Type I or II Categorical Exclusion Action Classification Form

STIP Project No.	I-5950
WBS Element	45897.3.1
Federal Project No.	0805055

A. Project Description:

The project will rehabilitate existing pavement on I-85 from US29/US52/US70/Bus 85 to North of SR 2205 (Old US 64) and rehabilitate Bridge Nos. 280147, 280152, 280154, 280170, 280171, 280137, 280146, 280092, 280174, 280181, and 280182.

B. <u>Description of Need and Purpose:</u>

The project is needed to rehabilitate existing infrastructure.

C. Categorical Exclusion Action Classification:

Type I(A) - Ground Disturbing Action

D. Proposed Improvements:

- 22. Projects, as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101, which would take place entirely within the existing operational right-of-way. Existing operational right-of-way refers to right-of-way that has been disturbed for an existing transportation facility or is maintained for a transportation purpose. This area includes the features associated with the physical footprint of the transportation facility (including the roadway, bridges, interchanges, culverts, drainage, fixed guideways, mitigation areas, etc.) and other areas maintained for transportation purposes such as clear zone, traffic control signage, landscaping, any rest areas with direct access to a controlled access highway, areas maintained for safety and security of a transportation facility, parking facilities with direct access to an existing transportation facility, transit power substations, transit venting structures, and transit maintenance facilities. Portions of the right-of-way that have not been disturbed or that are not maintained for transportation purposes are not in the existing operational right-of-way26. Modernization of a highway by resurfacing, restoration, rehabilitation, reconstruction, adding shoulders, or adding auxiliary lanes (including parking, weaving, turning, and climbing lanes), if the action meets the constraints listed in 23 CFR 771.117(e)(1-6).
- 28. Bridge rehabilitation, reconstruction, or replacement or the construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings, if the actions meet the constraints in 23 CFR 771.117(e)(1-6).

E. Special Project Information:

The project was screened for impacts to cultural resources by NCDOT Division 9 Environmental staff. Impacts to historic architecture, archeology and the Catawba Indian Nation were considered. It was determined that the project would qualify as exempt under Section 106, and a 'Cultural Resources Programmatic Agreement Screening Checklist for Section 106" was completed. No further historic architecture or archeology reviews were completed. Additionally, the since the project falls entirely within existing maintained Right of Way, with no new easements., tribal coordination was not completed. A copy of the screening checklist is attached.

USFWS county list for endangered and threatened species was reviewed to determine threatened and endangered species for Davidson County (updated June 26, 2018). The USFWS lists the Northern long-eared bat (NLEB-*Myotis septentrionalis*) as threatened. The bridges in the project area were surveyed on June 23 and August 10, 2021 by NCDOT Biologists (Chris Manley and Cheryl Knepp) and found no bats or evidence of bats. Very few crevices suitable for roosting were present on the 12 structures surveyed, and no evidence (bats, staining, and guano) of bats was observed.

For the proposed action, NCDOT has committed to the conservation measures listed below:

- No alterations of a known hibernacula entrance or interior environment if it impairs an essential behavioral pattern, including sheltering northern long-eared bats (January 1 through December 31);
- 2) No tree removal within a 0.25-mile radius of a known hibernacula (January 1 through December 31); and
- No cutting or destroying a known, occupied maternity roost tree, or any other trees within a 150foot radius from the known, occupied maternity tree during the period from June 1 through and including July 31.

NCDOT has determined that the proposed action does not require separate consultation on the grounds that the proposed action is consistent with the final Section 4(d) rule, codified at 50 C.F.R. § 17.40(o) and effective February 16, 2016. NCDOT may presume its determination is informed by best available information and consider Section 7 responsibilities fulfilled for NLEB.

The USFWS also lists Schweinitz's sunflower (*Helianthus schwenitzii*) as endangered species in Davidson County. Schweinitz's sunflower is found along existing maintained roads and utility easements, and open frequently disturbed areas. There will be disturbance to habitat as a result of the project. This habitat is limited to roadside locations along existing I-85. The areas where habitat will be disturbed are shown on the attached mapping. These areas were surveyed on October 26, 2021 for Schweinitz's sunflower. None were found. Additionally, the NCNHP NHEO data was accessed on August 26, 2021 and no populations were found within a 1 mile radius of the project. Since surveys found no Schweinitz's sunflowers in the areas to be disturbed and there are no known populations within a 1 mile radius, we determined that the project would have 'no effect' on Schweinitz's sunflower. A copy of the NRTR memo can be found in the project file.

There will be stream impacts associated with installation of pipe liners on three pipes that carry jurisdictional streams. These impacts will be minimal.

No geoenvironmental reviews were completed since the project will take place entirely in existing disturbed ROW.

