PROJECT COMMITMENTS

T.I.P. No. R-2248 G I-485/ Charlotte Outer Loop Paving of existing ramps at Oakdale Road (SR 2042) and construction of roundabouts at ramp termini and at the intersection of Oakdale Road and Mt Holly- Huntersville Road Mecklenburg County State Project No. 34410.1.S27

COMMITMENTS FROM PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND DESIGN

Division 10 Construction Unit

Due to the project draining to an area designated as "Critical Area", this project will be subject to "NCDOT's Design Standards for Sensitive Waters."

R-2248 G Greensheet March, 2014

Page 1 of 1

North Carolina Department of Transportation PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTATION FORM I.D. NO R-2248G March 4, 2014

I. <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u> a. Consultation Phase: Right of Way (Memo to File) Zahid Baloch, P.E Zand Project Planning Engineer Project Development and Environmental Analysis Unit

b. Project Description Section G, I-485 Interchange with SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) Ramp pavement and improvements to Oakdale Road and Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road Intersection, Charlotte, Mecklenburg County.

с.	State Project: Federal Project:	34410.1.S27 N/A (This part of project is state funded)	
d.	Document Type:	Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)	0 <u>1-29-1992</u>
		Record of Decision (ROD)	Date <u>10-15-1992</u> Date

II. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

The above environmental document has been reevaluated as required by 23 CFR 771. It was determined that the current proposed action is essentially the same as the original proposed action. Proposed changes, if any, are noted below in Section III. It has been determined that anticipated social, economic, and environmental impacts were accurately described in the above referenced document(s) unless noted otherwise herein. Therefore, the original Administration Action remains valid.

A circumferential freeway (the Outer Loop or I-485) around the city of Charlotte first gained local government support in 1977 with the adoption of the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Thoroughfare Plan. To meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was prepared and the Final EIS was approved in January 1992. Since the completion of the Record of Decision (ROD) in October 1992, the design and construction of various segments have taken place. Construction on the final 5.1-mile section of I-485 (R-2248E) to complete the Outer Loop around Charlotte is being conducted through the design-build process. This final section runs from west of NC 115 to west of I-85.

In 2009, the ROD for this project was re-evaluated as required by the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Title 23, Part 771. The 2009 re-evaluation determined that the anticipated social, economic, and environmental impacts in the ROD were accurately described and the original Administration Action remained valid.

During the construction of this portion of I-485, it was requested by the City of Charlotte to delay the paving of Oakdale Road (SR 2042) interchange ramps to discourage the explosive out of control growth, resulting in congested interchange and eventually deteriorating freeway operations. Now that the City of Charlotte has better control on growth patterns, the project is ready to move forward with opening the interchange by paving the ramps. Also instead of standard intersections at the interchange, roundabouts will be provided at the intersections for better traffic movement. This project also includes improvements to the intersection of Oakdale Road and Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road by providing a roundabout to improve traffic flow and improve safety.

III. CHANGES IN PROPOSED ACTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

The interchange ramps will be paved as originally planned in EIS, however instead of standard intersections, new roundabouts will be constructed at both ramp terminals of the interchange. Also, the intersection of Oakdale Road and Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road will be improved by providing new roundabout. All three roundabouts will be constructed within existing right of way but may require some right of way or construction easement.

In order to evaluate the traffic flow impacts of converting the existing grade separation to a diamond interchange, intersection traffic volumes using the Traffic forecast for 2015 and 2035 dated January 2014 were used. Oakdale Road is a three (3) lane roadway (middle lane is Two-Way Left-Turn Lane) TWLTL with a 2012 AADT of 5,100 vehicles per day. Capacity analysis were performed for the base year (2015) and the design year (2035) peak hour using SIDRA traffic analysis software, version 5. (Please see the attached December 12, 2013 Memo for details)

Roundabout Intersections Analysis Results

The following three intersections were analyzed for base year 2015 and design year 2035:

- 1. The I-485 Eastbound (EB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection
- 2. The I-485 Westbound (WB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection
- 3. SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) and SR 2004 (Mt. Holly Rd. / Huntersville Rd.) Stop-Controlled Intersection

Base Year (2015)/Design Year (2035) No-Build/Build Analysis

1. The I-485 Eastbound (EB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection

A single lane roundabout was analyzed for this intersection. Based on 2013 base year capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout configuration should work acceptably during the base year 2015. During the design year (2035), an exclusive northbound right-turn lane with 200' storage plus taper should be added. (Please see the attached December 12, 2013 Memo)

The results of the base year (2015) and Design year (2035) peak hour analysis are shown in the following table: 1

Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Comparisons	2015 No Build/B Single Lane Rour		2035 No Build /Build Single Lane Roundabout*	
	AM	PM	AM	PM
Overall Intersection LOS	А	А	А	Α
Worst Movement LOS	А	В	В	В
Worst Movement v/c Ratio	0.47	0.74	0.42	0.61
Worst Movement Max. Queuing	100'	274' (NB)	100'	182' (NB)

* Single lane Roundabout with slip lane

2. The I-485 Westbound (WB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) interchange Intersection

A single lane roundabout was analyzed for this intersection. Based on 2013 base year capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout configuration should work acceptably during the base year 2015. During the design year (2035), an exclusive southbound right-turn lane with 150' storage plus taper should be added.

The results of the base year (2015) and Design year (2035) peak hour analysis are shown in the following table: 2

Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Comparisons	2015 No Build /f Single Lane Rou		2035 No Build /Build Single Lane Roundabout [*]		
	AM	PM	AM	PM	
Overall Intersection LOS	А	А	Α	Α	
Worst Movement Delay (Sec.)	А	А	В	В	
Worst Movement v/c Ratio	0.79	0.50	0.78	0.79	
Worst Movement Max. Queuing	338' (SB)	117'	327' (SB)	339' (off-ramp)	

* Single lane Roundabout with slip lane

3. SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) and SR 2004 (Mt. Holly/Huntersville Rd.) Intersection

A single lane roundabout with a northbound exclusive right-turn lane (200') was analyzed for this intersection. Based on 2012 base year capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout configuration should work acceptably during the 2015 base year.

The results of the base year (2015) and Design year (2035) peak hour analysis are shown in the following table:3

Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Comparisons	2015 No Build /I Single Lane Rou		2035 No Build /Build Single Lane Roundabout*		
	AM	PM	AM	PM	
Overall Intersection LOS	А	А	А	Α	
Worst Movement Delay (Sec.)	В	В	Α	В	
Worst Movement v/c Ratio	0.79	0.70	0.65	0.61	
Worst Movement Max. Queuing	371' (WB)	251' (EB)	207' (EB)	178' (NB)	

* Single lane Roundabout with slip lane

During the design year (2035), a single lane roundabout with lanes (225' EB right-turn, 200' NB Right-turn, and 200' WB left-turn lanes) was analyzed for this intersection. Based on the capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout will work acceptably during the 2035 design year.

Interchange Analysis including Mainline

Capacity analysis utilizing Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 2010 procedures to evaluate the effect of adding an interchange at I-485 and SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) Figure 1 in attached (R-2248G Highway Capacity Analysis Memorandum dated January 8, 2014) shows the location of the proposed interchange. The evaluation analyzes the 2015 No Build, 2015 Build, 2035 No Build, and 2035 Build scenarios. The 2015 No Build scenario includes two separate conditions, one with STIP R-2248E and one without STIP R-2248E. Both conditions are included in this analysis. (See details January 8, 2014 Capacity Analysis Memorandum done by Hatch Mott MacDonald)

The analyses used the traffic forecast prepared for R-2248G dated December 17, 2013. The AM and PM peak hour volumes for the four scenarios are presented in Figure 2 through Figure 6 in attached (R-2248G Highway Capacity Analysis Memorandum dated January 8, 2014). The No Build analysis was based upon existing laneage (no interchange) as shown on aerial photography. The Build analysis laneage was based on a plan sheet and supplemental information provided by the NCDOT Roadway Design Project Engineer and aerial photography of the existing ramp stub-outs. The purpose of this analysis is to compare the No Build conditions to the Build conditions in years 2015 and 2035.

No Build Scenarios

In the 2015 and 2035 No Build scenarios, I-485 consists of a six-lane freeway, with three lanes in each direction. Existing Oakdale Road is grade separated with I-485. The four ramp stub-outs for

the R-2248G project are in place along I-485. The free flow speed was estimated, using HCM 2010 methodologies, to be 73.1 miles per hour for the No Build conditions. The terrain is assumed to be rolling and the percentage of trucks and recreational vehicles was taken from the R-2248G traffic forecast. Given there is no interchange at this location currently, the No Build analyses consisted of basic freeway analyses only.

Build Scenarios

In the 2015 and 2035 Build scenarios, I-485 consists of a six-lane freeway, with three lanes in each direction. STIP R-2248G proposes to convert the existing Oakdale Road grade separation with I-485 to a standard diamond interchange. For the purposes of this analysis, existing aerial photography along with design information provided by the NCDOT Roadway Design Project Engineer were both used.

For the ramp analyses, on I-485 eastbound, the diverge was analyzed with a 250-foot deceleration length and the merge was analyzed with a 900-foot acceleration length (including taper distance). In the I-485 westbound direction, the diverge was analyzed with a 250-foot deceleration length and the merge was analyzed with a 900-foot acceleration length (including the taper distance).

For the basic freeway segment analyses, the free flow speed was estimated using a base free flow speed of 75.4 miles per hour and HCM 2010 methodologies. The terrain is assumed to be rolling and the percentage of trucks and recreational vehicles was taken from the R-2248G traffic forecast. The provided traffic forecast did not include adjacent interchanges; therefore, for the Build analyses, the adjacent interchange ramps at NC 24 (WT Harris Boulevard) and NC 16 (Brookshire Boulevard) were not included in this analysis. The distance between the proposed ramps at the Oakdale Road interchange and the existing ramps at NC 24 is approximately 2.30 miles while the distance to the NC 16 ramps is approximately one mile. However, the analysis included the effects of the adjacent ramps at the proposed SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) interchange itself.

