# NEPA/404 Merger Concurrence Point 3 

TIP Project No. U-4700

# Improvements to US 321 from Hickory to Lenoir in Catawba, Burke, and Caldwell Counties <br> SUMMARYINFORMATION 

## Meeting Purpose

The purpose of this meeting is to select the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA) for Project U-4700.

## Project Description

The proposed improvements include the widening of US 321 from just north of the US 70 interchange in Hickory (Catawba County) to the Southwest Boulevard (SR 1933) interchange in Lenoir (Caldwell County). The proposed improvements involve approximately 13.5 miles of existing US 321 with a majority of the roadway located in Catawba and Caldwell Counties and 0.3 miles in Burke County. The purpose of the project is to reduce congestion on US 321 in order to achieve level of service $D$ or better in the design year (2040). The Environmental Assessment (EA) was approved in February 2016, and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) will be prepared for the project. The project study area map (Figure 17) is attached.

## Project Activity since the Previous Merger Meeting (October 2015)

## Environmental Documentation

- The Environmental Assessment (EA) was signed in February 2016.

Public Involvement - A list of alternatives presented at each public meeting is in the attached Alternatives Memo. Changing feedback from the public affected designs between July 2016 and October 2017. More detail is provided at each location, and is summarized on page 20. The following meetings have been held since October 2015:

- Public hearings were held on July 11-12, 2016 to present the location and design of the detailed study alternatives as presented in the EA.
- A public meeting was held on July 27,2017 to present updated designs at several locations on Section A. These design revisions were based on public input following the public hearing.
- A public meeting was held on October 12, 2017 to present additional changes at Grace Chapel Road and Alex Lee Boulevard. The same maps were presented at a Caldwell County Commissioners meeting on October 16, 2017. These design revisions were based on public input following the July 2017 public meeting.

Traffic Forecast - The traffic forecast was updated in January 2017. The previous forecast update had been in 2011. Since that time, the road network and funded project list had changed. The previous and updated forecast figures are attached for reference. The updated forecast resulted in the following design changes:

- Clement Boulevard - An interchange is no longer warranted on US 321 at Clement Boulevard.
- Grace Chapel Road - An interchange is no longer warranted on US 321 at Grace Chapel Road. However, because of current and anticipated future development along Grace Chapel Road, a flyover from Grace Chapel Road to south on US 321 was still evaluated.

Recommended Alternative Process - The following bullets summarize the timeline of NCDOT's recommended alternative. A full description of NCDOT's recommended alternative begins on page 5 of this packet.

- Sept 2016/Oct 2016: Post-hearing meetings were held on September 12, 2016 and October 12, 2016. At these meetings, NCDOT recommended the Tight Diamond Interchange Alternative at the Falls Avenue intersection. No other recommendations were made at these meetings. The Post Combined Public Hearing Meeting Summary (November 15, 2016) is included as an attachment to this informational packet.
- Sept 2017: A post-public meeting was held on September 22, 2017. At this meeting, in addition to the previous recommendation, NCDOT recommended the Flyover Alternative at Grace Chapel Road, the Tight Diamond Interchange Alternative at Alex Lee Boulevard, the Superstreet intersection at Clement Boulevard, and the Interchange Alternative at $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW. The PostPublic Meeting Meeting Summary (September 28, 2017) is included as an attachment to this informational packet.
- Nov 2017: A post-public meeting was held on November 13, 2017. At this meeting, in addition to the previous recommendations, NCDOT recommended the inclusion of a new connector from Lake Shore Drive to Grace Chapel Road with the Grace Chapel Road Flyover, and a new pedestrian connector as part of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW interchange. The Post-Public Meeting Meeting Summary (November 17, 2017) is included as an attachment to this informational packet.


## Merger History of Project

On October 14, 2015, the Merger team met to consider changing the project termini. At that meeting, the Merger team agreed to remove the US 64 intersection and the northern 3.3 miles of the original project corridor. The new northern terminus of the project is Southwestern Boulevard. Concurrence Points 1, 2, and 2A were revised to the following:

- Concurrence Point 1 - The purpose of the project is to reduce congestion on US 321 in order to achieve a LOS of D or better in the Design Year (2040).
- Concurrence Point 2 - Throughout the project, if intersection spacing permits, the Typical Superstreet Intersection (directional crossover with median U-turns) is utilized. One best fit alternative is being analyzed along US 321 with various typical sections throughout. Three typical section alternatives were identified for detailed study.
- Concurrence Point 2A - Major hydraulic structures were agreed upon in February 2014. Those that were outside of the new study limits were removed during the October 2015 Merger meeting.


