



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

BEVERLY EAVES PERDUE
GOVERNOR

EUGENE A. CONTI, JR.
SECRETARY

October 26, 2011

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
3331 Heritage Trade Drive
Wake Forest, NC 27587

ATTN: Mr. Andy Williams
NCDOT Coordinator

Subject: **Application for Section 404 Nationwide Permits 13, 23 and 33, and Section 401 Water Quality Certification** for the proposed replacement of Bridge No. 77 over US 29/US 70/I-85 Business on Surrett Drive, Guilford County, Federal Aid Project No. BRZ-4053(1); Division 7; TIP No. B-4760

Debit \$240.00 from WBS Element 38473.1.1

Dear Sir:


The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) proposes to replace Bridge No. 77 over US 29/US 70/I-85 Business on Surrett Drive with a bridge. There will be 108 feet of permanent stream impacts, 14 feet of bank stabilization and 24 feet of temporary stream impacts.

Please see enclosed copies of the Pre-Construction Notification (PCN), jurisdictional determination from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, buffer call determination letter from the North Carolina Division of Water Quality, North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program confirmation letter, stormwater management plan, permit drawings, and design plans for the above referenced project. The Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (PCE) was completed May 2011. The document was distributed shortly thereafter. Additional copies are available upon request.

This project calls for a letting date of July 17, 2012 and a review date of May 29, 2012. However, the let date may advance as additional funding becomes available.

A copy of this permit application will be posted on the NCDOT Website at:
<http://www.ncdot.org/doh/preconstruct/pe/>. If you have any questions or need additional
information, please contact Rachelle Beauregard (919) 707-6105 or rbeauregard@ncdot.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "G. J. Thorpe". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "G".

for Gregory J. Thorpe, Ph.D., Manager

Project Development and Environmental Analysis Branch

Cc: NCDOT Standard Permit Application Distribution List
File B-4760



Office Use Only:
 Corps action ID no. _____
 DWQ project no. _____
 Form Version 1.3 Dec 10 2008

Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) Form

A. Applicant Information

1. Processing

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| 1a. Type(s) of approval sought from the Corps: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 404 Permit | <input type="checkbox"/> Section 10 Permit |
| 1b. Specify Nationwide Permit (NWP) number: 23 33 13 or General Permit (GP) number: | | |
| 1c. Has the NWP or GP number been verified by the Corps? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1d. Type(s) of approval sought from the DWQ (check all that apply): | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 401 Water Quality Certification – Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Non-404 Jurisdictional General Permit <input type="checkbox"/> 401 Water Quality Certification – Express <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Riparian Buffer Authorization | | |
| 1e. Is this notification solely for the record because written approval is not required? | For the record only for DWQ 401 Certification: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | For the record only for Corps Permit: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1f. Is payment into a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program proposed for mitigation of impacts? If so, attach the acceptance letter from mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1g. Is the project located in any of NC's twenty coastal counties. If yes, answer 1h below. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1h. Is the project located within a NC DCM Area of Environmental Concern (AEC)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

2. Project Information

| | |
|--|--|
| 2a. Name of project: | Replacement of Bridge No. 77 over US 29/US 70/I-85 Business on Surrett Drive |
| 2b. County: | Guilford |
| 2c. Nearest municipality / town: | Greensboro |
| 2d. Subdivision name: | <i>not applicable</i> |
| 2e. NCDOT only, T.I.P. or state project no.: | B-4760 |

3. Owner Information

| | |
|--|---|
| 3a. Name(s) on Recorded Deed: | North Carolina Department of Transportation |
| 3b. Deed Book and Page No. | <i>not applicable</i> |
| 3c. Responsible Party (for LLC if applicable): | <i>not applicable</i> |
| 3d. Street address: | 1598 Mail Service Center |
| 3e. City, state, zip: | Raleigh, NC 27699-1598 |
| 3f. Telephone no.: | (919) 707-6105 |
| 3g. Fax no.: | (919) 212-5785 |
| 3h. Email address: | rbeauregard@ncdot.gov |

| | |
|---|---|
| 4. Applicant Information (if different from owner) | |
| 4a. Applicant is: | <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify: |
| 4b. Name: | <i>not applicable</i> |
| 4c. Business name (if applicable): | |
| 4d. Street address: | |
| 4e. City, state, zip: | |
| 4f. Telephone no.: | |
| 4g. Fax no.: | |
| 4h. Email address: | |
| 5. Agent/Consultant Information (if applicable) | |
| 5a. Name: | <i>not applicable</i> |
| 5b. Business name (if applicable): | |
| 5c. Street address: | |
| 5d. City, state, zip: | |
| 5e. Telephone no.: | |
| 5f. Fax no.: | |
| 5g. Email address: | |

| B. Project Information and Prior Project History | |
|--|--|
| 1. Property Identification | |
| 1a. Property identification no. (tax PIN or parcel ID): | <i>not applicable</i> |
| 1b. Site coordinates (in decimal degrees): | Latitude: 35.9301 (DD.DDDDDD) Longitude: - 80.2 (-DD.DDDDDD) |
| 1c. Property size: | 2.3 acres |
| 2. Surface Waters | |
| 2a. Name of nearest body of water (stream, river, etc.) to proposed project: | Richland Creek |
| 2b. Water Quality Classification of nearest receiving water: | WS-IV |
| 2c. River basin: | Cape Fear |
| 3. Project Description | |
| 3a. Describe the existing conditions on the site and the general land use in the vicinity of the project at the time of this application: The project area is mainly commercially developed with some areas being forested. | |
| 3b. List the total estimated acreage of all existing wetlands on the property: 0 | |
| 3c. List the total estimated linear feet of all existing streams (intermittent and perennial) on the property: 222 | |
| 3d. Explain the purpose of the proposed project: To replace a structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridge. | |
| 3e. Describe the overall project in detail, including the type of equipment to be used: The project involves replacing a 180-foot bridge with a 194-foot, 3-span bridge to the east of the existing alignment with traffic remaining on existing structure during construction. Improvements to the new approaches will require the extension of a existing box culvert. Standard road building equipment, such as trucks, dozers, and cranes will be used. | |
| 4. Jurisdictional Determinations | |
| 4a. Have jurisdictional wetland or stream determinations by the Corps or State been requested or obtained for this property / project (including all prior phases) in the past? Comments: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown |
| 4b. If the Corps made the jurisdictional determination, what type of determination was made? | <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final |
| 4c. If yes, who delineated the jurisdictional areas? Name (if known): NCDOT biologists, Sara Easterly and Ashley Cox | Agency/Consultant Company: Other: |
| 4d. If yes, list the dates of the Corps jurisdictional determinations or State determinations and attach documentation. Final Jurisdictional Determination approved by Andy Williams, USACE, on July 28, 2008, expires July 28,2013. Action ID SAW -2008-02204 | |
| 5. Project History | |
| 5a. Have permits or certifications been requested or obtained for this project (including all prior phases) in the past? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown |
| 5b. If yes, explain in detail according to "help file" instructions. | |
| 6. Future Project Plans | |
| 6a. Is this a phased project? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 6b. If yes, explain. | |

| C. Proposed Impacts Inventory | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. Impacts Summary | | | | | | |
| 1a. Which sections were completed below for your project (check all that apply): | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Streams - tributaries | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Buffers | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Open Waters | | <input type="checkbox"/> Pond Construction | | | | |
| 2. Wetland Impacts | | | | | | |
| If there are wetland impacts proposed on the site, then complete this question for each wetland area impacted. | | | | | | |
| 2a. Wetland impact number – Permanent (P) or Temporary (T) | 2b. Type of impact | 2c. Type of wetland (if known) | 2d. Forested | 2e. Type of jurisdiction (Corps - 404, 10 DWQ – non-404, other) | 2f. Area of impact (acres) | |
| Site 1 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 2 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 3 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 4 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 5 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 6 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| 2g. Total wetland impacts | | | | | X Permanent X Temporary | |
| 2h. Comments: | | | | | | |
| 3. Stream Impacts | | | | | | |
| If there are perennial or intermittent stream impacts (including temporary impacts) proposed on the site, then complete this question for all stream sites impacted. | | | | | | |
| 3a. Stream impact number - Permanent (P) or Temporary (T) | 3b. Type of impact | 3c. Stream name | 3d. Perennial (PER) or intermittent (INT)? | 3e. Type of jurisdiction (Corps - 404, 10 DWQ – non-404, other) | 3f. Average stream width (feet) | 3g. Impact length (linear feet) |
| Site 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> T | fill | UT to Richland Creek | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PER <input type="checkbox"/> INT | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | 4 | 108 Perm 24 temp |
| Site 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | bank stabilization | UT to Richland Creek | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PER <input type="checkbox"/> INT | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | 4 | 14 Perm |
| Site 3 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> PER <input type="checkbox"/> INT | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 4 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> PER <input type="checkbox"/> INT | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 5 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> PER <input type="checkbox"/> INT | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| Site 6 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> PER <input type="checkbox"/> INT | <input type="checkbox"/> Corps <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ | | |
| 3h. Total stream and tributary impacts | | | | | | 122 Perm 24 Temp |
| 3i. Comments: | | | | | | |

