MINIMUM CRITERIA DETERMINATION CHECKLIST

TIP Project No.	B-4942
W.B.S. Project No.	39905.1.1

Project Location: Bridge No. 121 on SR 1702 (Mark Edwards Road) over West Bear Creek in Wayne County

<u>Project Description:</u> The proposed project involves replacing Bridge No. 121 on SR 1702 (Mark Edwards Road) over West Bear Creek in Wayne County. The proposed project is included in the 2016-2025 North Carolina State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Right of way acquisition and construction are scheduled for state fiscal years 2018 and 2019, respectively, in the draft 2017-2027 STIP.

The replacement structure will be a bridge approximately 110 feet long providing a 33-foot 10-inch clear deck width. The bridge will include two 12-foot lanes and 4-foot 11-inch offsets. The bridge length is based on preliminary design information and is set by hydraulic requirements. The roadway grade of the new structure will be raised approximately 1-foot to match the existing low steel elevation.

Construction along SR 1702 will extend approximately 470 feet from the south end of the new bridge and 410 feet from the north end of the new bridge. The existing approaches will be widened to 24 feet with two 12-foot lanes, and six-foot grass shoulders will be provided on each side (nine-foot shoulders where guardrail is included). The roadway will be designed as a Minor Arterial using Sub-Regional Tier Guidelines with a 60 mile per hour design speed.

Traffic will be detoured off-site during construction (see Figure 1).

The total cost for the project included in the draft 2017-2027 STIP is \$850,000. Of this total, \$75,000 is for right of way acquisition and \$775,000 is for construction. Current cost estimates for the project are as follows:

 Right of Way Acquisition:
 \$75,000*

 Utilities:
 \$105,000

 Construction:
 \$1,350,000

 Total:
 \$1,455,000

*STIP estimate.

These estimates are based on the functional design. As project development continues in the design phase, project costs will be updated.

<u>Purpose and Need</u>: The purpose of the proposed project is to replace a deficient and functionally obsolete bridge.

NCDOT Bridge Management Unit records indicate Bridge No. 121 has a sufficiency rating of 62.92 out of a possible 100 for a new structure. Bridge No. 121 is considered functionally obsolete due to a deck geometry appraisal of 2 out of 9.

Bridge No. 121 is 52 feet long with a clear roadway width of 24 feet. The bridge is expected to carry 5,210 vehicles per day in the design year (2039). There is no posted weight limit on the

bridge for single vehicles or for truck-tractor semi-trailers. The bridge was constructed in 1950 and is approaching the end of its useful life.

Anticipated Permit or Consultation Requirements: A Nationwide Permit (NWP) 3 (maintenance) will likely be required for impacts to "Waters of the United States" resulting from this project. Other permits that may apply include a NWP No. 33 for temporary construction activities such as stream dewatering, work bridges, or temporary causeways that are often used during bridge demolition. The corresponding Water Quality Certifications (likely 4085 and 4094) will also be required.

The US Army Corps of Engineers holds the final discretion as to what permit will be required for the project.

Environmental Commitments: The list of project commitments is located at the end of the checklist.

Pedestrian and Bicycle Accommodations: This portion of SR 1702 is not a part of a designated bicycle route nor is it listed in the STIP as a bicycle project. No temporary bicycle or pedestrian accommodations are required for this project.

Bridge Demolition: Bridge No. 121 has a concrete deck and timber joists on timber caps and timber piles. Based on standard demolition practices, it should be possible to remove with no resulting debris in the water.

Alternatives Considered:

No Build – The no build alternative would result in eventually closing the road. Given the volume of traffic served by SR 1702, this is not acceptable.

Rehabilitation – Rehabilitation of the old bridge is not practical due to its age and deteriorated condition.

Onsite Detour – An onsite detour was not evaluated due to the presence of an acceptable offsite detour.

Staged Construction – Staged construction was not considered because of the availability of an acceptable offsite detour.

New Alignment – Given that the alignment for SR 1702 is acceptable, a new alignment was not considered as an alternative.

Offsite Detour – Bridge No. 121 will be replaced on the existing alignment. Traffic will be detoured offsite (see Figure 1) during the construction period. The offsite detour for this project will include SR 1003, SR 1719, and SR 1714. The majority of traffic on the road is through traffic. The detour for the average road user would result in 6.9 miles of additional travel. School bus service in the area will be maintained by utilizing existing driveways for turnarounds.

Public Involvement:

A landowner notification letter was sent to all property owners affected directly by this project in February 2015. Property owners were invited to comment. No comments have been received to date.