## Project Status/Schedule

## Planning: In progress

- Finding of No Significant Impact-Spring 2018
- Final Design - Summer 2018

Right-of-way and Construction:
Section A: US 70 to US 321A
Right of way- Summer 2018
Construction - Summer 2021
Section B: US 321A to Mission Road
Unfunded
Section C: Mission Road to Southwest Boulevard Unfunded

Section CA: US 321/Mount Herman Road intersection Right of way-FY 2018
Construction - FY 2019
Section CB: US 321/Pine Mountain Road (SR 1809/1952) intersection
Right of way- FY 2018
Construction - FY 2019
Section CC: US 321/Mission Road (SR 1108) intersection
Right of way-FY 2018
Construction - FY 2019

## Concurrence Point 1 Revisions

The C.P. 1 agreement included the purpose and need, but did not include the study area. NCDOT proposes resigning the C.P. 1 form to include the study area as shown on Figure 1. There has been no change to the purpose and need statement.

## Concurrence Point 2A Revisions

Since C.P. 2A concurrence in October 2015, changes to the recommended major drainage structures are proposed based on modifications to the design.

- Removal: At Clement Boulevard, the extension of the existing structure at site 16 is no longer needed.
- Change: Design changes on Grace Chapel Road affect the proposed hydraulic structure at site 17 , requiring a longer extension.
- Addition: The alternative interchange design recommended by NCDOT at Grace Chapel Road requires an additional structure to cross a stream at site 17A.
- Addition: An added connection to Wolfe Road for all Grace Chapel Road alternatives requires a hydraulic structure at site 17B.

With these changes, the updated list of major hydraulic structures is below, and details about each structure are in Appendix A.

Table 1: C.P. 2A Revised Proposed Hydraulic Structure Summary

| Site No. | Proposed Hydraulic Structure |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Extend $2-10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime} \mathrm{RCBC}\left(26^{\prime}\right.$ LT \& $15^{\prime} \mathrm{RT}$ ) |
| 2 | 2 - New Bridges (1 @ 825' \& 1 @ 944') |
| 3 | Extend 1-6' $\times 7^{\prime}$ RCBC ( $73^{\prime}$ LT \& 89' RT) |
| 4 | Extend $2-6^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$ RCBC ( $56^{\prime}$ LT \& 49' RT) |
| 5 | Extend 1-38' $\times 18^{\prime}$ RC Arch ( $20^{\prime}$ LT \& 22.5' ${ }^{\prime}$ RT) |
| 6 | 2 - Widen Bridges (1 @ 158' \& 1 @ 173') |
| 7 | Extend 3-9'x 9' RCBC (31' LT \& 15' RT) |
| 8 | Extend 1-7' $\mathrm{7} 7^{\prime}$ RCBC (41' LT \& 23' RT ) |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | Extend 1-72" CMP (38' RT) |
| 17A | New 135' bridge |
| 17B | New 8' X 8' RCBC - 187' |

## Concurrence Point 3 - Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative

Three typical sections were agreed to by the Merger Team in October 2015. No changes are proposed to the typical sections, listed below.
NCDOT recommends the typical sections:

## Typical Section Alternatives

Typical Section 1: Six-lane divided with 22-foot raised median with a concrete barrier with curb and gutter in outside lanes
Typical Section 2: Six-lane divided with 30-foot raised grassed median with curb and gutter in median and shoulder

Typical Section 3: Six-lane divided with 30-foot raised grassed median with curb and gutter in median and grassed shoulder

Table 2: Recommended Typical Section

| U-4700 Segments* | Typical Section Alternatives for <br> Detailed Study |
| :--- | :--- |
| Segment A: North of US 70 to 800 feet north of 2nd Avenue NW <br> in Hickory (0.95 miles) | Typical Section 1/2 (combination) |
| Segment B: 800 feet north of 2nd Ave. NW to 1300 feet north <br> of Clement Blvd (0.95 miles) | Typical Section 3 |
| Segment C: 1300 feet north of Clement Blvd to just south of <br> Grace Chapel Rd (1.12 miles) | Replace bridges over Catawba River <br> and grade-separate RR crossing |
| Segment D: Just south of Grace Chapel Rd. to 400 feet south of <br> Gunpowder Creek (8.10 miles) | Typical Section 3 |
| Segment E: 400 feet south of Gunpowder Creek to Southwest <br> Blvd (2.04 miles) | Typical Section 3 |

* These segments are for C.P. 2 purposes - these are not the STIP sections


## Proposed Interchange / Intersection Alternatives

Most of the U-4700 corridor was designed using a Best Fit Alignment following the typical sections described above.