4. Open Water Impacts

If there are proposed impacts to lakes, ponds, estuaries, tributaries, sounds, the Atlantic Ocean, or any other open water of the U.S. then individually list all open water impacts below.

| 4a. Open water impact number – Permanent (P) or Temporary (T) | 4b. Name of waterbody (if applicable) | 4c. Type of impact | 4d. Waterbody type | 4e. Area of impact (acres) |
|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| O1 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | | |
| O2 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | | |
| O3 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | | |
| O4 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | | |
| 4f. Total open water impacts | | | | X Permanent X Temporary |

4g. Comments:

5. Pond or Lake Construction

If pond or lake construction proposed, then complete the chart below.

| 5a. Pond ID number | 5b. Proposed use or purpose of pond | 5c. Wetland Impacts (acres) | | | 5d. Stream Impacts (feet) | | | 5e. Upland (acres) |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | | Flooded | Filled | Excavated | Flooded | Filled | Excavated | Flooded |
| P1 | | | | | | | | |
| P2 | | | | | | | | |
| 5f. Total | | | | | | | | |

5g. Comments:

5h. Is a dam high hazard permit required?

Yes

No

If yes, permit ID no:

5i. Expected pond surface area (acres):

5j. Size of pond watershed (acres):

5k. Method of construction:

6. Buffer Impacts (for DWQ)

If project will impact a protected riparian buffer, then complete the chart below. If yes, then individually list all buffer impacts below. If any impacts require mitigation, then you **MUST** fill out Section D of this form.

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 6a. Project is in which protected basin? | | <input type="checkbox"/> Neuse <input type="checkbox"/> Tar-Pamlico <input type="checkbox"/> Other: <input type="checkbox"/> Catawba <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Randleman | | | |
| 6b. Buffer impact number – Permanent (P) or Temporary (T) | 6c. Reason for impact | 6d. Stream name | 6e. Buffer mitigation required? | 6f. Zone 1 impact (square feet) | 6g. Zone 2 impact (square feet) |
| B1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | road crossing | UT to Richland Creek | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 8236 | 5400 |
| B2 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | |
| B3 <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> T | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | |
| 6h. Total buffer impacts | | | | 8236 | 5400 |
| 6i. Comments: | | | | | |

| | | |
|---|---|----------|
| D. Impact Justification and Mitigation | | |
| 1. Avoidance and Minimization | | |
| 1a. Specifically describe measures taken to avoid or minimize the proposed impacts in designing project. The proposed bridge is 14 feet longer than the existing bridge; traffic will be maintained on the existing structure during construction; roadway runoff is being treated using a grass swale before discharge through buffers. | | |
| 1b. Specifically describe measures taken to avoid or minimize the proposed impacts through construction techniques. Best Management Practices will be in place during construction. Design Standards in Sensitive Watersheds will be used. | | |
| 2. Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts to Waters of the U.S. or Waters of the State | | |
| 2a. Does the project require Compensatory Mitigation for impacts to Waters of the U.S. or Waters of the State? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If no, explain: NCDOT proposes 2:1 mitigation for the 108 feet of permanent stream fill and no mitigation for the bank stabilization | |
| 2b. If yes, mitigation is required by (check all that apply): | <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ <input type="checkbox"/> Corps | |
| 2c. If yes, which mitigation option will be used for this project? | <input type="checkbox"/> Mitigation bank <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Payment to in-lieu fee program <input type="checkbox"/> Permittee Responsible Mitigation | |
| 3. Complete if Using a Mitigation Bank | | |
| 3a. Name of Mitigation Bank: not applicable | | |
| 3b. Credits Purchased (attach receipt and letter) | Type | Quantity |
| 3c. Comments: | | |
| 4. Complete if Making a Payment to In-lieu Fee Program | | |
| 4a. Approval letter from in-lieu fee program is attached. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | |
| 4b. Stream mitigation requested: | 216 linear feet | |
| 4c. If using stream mitigation, stream temperature: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> warm <input type="checkbox"/> cool <input type="checkbox"/> cold | |
| 4d. Buffer mitigation requested (DWQ only): | 32,808 square feet | |
| 4e. Riparian wetland mitigation requested: | acres | |
| 4f. Non-riparian wetland mitigation requested: | acres | |
| 4g. Coastal (tidal) wetland mitigation requested: | acres | |
| 4h. Comments: | | |
| 5. Complete if Using a Permittee Responsible Mitigation Plan | | |
| 5a. If using a permittee responsible mitigation plan, provide a description of the proposed mitigation plan. | | |

| 6. Buffer Mitigation (State Regulated Riparian Buffer Rules) – required by DWQ | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 6a. Will the project result in an impact within a protected riparian buffer that requires buffer mitigation? | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 6b. If yes, then identify the square feet of impact to each zone of the riparian buffer that requires mitigation. Calculate the amount of mitigation required. | | | | |
| Zone | 6c. Reason for impact | 6d. Total impact (square feet) | Multiplier | 6e. Required mitigation (square feet) |
| Zone 1 | road crossing impacts greater than 150 ft | 8236 | 3 (2 for Catawba) | 24,708 |
| Zone 2 | road crossing impacts greater than 150 ft | 5400 | 1.5 | 8100 |
| 6f. Total buffer mitigation required: | | | | 32,808 |
| 6g. If buffer mitigation is required, discuss what type of mitigation is proposed (e.g., payment to private mitigation bank, permittee responsible riparian buffer restoration, payment into an approved in-lieu fee fund). Mitigation to EEP | | | | |
| 6h. Comments: | | | | |

| E. Stormwater Management and Diffuse Flow Plan (required by DWQ) | |
|--|---|
| 1. Diffuse Flow Plan | |
| 1a. Does the project include or is it adjacent to protected riparian buffers identified within one of the NC Riparian Buffer Protection Rules? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1b. If yes, then is a diffuse flow plan included? If not, explain why. Comments: see attached buffer permit drawings. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2. Stormwater Management Plan | |
| 2a. What is the overall percent imperviousness of this project? | N/A |
| 2b. Does this project require a Stormwater Management Plan? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2c. If this project DOES NOT require a Stormwater Management Plan, explain why: | |
| 2d. If this project DOES require a Stormwater Management Plan, then provide a brief, narrative description of the plan: See attached permit drawings. | |
| 2e. Who will be responsible for the review of the Stormwater Management Plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Local Government <input type="checkbox"/> DWQ Stormwater Program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DWQ 401 Unit |
| 3. Certified Local Government Stormwater Review | |
| 3a. In which local government's jurisdiction is this project? | not applicable |
| 3b. Which of the following locally-implemented stormwater management programs apply (check all that apply): | <input type="checkbox"/> Phase II <input type="checkbox"/> NSW <input type="checkbox"/> USMP <input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply Watershed <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| 3c. Has the approved Stormwater Management Plan with proof of approval been attached? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 4. DWQ Stormwater Program Review | |
| 4a. Which of the following state-implemented stormwater management programs apply (check all that apply): | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal counties <input type="checkbox"/> HQW <input type="checkbox"/> ORW <input type="checkbox"/> Session Law 2006-246 <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| 4b. Has the approved Stormwater Management Plan with proof of approval been attached? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 5. DWQ 401 Unit Stormwater Review | |
| 5a. Does the Stormwater Management Plan meet the appropriate requirements? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No N/A |
| 5b. Have all of the 401 Unit submittal requirements been met? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No N/A |