Analysis Results

Tables 4 and 5 provide the Highway Capacity Software analyses results and those results are discussed after the respective tables.

Table 4:

2015 No Build and Build Level of Service /Density (pc/mi/ln)

Segment	Segment Type	2015 No Build W/O R-2248E		2015 No Build with R-2248E		2015 Built	
		AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
I-485 Eastbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	N/A	N/A
I-485 Westbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	B/13.7	B/11.2	C/18.3	B/14.7	N/A	N/A
I-485 Eastbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	B/17.5	C/20.8
I-485 Eastbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C/22.7	C/26.7
I-485 Eastbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	B/15.6	C/19.1
I-485 Eastbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	B/19.1	C/21.7
I-485 Eastbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	B/17.4	C/21.3
I-485 Westbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	C/21.3	B/17.4
I-485 Westbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C/27.3	C/23.5
I-485 Westbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	C/19.1	B/15.6
I-485 Westbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C/21.0	B/17.0
I-485 Westbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.2	B/14.7	C/18.3	C/19.8	B/15.7

* No Build level of service and delay is for segment at Oakdale Road Overpass and listed here for comparison purposes

As shown in Table 4, all existing movements and proposed interchange movements operate at LOS C or better in 2015. Based on this information, congestion is not anticipated at the proposed interchange in 2015.

Table 5: 2035 No Build and Build Level of Service /Density (pc/mi/ln)

Segment	gment Segment 2035 No Build		2035 Bu	2035 Built	
	Туре	AM	PM	AM	PM
I-485 Eastbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	C/22.6	D/30.3	N/A	N/A
I-485 Westbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	D/30.3	C/22.6	N/A	N/A
I-485 Eastbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	C/23.4	D/31.4
I-485 Eastbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	D/28.7	D/33.7
I-485 Eastbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	C/21.7	D/28.2
I-485 Eastbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	C/26.8	D/32.0
I-485 Eastbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	D/26.5	E/35.2
I-485 Westbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	C/22.65	D/30.3	E/35.2	D/26.5
I-485 Westbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	E/35.9	D/31.6
I-485 Westbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	D/28.2	C/21.7
I-485 Westbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	D/28.8	C/23.1
I-485 Westbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	D/30.7	C/22.4

* No Build level of service and delay is for segment at Oakdale Road Overpass and listed here for comparison purposes

As shown in Table 5, without the proposed interchange, I-485 is anticipated to operate at LOS D or better in the 2035 design year. For the Build conditions, three locations operate at LOS E in the design year. The freeway segments of I-485 eastbound and I-485 westbound west of the proposed interchange are anticipated to operate at LOS E as is the I-485 westbound diverges to Oakdale Road. Based on this information, congestion is anticipated along I-485 in the area of the interchange in the design year; however, it should be noted that the greatest density is 35.9

passenger cars per mile per lane (pc/mi/ln) which is only 0.9 pc/mi/ln outside the threshold for LOS D.

Summary on LOS

Based on the capacity analysis results, all single lane roundabouts will work acceptably during the base year 2015. Some exclusive turn lanes will be needed to accommodate 2035 design year traffic. (See attached December 12, 2013 analysis)

As for as the proposed interchange mainline and ramps are concern, the worst levels of operations in the area of Oakdale Road will degrade from LOS D to LOS E. However, it should be noted that the density exceeds the LOS D threshold by 0.9 pc/mi/ln or less in each instance and that the effect of the adjacent interchanges were not accounted for in the analyses. These results were shared with FHWA Joe Geigle Congestion Management & ITS Engineer in detail on February 18, 2014 email (see the email Appendix B). FHWA concurred with findings and has no further questions.

Environmental Impacts

There are two drainage areas for this project. Mt. Holly – Huntersville Road serves as the dividing line between these two drainages. Water draining to the north of Mt. Holly – Huntersville Road drains to Mountain Island Lake, classified as a WS-IV and B, Critical Area, while drainage to the south flows to Long Creek, classified as WS-IV and 303 (d)(on the 2012 list) for copper.

Due to the Critical Area designation of the project, this project will require Design Standards for Sensitive Waters.

Protected Species

The United States Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) lists four federally protected (endangered) species for Mecklenburg County (Table 6).

Scientific Name	Common Name	Habitat Present	Biological Conclusion
Echinacea laevigata	Smooth coneflower	Yes	No Effect
Helianthus schweinitzii	Schweinitz's sunflower	Yes	No Effect
Lasmigona decorata	Carolina heelsplitter	No	No Effect
Rhus michauxii	Michaux's sumac	Yes	No Effect

Table 6. Federally protected species listed for Mecklenburg County

NCDOT biologists surveyed the project area on October 2, 2013. Suitable habitat is present for the three plants listed for Mecklenburg County. No specimens were located. A review of NCNHP records on October 4, 2013, indicates no known occurrences of listed species within 1.0 mile of the study area.

IV. LIST OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS

Due to the project draining to an area designated as "Critical Area", this project will be subject to "NCDOT's Design Standards for Sensitive Waters."


V. <u>COORDINATION</u>


Project Development and Environmental Analysis Unit personnel have discussed current project proposals with others as follows:


Design Engineer:	Gregory Brew	<u>11/22/2013</u>
		Date
FHWA Engineer:	Michael Batuzich	<u>11/25/2013</u>
		Date
Permits Section:	Michael Turchy	<u>10/09/2013</u>
		Date
Congestion Management:	James Dunlop	<u>01/28/2014</u>
		Date

Appendix A


Figures











<u>Appendix B</u>

- 1: Water Resources and protected spices update, dated October 9, 2013
- 2: Traffic Forecast for R-2248G, dated December 17, 2013
- 3: R-2248G Highway Capacity Analysis, dated January 8, 2013



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PAT L. MCCRORY GOVERNOR

ANTHONY J. TATA Secretary

October 9, 2013

MEMORANDUM TO:	Zahid Baloch, Project Development Engineer Project Development Section
FROM:	Michael Turchy, Environmental Specialist Natural Environment Section
SUBJECT:	Water resources and protected species update for a Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Right of Way Consultation for the proposed ramp creation on I-485 at Oakdale Road and improvements extending to Mt. Holly – Huntersville Road in Mecklenburg County, TIP R-2248 G.

This natural resources update is based off of the mapping provided for the Environmental Input Request (attached) and project description. The project description indicates that that the ramps, which are currently completely graded out, will be paved, traffic circles installed at the bottom of those ramps on Oakdale Road, and the intersection of Oakdale Road and Mt Holly – Huntersville Road to be transformed into a traffic circle.

Any additional improvements, such as road widening or pipe extensions, may require a higher level of environmental investigations.

Water Resources

There are two drainage areas for this project. Mt. Holly – Huntersville Road serves as the dividing line between these two drainages. Water draining to the north of Mt. Holly – Huntersville road drains to Mountain Island Lake, classified as a WS-IV and B, Critical Area, while drainage to the south flows to Long Creek, classified as WS-IV and 303 (d)(on the 2012 list) for copper.

Due to the Critical Area designation of the project, this project will require Design Standards for Sensitive Waters.

Protected Species

The United States Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) lists four federally protected (endangered) species for Mecklenburg County (Table 1).

Scientific Name	Common Name	Habitat Present	Biological Conclusion
Echinacea laevigata	Smooth coneflower	Yes	No Effect
Helianthus schweinitzii	Schweinitz's sunflower	Yes	No Effect
Lasmigona decorata	Carolina heelsplitter	No	No Effect
Rhus michauxii	Michaux's sumac	Yes	No Effect

Table 1	. Federally	protected	species	listed for	· Mecklenbu	rg County
---------	-------------	-----------	---------	------------	-------------	-----------

NCDOT biologists surveyed the project area on October 2, 2013. Suitable habitat is present for the three plants listed for Mecklenburg County. No specimens were located. A review of NCNHP records on October 4, 2013, indicates no known occurrences of listed species within 1.0 mile of the study area.


Project Commitments


The greensheet for the latest section of R-2248, was for the E section which was let on May 18, 2010.


This greensheet is attached. However, no commitments will remain that will apply to the current "G" section of the project. Therefore, it is recommended that a new project commitment sheet be developed and the following commitment should be added:


Due to the project draining to an area designated as "Critical Area", this project will be subject to "NCDOT's Design Standards for Sensitive Waters."

cc: R-2248 G file











January 8, 2014

То:	Jim Dunlop, PE Congestion Management Regional Engineer
	NCDOT
	1561 Mail Service Center
	Raleigh, NC 27699-1561
Subject:	R-2248G Highway Capacity Analysis Memorandum
From:	Nathan Phillips, PE
	Senior Transportation Engineer
	H-A-L M-AAM D
	7621 Purfoy Road
	Fuguer Verine NC 27256 Nathan Thursday
	Fuquay-Varina, NC 27256 Hatch Mathan KPhillipi 1-8-14

As requested, Hatch Mott MacDonald I&E, LLC (HMM) has developed an analysis utilizing Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 2010 procedures to evaluate the effect of adding an interchange at I-485 and SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) as part of STIP R-2248G. *Figure 1* shows the location of the proposed interchange. The evaluation analyzes the 2015 No Build, 2015 Build, 2035 No Build, and 2035 Build scenarios. The 2015 No Build scenario includes two separate conditions, one with STIP R-2248E and one without STIP R-2248E. Both conditions are included in this analysis and memorandum.

The analyses used the traffic forecast prepared for R-2248G dated December 17, 2013. The AM and PM peak hour volumes for the four scenarios are presented in *Figure 2* through *Figure 6*. The No Build analysis was based upon existing laneage (no interchange) as shown on aerial photography. The Build analysis laneage was based on a plan sheet and supplemental information provided by the NCDOT Roadway Design Project Engineer and aerial photography of the existing ramp stub-outs. The purpose of this technical memorandum is to compare the No Build conditions to the Build conditions in years 2015 and 2035.