Multiple options were considered at several intersection and interchange locations, listed in the table below. NCDOT recommendations are in bold italics.

Table 3: Interchange Alternative Locations

| Location | Alternatives Considered |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW | July 2016: Interchange at $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW presented <br> July 2017 / October 2017 (revised January 2018): Interchange design shifted to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW |
| Clement Boulevard | July 2016: Interchange design presented <br> July 2017 / October 2017: Superstreet design proposed |
| Grace Chapel Road | July 2016: Three alternatives presented - flyover, trumpet interchange, reverse Superstreet intersection <br> July 2017: The Superstreet design is modified <br> October 2017 (revised January 2018): Flyover design proposed |
| Alex Lee Boulevard | July 2016: Superstreet intersection presented <br> July 2017: Trumpet interchange presented <br> October 2017 (revised January 2018): Tight diamond interchange proposed |
| Falls Avenue | July 2016 / July 2017: Three alternatives presented - tight diamond interchange, partial clover interchange, and superstreet intersection. <br> October 2017 (revised January 2018): Tight diamond interchange proposed |

NOTE: Alternatives bolded and italicized are recommended by NCDOT
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## $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW \& $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW

Table 4: $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW \& $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW Alternatives Considered

| Location | Alternatives Considered | Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW | July 2016: Interchange at $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW presented | 1 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave SW | July 2017 / October 2017 (revised January 2018): Interchange design shifted to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW | 2 |

NOTE: Alternative bolded and italicized is recommended by NCDOT


Figure 1: 13th Street SW interchange design


Figure 2: (Recommended) 2nd Avenue SW interchange design

Table 5: $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW \& $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW Alternatives Stream and Wetland Impacts

| Alternative | Stream Impacts (If) | Wetland Impacts (ac) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13th St SW Interchange | 0 | 0 | Shifting the interchange improves access to downtown Hickory, providing a more direct connection from US 321 to $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenues SW, which are primary routes through downtown Hickory. A section of $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenues SW will be converted from one-way to two-way, consistent with |
| 2nd Ave SW Interchange | 0 | 0 | Hickory's long-term plan for the pair. Residential and business impacts are anticipated to be slightly higher. NCDOT proposes additional pedestrian connectivity to address potential EJ concerns. |

Clement Boulevard

Table 6: Clement Boulevard Alternatives Considered

| Location | Alternatives Considered | Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clement <br> Boulevard | July 2016: Half clover interchange design presented | 3 |
|  | July 2017 / October 2017: Superstreet design proposed | 4 |

NOTE: Alternative bolded and italicized is recommended by NCDOT


Figure 3: Half clover interchange design


Figure 4: (Recommended) Superstreet intersection design

Table 7: Clement Boulevard Alternatives Stream Impacts

| Alternative | Stream <br> Impacts (If) | Wetland <br> Impacts (ac) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Interchange | 1,110 | 0.1 | A superstreet intersection was determined to be <br> sufficient following the traffic forecast update. The <br> recommended superstreet intersection reduces <br> relocations to approximately 30 businesses and <br> eliminates a proposed culvert extension. |
| Superstreet | 860 | 0.1 | Imaten |

NOTE: Impacts are calculated using a 25 -foot buffer on slope stakes of the preliminary designs unles otherwis enoted

Table 8: Clement Boulevard Stream Impacts (LF) by Alternative

| Stream Impact (If) by Alternative |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Interchange | Superstreet | Figure <br> Number |  |
| Frye Creek | 375 | 125 | 14 A and <br> $14 B$ |  |
| SB | 735 | 735 | 14 C |  |

Table 9: Clement Boulevard Stream Impacts (LF) by Stream for Recommended Alternative

| Detailed Stream Information for Recommended Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Stream Name | Bank <br> Height (ft) | Bankful <br> Width <br> (ft) | Water <br> Depth <br> (in) | Classification | Impacts <br> (If) | Figure <br> Number |
| Frye Creek | Frye Creek | 12 | $12-15$ | 12 | Perennial | 735 | 14 A |
| SB | UT to <br> Catawba River | $7-9$ | 5 | 24 | Perennial | 125 | $14 C$ |

Grace Chapel Road
Table 10: Grace Chapel Road Alternatives Considered

| Location | Alternatives Considered | Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grace Chapel Road | July 2016: Trumpet interchange presented | 5 |
|  | July 2016 (revised July 2017): A Superstreet intersection is presented | 6 |
|  | July 2016 (revised October 2017 and January 2018): Flyover design proposed with additional Wolfe Road connector* | 7 |

NOTE: Alternative bolded and italicized is recommended by NCDOT

* NCDOT recommends adding the Wolfe Road connector to any alternative selected. Therefore, the Wolfe Road connector has been added to the graphics and calculations for all altern atives considered at this location.