| F. Supplementary Information | |
|--|--|
| 1. Environmental Documentation (DWQ Requirement) | |
| 1a. Does the project involve an expenditure of public (federal/state/local) funds or the use of public (federal/state) land? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1b. If you answered "yes" to the above, does the project require preparation of an environmental document pursuant to the requirements of the National or State (North Carolina) Environmental Policy Act (NEPA/SEPA)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1c. If you answered "yes" to the above, has the document review been finalized by the State Clearing House? (If so, attach a copy of the NEPA or SEPA final approval letter.) Comments: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2. Violations (DWQ Requirement) | |
| 2a. Is the site in violation of DWQ Wetland Rules (15A NCAC 2H .0500), Isolated Wetland Rules (15A NCAC 2H .1300), DWQ Surface Water or Wetland Standards, or Riparian Buffer Rules (15A NCAC 2B .0200)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2b. Is this an after-the-fact permit application? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2c. If you answered "yes" to one or both of the above questions, provide an explanation of the violation(s): | |
| 3. Cumulative Impacts (DWQ Requirement) | |
| 3a. Will this project (based on past and reasonably anticipated future impacts) result in additional development, which could impact nearby downstream water quality? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 3b. If you answered "yes" to the above, submit a qualitative or quantitative cumulative impact analysis in accordance with the most recent DWQ policy. If you answered "no," provide a short narrative description. Due to the minimal transportation impact resulting from this bridge replacement, this project will neither influence nearby land uses nor stimulate growth. Therefore, a detailed indirect or cumulative effects study will not be necessary. | |
| 4. Sewage Disposal (DWQ Requirement) | |
| 4a. Clearly detail the ultimate treatment methods and disposition (non-discharge or discharge) of wastewater generated from the proposed project, or available capacity of the subject facility. not applicable | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| 5. Endangered Species and Designated Critical Habitat (Corps Requirement) | | |
| 5a. Will this project occur in or near an area with federally protected species or habitat? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 5b. Have you checked with the USFWS concerning Endangered Species Act impacts? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 5c. If yes, indicate the USFWS Field Office you have contacted. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Raleigh | <input type="checkbox"/> Asheville |
| 5d. What data sources did you use to determine whether your site would impact Endangered Species or Designated Critical Habitat? Surveys for small whorled pagonia completed in May 2008. Note from Gary Jordan from USFWS to NCDOT biologist says surveys good until 2013. | | |
| 6. Essential Fish Habitat (Corps Requirement) | | |
| 6a. Will this project occur in or near an area designated as essential fish habitat? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 6b. What data sources did you use to determine whether your site would impact Essential Fish Habitat? NMFS County Index | | |
| 7. Historic or Prehistoric Cultural Resources (Corps Requirement) | | |
| 7a. Will this project occur in or near an area that the state, federal or tribal governments have designated as having historic or cultural preservation status (e.g., National Historic Trust designation or properties significant in North Carolina history and archaeology)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 7b. What data sources did you use to determine whether your site would impact historic or archeological resources? NEPA Documentation | | |
| 8. Flood Zone Designation (Corps Requirement) | | |
| 8a. Will this project occur in a FEMA-designated 100-year floodplain? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 8b. If yes, explain how project meets FEMA requirements: NCDOT Hydraulics Unit coordination with FEMA | | |
| 8c. What source(s) did you use to make the floodplain determination? FEMA Maps | | |
| Dr. Gregory J. Thorpe, Ph D Applicant/Agent's Printed Name |  Applicant/Agent's Signature (Agent's signature is valid only if an authorization letter from the applicant is provided.) | 10-26-11 Date |

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WILMINGTON DISTRICT**

Action Id. SAW-2008-02204

County: Guilford

U.S.G.S. Quad: High Point West

NOTIFICATION OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Property Owner/Agent: North Carolina Department of Transportation--Attn: Deanna Riffey
Address: Project Development and Environmental Analysis, Natural Environment Unit
1598 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1598
Telephone No.: 919-715-1334

Property description:
Size (acres) 18 acres (approximately) Nearest Town High Point
Nearest Waterway Richland Creek River Basin Deep River
USGS HUC 03030003 Coordinates N 35.9301 W -80.0002
Location description NCDOT right-of-way and immediate vicinity at the Surrett Drive, US29/US70/I-85 Business intersection in Guilford County, North Carolina. Jurisdictional Waters include one unnamed tributary to Richland Creek labeled SA and one contiguous wetland area labeled as WA on the maps received on June 2, 2008.



Indicate Which of the Following Apply:

A. Preliminary Determination

Based on preliminary information, there may be wetlands on the above described property. We strongly suggest you have this property inspected to determine the extent of Department of the Army (DA) jurisdiction. To be considered final, a jurisdictional determination must be verified by the Corps. This preliminary determination is not an appealable action under the Regulatory Program Administrative Appeal Process (Reference 33 CFR Part 331).

B. Approved Determination

There are Navigable Waters of the United States within the above described property subject to the permit requirements of Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Unless there is a change in the law or our published regulations, this determination may be relied upon for a period not to exceed five years from the date of this notification.

There are waters of the U.S. including wetlands on the above described project area subject to the permit requirements of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA)(33 USC § 1344). Unless there is a change in the law or our published regulations, this determination may be relied upon for a period not to exceed five years from the date of this notification.

We strongly suggest you have the wetlands on your property delineated. Due to the size of your property and/or our present workload, the Corps may not be able to accomplish this wetland delineation in a timely manner. For a more timely delineation, you may wish to obtain a consultant. To be considered final, any delineation must be verified by the Corps.

The waters of the U.S. including wetland on your project area have been delineated and the delineation has been verified by the Corps. We strongly suggest you have this delineation surveyed. Upon completion, this survey should be reviewed and verified by the Corps. Once verified, this survey will provide an accurate depiction of all areas subject to CWA jurisdiction on your property which, provided there is no change in the law or our published regulations, may be relied upon for a period not to exceed five years.

The wetlands have been delineated and surveyed and are accurately depicted on the plat signed by the Corps Regulatory Official identified below on _____. Unless there is a change in the law or our published regulations, this determination may be relied upon for a period not to exceed five years from the date of this notification.

There are no waters of the U.S. to include wetlands, present on the above described property which are subject to the permit requirements of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 USC 1344). Unless there is a change in the law or our published regulations, this determination may be relied upon for a period not to exceed five years from the date of this notification.

Action ID: SAW2008-02204

- The property is located in one of the 20 Coastal Counties subject to regulation under the Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA). You should contact the Division of Coastal Management in Washington, NC, at (252) 946-6481 to determine their requirements.

Placement of dredged or fill material within waters of the US and/or wetlands without a Department of the Army permit may constitute a violation of Section 301 of the Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1311). If you have any questions regarding this determination and/or the Corps regulatory program, please contact Andrew Williams at 919-554-4884 ext. 26.

C. Basis For Determination

The unnamed tributary (SA) is a relatively permanent water (RPW) and a tributary to Richland Creek, an RPW. Richland Creek flows to the Deep River, a traditionally navigable water (TNW). The Deep River flows to the Cape Fear River, a navigable water of the United States. The Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) of the unnamed tributary was indicated by the following physical characteristics: clear natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, and the destruction of terrestrial vegetation. The wetlands meet the hydrophytic vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soil criteria of the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and are contiguous with the unnamed tributary to Richland Creek.

D. Remarks

Compensatory Mitigation ratio for the stream labeled as SA is 2:1. Features SB and SC were determined to be non-jurisdictional features caused by erosion.

E. Appeals Information (This information applies only to approved jurisdictional determinations as indicated in B. above)

This correspondence constitutes an approved jurisdictional determination for the above described site. If you object to this determination, you may request an administrative appeal under Corps regulations at 33 CFR part 331. Enclosed you will find a Notification of Appeal Process (NAP) fact sheet and request for appeal (RFA) form. If you request to appeal this determination you must submit a completed RFA form to the following address:

District Engineer, Wilmington Regulatory Division
Attn: Andrew Williams, Project Manager,
Raleigh Regulatory Field Office
3331 Heritage Trade Drive, Suite 105
Wake Forest, North Carolina 27587

In order for an RFA to be accepted by the Corps, the Corps must determine that it is complete, that it meets the criteria for appeal under 33 CFR part 331.5, and that it has been received by the District Office within 60 days of the date of the NAP. Should you decide to submit an RFA form, it must be received at the above address by September 25, 2008.