No Build Scenarios

In the 2015 and 2035 No Build scenarios, I-485 consists of a six-lane freeway, with three lanes in each direction. Existing Oakdale Road is grade separated with I-485. The four ramp stub-outs for the R-2248G project are in place along I-485. The free flow speed was estimated, using HCM 2010 methodologies, to be 73.1 miles per hour for the No Build conditions. The terrain is assumed to be rolling and the percentage of trucks and recreational vehicles was taken from the R-2248G traffic forecast. Given there is no interchange at this location currently, the No Build analyses consisted of basic freeway analyses only.

Build Scenarios

In the 2015 and 2035 Build scenarios, I-485 consists of a six-lane freeway, with three lanes in each direction. STIP R-2248G proposes to convert the existing Oakdale Road grade separation with I-485 to a standard diamond interchange. For the purposes of this analysis, existing aerial photography along with design information provided by the NCDOT Roadway Design Project Engineer were both used.

For the ramp analyses, on I-485 eastbound, the diverge was analyzed with a 250-foot deceleration length and the merge was analyzed with a 900-foot acceleration length (including taper distance). In the I-485 westbound direction, the diverge was analyzed with a 250-foot deceleration length and the merge was analyzed with a 900-foot acceleration length (including the taper distance).

For the basic freeway segment analyses, the free flow speed was estimated using a base free flow speed of 75.4 miles per hour and HCM 2010 methodologies. The terrain is assumed to be rolling and the percentage of trucks and recreational vehicles was taken from the R-2248G traffic forecast. The provided traffic forecast did not include adjacent interchanges; therefore, for the Build analyses, the adjacent interchange ramps at NC 24 (WT Harris Boulevard) and NC 16 (Brookshire Boulevard) were not included in this analysis. The distance between the proposed ramps at the Oakdale Road interchange and the existing ramps at NC 24 is approximately 2.30 miles while the distance to the NC 16 ramps is approximately one mile. However, the analysis included the effects of the adjacent ramps at the proposed SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) interchange itself.

Analysis Results

Tables 1 and 2 provide the Highway Capacity Software analyses results and those results are discussed after the respective tables. The Highway Capacity Software analyses are located after the figures.



R-2248G Analysis Memo January 2014 Page **2** of **5**

Segment	Segment Type	2015 No Build w/o R-2248E		2015 No Build With R-2248E		2015 Build	
		AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
I-485 Eastbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	N/A	N/A
I-485 Westbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	B/13.7	B/11.2	C/18.3	B/14.7	N/A	N/A
I-485 Eastbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	B/17.5	C/20.8
I-485 Eastbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C/22.7	C/26.7
I-485 Eastbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	B/15.6	C/19.1
I-485 Eastbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	B/19.1	C/21.7
I-485 Eastbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	B/17.4	C/21.3
I-485 Westbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	C/21.3	B/17.4
I-485 Westbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C/27.3	C/23.5
I-485 Westbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	C/19.1	B/15.6
I-485 Westbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C/21.0	B/17.0
I-485 Westbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	B/11.2	B/13.7	B/14.7	C/18.3	C/19.8	B/15.7

Table 1: 2015 No Build and Build Level of Service/Density (pc/mi/ln)

*No Build level of service and delay is for segment at Oakdale Road Overpass and listed here for comparison purposes

As shown in *Table 1*, all existing movements and proposed interchange movements operate at LOS C or better in 2015. Based on this information, congestion is not anticipated at the proposed interchange in 2015.



R-2248G Analysis Memo January 2014 Page **3** of **5**

Sormout	Segment	2035 No Build		2035 Build	
Segment	Туре	AM	PM	AM	РМ
I-485 Eastbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	C/22.6	D/30.3	N/A	N/A
I-485 Westbound at Oakdale Road Overpass	Freeway	D/30.3	C/22.6	N/A	N/A
I-485 Eastbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	C/23.4	D/31.4
I-485 Eastbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	D/28.7	D/33.7
I-485 Eastbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	C/21.7	D/28.2
I-485 Eastbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	C/26.8	D/32.0
I-485 Eastbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	D/26.5	E/35.2
I-485 Westbound Before Oakdale Road Diverge	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	E/35.2	D/26.5
I-485 Westbound to Oakdale Road	Diverge	N/A	N/A	E/35.9	D/31.6
I-485 Westbound Between Oakdale Road Ramps	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	D/28.2	C/21.7
I-485 Westbound from Oakdale Road	Merge	N/A	N/A	D/28.8	C/23.1
I-485 Westbound After Oakdale Road Merge	Freeway*	C/22.6	D/30.3	D/30.7	C/22.4

Table 2: 2035 No Build and Build Level of Service/Density (pc/mi/ln)

*No Build level of service and delay is for segment at Oakdale Road Overpass and listed here for comparison purposes

As shown in *Table 2*, without the proposed interchange, I-485 is anticipated to operate at LOS D or better in the 2035 design year. For the Build conditions, three locations operate at LOS E in the design year. The freeway segments of I-485 eastbound and I-485 westbound west of the proposed interchange are anticipated to operate at LOS E as is the I-485 westbound diverge to Oakdale Road. Based on this information, congestion is anticipated along I-485 in the area of the interchange in the design year; however, it should be noted that the greatest density is 35.9 passenger cars per mile per lane (pc/mi/ln) which is only 0.9 pc/mi/ln outside the threshold for LOS D.

Summary

With the proposed interchange, the worst levels of operations in the area of Oakdale Road will degrade from LOS D to LOS E. However, it should be noted that the density exceeds the LOS




R-2248G Analysis Memo January 2014 Page **4** of **5** D threshold by 0.9 pc/mi/ln or less in each instance and that the effect of the adjacent interchanges were not accounted for in the analyses.

Attachments

Cc with Attachments: File




R-2248G Analysis Memo January 2014 Page **5** of **5**





Study Area


SCALE: NOT TO SCALE


Hatch Mott MacDonald











HCS Analysis

2015 No Build without R-2248E

	BASIC I	FREEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period Project Description - <i>R</i> -2248G	HMM 12/18/2013 AM No Build w/o R-2248E		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	I-485 Eastbound NC 16 to Beatties Ford Roa Mecklenburg County, NC 2015	
Project Description R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analy Oper.(LOS)		Des.(N)	🗌 Pla	anning Data	
Flow Inputs					5
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	2088	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
			Up/Down %		
<mark>Calculate Flow Adjustm</mark> ^f _ρ Ε _τ	ents 1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.67 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{∟w} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.3 73.1	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 843 75.0 11.2 B	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	$\frac{\text{Design (N)}}{\text{Design LOS}}$ $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x S)$ $D = v_p / S$ Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
۲	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-5		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

Copyright © 2013 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 2:39 PM

	BASIC I	FREEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period Project Description <i>R-2248G</i>	NKP HMM 12/18/2013 PM No Build without R-2248E		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	<i>I-485 Eastbound NC 16 to Beatties Ford Roa Mecklenburg County, NC 2015</i>	
Project Description R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analy © Oper.(LOS)		Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	Inning Data	
Flow Inputs					-
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	2552	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	onte				
	1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.67 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{LW} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.3 73.1	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS		pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x S)$ D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
۲	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

Copyright © 2013 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 2:40 PM

	BASIC F	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
AnalystNKPAgency or CompanyHMMVate Performed12/18/2013Analysis Time PeriodAM No Build w/o R-2248E		Highway/Direction of TravelI-485 WestboundFrom/ToNC 16 to Beatties Ford RJurisdictionMecklenburg County, NCAnalysis Year2015			
Project Description R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analy © Oper.(LOS)		Des.(N)	□ Pla	Inning Data	
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	2552	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
		VCII/II	Up/Down %		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p E _T	1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	\$	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.83 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{∟w} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.8 72.6	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1030 75.0 13.7 B	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x)$ S D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
٢	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

Copyright © 2013 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 2:40 PM

	BASIC I	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET			
General Information			Site Information			
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period Project Description <i>R-2248G</i>	HMM 12/18/2013 PM No Build w/o R-2248E		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	ghway/Direction of TravelI-485 Westboundom/ToNC 16 to Beatties FrisdictionMecklenburg Countnalysis Year2015		
Project Description R-22486 ✓ Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	anning Data	
Flow Inputs					5	
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	2088	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi		
			Up/Down %			
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents					
f _p E _T	1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917		
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS			
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.83 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{∟w} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.8 72.6	mph mph mph mph	
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)			
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 843 75.0 11.2 B	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	
Glossary			Factor Location			
v _p - Flow rate	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-5		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11	

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 2:40 PM

2015 No Build with R-2248E

2015 Build

BASIC FREEWAY WORKSHEET

Г

BASIC FREEWAY SEGMENTS WORKSHEET

General Information			Site Information			
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/18/2013 AM No Build v	v/ R-2248E	Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	vel I-485 Eastbound NC 16 to Beatties Ford Mecklenburg County, N 2015		
Project Description R-22480	G - Oakdale Road	l Interchange Ana	alysis			
Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	anning Data	
Flow Inputs						
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K	2727	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R	0.90 6 0		
Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	Rolling mi		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	nents					
f _ρ Ε _Τ	1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917		
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	Calc Speed Adj and FFS		
Lane Width	12.0	ft				
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph	
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph	
Total Ramp Density, TRD	0.67	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	2.3	mph	
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	73.1	mph	
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph				
LOS and Performance	Measures		Design (N)			
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1101 74.9 14.7 B	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	$\frac{\text{Design (N)}}{\text{Design LOS}}$ $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x S)$ $D = v_p / S$ Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	
Glossary			Factor Location			
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11	
Copyright © 2013 University of Florida. A			HCS 2010 TM Version 6 50		Generated: 1/3/2014 11	

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2013 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:26 AM