Figure 5: Trumpet interchange design


Figure 6: Superstreet intersection design


Figure 7: (Recommended) Flyover design

Table 11: Grace Chapel Road Alternatives Stream Impacts (LF)

| Alternative | Stream <br> Impacts (If) | Wetland <br> Impacts (ac) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Superstreet | 950 | 0 | NCDOT recommends the flyover <br> because of substantial public <br> opposition to the other options. The <br> revised design improves access to <br> properties west of US 321. |
| Trumpet | 1,100 | 0 | 0 |

NOTE: Impacts are calcualted using a 25 -foot buffer on slope stakes of the preliminary designs unless otherwise noted
Table 12: Grace Chapel Road Alternatives Stream Impacts (LF) by Quadrant

| Stream Impact (If) by Quadrant |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | West of US 321 | North of Grace <br> Chapel Road | Wolfe Road <br> Connection |
| Superstreet | 320 | 80 | 550 |
| Trumpet | 500 | 50 | 550 |
| Flyover | 300 (SC) | 80 (SQQ and SRR) | 550 (SRR) |

Table 13: Grace Chapel Road Alternatives Stream Impacts (LF) by Stream

| Stream Impact (If) by Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Trumpet | Superstreet/ <br> Reverse Superstreet | Flyover | Figure <br> Number |  |
| SC | 500 | 310 | 300 | 14 D |  |
| SQQ | 30 | 50 | 40 | 14 D |  |
| SRR | 570 | 590 | 590 | 14 D |  |

Table 14: Grace Chapel Road Detailed Stream Impactsfor Recommended Alternative

| Detailed Stream Information for Recommended Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Stream Name | Bank <br> Height (ft) | Bankful <br> Width <br> (ft) | Water <br> Depth <br> (in) | Classification | Impacts <br> (If) | Figure <br> Number |
| SC | UT to <br> Catawba River | 5 | 5 | 0.5 | Perennial | 300 | 14 D |
| SQQ | UT to <br> Catawba River | 5 | 5 | 0.5 | Intermittent | 40 | 14 D |
| SRR | UT to <br> Catawba River | 10 | 10 | 2 | Perennial | 590 | 14 D |
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## Alex Lee Boulevard

Table 15: Alex Lee Boulevard Alternatives Considered

| Location | Alternatives Considered | Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alex Lee Boulevard | July 2016: Superstreet intersection presented | 8 |
|  | July 2017: Trumpet interchange presented | 9 |
|  | October 2017 (revised January 2018): Tight diamond interchange proposed | 10 |

NOTE: Alternative bolded and italicized is recommended by NCDOT


Figure 8: Superstreet design


Figure 9: Trumpet interchange design


Figure 10: (Recommended) Tight diamond interchange design

Table 16: Alex Lee Boulevard Alternatives Stream Impacts (LF)

| Alternative | Stream <br> Impacts (If) | Wetland <br> Impacts (ac) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Superstreet | 0 | 0 | An interchange was proposed to address public concerns and <br> improve access from MDI and adjacent neighborhoods. The <br> tight diamond interchange provides better access to adjacent <br> neighborhoods and reduced impacts to businesses compared <br> with the trumpet interchange. In addition, a new road was <br> added to connect Sage Meadow Circle, Midway Sand Road, and <br> the new interchange. |
| Tight Diamond | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NOTE: Impacts are calcualted using a 25 -foot buffer on slope stakes of the preliminary designs unless otherwise noted
Table 17: Alex Lee Boulevard Alternatives Impacts (LF) by Stream

| Stream Impact (If) by Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Superstreet | Trumpet | Tight Diamond | Figure Number |  |
| SD | 0 | 305 | 0 | 14 E |  |

Falls Avenue
Table 18: Falls Avenue Alternatives Considered

| Location | Alternatives Considered | Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Falls Avenue | July 2016: Partial clover interchange presented | 11 |
|  | July 2016: Superstreet intersection presented | 12 |
|  | July 2016 (revised January 2018): Tight diamond interchange | 13 |

NOTE: Alternative bolded and italicized is recommended by NCDOT


Figure 11: Partial cloverleaf interchange design


Figure 12: Superstreet intersection design


Figure 13: (Recommended) Tight diamond interchange design

Table 19: Falls Avenue Alternatives Impacts by Stream (LF)

| Alternative | Stream <br> Impacts (If) | Wetland <br> Impacts (ac) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Superstreet | 970 | 0 | The superstreet intersection has notable concerns <br> regarding EMS response, community cohesion, and <br> pedestrian connectivity. NCDOT recommends the <br> tight diamond interchange because of access and <br> connectivity benefits for residents and emergency <br> vehicles. |
| Partial Clover | 1,080 | 0 | 0 |
| Tight Diamond | 845 |  | vend |