F. Project Impact Criteria Checklists:

F2. Ground Disturbing Actions – Type I (Appendix A) & Type II (Appendix B)					
Proposed improvement(s) that fit Type I Actions (NCDOT-FHWA CE Programmatic Agreement, Appendix A) including 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, 18, 21, 22 (ground disturbing), 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, &/or 30; &/or Type II Actions (NCDOT-FHWA CE Programmatic Agreement, Appendix B) answer the project impact threshold questions (below) and questions 8 – 31.					
 If any question 1-7 is checked "Yes" then NCDOT certification for FHWA approval is required. If any question 8-31 is checked "Yes" then additional information will be required for those questions in Section G. 					
PROJECT IMPACT THRESHOLDS (FHWA signature required if any of the questions 1-7 are marked "Yes".)			No		
1	Does the project require formal consultation with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) or National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)?				
2	Does the project result in impacts subject to the conditions of the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA)?		V		
3	Does the project generate substantial controversy or public opposition, for any reason, following appropriate public involvement?		V		
4	Does the project cause disproportionately high and adverse impacts relative to low-income and/or minority populations?		V		
5	Does the project involve a residential or commercial displacement, or a substantial amount of right of way acquisition?				
6	Does the project require an Individual Section 4(f) approval?		V		
7	Does the project include adverse effects that cannot be resolved with a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) or have an adverse effect on a National Historic Landmark (NHL)?				
	ly question 8-31 is checked "Yes" then additional information will be required for those tion G.	questio	ns in		
Othe	Other Considerations Yes No.		No		
8	Is an Endangered Species Act (ESA) determination unresolved or is the project covered by a Programmatic Agreement under Section 7?		V		
9	Is the project located in anadromous fish spawning waters?		V		
10	Does the project impact waters classified as Outstanding Resource Water (ORW), High Quality Water (HQW), Water Supply Watershed Critical Areas, 303(d) listed impaired water bodies, buffer rules, or Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (SAV)?		V		
11	Does the project impact Waters of the United States in any of the designated mountain trout streams?		V		
12	Does the project require a LLS Army Corps of Engineers (LISACE) Individual		$\overline{\checkmark}$		
13	Will the project require an easement from a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) licensed facility?		V		

Other Considerations for Type I and II Ground Disturbing Actions (continued)		Yes	No
14	Does the project include a Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) effects determination other than a No Effect, including archaeological remains?		V
15	Does the project involve GeoEnvironmental Sites of Concerns such as gas stations, dry cleaners, landfills, etc.?		V
16	Does the project require work encroaching and adversely affecting a regulatory floodway or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to Executive Order 11988 and 23 CFR 650 subpart A?		
17	Is the project in a Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA) county and substantially affects the coastal zone and/or any Area of Environmental Concern (AEC)?		V
18	Does the project require a U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) permit?		
19	Does the project involve construction activities in, across, or adjacent to a designated Wild and Scenic River present within the project area?		V
20	Does the project involve Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) resources?		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$
21	Does the project impact federal lands (e.g. U.S. Forest Service (USFS), USFWS, etc.) or Tribal Lands?		V
22	Does the project involve any changes in access control or the modification or construction of an interchange on an interstate?		V
23	Does the project have a permanent adverse effect on local traffic patterns or community cohesiveness?		V
24	Will maintenance of traffic cause substantial disruption?		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$
25	Is the project inconsistent with the STIP, and where applicable, the Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO's) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)?		V
26	Does the project require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act, the Federal Aid in Fish Restoration Act, the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act, Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), Tribal Lands, or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with public-use money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property?		V
27	Does the project involve Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) buyout properties under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)?		V
28	Does the project include a <i>de minimis</i> or programmatic Section 4(f)?		V
29	Is the project considered a Type I under the NCDOT Noise Policy?		V
30	Is there prime or important farmland soil impacted by this project as defined by the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)?		V
31	Are there other issues that arose during the project development process that affected the project decision?		V

G. Additional Documentation as Required from Section F (ONLY for questions marked 'Yes'):					
There are no 'yes' answers.					

H. Project Commitments (attach as Green Sheet to CE Form):

NCDOT PROJECT COMMITMENTS

STIP Project No. **I-5950**Rehabilitation of I-85 and bridges
Davidson County
Federal Aid Project No. 0805055
WBS Element 45897.3.1

There are no project specific commitments.

I. Categorical Exclusion Approval:

STIP Project No.	I-5950	
WBS Element	45897.3.1	
Federal Project No	. 0805055	
Prepared By:		
02/03/2022	DocuSigned by:	
Date	Amy Euliss, Division 9 PDEA Engineer North Carolina Department of Transportation	
Reviewed By: 02/03/2022	DocuSigned by: Matthew Jones	
Date	Matt Jones, PE Division 9 Project Development Engineer North Carolina Department of Transportation	
✓ Approve	If NO grey boxes are checked in Section F (pages 2 and 3), NCDOT approves the Type I or Type II Categorical Exclusion.	
Certifie	 If ANY grey boxes are checked in Section F (pages 2 and 3), NCDOT certifies the Type I or Type II Categorical Exclusion for FHWA approval. If classified as Type III Categorical Exclusion. 	
02/03/2022	S. P. Ivey	
Date	S. শেশিক্স্, শেল Division 9 Engineer North Carolina Department of Transportation	
FHWA Approved: For Projects Certified by NCDOT (above), FHWA signature required.		
Date for	John F. Sullivan, III, PE, Division Administrator Federal Highway Administration	

Note: Prior to ROW or Construction authorization, a consultation may be required (please see Section VII of the NCDOT-FHWA CE Programmatic Agreement for more details).