BASIC FREEWAY WORKSHEET

Г

BASIC FREEWAY SEGMENTS WORKSHEET

General Information			Site Information			
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/18/2013 PM No Build v	vith R-2248E	Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	NC 16 to	astbound o Beatties Ford Road aburg County, NC	
Project Description R-22480	G - Oakdale Road	I Interchange Ana	alysis			
☑ Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	anning Data	
Flow Inputs						
√olume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K	3333	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R	0.90 6 0		
Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	Rolling mi		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ients					
f _ρ Ε _Τ	1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917		
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	Calc Speed Adj and FFS		
Lane Width	12.0	ft				
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph	
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph	
Total Ramp Density, TRD	0.67	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	2.3	mph	
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	73.1	mph	
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph				
LOS and Performance I	Measures		Design (N)			
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1346 73.7 18.3 C	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	
Glossary			Factor Location			
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11	
Copyright © 2013 University of Florida, A			HCS 2010 TM Version 6 50		Generated: 1/3/2014 11:2	

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2013 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:26 AM

	BASIC I	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
AnalystMillenAgency or CompanyHMMDate Performed12/18/2013		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	irection of Travel I-485 Westbound NC 16 to Beatties Ford Road Mecklenburg County, NC		
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road		Des.(N)		anning Data
Flow Inputs			Jes.(N)		
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D	3333	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain:	0.90 6 0 Rolling	
DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	Grade % Length Up/Down %	mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
	1.00		E _R	2.0	
Έ Ε _Τ	2.5		←R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	0.83	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	2.8	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	72.6	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance N	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1346 73.7 18.3 C	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x)$ S D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
v _p - Flow rate	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:27 AM

	BASIC I	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	st Millen cy or Company HMM Performed 12/18/2013 sis Time Period PM No Build w/ R-2248E		Highway/Direction of TravelI-485 WestboundFrom/ToNC 16 to Beatties Ford RoJurisdictionMecklenburg County, NCAnalysis Year2015		Beatties Ford Road
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>lysis</i> Des.(N)	□ Pla	Inning Data
Flow Inputs			500.(IV)		
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D	2727	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain:	0.90 6 0 Rolling	
DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	Grade % Length Up/Down %	mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustme	ents				
f _p E _T	1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917	
Speed Inputs		Calc Speed Adj and FFS			
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	0.83	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	2.8	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	72.6	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance N	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x ⁻ S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1101 74.9 14.7 B	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x)$ S D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
v _p - Flow rate	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:28 AM

2035 No Build

	BASIC F	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/18/2013 PM No Build		Highway/Direction of TravelI-485 EastboundFrom/ToNC 16 to Beatties FordJurisdictionMecklenburg County, NAnalysis Year2035		Beatties Ford Road
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road		•		
Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	l Pla	nning Data
<i>Flow Inputs</i> Volume, V AADT	4862	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T	0.90 6	
Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	%RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0 Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.67 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{∟w} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.3 73.1	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1963 64.7 30.3 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x)$ S D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow s BFFS - Base free r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 1:17 PM

	BASIC F	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/18/2013 AM No Build		Site InformationHighway/Direction of TravelI-485 EastboundFrom/ToNC 16 to Beatties FordJurisdictionMecklenburg County, NAnalysis Year2035		Beatties Ford Road
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road		•		
Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	□ Pla	nning Data
<i>Flow Inputs</i> Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K	3978	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R	0.90 6 0	
Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.67 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{LW} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.3 73.1	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1606 70.9 22.6 C	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
٢	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow s BFFS - Base free r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 1:17 PM

	BASIC F	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/18/2013 AM No Build		Site informationHighway/Direction of TravelI-485 WestboundFrom/ToNC 16 to Beatties FordJurisdictionMecklenburg County, NAnalysis Year2035		Beatties Ford Road
Project Description R-2248G	6 - Oakdale Road		•		
✓ Oper.(LOS)		L	Des.(N)		nning Data
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K	4862	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R	0.90 6 0	
Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ients				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	\$	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.83 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{LW} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.8 72.6	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1963 64.7 30.3 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow s BFFS - Base free ir volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 1:18 PM

	BASIC F	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/18/2013 PM No Build		Site InformationHighway/Direction of TravelI-485 WestboundFrom/ToNC 16 to Beatties FordJurisdictionMecklenburg County, IAnalysis Year2035		Beatties Ford Road
Project Description R-2248G	i - Oakdale Road		•		
Coper.(LOS)			Des.(N)		inning Data
<i>Flow Inputs</i> Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K	3978	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R	0.90 6 0	
Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 0.83 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{LW} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 2.8 72.6	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance N	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1606 70.9 22.6 C	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x)$ S D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow : BFFS - Base free ir volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 1:18 PM

2035 Build

			GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 AM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year		stbound Dakdale off-ramp burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	I Interchange Ana	alysis		
Moper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗖 Pla	anning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	3948	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	3	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.00	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.2	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	72.2	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) <i>1594</i> 68.2 23.4 C	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre r volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-1 ⁻

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:09 AM

	BASIC I	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 PM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	I-485 Eastbound Before Oakdale off-ramp Mecklenburg County, NC 2035	
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Gper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	anning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	4899	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
			Up/Down %		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS		
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.00	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.2	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	72.2	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1978 63.0 31.4 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	$\frac{\text{Design (N)}}{\text{Design LOS}}$ $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x S)$ $D = v_p / S$ Required Number of Lanes, N	r	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre ir volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:10 AM

Fax:

_____Diverge Analysis______ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Agency/co.IntraDate performed:12/19/2013Analysis time period:AM Build Jurisdiction: Diverge to Oakdale Road Jurisdiction: Mecklenburg County, NC Analysis Year: 2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Eastbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Diverge Number of lanes in freeway 3 Free-flow speed on freeway 70.0 mph 3948 Volume on freeway vph _____Off Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-Flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 239 Volume on ramp vph Length of first accel/decel lane 250 ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? No Volume on adjacent ramp vph Position of adjacent ramp Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Adjacent Junction Components Ramp Ramp Volume, V (vph) 3948 239 vph Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 Peak 15-min volume, v15 1097 66 v Trucks and buses 6 3 % Recreational vehicles 0 0 % Rolling Rolling Terrain type: 0.00 % 0.00 % 8 Grade 0.00 mi 0.00 Length mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjus Driver population s Flow rate, vp					pcph
	Estimation	of V12 Diverge	e Areas_		
I	L = (E EQ	quation 13-12	or 13-1	3)	
Ι	P = 0.628 Us FD	ing Equation	5		
7	v = v + (v - v) 12 R F R		pc/h		
	Capac	ity Checks			
v = v Fi F	Actual 4781	Maximum 7200		LOS F? No	
v = v - v FO F R	4503	7200		No	
v	278	2100		No	
R v or v	1677 pc/h	(Equation	13-14	or 13-17)	
3 av34 Is v or v 2 3 av34	> 2700 pc/h?	No			
Is v or v		No			
3 av34 If yes, v = 3104 12A		(Equation 13	3-15, 13	-16, 13-18,	or 13-19)
	Flow Entering				
V 1 Q	Actual 3104	Max Desirable 4400		Violation? No	
12 Le	evel of Service De	termination (i	if not F)	
Density,	D = 4.252 + 0	.0086 v - 0.0	09 L D	= 28.7	pc/mi/ln
Level of service for				ence D	
	Speed E	stimation			
Intermediate speed	variable,	D = S	0.323		
Space mean speed in	n ramp influence a		61.0	mph	
Space mean speed in	n outer lanes,	S =	74.1	mph	
Space mean speed fo	or all vehicles,	0 S =	65.0	mph	

Fax:

_____Diverge Analysis______ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Date performed: 12/19/202 Analysis time period: PM Build 12/19/2013 Jurisdiction: Diverge to Oakdale Road Analysis Year: 2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Eastbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Diverge Number of lanes in freeway 3 Free-flow speed on freeway 70.0 mph Volume on freeway 4899 vph _____Off Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-Flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 347 Volume on ramp vph Length of first accel/decel lane 250 ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? No Volume on adjacent ramp vph Position of adjacent ramp Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Adjacent Junction Components Ramp Ramp Volume, V (vph) 4899 347 vph Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 Peak 15-min volume, v15 1361 96 v Trucks and buses 6 3 % Recreational vehicles 0 0 % Rolling Rolling Terrain type: 0.00 % 0.00 % 8 Grade 0.00 mi 0.00 Length mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjustme Driver population fact Flow rate, vp					pcph
	Estimation	of V12 Diverge	e Areas		
L = EO	= (E	quation 13-12	or 13-13	3)	
~	= 0.593 Us	ing Equation	5		
	= v + (v - v) R F R		pc/h		
	Capac	ity Checks			. <u> </u>
v = v Fi F	Actual 5933	Maximum 7200		LOS F? No	
v = v - v	5530	7200	1	No.	
FO F R V_	403	2100	1	V O	
R v or v	2250 pc/h	(Equation	n 13-14 c	or 13-17)	
3 av34 Is v or v > 2	700 pc/h?	No			
3 av34 Is v or v > 1.		No			
3 av34 If yes, v = 3683 12A	12	(Equation 13	8-15, 13-	-16, 13-18,	or 13-19)
	_Flow Entering				
v	Actual 3683			Violation? No	
12 Level	l of Service De	termination (i	f not F))	
Density,	D = 4.252 + 0	.0086 v - 0.0	009 L D	= 33.7	pc/mi/ln
Level of service for a	ramp-freeway ju		_	ence D	
	Speed E	stimation			
Intermediate speed var	riable,		0.334		
Space mean speed in ra	amp influence a		60.6	mph	
Space mean speed in ou	uter lanes,		71.9	mph	
Space mean speed for a	all vehicles,	0 S =	64.5	mph	

	BASIC	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 AM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year		stbound Oakdale ramps burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	inning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	3709	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _ρ E _T	1.00 2.5		E _R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	2.0 0.917	
Speed Inputs	-		Calc Speed Adj and FFS		
				, ,	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.00	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.2	mph
FFS (measured)	75 4	mph	FFS	72.2	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1497 69.0 21.7 C	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
P	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre ir volume	•	E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:16 AM