NOTE: Impacts are calculated using a 25 -foot buffer on slope stakes of the preliminary designs unless otherwise noted
Table 20: Falls Avenue Alternatives Impacts by Quadrant (LF)

| Stream Impact by Quadrant |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | West of US 321, <br> North of Falls Ave | East of US 321, <br> North of Falls Ave | West of US 321, <br> South of Falls Ave | East of US 321, <br> South of Falls Ave |
| Superstreet | 205 | 295 | 100 | 370 |
| Partial Clover | 225 | 305 | 180 | 370 |
| Tight Diamond | 225 (Billy Branch) | 255 (SP and Billy <br> Branch) | 90 (SO) | 275 (SO) |

Table 21: Falls Avenue Alternatives Impacts by Stream (LF)

| Stream Impact (If) by Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Superstreet | Partial Clover | Tight Diamond | Figure <br> Number |  |
| SO | 550 | 470 | 365 | 14 G |  |
| SP | 165 | 160 | 180 | 14 H |  |
| Billy Branch | 365 | 340 | 300 | 14 H |  |

Table 22: Falls Avenue Recommended Alternative Impacts by Stream (LF)

| Detailed Stream Information for Recommended Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Stream Name | Bank <br> Height <br> (ft) | Bankful <br> Width <br> (ft) | Water <br> Depth <br> (in) | Classification | Impacts <br> (If) | Figure <br> Number |
| SO | UT to Gunpowder <br> Creek | $7-8$ | $10-15$ | 6 | Perennial | 365 | 14 G |
| SP | UT to Billy Branch | 3 | 5 | 3 | Perennial | 180 | 14 H |
| Billy Branch | Billy Branch | 7 | $6-7$ | 6 | Perennial | 300 | 14 H |

## Detailed Stream Information for Sections Between Interchange Areas

Table 23: Impacts between Alex Lee Boulevard and Falls Avenue by Stream (LF)

| Between Alex Lee Boulevard and Falls Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Stream Name | Bank <br> Height <br> (ft) | Bankful <br> Width <br> (ft) | Water <br> Depth <br> (in) | Classification | Impacts <br> (If) | Figure <br> Number |
| SF | UT to Gunpowder Creek | $10-12$ | 5 | 12 | Perennial | 230 | 14 F |
| SJ | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 3 | 3 | 6 | Intermittent | 40 | 14 F |
| SK | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 3 | 3 | 6 | Perennial | 120 | 14 F |
| SM | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 3 | 4 | 4 | Perennial | 100 | 14 F |
| SN | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 1 | 1 | 4 | Perennial | 280 | 14 G |
| Billy Branch | Billy Branch | 7 | $6-7$ | 6 | Perennial | 360 | 14 H |

NOTE: Billy Branch stream details will be updated with 2017 NRTR Addendum
Table 24: Impacts between Falls Avenue and Southwest Boulevard by Stream (LF)

| Between Falls Avenue and Southwest Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map ID | Stream Name | Bank Height <br> (ft) | Bankful Width <br> (ft) | Water Depth (in) | Classification | Impacts (If) | Figure Number |
| SQ | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 2 | 3 | 3 | Perennial | 130 | 141 |
| SR | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 2 | 3 | 3 | Perennial | 170 | 141 |
| SS | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 4 | 5 | 3 | Intermittent | 65 | 141 |
| Little Gunpowder Creek | Little Gunpowder Creek | 6 | 20 | 24 | Perennial | 150 | 14I, 14J |
| ST | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 2 | 6 | 2 | Intermittent | 30 | 14J |
| STA | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 3 | 10 | 3 | Perennial | 85 | 14 J |
| SU | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 4 | 7 | 6 | Intermittent | 65 | 14J |
| SV | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 1 | 3 | 3 | Intermittent | 110 | 14 J |
| SW | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 1-2 | 5-8 | 6 | Perennial | 540 | 14J |
| SX | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 1 | 3-4 | 6 | Intermittent | 50 | 14J |
| SY | UT to Little Gunpowder Creek | 1 | 2 | 3 | Intermittent | 45 | 14J |
| SZ | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 5 | 3 | 3 | Perennial | 85 | 14 K |
| SAA | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 3 | 5 | 6 | Perennial | 115 | 14K |
| SBB | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 3 | 3 | 6 | Intermittent | 70 | 14K |
| Gunpowder Creek | Gunpowder Creek | 10 | 15 | 24 | Perennial | 55 | 14K |
| SDD | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 2 | 4 | 6 | Intermittent | 20 | 14L |
| SEE | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 3 | 6 | 6 | Intermittent | 150 | 14L |
| SLL | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 1 | 6 | 6 | Perennial | 185 | 14L |
| Brushy Creek | Brushy Creek | 10 | 25 | 24 | Perennial | 120 | 14M |
| Angley Creek | Angley Creek | 5 | 12-18 | 18 | Perennial | 200 | 14M |