****It is not necessary to submit an RFA form to the District Office if you do not object to the determination in this correspondence.****

Corps Regulatory Official: Andrew Williams

Date July 28, 2008

Expiration Date July 28, 2013

The Wilmington District is committed to providing the highest level of support to the public. To help us ensure we continue to do so, please complete the Customer Satisfaction Survey located at our website at <http://regulatory.usacesurvey.com/> to complete the survey online.

Copy furnished:
Amy Euliss
NC DENR Winston-Salem Regional Office
Division of Water Quality
585 Waughtown Street
Winston-Salem, NC 27107




Michael F. Easley, Governor

William G. Ross Jr., Secretary
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources

Coleen H. Sullins, Director
Division of Water Quality

August 5, 2008



Ms. Deanna Riffey
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Natural Environment Unit
1598 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1598

Subject: NCDOT TIP # B-4760, Guilford County
UTs to Richland Creek [Cape Fear, 17-7-(0.5) WSIV, *] and a wetland.

On-Site Determination for Applicability to the Randleman Buffer Rules (15A NCAC 2B .0251)
On-Site Determination for Applicability to the Mitigation Rules (15A NCAC 2H .0506(h))

Dear Ms. Riffey:

On July 24, 2008 at your request and in your attendance, Andy Williams, USACOE, and Amy Euliss, Division of Water Quality (DWQ) staff, conducted an on-site determination to review drainage features located in the B-4760 project corridor for applicability to the mitigation rules (15A NCAC 2H .0506(h)) and to the Randleman Buffer Rules (15A NCAC 2B .0251). The drainage features are approximated on the attached map initialed and dated August 5, 2008.

| Feature ID | Stream/ Wetland Name | Site Visited | Stream/Wetland Type | Buffers |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------------------|---------|
| 1 | UT 1 to Richland Creek | Yes | Perennial | Yes |
| 2 | UT 2 to Richland Creek | Yes | Natural Ephemeral | No |
| 3 | UT 3 to Richland Creek | Yes | Natural Ephemeral | No |
| 4 | Wetland A | No | Wetland | No |

Please note that the wetland site was not visited, but was confirmed by the USACOE during the site visit.

This letter only addresses the applicability to the mitigation rules and the buffer rules and does not approve any activity within the buffer, Waters of the United States, or Waters of the State. Any impacts to wetlands, streams and buffers must comply with the 404/401 regulations, water supply regulations (15A NCAC 2B .0216), and any other required federal, state and local regulations.

The owner (or future owners) or permittee should notify the DWQ (and other relevant agencies) of this decision in any future correspondences concerning this property and/or project. This on-site determination shall expire five (5) years from the date of this letter.

Landowners or affected parties that dispute a determination made by the DWQ or Delegated Local Authority that a



surface water exists and that it is subject to the mitigation rules may request a determination by the Director. A request for a determination by the Director shall be referred to the Director in writing c/o Brian Wrenn, DWQ Wetlands/401 Unit, 1650 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1650. Individuals that dispute a determination by the DWQ or Delegated Local Authority that "exempts" a surface water from the mitigation rules may ask for an adjudicatory hearing. You must act within 60 days of the date that you receive this letter. Applicants are hereby notified that the 60-day statutory appeal time does not start until the affected party (including downstream and adjacent landowners) is notified of this decision. DWQ recommends that the applicant conduct this notification in order to be certain that third party appeals are made in a timely manner. To ask for a hearing, send a written petition, which conforms to Chapter 150B of the North Carolina General Statutes to the Office of Administrative Hearings, 6714 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, N.C. 27699-6714. This determination is final and binding unless you ask for a hearing within 60 days.

If you have any additional questions or require additional information please call Amy Euliss at 336-771-4959 or at amy.euliss@ncmail.net.


Sincerely,



Amy Euliss

Attachments: Signed Location Map

cc: Andy Williams, US Army Corps of Engineers – Raleigh Regulatory Field Office
DWQ Wetlands 401 Transportation Unit
DWQ Winston-Salem Regional Office File Copy



B-4760 Bridge No. 77 on Surratt Drive Over US 29/US 70/ I-85 Business in Guilford County
-Location of features on map are approximate and are for reference purposes only
-Project area was visited on July 24, 2008
-Determinations are valid for 5 years from the date of the attached letter

Map 1 of 1

0 0.3 0.6 1.2 Miles





October 25, 2011

Mr. Gregory J. Thorpe, Ph.D.
 Environmental Management Director
 Project Development and Environmental Analysis Branch
 North Carolina Department of Transportation
 1548 Mail Service Center
 Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1548

Dear Dr. Thorpe:

Subject: EEP Mitigation Acceptance Letter:

B-4760, Replace Bridge Number 77 over US 29 / US 70 / I-85 Business on SR 4053 (Surrett Drive), Guilford County

The purpose of this letter is to notify you that the Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) will provide the stream mitigation and buffer mitigation for the subject project. Based on the information supplied by you on October 19, 2011, the stream impacts are located in CU 03030003 of the Cape Fear River basin in the Central Piedmont (CP) Eco-Region, and are as follows:

| Stream and Wetlands | River Basin | CU Location | Eco-Region | Stream | | | Wetlands | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------|------|------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | | Cold | Cool | Warm | Riparian | Non-Riparian | Coastal Marsh |
| Impacts | Cape Fear | 03030003 | CP | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

All buffer mitigation requests and approvals are administrated through the Riparian Restoration Buffer Fund. The NCDOT will be responsible to ensure that appropriate compensation for the additional buffer mitigation will be provided in the agreed upon method of fund transfer. Upon receipt of the NCDWQ's Buffer Authorization Certification, EEP will transfer funds from MOA Fund into the Riparian Restoration Buffer Fund. Upon completion of transfer payment, NCDOT will have completed its additional riparian buffer mitigation responsibility for B-4760. Subsequently, EEP will conduct a review of current MOA mitigation projects in the river basin to determine if available buffer mitigation credits exist. If there are buffer mitigation credits available, then the Riparian Restoration Buffer Fund will purchase the appropriate amount of buffer mitigation credits from MOA Fund. The buffer impacts and anticipated buffer mitigation credits needed are as follows:


Dr. Thorpe
October 25, 2011
TIP Number B-4760
Page Two

| Buffer | River Basin | CU Location | Eco-Region | Buffer | | |
|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | TOTAL |
| Impacts | Cape Fear | 03030003 | CP | 8,236 | 5,400 | 13,636 |

EEP commits to implementing sufficient compensatory stream mitigation credits to offset the impacts associated with this project in accordance with the N.C. Department of Environment and Natural Resources' Ecosystem Enhancement Program In-Lieu Fee Instrument dated July 28, 2010. If the above referenced impact amounts are revised, then this mitigation acceptance letter will no longer be valid and a new mitigation acceptance letter will be required from EEP.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Ms. Beth Harmon at 919-715-1929.

Sincerely,



Michael Ellison
EEP Deputy Director

Cc: Mr. Andy Williams, USACE – Raleigh Regulatory Field Office
Mr. Brian Wrenn, NC Division of Water Quality
File: B-4760

Restoring... Enhancing... Protecting Our State





North Carolina Department of Transportation
 Highway Stormwater Program
 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN
 FOR LINEAR ROADWAY PROJECTS

Version 2.2 Released August 2011

General Project Information

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------|----------|
| Project No.: | B-4760 | Project Type: | Bridge Replacement | Date: | 8/4/2011 |
| NCDOT Contact: | | Contractor / Designer: | | | |
| Address: | | Address: | | | |
| Phone: | | Phone: | | | |
| Email: | | Email: | | | |
| City/Town: | | County(ies): | Guilford | | |
| River Basin(s): | Richard Creek | CAMA County? | No | | |
| Primary Receiving Water: | Richard Creek | NCDWQ Stream Index No.: | 17-7-(0.5) | | |
| NCDWQ Surface Water Classification for Primary Receiving Water | | Water Supply (V (WS-IV)) | | | |
| Other Stream Classification: | None | Primary: | | | |
| 303(d) Impairments: | biological impairment | Supplemental: | fecal coliform | | |
| Buffer Rules in Effect | Randleman Lake | | | | |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Project Length (lin. miles/feet): | 0.388 miles | Total Project Area (ac): | approx. 6 acres |
| Surrounding Land Use: | Interstate and Industrial - Highly Urbanized | Existing Site | |
| Project Built-Upon Area (ac.) | 2.25 | | |
| Typical Cross Section Description: | 3 lane section with curb and gutter on one side and 8 ft. paved shoulder on the opposite side 2 lane section with grassed shoulders | | |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| Average Daily Traffic (veh/hr/day): | Design/Future: | 17,600 (2035) | Existing: | 12,500 (2012) |
| General Project Narrative: | Replace Bridge No. 77 over US 29/US 70/I-85 Bus. On Surret Dr. (SR 4053) in High Point. This bridge is at a grade separation. The stream impact is due to the extension (105 ft. +/-) of an existing 5' X 6' RCBC with a 5'X7' RCBC with the invert buried one foot. The roadway runoff is being treated using a grassed swale before being discharge through the buffer. | | | |