	BASIC I	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 PM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year		stbound n Oakdale ramps burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Coper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	anning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	4552	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
			Up/Down %		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
р Е _Т	2.5		R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS		
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3	it.	f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.00	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.2	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	72.2	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1838 65.3 28.2 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x)$ S D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre ir volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:16 AM

Fax:

_____Merge Analysis_____ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Agency/Co. Date performed: 12/19/2013 Analysis time period: AM Build Juniction:Merge from Oakdale RoadJurisdiction:Mecklenburg County, NCAnalysis Year:2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Eastbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Merge Number of lanes in freeway 3 70.0 Free-flow speed on freeway mph Volume on freeway 3709 vph _____On Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 654 Volume on ramp vph 900 Length of first accel/decel lane ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? Yes 239 Volume on adjacent Ramp vph Position of adjacent Ramp Upstream Type of adjacent Ramp Off Distance to adjacent Ramp 2950 ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Ramp Junction Components Adjacent Ramp Volume, V (vph) 3709 654 239 vph Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 182 0.90 1030 Peak 15-min volume, v15 66 v 3 0 Trucks and buses 6 6 8 0 Recreational vehicles 0 è Rolling Rolling Rolling Terrain type: % mi 8 Grade 8 Length mi mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.0 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjustment, Driver population factor, Flow rate, vp		0.917 1.00 4492	1.00	0.957 1.00 278	pcph
Е	stimation of	V12 Merge	Areas		
EQ P =	1481.78 (Equ 0.603 Usin				
	(P) = 270 FM	7 pc/h			
	Capacit	y Checks			
V FO	Actual 5284	Maximum 7200		LOS F? No	
v or v	1785 pc/h	(Equatio	n 13-14	or 13-17)	
3 av34 Is v or v > 2700 p	c/h?	No			
3 av34 Is v or v > 1.5 v		No			
3 av34 1 If yes, v = 2707 12A		(Equation 1	3-15, 13	8-16, 13-18,	or 13-19)
Actu v 3499 R12	ow Entering al Ma 46 Service Dete	x Desirable 00	:	Violation? No	
Density, D = 5.475 + 0.007 R Level of service for ramp-	34 v + 0.00 R	78 v - 0. 12	00627 L <i>I</i>	= 26.8	
	Speed Est	imation			
Intermediate speed variabl	е,		0.369		
Space mean speed in ramp i	nfluence are		59.7	mph	
Space mean speed in outer	lanes,		65.4	mph	
Space mean speed for all v	ehicles,	0 S =	61.5	mph	

Fax:

_____Merge Analysis_____ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Agency/co.InterDate performed:12/19/2013Analysis time period:PM Build Juniction:Merge from Oakdale RoadJurisdiction:Mecklenburg County, NCAnalysis Year:2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Eastbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Merge Number of lanes in freeway 3 70.0 Free-flow speed on freeway mph Volume on freeway 4552 vph _____On Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 699 Volume on ramp vph 900 Length of first accel/decel lane ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? Yes 347 Volume on adjacent Ramp vph Position of adjacent Ramp Upstream Type of adjacent Ramp Off Distance to adjacent Ramp 2950 ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Ramp Junction Components Adjacent Ramp 4552 699 Volume, V (vph) 347 vph 0.90 1264 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 194 0.90 Peak 15-min volume, v15 96 v 3 0 Trucks and buses 6 б 8 0 0 Recreational vehicles è Rolling Rolling Rolling Terrain type: % mi 00 Grade 8 mi Length mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.0 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjustmen Driver population facto: Flow rate, vp		0.917 1.00 5513	1.00		pcph
	Estimation	of V12 Merge	Areas		
EQ P = FM V = -	1712.04 (E 0.603 Us v (P) = 3 F FM	ing Equation			
	Capac	ity Checks			
V FO	Actual 6360	Maximum 7200	LO No	S F?	
v or v	2190 pc/h	(Equatio	on 13-14 or	13-17)	
$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & av34 \\ \text{Is } v & \text{or } v & > 270 \end{array}$	0 pc/h?	No			
3 av34 Is v or v > 1.5		Yes			
3 av34 If yes, v = 3323 12A	12	(Equation	13-15, 13-1	6, 13-18, o	r 13-19)
v 4 12A	_Flow Enterin ctual 170 of Service De	Max Desirable 4600	e V N	iolation? o	
Density, D = 5.475 + 0. R Level of service for rat	R	12	A		pc/mi/ln
	Speed E	stimation			
Intermediate speed varia	able,		= 0.492		
Space mean speed in ram	p influence a		= 56.2 m	ph	
Space mean speed in out	er lanes,		= 63.9 m	ph	
Space mean speed for al	l vehicles,	0 S =	= 58.6 m	ph 	

	BASIC F	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 AM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year		stbound kdale on-ramp burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road		•		
Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	Pla	anning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT	4363	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T	0.90 6	
Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	%RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0 Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustme	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.17	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.7	mph
FFS (measured)	/	mph	FFS	71.7	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	easures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x f S D = v _p / S LOS	_{HV} x f _p) <i>1761</i> 66.3 26.5 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate I	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow s BFFS - Base free volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:30 AM

	BASIC F	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 PM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year		astbound kdale on-ramp burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road		•		
Oper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	l Pla	anning Data
<i>Flow Inputs</i> Volume, V AADT	5251	veh/h veh/day	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T	0.90 6	
Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D		veh/h	%RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0 Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustme	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width Rt-Side Lat. Clearance Number of Lanes, N Total Ramp Density, TRD FFS (measured) Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	12.0 6.0 3 1.17 75.4	ft ft ramps/mi mph mph	f _{LW} f _{LC} TRD Adjustment FFS	0.0 0.0 3.7 71.7	mph mph mph mph
LOS and Performance M	easures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x f S D = v _p / S LOS	_{HV} x f _p) 2120 60.2 35.2 E	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	Design (N) Design LOS $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x)$ S D = v_p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow s BFFS - Base free volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:31 AM

General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 AM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	Before C	estbound Dakdale off-ramp burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange And	alysis		
Gper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	anning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	5251	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
			Up/Down %		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		R f _{HV} = 1/[1+P _T (E _T - 1) + P _R (E _R - 1)]	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	3	
Lane Width	12.0	ft	· · ·		
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.17	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.7	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	71.7	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			· · · P · · ·
LOS and Performance N	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 2120 60.2 35.2 E	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	$\frac{\text{Design (N)}}{\text{Design LOS}}$ $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x S)$ $D = v_p / S$ Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre ir volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:19 AM

	BASIC	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 PM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year		estbound Dakdale off-ramp burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Gper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	inning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	4363	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
Coloulata Elour Adjustm	anto				
Calculate Flow Adjustm					
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.17	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.7	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	71.7	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance N	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) <i>1761</i> 66.3 26.5 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	$\frac{\text{Design (N)}}{\text{Design LOS}}$ $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x S)$ $D = v_p / S$ Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre ir volume	•	E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:19 AM

Fax:

_____Diverge Analysis______ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Agency/co.InitialDate performed:12/19/2013Analysis time period:AM Build Jurisdiction: Diverge to Oakdale Road Jurisdiction: Mecklenburg County, NC Analysis Year: 2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Westbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Diverge Number of lanes in freeway 3 Free-flow speed on freeway 70.0 mph Volume on freeway 5251 vph _____Off Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-Flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 699 Volume on ramp vph Length of first accel/decel lane 250 ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? No Volume on adjacent ramp vph Position of adjacent ramp Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Adjacent Junction Components Ramp Ramp Volume, V (vph) 5251 699 vph Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 Peak 15-min volume, v15 1459 194 v Trucks and buses 6 3 % Recreational vehicles 0 0 % Rolling Rolling Terrain type: 0.00 % 0.00 % 8 Grade 0.00 mi 0.00 Length mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjustm Driver population fac Flow rate, vp			0.957 1.00 812	pcph
	Estimation	of V12 Diverge	Areas	
L EC	= (E	quation 13-12	or 13-13)
	= 0.564 Us	ing Equation	5	
v 12	= v + (v - v) 2 R F R		pc/h	
	Capac	ity Checks		
v = v Fi F	Actual 6360	Maximum 7200	L(No	DS F? D
v = v - v FO F R	5548	7200	No	0
V	812	2100	No	C
R v or v	2421 pc/h	(Equation	13-14 01	r 13-17)
3 av34 Is v or v > 2	2700 pc/h?	No		
3 av34 Is v or v > 1		No		
3 av34 If yes, v = 3939 12A	12	(Equation 13	-15, 13-2	16, 13-18, or 13-19)
	Flow Entering			
V	Actual 3939	Max Desirable 4400		Violation? No
12 Leve	el of Service De	termination (i	f not F)_	
Density,	D = 4.252 + 0	.0086 v - 0.0	09 L = D	= 35.9 pc/mi/ln
Level of service for	10		_	nce E
	Speed E	stimation		
Intermediate speed va	ariable,	D =	0.371	
Space mean speed in r	amp influence a		59.6 r	nph
Space mean speed in c	outer lanes,		71.2 r	nph
Space mean speed for	all vehicles,	0 S =	63.6 r	nph

Fax:

_____Diverge Analysis______ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Date performed: 12/19/202 Analysis time period: PM Build 12/19/2013 Jurisdiction: Diverge to Oakdale Road Jurisdiction: Mecklenburg County, NC Analysis Year: 2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Westbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Diverge Number of lanes in freeway 3 Free-flow speed on freeway 70.0 mph Volume on freeway 4363 vph _____Off Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-Flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 654 Volume on ramp vph Length of first accel/decel lane 250 ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? No Volume on adjacent ramp vph Position of adjacent ramp Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Adjacent Junction Components Ramp Ramp Volume, V (vph) 4363 654 vph Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 182 Peak 15-min volume, v15 1212 v Trucks and buses 6 3 % Recreational vehicles 0 0 % Rolling Rolling Terrain type: 0.00 % 0.00 % 8 Grade 0.00 mi 0.00 Length mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjustmen Driver population facto Flow rate, vp			0.957 1.00 759	pcph
	Estimation of	of V12 Diverge	Areas	
L = EO	(E c	quation 13-12 o	or 13-13)	
~	0.593 Usi	ing Equation	5	
	v + (v - v) R F R		pc/h	
	Capaci	ty Checks		
v = v Fi F	Actual 5284	Maximum 7200	LO No	S F?
v = v - v FO F R	4525	7200	No	
V	759	2100	No	
R v or v	1842 pc/h	(Equation	13-14 or	13-17)
3 av34 Is v or v > 27	00 pc/h?	No		
3 av34 Is v or v > 1.		No		
3 av34 If yes, v = 3442 12A	12	(Equation 13)	-15, 13-1	6, 13-18, or 13-19)
	Flow Entering I	Diverge Influe	nce Area_	
v	Actual M 3442 4	Max Desirable 1400	V N	
12 Level	of Service Det	cermination (i:	f not F)_	
Density,	D = 4.252 + 0.	.0086 v - 0.00	09 L =	31.6 pc/mi/ln
Level of service for ra	amp-freeway jur		-	ce D
	Speed Es	stimation		
Intermediate speed var	iable,		0.366	
Space mean speed in rat	mp influence an		59.7 m	ph
Space mean speed in ou	ter lanes,		73.5 m	ph
Space mean speed for a	ll vehicles,	0 S = 0	63.9 m	ph

	BASIC	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 AM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	Between	estbound Oakdale ramps burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Gper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	inning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	4552	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
Calculate Flow Adjustm	onto		- p		
Calculate Flow Adjustm ،			E	2.0	
f _p	1.00			2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.17	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.7	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	71.7	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1838 65.3 28.2 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
P	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre rr volume	•	E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:20 AM

BASIC FREEWAY SEGMENTS WORKSHEET					
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	HMM 12/19/2013		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	<i>I-485 Westbound Between Oakdale ramps Mecklenburg County, NC 2035</i>	
Project Description R-2248G	i - Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Oper.(LOS)		Des.(N)	🗖 Pla	anning Data	
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	3709	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
			Up/Down %		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
É _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs		Calc Speed Adj and FFS			
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	1.17	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	3.7	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	71.7	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	ne D - Density FFS - Free-flow speed service BFFS - Base free-flow speed		E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11-13		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:20 AM

Fax:

_____Merge Analysis_____ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Agency/Co. Date performed: 12/19/2013 Analysis time period: AM Build Juniction:Merge from Oakdale RoadJurisdiction:Mecklenburg County, NCAnalysis Year:2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Westbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Merge Number of lanes in freeway 3 70.0 Free-flow speed on freeway mph Volume on freeway 4552 vph _____On Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 347 Volume on ramp vph 900 Length of first accel/decel lane ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? Yes 699 Volume on adjacent Ramp vph Position of adjacent Ramp Upstream Type of adjacent Ramp Off Distance to adjacent Ramp 2900 ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Ramp Junction Components Adjacent Ramp 347 Volume, V (vph) 4552 699 vph 0.90 0.90 1264 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 Peak 15-min volume, v15 96 194 v 6 0 Trucks and buses 6 3 8 0 0 Recreational vehicles % Rolling Rolling Rolling Terrain type: % mi 00 Grade 8 Length mi mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.0 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjustment Driver population factor Flow rate, vp		0.917 1.00 5513	1.00	0.957 1.00 812	pcph
	_Estimation o	f V12 Merge	Areas		
EQ P = FM V = V	1620.66 (Eq 0.603 Usi: (P) = 33 F FM	ng Equation			
	Capaci	ty Checks			
V FO	Actual 5933	Maximum 7200		LOS F? No	
v or v 3 av34	2190 pc/h	(Equatio	on 13-14	or 13-17)	
Is v or v > 2700	pc/h?	No			
3 av34 Is v or v > 1.5 v		No			
3 av34 If yes, v = 3323 12A	12	(Equation 1	L3-15, 13	-16, 13-18,	or 13-19)
Ac v 37 R12	Flow Entering tual M 43 4 f Service Det	ax Desirable 600	2	Violation? No	
Density, D = 5.475 + 0.0 R Level of service for ram	R	12	A		pc/mi/ln
	Speed Es	timation			
Intermediate speed varial	ole,		= 0.405		
Space mean speed in ramp	influence ar	ea, S =	= 58.7	mph	
Space mean speed in oute:	r lanes,	R S =	= 63.9	mph	
Space mean speed for all	vehicles,	0 S =	= 60.5	mph	

Phone: E-mail: Fax:

_____Merge Analysis_____ Millen Analyst: Agency/Co.: HMM Date performed: 12/19/2013 Analysis time period: PM Build Juniction:Merge from Oakdale RoadJurisdiction:Mecklenburg County, NCAnalysis Year:2035 Freeway/Dir of Travel: I-485 Westbound Description: R-2248G - Oakdale Road Interchange Analysis _____Freeway Data_____ Type of analysis Merge Number of lanes in freeway 3 70.0 Free-flow speed on freeway mph Volume on freeway 3709 vph _____On Ramp Data_____ Side of freeway Right Number of lanes in ramp 1 Free-flow speed on ramp 45.0 mph 239 Volume on ramp vph 900 Length of first accel/decel lane ft Length of second accel/decel lane ft _____Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists)_____ Does adjacent ramp exist? Yes Volume on adjacent Ramp 654 vph Position of adjacent Ramp Upstream Type of adjacent Ramp Off Distance to adjacent Ramp 2900 ft _____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions______ Freeway Junction Components Ramp Adjacent Ramp 239 Volume, V (vph) 3709 654 vph 0.90 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 1030 Peak 15-min volume, v15 66 182 v 6 0 Trucks and buses 6 3 8 0 Recreational vehicles 0 8 Rolling Rolling Rolling Terrain type: % mi 00 Grade 8 Length mi mi mi Trucks and buses PCE, ET 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.0 Recreational vehicle PCE, ER 2.0 2.0

Heavy vehicle adjustment, Driver population factor, Flow rate, vp		0.917 1.00 4492	1.00	0.957 1.00 759	pcph	
	Estimation of	E V12 Merge	Areas			
EQ P = FM	1374.13 (Equ 0.603 Usir (P) = 270 FM	ng Equation				
	Capacit	cy Checks				
V FO	Actual 4781	Maximum 7200		LOS F? No		
v or v 3 av34	1785 pc/h	(Equatio	on 13-14	or 13-17)		
Is v or v > 2700	pc/h?	No				
3 av34 Is v or v > 1.5 v		No				
3 av34 If yes, v = 2707 12A	12	(Equation 1	13-15, 13	-16, 13-18,	or 13-19)	
Act v 299 R12	low Entering ual Ma 6 46	ax Desirable 500	2	Violation? No		
Density, D = $5.475 + 0.00734 v + 0.0078 v - 0.00627 L = 23.1 pc/mi/ln$ R R 12 A Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C						
	Speed Est	cimation				
Intermediate speed variab	ole,		= 0.318			
Space mean speed in ramp	influence are		= 61.1	mph		
Space mean speed in outer	lanes,		= 65.4	mph		
Space mean speed for all	vehicles,	0 S =	= 62.6	mph		

General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 AM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	After Oa	estbound kdale on-ramp burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Gper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗖 Pla	anning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	4899	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
			Up/Down %		
Calculate Flow Adjustm	ents				
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	0.83	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	2.8	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	72.6	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1978 64.4 30.7 D	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	<u>Design (N)</u> Design LOS v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
N - Number of lanes V - Hourly volume v _p - Flow rate LOS - Level of service DDHV - Directional design hou	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre ir volume		E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

Copyright © 2013 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:17 AM

	BASIC	REEWAY SE	GMENTS WORKSHEET		
General Information			Site Information		
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	Millen HMM 12/19/2013 PM Build		Highway/Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	After Oa	estbound kdale on-ramp burg County, NC
Project Description R-2248G	- Oakdale Road	Interchange Ana	alysis		
Gper.(LOS)			Des.(N)	🗆 Pla	inning Data
Flow Inputs					
Volume, V AADT Peak-Hr Prop. of AADT, K Peak-Hr Direction Prop, D DDHV = AADT x K x D	3948	veh/h veh/day veh/h	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF %Trucks and Buses, P _T %RVs, P _R General Terrain: Grade % Length Up/Down %	0.90 6 0 Rolling mi	
Coloulate Flour Adiustra			Op/Down //		
Calculate Flow Adjustm			_		
f _p	1.00		E _R	2.0	
E _T	2.5		$f_{HV} = 1/[1+P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1)]$	0.917	
Speed Inputs			Calc Speed Adj and FFS	6	
Lane Width	12.0	ft			
Rt-Side Lat. Clearance	6.0	ft	f _{LW}	0.0	mph
Number of Lanes, N	3		f _{LC}	0.0	mph
Total Ramp Density, TRD	0.83	ramps/mi	TRD Adjustment	2.8	mph
FFS (measured)		mph	FFS	72.6	mph
Base free-flow Speed, BFFS	75.4	mph			
LOS and Performance M	leasures		Design (N)		
<u>Operational (LOS)</u> v _p = (V or DDHV) / (PHF x N x S D = v _p / S LOS	f _{HV} x f _p) 1594 71.1 22.4 C	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln	$\frac{\text{Design (N)}}{\text{Design LOS}}$ $v_p = (V \text{ or DDHV}) / (PHF x N x S)$ $D = v_p / S$ Required Number of Lanes, N	f _{HV} x f _p)	pc/h/ln mph pc/mi/ln
Glossary			Factor Location		
P	S - Speed D - Density FFS - Free-flow BFFS - Base fre rr volume	•	E _R - Exhibits 11-10, 11-12 E _T - Exhibits 11-10, 11-11, 11- f _p - Page 11-18 LOS, S, FFS, v _p - Exhibits 11-2		f _{LW} - Exhibit 11-8 f _{LC} - Exhibit 11-9 TRD - Page 11-11

Copyright © 2013 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS 2010TM Version 6.50

Generated: 1/3/2014 11:18 AM



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PAT MCCRORY GOVERNOR ANTHONY J. TATA Secretary

December 12, 2013

TIP Project:	R-2248G
Division:	10
County:	Mecklenburg
Description:	I-485 Charlotte Outer Loop Interchange with SR 2042 (Oakdale Road)

MEMORANDUM

Document Sent Electronically

To:	Zahid M. Baloch, P.E., Project Development Engineer
	Project Development – Western Region/Turnpike

From: Mohammad S. Islam, P.E., Project Design Engineer Congestion Management Section

Subject: I-485 Interchange with SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) Corridor Roundabout Analysis

As requested, the Congestion Management Section has completed a draft review of the subject interchange and intersection. In order to evaluate the traffic flow impacts of converting the existing grade separation to a diamond interchange, we calculated intersection traffic volumes using the DRAFT Traffic forecast for 2015 and 2035 dated January 2014. Oakdale Road is a three (3) lane roadway (middle lane TWLTL) with a 2012 AADT of 5,100 vehicles per day. We performed capacity analysis for the base year (2015) and the design year (2035) peak hour using SIDRA traffic analysis software, version 5.