## Public Comments Summary

The Public Hearings were held July 11-12, 2016. Written comments were received from a total of 54 citizens at the hearing and following the public hearing. Three alternatives were presented at the public hearings located at both Falls Avenue and Grace Chapel Road. Elsewhere along the corridor, one typical section and roadway alignment was carried presented at the public hearing. There was very little preference or opposition expressed for any of the interchange alternatives at Grace Chapel Road. At Falls Avenue, there was a preference for the tight diamond alternative by both citizens and the Town of Granite Falls. There was a desire to add a new interchange at Alex Lee Boulevard, and to shift the interchange from $13^{\text {th }}$ Street SW to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue SW. The Post Combined Public Hearing Meeting Summary (November 15, 2016) is included as an attachment tothis informational packet.

A Public Meeting was held on July 27, 2017. Design revisions (listed in the Appendix) were presented. These were based on public input from the 2016 Public Hearing as well as an updated traffic forecast. Written comments were received from a total of 203 citizens at the meeting and following the public meeting. Most citizens who provided written comments expressed concerns about the Grace Chapel Road Superstreet intersection. The Post-Public Meeting Meeting Summary (September 28, 2017) is included as an attachment to this informational packet.

A Public Meeting was held on October 12, 2017. Design revisions (listed in the Appendix), based on public input from the July 2017 public meeting, were presented. Written comments were received from a total of 19 citizens at the meeting and following the public meeting. An additional 8 verbal comments were received at the Caldwell County Commissioners meeting. Generally, citizens supported the revised design. The Post-Public Meeting Meeting Summary (November 17,2017) is included as an attachment to this informational packet.

## Minimization Measures (To Be Discussed and Finalized in C.P. 4A)

The following minimization measures have been incorporated into the preliminary design:

- Selected 30 -foot median rather than 46 -foot median
- Added expressway gutter on the NB side of US 321 from $49+00$ to $63+00$ to minimize impacts to property
- Added expressway gutter on the SB side of US 321 from $60+00$ to $63+00$ to minimize impacts to Duke Energy Substation
- Added bridge and increased slopes on Grace Chapel Flyover at 14+98 to minimize stream impacts
- Added a retaining wall on the northbound side of US 321 at $365+00$ (northbound off-ramp at Falls Avenue) to minimize impacts to streams and a dwarf-flowered heartleaf boundary
- Added a retaining wall on the southbound side of US 321 at 370+00 (southbound on-ramp at Falls Avenue) to minimize stream impacts
- Added a retaining wall on the northbound side of US 321 at 397+00 (northbound on-ramp at Falls Avenue) to minimize stream impacts

The table below summarizes anticipated stream and wetland impacts for the alternatives considered. NCDOT recommendations are in bold italics.

