.00	15+00.00
X-5	-L-	15+50.00	16+00.00
X-6	-L-	16+50.00	17+00.00
X-7	-L-	17+50.00	18+50.00
X-8	-L-	19+00.00	19+50.00
X-9	-L-	20+00.00	20+05.00
X-10	-L-	20+55.00	20+80.00
X-11	-Y-	10+00.00	10+50.00
X-12	-Y-	10+75.00	11+00.00
X-13	-Y-	11+50.00	12+00.00

Note:

19+50.00

20+00.00

20+05.00

20+55.00

Approximate quantities only. Unclassified excavation, shoulder borrow, fine grading, clearing and grubbing, breaking of existing pavement and removal of existing pavement will be paid for at the lump sum price for "Grading".

205

4

Earthwork quantities are calculated by the Roadway Design Unit. These earthwork quantities are based in part on subsurface data provided by the Geotechnical Engineering Unit.

PROJ. REFERENCE NO.	SHEET NO.	
B-4676	X-1A	

-DET- REMOVAL

PRELIMINARY PLANS

DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION

CROSS SECTION INDEX







_

_