The following three intersections were analyzed for base year 2015 and design year 2035:

- 1. The I-485 Eastbound (EB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection
- 2. The I-485 Westbound (WB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection
- 3. SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) and SR 2004 (Mt. Holly Rd. / Huntersville Rd.) Stop-Controlled Intersection

Our analysis results and sample geometrical figures are attached with this letter.

Base Year (2015)/Design Year (2035) No-Build/Build Analysis

1. The I-485 Eastbound (EB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection

A single lane roundabout was analyzed for this intersection. Based on 2012 base year capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout configuration should work acceptably during the base year 2015. During the design year (2035), an exclusive northbound right-turn lane with 200' storage plus taper should be added.

2. The I-485 Westbound (WB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) interchange Intersection

A single lane roundabout was analyzed for this intersection. Based on 2012 base year capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout configuration should work acceptably during the base year 2015. During the design year (2035), an exclusive southbound right-turn lane with 150' storage plus taper should be added.

3. SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) and SR 2004 (Mt. Holly/Huntersville Rd.) Intersection

A single lane roundabout with a northbound exclusive right-turn lane (200') was analyzed for this intersection. Based on 2012 base year capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout configuration should work acceptably during the base year 2015.

During the design year (2035), a single lane roundabout with lanes (225' EB right-turn, 200' NB Right-turn, and 200' WB left-turn lanes) was analyzed for this intersection. Based on capacity analysis results, this single lane roundabout configuration works acceptably during the design year 2035.

Based on our analysis, we have the following recommendations for design and these recommendations should be installed during the construction of this TIP project:

1. The I-485 Eastbound (EB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection

- A single lane roundabout with an exclusive NB right-turn lane with 200' storage with appropriate taper.
- 2. The I-485 Westbound (WB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) Interchange Intersection
 - A single lane roundabout with an exclusive SB right-turn lane with 150' storage with appropriate taper.
- 3. SR 2042 (Oakdale Rd.) and SR 2004 (Mt. Holly/Huntersville Rd.) Intersection
 - A single lane roundabout with exclusive lanes (225' EB right-turn, 200' NB Right-turn, and 150' WB left-turn lanes with appropriate taper).

A final memo will be issued once the traffic forecast has been finalized. Congestion Management Section is also reviewing freeway operations on I-485 with the proposed interchange, and will issue a separate review memo shortly.

If you have questions regarding this analysis, or if further analysis is requested, please contact me or Congestion Management Engineer James H. Dunlop, P.E., at (919) 773-2800.

MSI/

Attachments

cc: G. E. Brew, P.E. J. S. Cole, P.E. J. K. Lacy, P.E., CPM D.D. Galloway, P.E. M. P. Butler, P.E. J. H. Dunlop, P.E. M. P. Reese, P.E. (Attn. I. T. Younis) (Attn. S. M. Epperson, P.E.)

December 12, 2013

R-2248G

NCDOT-Congestion Management Section

1. I-485 Eastbound (EB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) Interchange Intersection The results of the base year (2015) and Design year (2035) peak hour analysis are shown in the following table:

Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Comparisons		2015 NB/Build Single Lane Roundabout		NB/Build Roundabout [*]
	AM	PM	AM	PM
Overall Intersection LOS	Α	Α	А	A
Worst Movement LOS	Α	В	В	B
Worst Movement v/c Ratio	0.47	0.74	0.42	0.61
Worst Movement Max. Queuing	100'	274' (NB)	100'	182' (NB)

★ Single lane Roundabout with slip lane

2. I-485 Westbound (WB) Ramps and SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) Interchange Intersection

The results of the base year (2015) and Design year (2035) peak hour analysis are shown in the following table:

Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Comparisons	2015 NB/Build Single Lane Roundabout		2035 NB/Build Single Lane Roundabout [*]	
	AM	PM	AM	PM
Overall Intersection LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α
Worst Movement Delay (Sec.)	Α	Α	В	В
Worst Movement v/c Ratio	0.79	0.50	0.78	0.79
Worst Movement Max. Queuing	338' (SB)	117'	327' (SB)	339' (off-ramp)

★ Single lane Roundabout with slip lane

3. SR 2042 (Oakdale Road) and SR 2004 (Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road) Intersection The results of the base year (2015) and Design year (2035) peak hour analysis are shown in the following table:

Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Comparisons	2015 NI Single Lane F		2035 N Single Lane I	B/Build Roundabout [*]
-	AM	PM	AM	PM
Overall Intersection LOS	Α	Α	A	A
Worst Movement Delay (Sec.)	В	В	Α	В
Worst Movement v/c Ratio	0.79	0.70	0.65	0.61
Worst Movement Max. Queuing	371' (WB)	251' (EB)	207' (EB)	178' (NB)

* Single lane Roundabout with slip lane

TIP R-2248G (2015 No-Build/Build)

2015 NB/Build AM/PM Intersection LOS: A/A Worst. Movt. LOS: B/B Max. V/C Ratios: 0.79/0.70 Max. Queue Distance: 371'/251'

2015 NB/BuildAM/PMIntersection LOS:A/AWorst. Movt. LOS:A/BMax. V/C Ratios:0.47/0.74Max. Queue Distance:100'/274'

Figure: R-2248G (2015 No-Build/Build) roundabouts with R-2248E in place

TIP R-2248G (2035 No-Build/Build)

2035 NB/Build AM/PM Intersection LOS: A/A Worst. Movt. LOS: A/B Max. V/C Ratios: 0.65/0.61 Max. Queue Distance: 207'/178'

Mt Holly R

2035 NB/BuildAM/PMIntersection LOS:A/AWorst. Movt. LOS:B/BMax. V/C Ratios:0.78/0.79Max. Queue Distance:327'/339'

2035 NB/BuildAM/PMIntersection LOS:A/AWorst. Movt. LOS:B/BMax. V/C Ratios:0.42/0.61Max. Queue Distance:100'/182'

Cittada

Figure: R-2248G (2035 No-Build/Build) roundabouts with R-2248E in place

Appendix C

Correspondence

Baloch, Zahid M

From:	Islam, Mohammad S
Sent:	Tuesday, February 18, 2014 9:56 AM
То:	Baloch, Zahid M
Cc:	Reese, Michael P
Subject:	FW: R-2248G: IJR needed? (I-485 at Oakdale Rd in Charlotte)

From: "Jgeigle@dot.gov" <Jgeigle@dot.gov> Date: Tue, Feb 18, 2014 08:12 Subject: R-2248G: IJR needed? (I-485 at Oakdale Rd in Charlotte) To: "Reese, Michael P" <<u>mikereese@ncdot.gov</u>>

Mike,

The information you provided is sufficient and FHWA HQ concurrence is not needed in this instance.

Joe

Joseph Geigle, P.E. Congestion Management & ITS Engineer Federal Highway Administration 310 New Bern Avenue, Suite 410 Raleigh, NC 27601 (919) 747-7007

"Leave all the afternoon for exercise and recreation, which are as necessary as reading. I will rather say more necessary because health is worth more than learning."

- Thomas Jefferson

*** Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. ***

From: Reese, Michael P [mailto:mikereese@ncdot.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 17, 2014 3:54 PM
To: Geigle, Joseph (FHWA)
Cc: Baloch, Zahid M; Dunlop, James H; Islam, Mohammad S
Subject: RE: R-2248G: IJR needed? (I-485 at Oakdale Rd in Charlotte)

Joe, per our recent conversation, stating our data on this matter below for appropriate forwarding as you see fit. Please let me know if you need any additional information, and please advise if we get concurrence from HQ so we can advise appropriate NCDOT authorities. –Mike

During the planning stages of I-485, the City of Charlotte asked for four interchanges (West Boulevard, NC 51 in Mint Hill, Weddington Road, and Oakdale) to be delayed. This allowed the City/County to better manage the land development "rush" that typically follows a beltway project such as I-485. While the land uses were included in the original (and most recent) traffic forecasts, this decision allowed them to better direct growth towards areas that already had sufficient infrastructure. Since the original construction of I-485, two of these interchanges, West Boulevard and NC 51, have been completed. Besides the subject interchange, the Department and City are in the initial stages of re-starting the Weddington Road interchange project.

This section of I-485 was STIP project R-2248B during the Planning and Environmental stage of the project. The EIS was signed and approved by FHWA on January 29, 1992. The original R-2248B was from NC 27 to beyond I-85 (approx. 16 miles; the entire remaining northern portion of I-485). As this project proceeded into design and construction phases, R-2248B was subdivided into R-2248C (construction completed in 2007), R-2248D (construction completed in 2009), and R-2248E (currently under construction). The section including the subject interchange was R-2248C, and when the decision was made NOT to construct the Oakdale interchange at that time, the Oakdale Interchange became R-2248G (currently in the planning phase). Since, and today, the Oakdale interchange has continued to be shown in the Long Range Transportation Plan (http://crtpo.org/PDFs/2035_LRTP/Document/Maps/25Figure11-1Thoroughfare.pdf).