See Sheet 1-A For Index of Sheets

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

GUILFORD COUNTY

LOCATION: REPLACEMENT OF BRIDGE 77 ON SR 4053
OVER US 29 /US 70 /I-85 BUS


TYPE OF WORK: GRADING, DRAINAGE, PAVING, STRUCTURE
AND SIGNAL

WETLAND AND STREAM IMPACTS


| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| STATE | STATE PROJECT REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS |
| N.C. | B-4760 | 1 | |
| STATE PROJ. NO. | F.A. PROJ. NO. | DESCRIPTION | |
| 38532.1.1 | BRZ-4053(1) | PE | |
| 38532.2.1 | BRZ-4053(1) | RW & UTIL. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Permit Drawing
Sheet 1 of 7



TIP PROJECT: B-4760




★ TRAFFIC SIGNAL

THIS PROJECT IS WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY OF HIGH POINT

CLEARING ON THIS PROJECT SHALL BE PERFORMED TO THE LIMITS ESTABLISHED BY METHOD III

PRELIMINARY PLANS
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT:



DESIGN DATA

| | |
|----------------|------------|
| ADT 2012 = | 12,500 |
| ADT 2035 = | 17,600 |
| DHV = | 12 % |
| D = | 55 % |
| T = | 8 % * |
| V = | 40 MPH |
| * TTST = | 3% DUAL 5% |
| FUNC CLASS = | COLLECTOR |
| STATEWIDE TIER | |

PROJECT LENGTH

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| LENGTH ROADWAY TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.335 MILES |
| LENGTH STRUCTURE TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.034 MILES |
| TOTAL LENGTH TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.369 MILES |

Prepared in the Office of:
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
1000 Birch Ridge Dr., Raleigh NC, 27610

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2006 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS | |
| RIGHT OF WAY DATE: JUNE 7, 2011 | G. E. BREW, P.E. PROJECT ENGINEER |
| LETTING DATE: JULY 17, 2012 | I. T. YOUNIS PROJECT DESIGN ENGINEER |

HYDRAULICS ENGINEER

SIGNATURE: _____ P.E.

ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER

SIGNATURE: _____ P.E.





**DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA**

STATE HIGHWAY DESIGN ENGINEER P.E.

\$\$\$ SYSTEM TIME \$\$\$
 \$\$\$ USER NAME \$\$\$
 \$\$\$ DGN \$\$\$
 \$\$\$ \$\$\$\$

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. B-4760 | SHEET NO. 5 |
| RW SHEET NO. | |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | HYDRAULICS ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |

Permit Drawing
Sheet 3 of 7





REVISIONS

8/17/95
SYSTEMS DESIGN
SERNAME

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. B-4760 | SHEET NO. 5 |
| RW SHEET NO. | |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | HYDRAULICS ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |

Permit Drawing
Sheet 4 of 7




-  DENOTES IMPACTS IN SURFACE WATER
-  DENOTES TEMPORARY IMPACTS IN SURFACE WATER

REVISIONS

8/17/99

SYSTEMS DESIGN

CL STA. 25 + 71.45 -L-
 GRADE PT. ELEV. - 861.53'
 1 @ 5ft. X 6ft. RCBC EXTENDED WITH 1 @ 5ft. X 7ft. RCBC
 SKEW - 45.3°



▽ 100yr WSEL 832.7'
 ▽ 50yr WSEL 830.9'


NWS 820.0' 8-26-10

INVERT - 819.84 ft.

INVERT - 818.62'

SLOPE - 0.013 ft./ft.

PROFILE



NCDOT
 DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
 GUILFORD COUNTY
 PROJECT: 38532.1.1 (B-4760)
 REPLACEMENT OF BRIDGE No. 77
 ON SR 4053 OVER
 US 29 / US 70 / I-85 BUS.

PROPERTY OWNERS
NAMES AND ADDRESSES


| PARCEL NO. | NAMES | ADDRESSES |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 2 | CURTIS & EARLENE RICHARDSON | 4215 BRAMBLETYE Dr. GREENSBORO, NC 27407 |

NCDOT
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
GUILFORD COUNTY
PROJECT: 38532.1.1 (B-4760)
REPLACEMENT OF BRIDGE No. 77
ON SR 4053 OVER
US 29 / US 70 / I-85 BUS.

SHEET 6 OF 7 8/3/11

05/08/99
 CONTRACT: TIP PROJECT: B-4760
 SYSTEMS
 D:\CN
 USERNAME

See Sheet 1-A For Index of Sheets



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
 DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

GUILFORD COUNTY

LOCATION: REPLACEMENT OF BRIDGE 77 ON SR 4053
 OVER US 29 /US 70 /I-85 BUS


TYPE OF WORK: GRADING, DRAINAGE, PAVING, STRUCTURE
 AND SIGNAL

BUFFER IMPACTS

| STATE | STATE PROJECT REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. | TOTAL SHEETS |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| N.C. | B-4760 | 1 | |
| STATE PROJ. NO. | F.A. PROJ. NO. | DESCRIPTION | |
| 38532.1.1 | BRZ-4053(1) | PE | |
| 38532.2.1 | BRZ-4053(1) | RAW & UTIL. | |



Buffer Drawing
 Sheet 1 of 6




★ TRAFFIC SIGNAL

THIS PROJECT IS WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY OF HIGH POINT

CLEARING ON THIS PROJECT SHALL BE PERFORMED TO THE LIMITS ESTABLISHED BY METHOD III

PRELIMINARY PLANS
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION



DESIGN DATA

| | |
|----------------|------------|
| ADT 2012 = | 12,500 |
| ADT 2035 = | 17,600 |
| DHV = | 12 % |
| D = | 55 % |
| T = | 8 % * |
| V = | 40 MPH |
| * TTST = | 3% DUAL 5% |
| FUNC CLASS = | COLLECTOR |
| STATEWIDE TIER | |

PROJECT LENGTH

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| LENGTH ROADWAY TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.335 MILES |
| LENGTH STRUCTURE TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.034 MILES |
| TOTAL LENGTH TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.369 MILES |

Prepared In the Office of:
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
 1000 Birch Ridge Dr., Raleigh NC, 27610

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2006 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS | |
| RIGHT OF WAY DATE: JUNE 7, 2011 | G.E. BREW, P.E. PROJECT ENGINEER |
| LETTING DATE: JULY 17, 2012 | I.T. YOUNIS PROJECT DESIGN ENGINEER |

HYDRAULICS ENGINEER




SIGNATURE: _____ P.E.

ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER

SIGNATURE: _____ P.E.

**DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA**

STATE HIGHWAY DESIGN ENGINEER P.E.





GRASS SWALE DATA

| |
|---------------------|
| DA = 1.64 Ac |
| SLOPE = 0.004 ft/ft |
| REQ. = 163 |
| L REQ. = 223 |
| Q2 = 5 cfs |
| V2 = 1.9 fps |
| D2 = 0.9 ft |
| Q10 = 7 cfs |
| V10 = 1.6 fps |
| D10 = 0.9 ft |

GRASS SWALE DATA

| |
|--------------------|
| DA = 1.43 Ac |
| SLOPE = 0.01 ft/ft |
| REQ. = 143 ft |
| L REQ. = 90 ft |
| Q2 = 5 cfs |
| V2 = 2.0 fps |
| D2 = 0.8 ft |
| Q10 = 6.4 cfs |
| V10 = 2.3 fps |
| D10 = 2.2 ft |



- MITIGABLE IMPACTS ZONE 1
- MITIGABLE IMPACTS ZONE 2

REVISIONS

8/17/95

SYTIME DESIGN

PROPERTY OWNERS

NAMES AND ADDRESSES

| PARCEL NO. | NAMES | ADDRESSES |
|------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | CURTIS & EARLENE RICHARDSON | 4215 BRAMBLETYE Dr. GREENSBORO, NC 27407 |
| 3 | GRACE CHURCH OF HIGH POINT INC. | 1141 ENTERPRISE Dr. HIGH POINT, NC 27260 |

NCDOT
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
GUILFORD COUNTY
PROJECT: 38532.1.1 (B-4760)
REPLACEMENT OF BRIDGE No. 77
ON SR 4053 OVER
US 29 / US 70 / I-85 BUS.