Table 25: Summary of Potential Impacts for Studied Alternatives

| Section | Alternative | Stream Impacts (If) ${ }^{2}$ | Wetland Impacts (ac) ${ }^{2}$ | Dwarf-flowered heartleaf Occurrence (ac) ${ }^{2}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13th St SW and 2nd Ave SW | 13th St SW Interchange | 0 | 0 | 0 | Shifting the interchange improves access to downtown Hickory, providing a more direct connection from US 321 to $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenues SW, which are primary routes through downtown Hickory. A section of $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenues SW will be converted from one-way to two-way, consistent with Hickory's longterm plan for the pair. Residential and business impacts are anticipated to be slightly higher. NCDOT proposes additional pedestrian connectivity to address potential EJ concerns. |
|  | 2nd Ave SW Interchange | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Clement Blvd ${ }^{1}$ | Interchange | 1,110 | 0.1 | <0.1 | A superstreet intersection was determined to be sufficient following the traffic forecast update. The recommended superstreet intersection reduces relocations to approximately 30 businesses and eliminates a proposed culvert extension. |
|  | Superstreet | 860 | 0.1 | <0.1 |  |
| Grace Chapel Rd ${ }^{1}$ | Superstreet | 950 | 0 | 0 | NCDOT recommends the flyover because of substantial public opposition to the other options. The revised design improves access to properties west of US 321. |
|  | Trumpet | 1,100 | 0 | 1.5 |  |
|  | Flyover | 930 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Alex Lee Blvd | Trumpet | 305 | 0 | 0 | An interchange was proposed to address public concerns and improve access from MDI and adjacent neighborhoods. The tight diamond interchange provides better access to adjacent neighborhoods and reduced impacts to businesses compared with the trumpet interchange. In addition, a new road was added to connect Sage Meadow Circle, Midway Sand Road, and the new interchange. |
|  | Superstreet | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Tight Diamond | 140 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Between Alex Lee Blvd and Falls Ave |  | 1,130 | 0 | 0.3 |  |
| Falls Ave | Superstreet | 970 | 0 | <0.1 | The superstreet intersection has notable concerns regarding EMS response, community cohesion, and pedestrian connectivity. NCDOT recommends the tight diamond interchange because of access and connectivity benefits for residents and emergency vehicles. |
|  | Partial Clover | 1,080 | 0 | 1.1 |  |
|  | Tight Diamond | 845 | 0 | <0.1 |  |
| Between Falls Ave and Southwest Blvd |  | 2,440 | 0.6 | 1.0 |  |
| Recommended Alternative Total |  | 6,345 | 0.7 | 1.4 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Impacts to the banks of the Catawba River (Lake Hickory) are not anticipated since it will be spanned with new bridges.
${ }^{2}$ Shown impacts include 25 -foot clearing limits outside slope stake lines
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Figure 14: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands

Catawba, Burke, and Caldwell Counties
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Figure 14A: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands
Between 7th Avenue NW and 1st Avenue SW
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Figure 14B: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands At Clement Boulevard

Catawba County

年
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Figure 14C: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands at 15th Avenue NW

Catawba, Burke, and Caldwell Counties
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Figure 14D: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands at Grace Chapel Road

Caldwell County
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Figure 14E: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands at Alex Lee Boulevard

Caldwell County
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Figure 14F: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands Between U.S. 321 Alt. and Poovey Drive
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Figure 14G: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands Between Poovey Drive and Falls Avenue
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Figure 14H: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands Between Falls Avenue and Dudley Shoals Road
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Figure 14I: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands Between Pinewood Road and Shamrock Heights

Caldwell County
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Figure 14J: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands Between Buttons Lane and Little Gunpowder Creek Caldwell County
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Figure 14K: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands At Pine Mountain Road
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Figure 14L: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands at Mount Herman Road

Caldwell County
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Figure 14M: Impacts to Streams and Wetlands Between Whispering Pines Dr and Southwest BIvd

Caldwell County


|  | —Proposed Roadway Bridge | - Slope Stakes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| —Proposed Concrete 4 in Sidewalk |  |  |
| EJ Study Area |  |  |