The traffic forecast used for the R-2248B EIS predicted a 2010 design year AADT of 24,000 on I-485. It also had about the same AADT (23,800) on Mount Holly-Huntersville Road, which parallels I-485 in this area. The current (2012) AADT on I-485 is 39,000vpd, with about 10,000 AADT on Mount Holly-Huntersville. So while the original traffic forecast was on target regarding the total trips in the basic corridor, it underestimated the benefits of a 65 MPH free-flow facility compared to a two-lane 45 MPH roadway. The 2035 design year forecast (R-2248G) predicts I-485 traffic at about 96,000 AADT near the interchange, and 15,800 AADT on Mount Holly-Huntersville.

The EIS also indicated that Oakdale Road would carry an AADT of 18,000 in 2010 near the interchange. The current 2012 AADT on Oakdale Road is much less (5,100) without the interchange, but the R-2248G forecast predicts an AADT of 14,000 in 2035.

Even with the expected significant volume increase on I-485 beyond the original EIS, the current R-2248G capacity analysis indicates the interstate segments and points will operate at LOS E or better in the 2035 design year. According to the "R-2248G Analysis Memo" sealed January 8, 2014, only three segments/points are expected to exceed LOS D in the 2035 Build scenario, and those three elements exceed the LOS D threshold by less than 1 passenger car per mile per lane.

If any questions or if further clarification is needed, please advise.

Mike Reese, P.E. Western Region Project Engineer NCDOT Congestion Management Phone: 919-773-2800 17 Feb 2014

From: <u>Jgeigle@dot.gov</u> [<u>mailto:Jgeigle@dot.gov</u>] Sent: Monday, January 27, 2014 3:35 PM To: Reese, Michael P Subject: FW: IJR needed?

FYI

Joseph Geigle, P.E. Congestion Management & ITS Engineer Federal Highway Administration 310 New Bern Avenue, Suite 410 Raleigh, NC 27601 (919) 747-7007

"Leave all the afternoon for exercise and recreation, which are as necessary as reading. I will rather say more necessary because health is worth more than learning."

- Thomas Jefferson

From: Matzke, Michael (FHWA) Sent: Tuesday, December 03, 2013 8:50 AM To: Geigle, Joseph (FHWA) Subject: RE: IJR needed?

Joe

It is true that proposed interchanges on new sections of Interstate do not require a separate IJR. However, it is assumed that the policy points were addressed as a part of the project development and design process for the new Interstate. With this understanding, the DOT should have conducted the required analyses for the proposed interchange and have those on file. It is strongly suggested that those analyses along with assumptions about land use etc. be reexamined and updated as required to ensure that the proposed interchange will still function as originally expected. This would not require the submittal of an IJR but can be addressed through a technical memo or other document as agreed upon by the Division and the DOT.

mick

From: Geigle, Joseph (FHWA) Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:21 PM To: Matzke, Michael (FHWA) Subject: IJR needed?

Mick,

I have a question for you regarding whether an IJR is required in the unique situation described below:

- I-485 around Charlotte was constructed around 1992 (new location)
- While the subject interchange was in the NEPA document and designed, for some reason it was not built with the rest of the project (underpass constructed, ramps graded, just not paved)
- Fast forward 20+ years and now they want to pave the ramps and complete the interchange. Spacing is more than adequate (2+ miles in both directions to the nearest interchange)
- I have no other traffic related data at the moment

The state argues that an IJR is not required as the interchange planned and designed as a part of the original new location project, and interchanges on new location interstates do not require IJRs except where they tie into existing interstate.

My stance is that it has been 20 years and traffic patterns may have changed significantly. Also, while an IJR was not initially required as it was new location, I argue that the 8 year shelf life of a typical IJR in this case still applies to the original design found in the NEPA document.

What is your opinion on the matter?

Thanks,

Joe

Joseph Geigle, P.E. Congestion Management & ITS Engineer

Federal Highway Administration 310 New Bern Avenue, Suite 410 Raleigh, NC 27601 (919) 747-7007

"Leave all the afternoon for exercise and recreation, which are as necessary as reading. I will rather say more necessary because health is worth more than learning."

- Thomas Jefferson

*** Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. ***

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Baloch, Zahid M

From:	Michael.Batuzich@dot.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, March 18, 2014 11:26 AM
То:	Baloch, Zahid M
Subject:	RE: R-2248G (Memo to File Draft)

Zahid, the consultation does not have a signature page. Please add. Otherwise it looks good to me. Thanks.

Michael (Mitch) Batuzich Preconstruction & Environment Specialists FHWA North Carolina Division 919-747-7033 _____O ___`\<,____(*)/ (*)

Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Baloch, Zahid M [mailto:zbaloch@ncdot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2014 10:30 AM
To: Younis, Imad T
Cc: Conforti, John G; Harris, Jennifer; Brew, Gregory E; Kretchman, Douglas W; Islam, Mohammad S; Reese, Michael P; Cole, Scott; Batuzich, Michael (FHWA); Dunlop, James H; Schroeder, Paul S; Joe.Geigle@dot.gov; Dagnino, Carla S; Turchy, Michael A; Crump, Kellie K
Subject: RE: R-2248G (Memo to File Draft)

Hi, Please see the comments from Michael Turchy about the green sheet (project commitments), I think our thoughts were that this project is under TIP R-2248, so we should keep it in our NRTR Report (not for R-2248G). If any of you think that this green sheet is not needed we can take it out. Thanks

Hi Zahid, Attached are my comments.

I just read Imad's reply. Because the project is under the TIP R-2248, we should "in theory" be keeping the same greensheet. However let's chat about this tomorrow. I'll come by sometime.

Zahid Baloch, P.E. Project Planning Engineer Project Development & Environmental Analysis Unit NC Department of Transportation Office 919-707-6012

From: Younis, Imad T
Sent: Monday, March 03, 2014 3:52 PM
To: Baloch, Zahid M
Cc: Conforti, John G; Harris, Jennifer; Brew, Gregory E; Kretchman, Douglas W; Islam, Mohammad S; Reese, Michael P; Cole, Scott; <u>Michael.Batuzich@dot.gov</u>; Dunlop, James H; Schroeder, Paul S; <u>Joe.Geigle@dot.gov</u>; Dagnino, Carla S; Turchy, Michael A; Crump, Kellie K
Subject: RE: R-2248G (Memo to File Draft)

Zahid,

The green sheets (project commitments) are for the wrong project, R-2248E project was used. Please check.

Imad

From: Baloch, Zahid M
Sent: Monday, March 03, 2014 1:21 PM
To: Conforti, John G; Harris, Jennifer; Brew, Gregory E; Kretchman, Douglas W; Islam, Mohammad S; Reese, Michael P; Cole, Scott; <u>Michael.Batuzich@dot.gov</u>; Dunlop, James H; Schroeder, Paul S; <u>Joe.Geigle@dot.gov</u>; Dagnino, Carla S; Turchy, Michael A; Younis, Imad T; Crump, Kellie K
Cc: Baloch, Zahid M
Subject: R-2248G (Memo to File Draft)

Hi, Please find below a link to a Draft Memo to File for R-2248 G (I-485 Interchange with SR 2042 -Oakdale Road Ramp pavement and improvements to Oakdale Road and Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road Intersection, Charlotte, Mecklenburg County) project. Please provide your comments /suggestions by March 9, 2014. As always thanks for your help. If you need any additional information please let me know. Thanks

R-2248G Memo to file Draft 03-02-2014

Zahid Baloch, P.E. Project Planning Engineer Project Development & Environmental Analysis Unit NC Department of Transportation Office 919-707-6012

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

The green sheets (project commitments) are for the wrong project, R-2248E project was used. Please check.

Imad

From: Baloch, Zahid M
Sent: Monday, March 03, 2014 1:21 PM
To: Conforti, John G; Harris, Jennifer; Brew, Gregory E; Kretchman, Douglas W; Islam, Mohammad S; Reese, Michael P; Cole, Scott; <u>Michael.Batuzich@dot.gov</u>; Dunlop, James H; Schroeder, Paul S; <u>Joe.Geigle@dot.gov</u>; Dagnino, Carla S; Turchy, Michael A; Younis, Imad T; Crump, Kellie K
Cc: Baloch, Zahid M
Subject: R-2248G (Memo to File Draft)

Hi, Please find below a link to a Draft Memo to File for R-2248 G (I-485 Interchange with SR 2042 -Oakdale Road Ramp pavement and improvements to Oakdale Road and Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road Intersection, Charlotte, Mecklenburg County) project. Please provide your comments /suggestions by March 9, 2014. As always thanks for your help. If you need any additional information please let me know. Thanks

R-2248G Memo to file Draft 03-02-2014

Zahid Baloch, P.E. Project Planning Engineer Project Development & Environmental Analysis Unit NC Department of Transportation Office 919-707-6012

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Baloch, Zahid M

From:	Michael.Batuzich@dot.gov
Sent:	Friday, March 21, 2014 10:27 AM
То:	Baloch, Zahid M
Subject:	RE: R2248G Momo to file

If it is State funded, you can do whatever you want given that an IJR is not warranted.

From: Baloch, Zahid M [zbaloch@ncdot.gov] Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 10:16 AM To: Batuzich, Michael (FHWA) Cc: Conforti, John G Subject: R2248G Momo to file

Mitch, As per your request I talk to John Conforti this morning to add a signature page to File to Memo letter. He told me to confirm with you again about this because this is state funded, do you still think we need a signature page. I and John has so issue to add a signature page just want to double check with you. I tried to call you this morning and for some reason I can get through, may be it is just my phone. Thanks

Zahid Baloch, P.E. Project Planning Engineer Project Development & Environmental Analysis Unit NC Department of Transportation Office 919-707-6012

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.