SHEET 5 OF 6


8/3/11

09/08/99

TIP PROJECT: B-4760

CONTRACT:

See Sheet 1-A For Index of Sheets




STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
GUILFORD COUNTY

**LOCATION: REPLACEMENT OF BRIDGE 77 ON SR 4053
OVER US 29 / US 70 / I-85 BUS**

**TYPE OF WORK: GRADING, DRAINAGE, PAVING, STRUCTURE
AND SIGNAL**

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| STATE | STATE PROJECT REFERENCE NO. | SECT NO. | TOTAL SHEETS |
| N.C. | B-4760 | 1 | |
| STATE PROJ. NO. | S.A. PROJ. NO. | DESCRIPTION | |
| 38532.1.1 | BRZ-4053(1) | PE | |
| 38532.2.1 | BRZ-4053(1) | RW & UTIL. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |




★ TRAFFIC SIGNAL

THIS PROJECT IS WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY OF HIGH POINT

CLEARING ON THIS PROJECT SHALL BE PERFORMED TO THE LIMITS ESTABLISHED BY METHOD III

PRELIMINARY PLANS
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION



DESIGN DATA

| | |
|----------------|------------|
| ADT 2012 = | 12,500 |
| ADT 2035 = | 17,600 |
| DHV = | 12 % |
| D = | 55 % |
| T = | 8 % * |
| V = | 40 MPH |
| * TTST = | 3% DUAL 5% |
| FUNC CLASS = | COLLECTOR |
| STATEWIDE TIER | |

PROJECT LENGTH

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| LENGTH ROADWAY TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.335 MILES |
| LENGTH STRUCTURE TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.034 MILES |
| TOTAL LENGTH TIP PROJECT B-4760 = | 0.369 MILES |

Prepared in the Office of:
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
1000 Birch Ridge Dr., Raleigh NC, 27610

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2006 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS | |
| RIGHT OF WAY DATE: JUNE 7, 2011 | G.E. BREW, P.E. PROJECT ENGINEER |
| LETTING DATE: JULY 17, 2012 | I.T. YOUNIS PROJECT DESIGN ENGINEER |

HYDRAULICS ENGINEER

P.E.

SIGNATURE: _____

ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER

P.E.

SIGNATURE: _____

DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

STATE HIGHWAY DESIGN ENGINEER

P.E.

20-JUN-2011 09:58 R:\Roadway\Proj\B4760.Rdy_1.sh.dgn \$\$\$USERNAME\$\$\$

9/5/08/20
Note: Not to Scale
 *S.U.E. = *Subsurface Utility Engineering*

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
 DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

CONVENTIONAL PLAN SHEET SYMBOLS

BOUNDARIES AND PROPERTY:

- State Line
- County Line
- Township Line
- City Line
- Reservation Line
- Property Line
- Existing Iron Pin
- Property Corner
- Property Monument
- Parcel/Sequence Number
- Existing Fence Line
- Proposed Woven Wire Fence
- Proposed Chain Link Fence
- Proposed Barbed Wire Fence
- Existing Wetland Boundary
- Proposed Wetland Boundary
- Existing Endangered Animal Boundary
- Existing Endangered Plant Boundary

BUILDINGS AND OTHER CULTURE:

- Gas Pump Vent or U/G Tank Cap
- Sign
- Well
- Small Mine
- Foundation
- Area Outline
- Cemetery
- Building
- School
- Church
- Dam

HYDROLOGY:

- Stream or Body of Water
- Hydro, Pool or Reservoir
- Jurisdictional Stream
- Buffer Zone 1
- Buffer Zone 2
- Flow Arrow
- Disappearing Stream
- Spring
- Wetland
- Proposed Lateral, Tail, Head Ditch
- False Sump

RAILROADS:

- Standard Gauge
- RR Signal Milepost
- Switch
- RR Abandoned
- RR Dismantled

RIGHT OF WAY:

- Baseline Control Point
- Existing Right of Way Marker
- Existing Right of Way Line
- Proposed Right of Way Line
- Proposed Right of Way Line with Iron Pin and Cap Marker
- Proposed Right of Way Line with Concrete or Granite Marker
- Existing Control of Access
- Proposed Control of Access
- Existing Easement Line
- Proposed Temporary Construction Easement
- Proposed Temporary Drainage Easement
- Proposed Permanent Drainage Easement
- Proposed Permanent Drainage / Utility Easement
- Proposed Permanent Utility Easement
- Proposed Temporary Utility Easement
- Proposed Permanent Easement with Iron Pin and Cap Marker

ROADS AND RELATED FEATURES:

- Existing Edge of Pavement
- Existing Curb
- Proposed Slope Stakes Cut
- Proposed Slope Stakes Fill
- Proposed Wheel Chair Ramp
- Existing Metal Guardrail
- Proposed Guardrail
- Existing Cable Guiderail
- Proposed Cable Guiderail
- Equality Symbol
- Pavement Removal

VEGETATION:

- Single Tree
- Single Shrub
- Hedge
- Woods Line
- Orchard
- Vineyard

EXISTING STRUCTURES:

- MAJOR:
 - Bridge, Tunnel or Box Culvert
 - Bridge Wing Wall, Head Wall and End Wall
- MINOR:
 - Head and End Wall
 - Pipe Culvert
 - Footbridge
 - Drainage Box: Catch Basin, DI or JB
 - Paved Ditch Gutter
 - Storm Sewer Manhole
 - Storm Sewer

UTILITIES:

- POWER:
 - Existing Power Pole
 - Proposed Power Pole
 - Existing Joint Use Pole
 - Proposed Joint Use Pole
 - Power Manhole
 - Power Line Tower
 - Power Transformer
 - U/G Power Cable Hand Hole
 - H-Frame Pole
 - Recorded U/G Power Line
 - Designated U/G Power Line (S.U.E.*)

TELEPHONE:

- Existing Telephone Pole
- Proposed Telephone Pole
- Telephone Manhole
- Telephone Booth
- Telephone Pedestal
- Telephone Cell Tower
- U/G Telephone Cable Hand Hole
- Recorded U/G Telephone Cable
- Designated U/G Telephone Cable (S.U.E.*)
- Recorded U/G Telephone Conduit
- Designated U/G Telephone Conduit (S.U.E.*)
- Recorded U/G Fiber Optics Cable
- Designated U/G Fiber Optics Cable (S.U.E.*)

WATER:

- Water Manhole
- Water Meter
- Water Valve
- Water Hydrant
- Recorded U/G Water Line
- Designated U/G Water Line (S.U.E.*)
- Above Ground Water Line

TV:

- TV Satellite Dish
- TV Pedestal
- TV Tower
- U/G TV Cable Hand Hole
- Recorded U/G TV Cable
- Designated U/G TV Cable (S.U.E.*)
- Recorded U/G Fiber Optic Cable
- Designated U/G Fiber Optic Cable (S.U.E.*)

GAS:

- Gas Valve
- Gas Meter
- Recorded U/G Gas Line
- Designated U/G Gas Line (S.U.E.*)
- Above Ground Gas Line

SANITARY SEWER:


- Sanitary Sewer Manhole
- Sanitary Sewer Cleanout
- U/G Sanitary Sewer Line
- Above Ground Sanitary Sewer
- Recorded SS Forced Main Line
- Designated SS Forced Main Line (S.U.E.*)

MISCELLANEOUS:

- Utility Pole
- Utility Pole with Base
- Utility Located Object
- Utility Traffic Signal Box
- Utility Unknown U/G Line
- U/G Tank; Water, Gas, Oil
- A/G Tank; Water, Gas, Oil
- U/G Test Hole (S.U.E.*)
- Abandoned According to Utility Records
- End of Information