PART A: MINIMUM CRITERIA

	Will the proposed project involve land disturbing activity of more than ten acres that will result in substantial, permanent changes in the natural cover or topography of those lands?		NO
1.			\boxtimes
2.	Will the proposed project require the expenditure of more than ten million dollars in public funds?		\boxtimes
3.	Is the proposed project listed as a type and class of activity which would qualify as a Non-Major Action under the Minimum Criteria rules?	\boxtimes	
If "ye	es", under which category?	Category	#9
(Note	e: If either Category #8 or #15 is used, complete Part D of this checklist.)		
qualit	es" is selected for either Question 1 or 2 and "no" is selected for Question 3, then the sy as a Non-Major Action. A state environmental impact statement (SEIS) or statement (SEA) will be required.		
PAR'	Γ B: MINIMUM CRITERIA EXCEPTIONS		
4			NO
4.	Does the proposed activity have a significant adverse effect on wetlands; surface waters such as rivers, streams, and estuaries; parklands; prime or unique agricultural lands; or areas of recognized scenic, recreational, archaeological, or historical value?		\boxtimes
5.	Will the proposed activity endanger the existence of a species on the Department of Interior's threatened and endangered species list?		
6.	Would the proposed activity cause significant changes in land use concentrations that would be expected to create adverse air quality impacts?		
7.	Would the proposed activity cause significant changes in land use concentrations that would be expected to create adverse water quality or groundwater impacts?		
8.	Is the proposed activity expected to have a significant adverse effect on long-term recreational benefits?		\boxtimes
9.	Is the proposed activity expected to have a significant adverse effect on shellfish, finfish, wildlife, or their natural habitats?		\boxtimes
10.	Will the proposed activity have secondary impacts or cumulative impacts that may result in a significant adverse impact to human health or the environment?		\boxtimes
11.	Is the proposed activity of such an unusual nature or does the proposed activity have such widespread implications, that an uncommon concern for its environmental effects has been expressed to NCDOT?		

Note: If any of Questions 4 through 11 in part B are answered "YES", the proposed project does not qualify as a Non-Major Action. A SEIS or SEA will be required.

PART C: COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS

			YES	NO
Ecol	ogical Im	<u>pacts</u>		
12.		Is a federally protected threatened or endangered species, or its habitat, likely to be impacted by the proposed action?		
13.	Does the action require the placement of fill in waters of the United States?			
14.	4. Does the project require the placement of a significant amount of fill in high quality or relatively rare wetland ecosystems, such as mountain bogs or pine savannahs?			
15.	. Does the project require stream relocation or channel changes?			\boxtimes
16.	Is the proposed action located in an Area of Environmental Concern, as defined in the Coastal Area Management Act?			\boxtimes
<u>Cult</u>	ural Resou	urces_		
17.		project have an "effect" on a property or site listed on the National of Historic Places?		
18.		proposed action require acquisition of additional right of way from owned parkland or recreational areas?		\boxtimes
Que	stion 12:	Although not listed for Wayne County, the US Fish and Wildlife Service programmatic biological opinion (PBO) in conjunction with the Federal Administration, the US Army Corps of Engineers, and NCDOT for the neared bat (NLEB) in eastern North Carolina. The PBO covers the entire in Divisions 1-8, including all NCDOT projects and activities. The progredetermination for NLEB for the NCDOT program is "May Affect, Likely Affect." The PBO provides incidental take coverage for NLEB and will compliance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act for five year for project with a federal nexus in Divisions 1-8, which includes Wayne County and the PBO provides incidental take coverage for NLEB and will be compliance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act for five year for project with a federal nexus in Divisions 1-8, which includes Wayne County and the PBO provides in Divisions 1-8, which includes Wayne County and Divisions 1-8.	Highway northern lo NCDOT prammatic y to Adversers all NCI	ng- rogram rsely
Que	stion 13:	The new bridge will require the placement of bents in the water and rip-r slopes. This work is considered placement of fill in Waters of the US. The wetlands located in the project study area.	•	

PART D: (To be completed when either category #8 or #15 of the rules is used.)

- 19. Project length:
- 20. Right of Way width:
- 21. Total Acres of Disturbed Ground Surface:
- 22. Total Acres of Wetland Impacts:
- 23. Total Linear Feet of Stream Impacts:
- 24. Project Purpose:

Reviewed by:

5/2/2017

Date

DocuSigned by:

Acron M. Henstess

Aaron Heustess, PE

Consultant Project Manager Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc

5/2/2017

Date

Gregory M. Blakeney

Project Planning Engineer

Project Development & Environmental Analysis Unit

5/3/2017

Date

DocuSigned by:

James McInnis Jr, PE Project Engineer

Project Development & Environmental Analysis Unit

PROJECT COMMITMENTS:

Wayne County Bridge No. 121 on SR 1702 Over West Bear Creek W.B.S. No. 39905.1.1 STIP Project B-4942

Division Four Construction, Resident Engineer's Office – Offsite Detour

In order to have time to adequately reroute school buses, Wayne County Schools will be contacted at (919) 705-6084 at least one month prior to road closure.

Wayne County Emergency Services will be contacted at (919) 731-1416 at least one month prior to road closure to make the necessary temporary reassignments to primary response units.

Hydraulics Unit – FEMA Coordination

The Hydraulics Unit will coordinate with the NC Floodplain Mapping Program (FMP), to determine status of project with regard to applicability of NCDOT'S Memorandum of Agreement, or approval of a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) and subsequent final Letter of Map Revision (LOMR).

Division Construction-FEMA

This project involves construction activities on or adjacent to FEMA-regulated stream(s). Therefore, the Division shall submit sealed as-built construction plans to the Hydraulics Unit upon completion of project construction, certifying that the drainage structure(s) and roadway embankment that are located within the 100-year floodplain were built as shown in the construction plans, both horizontally and vertically.