U-4700-U.S. 321 Widening
Figure 15: EJ Study Area Impacts At 13th Street SW

Catawba County

Appendix A: Recommended Major Drainage Structures

| Near Station | Site | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Field } \\ \text { Verification } \\ \text { ID \# } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Existing Structure: Type, Size, Length | Proposed Structure | Estimated Min Length / Min Culvert Total Length | Cost Estimate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Stream Classification | Proposed Wetland (ACRE) / Stream Impacts (LF) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Intermittent/ Perennial | FEMA | Channel Dimensions | Riparian Buffer Impacts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -L- 95+00 | 1 | Frye Creek | Frye Creek | 2 @ 10' X 10' RCBC, 120.5' | Retain and Extend | 26' (LT) \& 15' (RT)/ 161.5' | \$90,200 | WS-IV | 144 LF-Stream Impact | Perennial | Yes | 8' wide, 5' deep | N/A |
| -L- 175+00 | 2 | Catawba River | Catawba River | 2 Bridges: <br> \#1: 10 spans, 825 ' length; <br> \#2: 12 s pans, 944 ' length | Removeand Replace Bridges | NB Bridge: 1809 SB Bridge: $1720^{\prime}$ | \$29,248,500 | WS-IV, B, CA | Bridge (No impacts) | Perennial | Yes | 680 ' wide, depth varies | Yes |
| -L- 338+00 | 3 | SN | UT to Gunpowder Creek | 6'X7'RCBC, 275' | Retain and Extend | $76^{\prime}(\mathrm{LT})$ \& 89' (RT)/440' | \$144,180 | WS IV, CA | 268 LF-Stream Impact | Perennial | Only at Outlet | 12' wide, 5 ' deep | N/A |
| -L- 400+00 | 4 | Billy Branch | Billy Branch | 2 @ 6'x7' RCBC, 264' | Retain and Extend | $56^{\prime}$ (LT) \& 49' (RT)/369' | \$99,225 | WS-IV | 197 LF-Stream Impact | Perennial | Yes | 15 ' wide, 3 ' deep | N/A |
| -L- 465+00 | 5 | Little Gunpowder Creek | Little Gunpowder Creek | 38' X18' RCArch, 147' | Retain and Extend | $\begin{gathered} 20^{\prime}(\mathrm{LT}) \& 22.5^{\prime}(\mathrm{RT}) / \\ 189.5^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | \$85,000 | WS-IV | 0.10 ACRE - Wetland Impact/ <br> 150 LF-Stream Impact | Perennial | Yes | 19' wide, 4' deep | N/A |
| -L- 625+00 | 6 | Gunpowder Creek | Gunpowder Creek | 2 Bridges: <br> \#1: 3 spans, 158 ' length; <br> \#2:3 spans, 173' length | Retain and Widen Bridges | Widen NB Bridge $16{ }^{\prime}$ <br> Widen SB Bridge $25^{\prime}$ | \$1,051,400 | C | Bridge (No impacts) | Perennial | Yes | 22' wide, 7' deep | N/A |
| -L- 696+00 | 7 | Brushy Fork | Brushy Fork | 3 @ 9'X9' RCBC, 136' | Retain and Extend | 31'(LT) \& 15'(RT)/182' | \$148,700 | C | 124 LF-Stream Impact | Perennial | Only atOutlet | 9' wide, 11' deep | N/A |
| -L- 705+00 | 8 | Angley Creek | Angley Creek | 7' X 7' RCBC, 189' | Retain and Extend | $41^{\prime}(\mathrm{LT}) \& 23^{\prime}(\mathrm{RT}) / 253{ }^{\prime}$ | \$59,040 | C | 366 LF-Stream Impact | Perennial | Yes | 9' wide, 2' deep | N/A |
| -Y19-19+88 | 17 | SRR | UT to Catawba River | 72" CMP, 209' | Retain and Extend | 38'(RT)/ 2471 | \$5,320 | WS-IV, B, CA | 59 LF - Stream Impact | Perennial | No | $10^{\prime}$ wide, $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline-\mathrm{Y} 19 \mathrm{FLY}- \\ 14+98 \end{gathered}$ | 17A | SC | UT to Catawba River | N/A | Proposed Bridge ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 135' | \$610,000 | WS-IV, B, CA | N/A | Perennial | No | 5 'wide, 5 ' deep | N/A |
| Wolfe Rd. $17+00$ | 17B | SRR | UT to Cata wba River | N/A | Proposed Culvert | $187{ }^{\prime}-8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ RCBC | \$250,000 | WS-IV, B, CA | 380 LF-Stream Impact | Perennial | No | $10^{\prime}$ wide, $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep | N/A |

${ }^{2}$ Cost Estimates are based off Bid Averages provided by NCDOT for 2012
Stream/wetland impacts are measured from openings of existing culvert to 25 ' beyond slope stake
c Only proposed for Flyover alternative at Grace Chapel Road intersection

[^1]CBC Indicates Reinforced Concrete Box Culvert
IMP Indicates Corrugated Metal Pipe
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { B } & \text { Indicates Class B } \\ \text { C } & \text { Indicates Class C } \\ \text { CA } & \text { Critical Area } \\ \text { WS-IV } & \text { Indicates Water Supply IV }\end{array}$











- Proposed Concrete Structure Proposed Concrete Median
Proposed Edge of Transportation - Proposed Paved Shoulder
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Figure 16: Site 17 and 17B - UT to Catawba River
Existing Site 17 Structure: 72" CMP - Length: 209' Proposed Site 17 Structure: Retain Existing and Extend $28^{\prime}$ Proposed Site 17B Structure: New 8' X 8' RCBC - Length: 190'


[^2]
## U-4700-U.S. 321 Widening

Figure 17: Site 17A - UT to Catawba River Existing Structures: None
Proposed Structure: Bridge - Length: $135^{\prime}$

Appendix B: Recommended Typical Sections




[^0]:    NOTE: Stream SRR details will be updated with 2017 NRTR Addendum

[^1]:    Note: Site 3,4 , and 5 have potential dwa rf-flowe red heartleaf impactis
    N/A Indi cates Not Applicable

[^2]:    - Proposed Roadway Bridge Proposed Concrete Median
    - Slope Stakes
    -Delineated Streams
    25 Ft . Buffer
    ——Proposed Paved Shoulder
    - Proposed Retaining Wall