12/01/2005
20-JUN-2011 09:58
R:\Projects\B4760\B4760_1a_1c.dgn
\$\$\$\$\$NAME\$\$\$\$\$

B-4760 SURVEY CONTROL SHEET



BENCHMARK DATA

.....
 BM1 ELEVATION = 836.74
 N 796690 E 1702661
 GPS B4760-1 TO GPS B4760-2
 N 21° 26' 30" W 894.46'
 GPS B4760-1

 BM2 ELEVATION = 855.68
 N 794960 E 1703029
 L STATION 19+90.40 404.43' RIGHT
 CHISELED SQUARE IN CONCRETE, MOST TIP
 OF PARKING LOT OF EAGLE
 SCREENPRINTING AND EMBROIDERY

 BM3 ELEVATION = 844.51
 N 794034 E 1703836
 L STATION 34+29.14 147.86' RIGHT
 CHISELED SQUARE IN CONCRETE ENTRANCE OF
 2100 SURRETT DRIVE

BASELINE DATA

| BL POINT | DESC. | NORTH | EAST | ELEVATION | L STATION | OFFSET |
|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 2 | GPS B4760-2 | 795857.3460 | 1702987.6260 | 832.83 | 12+70.52 | 25.27 LT |
| 3 | BL-3 | 795263.5634 | 1703204.2184 | 852.42 | 18+86.33 | 69.44 RT |
| 4 | BL-4 | 795019.6551 | 1703469.2409 | 860.26 | 22+45.31 | 40.13 RT |
| A100 | | 794736.9488 | 1703712.7596 | UNKNOWN | 26+20.48 | 52.06 RT |
| 5 | BL-5 | 794403.7239 | 1703999.7942 | 850.21 | 30+53.31 | 48.51 LT |
| 6 | BL-6 | 793869.8140 | 1703945.3330 | 854.29 | 35+81.84 | 16.16 RT |

| BY1 POINT | DESC. | NORTH | EAST | ELEVATION | L STATION | OFFSET |
|-----------|-------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 102 | | 795857.3460 | 1702987.6260 | 832.83 | 12+70.52 | 25.27 LT |
| 7 | BY1-7 | 795867.2260 | 1702851.8740 | 839.35 | 12+10.74 | 97.01 RT |

| BY2 POINT | DESC. | NORTH | EAST | ELEVATION | L STATION | OFFSET |
|-----------|-------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 8 | BY2-8 | 795007.7300 | 1703771.9500 | 829.28 | 24+59.40 | 174.21 LT |
| A4 | | 795019.6551 | 1703469.2409 | 860.26 | 22+45.31 | 40.13 RT |
| 9 | BY2-9 | 794811.6988 | 1703381.8812 | 848.22 | 23+38.87 | 245.38 RT |

| BY3 POINT | DESC. | NORTH | EAST | ELEVATION | L STATION | OFFSET |
|-----------|--------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 10 | BY3-10 | 794592.3480 | 1704095.5220 | 848.22 | 29+22.79 | 201.20 LT |
| 105 | BL-5 | 794403.7239 | 1703999.7942 | 850.21 | 30+53.31 | 48.51 LT |

| BY4 POINT | DESC. | NORTH | EAST | ELEVATION | L STATION | OFFSET |
|-----------|--------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 11 | BY4-11 | 794223.8830 | 1704222.5520 | 856.24 | 32+42.44 | 237.43 LT |
| A5 | | 794403.7239 | 1703999.7942 | 850.21 | 30+53.31 | 48.51 LT |
| 12 | BY4-12 | 794170.1720 | 1703886.0420 | 851.06 | 32+72.01 | 101.91 RT |

DATUM DESCRIPTION
 THE LOCALIZED COORDINATE SYSTEM DEVELOPED FOR THIS PROJECT IS BASED ON THE STATE PLANE COORDINATES ESTABLISHED BY NCDOT GPS MONUMENT "B4760-2" WITH NAD 83/2001 STATE PLANE GRID COORDINATES OF NORTHING: 795,857.3460(ft) EASTING: 1,702,987.6260(ft) ELEVATION: 832.83(ft)
 THE AVERAGE COMBINED GRID FACTOR USED ON THIS PROJECT (GROUND TO GRID) IS: 0.999908182
 THE N.C. LAMBERT GRID BEARING AND LOCALIZED HORIZONTAL GROUND DISTANCE FROM "B4760-2" TO -L- STATION 14+50.00 IS S 13°52'25" E 181.25
 ALL LINEAR DIMENSIONS ARE LOCALIZED HORIZONTAL DISTANCES VERTICAL DATUM USED IS NAVD 88

END STATE PROJECT B-4760
 -L- STA. 34+00.00

NOTES

1. THE CONTROL DATA FOR THIS PROJECT CAN BE FOUND ELECTRONICALLY BY SELECTING PROJECT CONTROL DATA AT:
[HTTP://WWW.DOH.DOT.STATE.NC.US/PRECONSTRUCT/HIGHWAY/LOCATION/PROJECT/](http://www.doh.dot.state.nc.us/preconstruct/highway/location/project/)
 THE FILES TO BE FOUND ARE AS FOLLOWS:
 b4760_ls_control.txt
 SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED FOR THIS PROJECT. IF FURTHER INFORMATION IS NEEDED, PLEASE CONTACT THE LOCATION AND SURVEYS UNIT.
- Ⓢ INDICATES GEODETIC CONTROL MONUMENTS USED OR SET FOR HORIZONTAL PROJECT CONTROL BY THE NCDOT LOCATION AND SURVEYS UNIT.
 PROJECT CONTROL ESTABLISHED USING GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM.



NOTE: DRAWING NOT TO SCALE

6/2/99



| FINAL PAVEMENT SCHEDULE | |
|-------------------------|--|
| C1 | PROP. APPROX. 3" ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE TYPE S9.5B, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 168 LBS. PER SQ. YD. IN EACH OF TWO LAYERS. |
| C2 | PROP. VAR. DEPTH ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE, TYPE S9.5B, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 112 LBS. PER SQ. YD. PER 1" DEPTH. TO BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT TO EXCEED 1 1/2" IN DEPTH. |
| C3 | PROP. APPROX. 3" ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE TYPE S9.5C, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 168 LBS. PER SQ. YD. IN EACH OF TWO LAYERS. |
| D1 | PROP. APPROX. 4" ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE I19.0B, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 456 LBS. PER SQ. YD. |
| D2 | PROP. VAR. DEPTH ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE I19.0B, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 114 LBS. PER SQ. YD. PER 1" DEPTH, TO BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT LESS THAN 2 1/2" IN DEPTH OR GREATER THAN 4" IN DEPTH. |
| D3 | PROP. APPROX. 3" ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, TYPE I19.0C, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 342 LBS. PER SQ. YD. |
| E1 | PROP. APPROX. 5 1/2" ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE COURSE, TYPE B25.0B, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 627 LBS. PER SQ. YD. |
| E2 | PROP. VAR. DEPTH ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE COURSE, TYPE B25.0B, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 114 LBS. PER SQ. YD. PER 1" DEPTH. TO BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT LESS THAN 3" IN DEPTH OR GREATER THAN 5 1/2" IN DEPTH. |
| E3 | PROP. APPROX. 11" ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE COURSE, TYPE B25.0C, AT AN AVERAGE RATE OF 627 LBS. PER SQ. YD. IN EACH OF TWO LAYERS. |
| R1 | 2'-6" CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER. |
| R2 | SHOULDER BERM GUTTER. |
| T | EARTH MATERIAL. |
| U | EXISTING PAVEMENT. |
| W | VARIABLE DEPTH ASPHALT PAVEMENT (SEE WEDGING DETAIL). |

NOTE: PAVEMENT EDGE SLOPES ARE 1:1 UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE.

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. B-4760 | SHEET NO. 2 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | PAVEMENT DESIGN ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |




Detail Showing Method of Wedging
(USE WITH TYPICAL SECTION 1 & 3)




TYPICAL SECTION NO. 1

- L- STA. 14+50.00 TO 17+75.00
- L- STA. 28+00.00 TO 33+31.35
- L- STA. 33+33.83 TO 34+00, TRANSITION FROM T.S. 1 TO EXISTING




R:\JUN-2011\09158\4760_rdy_tup.dgn
 2011/06/02 10:58:33 AM
 USER: RAYMOND




TYPICAL SECTION NO. 2

-L- STA. 17+75.00 TO 20+50.00



TYPICAL SECTION NO. 3

-L- STA. 20+50.00 TO 22+64.08 +/- (BEGIN BRIDGE)
 -L- STA. 24+44.08 +/- (END BRIDGE) TO 28+00.00




TYPICAL SECTION NO. 4

-LOOPB- STA. 11+09.48 TO 11+25.00
 -LOOPB- STA. 11+25.00 TO 11+50.00
 TRANSITION FROM T.S. 4 TO EXISTING

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. B-4760 | SHEET NO. 2-A |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | PAVEMENT DESIGN ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |
| FINAL PAVEMENT DESIGN | |
| C1 | 3.0" S9.5B |
| C2 | VAR. S9.5B |
| D1 | 4.0" I19.0B |
| D2 | VAR. I19.0B |
| E1 | 5.5" B25.0B |
| E2 | VAR. B25.0B |
| R1 | 2'-6" CONC C&G |
| T | EARTH MATERIAL |
| U | EXIST PAVEMENT |
| W | WEDGING |

6/2/99


| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. B-4760 | SHEET NO. 2-B |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | PAVEMENT DESIGN ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |
| FINAL PAVEMENT DESIGN | |
| C1 | 3.0" S9.5B |
| C2 | VAR. S9.5B |
| C3 | 3.0" S9.5C |
| D1 | 4.0" I19.0B |
| D2 | VAR. I19.0B |
| D3 | 3.0" I19.0C |
| E1 | 5.5" B25.0B |
| E2 | VAR. B25.0B |
| E3 | 11" B25.0C |
| R1 | 2'-6" CONC C&G |
| T | EARTH MATERIAL |
| U | EXIST PAVEMENT |
| W | WEDGING |



TYPICAL SECTION NO. 5

-LOOPC- STA. 11+20.51 TO 11+50.00

-LOOPC- STA. 11+50.00 TO 12+00.00,
TRANSITION FROM T.S. 4 TO EXISTING



TYPICAL SECTION NO. 6



-EY2- STA. 12+09 TO 11+58.00
(UNDER PROPOSED BRIDGE)

20-JUN-2011 09:59
R:\Roadway\B-4760\2-B-4760-2-B.dwg
R:\Roadway\B-4760\2-B-4760-2-B.dwg

8/17/99

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | 4 |
| R/W SHEET NO. | |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | HYDRAULICS ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS | |
| DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |






SEE SHEET 6 FOR PROFILE OF -L-
SEE SHEET 7 FOR PROFILE OF -LOOPB-



REVISIONS

20 JUN 2011 09:59
R:\Projects\B-4760_rdy_psh04.dgn
*****SERRANIE*****



BM #2
-BL- STA 12+08.52
342.34 RIGHT
ELEV.=855.68'



MATCH LINE -L- STA 21+50 SEE SHEET 4

SEE SHEET S-1 THRU S-2 FOR STRUCTURE PLANS

SEE SHEET 6 FOR PROFILE OF -L-
SEE SHEET 7 FOR PROFILES OF -LOOPC-, -Y4- & -Y4RT-




8/17/99
 REVISIONS
 JUN-2001 09:59 N:\b4760 -L- d1-pch\05.dgn
 3:38 PM
 2012 ADT IN HUNDREDS
 2035 ADT IN HUNDREDS

5/28/99

| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. B-4760 | SHEET NO. 6 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | HYDRAULICS ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |


BM * 1 ELEVATION = 836.74'
N = 796690 E = 1702661
-BL- STATION 5+00.00 (GPS-2) TO BM1
N 21°28'30" W Dist. 894.46'
GPS B4760-1

PI = 23+60.00
EL = 867.27'
VC = 420'
K = 82



CULVERT HYDRAULIC DATA

| | | |
|-----------------------|---------|-----|
| DESIGN DISCHARGE | = 360 | CFS |
| DESIGN FREQUENCY | = 50 | YRS |
| DESIGN HW ELEVATION | = 830.9 | FT |
| BASE DISCHARGE | = 420 | CFS |
| BASE FREQUENCY | = 100 | YRS |
| BASE HW ELEVATION | = 832.7 | FT |
| OVERTOPPING DISCHARGE | = 650 | CFS |
| OVERTOPPING FREQUENCY | = +500 | YRS |
| OVERTOPPING ELEVATION | = 842.5 | FT |



BM * 3 ELEVATION = 844.51'
N = 794034 E = 1703836
-L- STATION 34+29.14 (147.87') RIGHT
CHISLED SQUARE IN CONCRETE ENTRANCE
OF 2100 SURRETT DRIVE


20-JUN-2011 09:59
 R:\Projects\B4760\B4760.dwg -du-pf1.dgn
 \$\$\$\$LISENAME\$\$\$\$

5/28/99


| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| PROJECT REFERENCE NO. B-4760 | SHEET NO. 7 |
| ROADWAY DESIGN ENGINEER | HYDRAULICS ENGINEER |
| PRELIMINARY PLANS DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION | |

-LOOPB-

BM * 2 ELEVATION = 855.68'
 N = 794960 E = 1703029
 -L- STATION 19+90.40 (404.43) RIGHT
 CHISELED SQUARE IN CONCRETE IN
 SOUTHERN MOST TIP OF PARRING LOT OF
 EAGLE SCREENPRINTING AND EMBROIDERY




-LOOPC-




-Y4-

BM * 3 ELEVATION = 844.51'
 N = 794034 E = 1703836
 -L- STATION 34+29.14 (147.87') RIGHT
 CHISELED SQUARE IN CONCRETE ENTRANCE
 OF 2100 SURRETT DRIVE



-Y4RT-




20-JUN-2011 09:59
R:\Projects\B4760\B4760_rdy_pf1.dgn
B4760.dwg

8/23/99




| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
|---------------------|-----------|
| B-4760 | X-2 |




07 JUN 2010 09:55 B-4760_Rd.dwg

8/23/99



| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-3 |



854.57
20 + 00.00

856.44
19 + 50.00

856.87
19 + 00.00

853.02
18 + 50.00


849.13
18 + 00.00

847.10
17 + 50.00


-4-

07 JUN 2011 09:55 B-4760_Rdy_wpl.dgn
 20110623 11:38:38
 20110623 11:38:38

8/23/99



| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-4 |




07 JUN 2011 09:55 B-4760.Rd.dwg

8/23/99



| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-5 |




DT_JUN-2011 09:55
 443028760.dwg
 443028760.dwg

8/23/99



| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-7 |




07-JUN-2011 09:55
B:\PROJECTS\B-4760_Rd\wp1.dgn

8/23/99



| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-8 |




07 JUN 2011 09:55
D:\PROJECTS\B-4760_Rd\xp1.dgn
*****BERRY*****

8/23/99

150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150





PROJ. REFERENCE NO. B-4760 SHEET NO. X-9




07-JUN-2011 09:56
R:\PROJECTS\B-4760_Rd1.wp1.dgn
\$\$\$\$\$


150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150



8/23/99




| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-11 |




07 JUN 2010 08:55
D:_GISE\PROJECTS\B-4760_Rd\1.dgn

8/23/95



| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-12 |




-LOOPB-

07 JUN 2011 08:55
D:\PROJECTS\B-4760.Rdy\wp1 LOOPB.DGN




150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150



8/23/99



| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
|---------------------|-----------|
| B-4760 | X-14 |




07 JUN 2011 09:56 B-4760-Rd-1-loopc.dgn

8/23/99

07-JUN-2010 08:56
C:\PROGRA~1\Autocad\B-4760_Rdu...xp1...loopc.dgn




| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
|---------------------|-----------|
| B-4760 | X-15 |




LOOPC

8/23/99




| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| PROJ. REFERENCE NO. | SHEET NO. |
| B-4760 | X-16 |



07 JUN 2011 09:56
 P:\PROJECTS\B-4760.Rdy-wp1.lloopc.dgn
 11/11/2011 11:11:11 AM


8/23/99



PROJ. REFERENCE NO.
B-4760

SHEET NO.
X-17

150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150



07-JUN-2011 08:56
RA:\PROJECTS\B-4760.Rdy-wp1-loopc.dgn
\$\$\$\$\$USERNAME\$\$\$\$\$

150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150