




**North Carolina Department of Transportation**  
**Preliminary Site Assessment**  
**State Project: R-2707E**  
**WBS Element: 34497.1.2**  
**Cleveland County**

**Parcel 609**  
**Robert Gregory Randall**  
**4919 East Dixon Boulevard**  
**Kings Mountain, North Carolina**  
**May 20, 2019**

**Wood Environment and Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.**  
**Project: 1883R2707**

Andrew Frantz, REM  
Senior Scientist



DocuSigned by:

A4F5620B3F62410...

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1</b>	<b>Site History.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2</b>	<b>Site Description.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0</b>	<b>GEOLOGY.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b>Regional Geology .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Site Geology .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>FIELD ACTIVITIES.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Preliminary Activities .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Site Reconnaissance .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Geophysical Survey Results .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Soil Sampling .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Soil Screening and UVF Analyses.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Laboratory Analyses .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>7</b>

---

## **TABLES**

- Table 1      Summary of PID Screening Results
- Table 2      Summary of UVF Petroleum Soil Results
- Table 3      Summary of VOC Analytical Results

## **FIGURES**

- Figure 1      Vicinity Map
- Figure 2      Site Map with Soil Boring Locations
- Figure 3      UVF Petroleum Soil Results – 4/18/19
- Figure 4      Approximate Area of Soil Contamination

## **APPENDICES**

- Appendix A    Photographic Log
- Appendix B    Boring Logs
- Appendix C    Geophysical Report
- Appendix D    UVF Hydrocarbon Analytical Results
- Appendix E    Laboratory Analytical Report and Chain-of-Custody Form

---

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In response to the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) Request for Proposal, dated March 27, 2019, Wood Environment and Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. (Wood) has performed a Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA) for Parcel 609. The investigation was conducted in accordance with Wood's Technical and Cost proposal dated April 5, 2019 and revised April 11, 2019. NCDOT contracted Wood to perform the PSA at the parcel, within the area to be affected by future road construction activities, in order to identify potential impacts from the former use of the property.

The parcel is located at 4919 East Dixon Boulevard along the northern side of East Dixon Boulevard as shown on the Vicinity Map, **Figure 1**. At the time of this PSA, the parcel was occupied by an auto repair facility (Cleveland Transmission Service). It is identified as Parcel 609 and Robert Gregory Randall (Site) within the NCDOT R-2707E design file. The parcel is in Kings Mountain of Cleveland County, North Carolina. The area of investigation within the parcel is shown on **Figure 2**.

The following report describes our subsurface field investigation at the Site and presents UVF soil analyses and volatile organic compound (VOC) laboratory analysis to evaluate soil contamination within the Site.

### 1.1 Site History

Based on our historical review, the auto repair facility has occupied the Site since the late 1980s. The Site is not identified on the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) Underground Storage Tank (UST) Facility Database registry and no known groundwater incidents are identified at the Site. No files associated with the Site were available for review on the NCDEQ Laserfiche website.

### 1.2 Site Description

The Site is located in a mixed-use commercial and residential area of Kings Mountain in Cleveland County and covers approximately 0.93 acres. The Site is occupied by an auto repair facility (Cleveland Transmission Service) with two hydraulic lifts, discarded auto parts, five ASTs (one heating oil and four automobile oil), several 55-gallon drums, used vehicles,

---

a water-supply well, a floor drain pipe discharge located beneath a canopy-covered portion of the building, and oil-stained areas outside the building. A photographic log of the property is included as **Appendix A**.

## **2.0 GEOLOGY**

### **2.1 Regional Geology**

The Site is located within the Inner Piedmont Belt of the Piedmont Physiographic Province of North Carolina. According to the 1985 State Geologic Map of North Carolina, the area is underlain by massive to weakly foliated Cherryville Granite.

### **2.2 Site Geology**

Site geology was observed through the advancement of 20 shallow soil borings (P609-SB1 to P609-SB20). Borings P609-SB1 to P609-SB4 and P609-SB6 to P609-SB13 were advanced using a direct-push rig and targeted a total depth of four to eight feet below ground surface (bgs). Boring P609-SB7 encountered rig refusal at two feet bgs. Borings P609-SB5 and P609-SB14 to P609-SB20 were advanced using a stainless-steel hand auger due to limited rig access and targeted a total depth of three feet bgs. Boring P609-SB14 encountered refusal at 2.5 feet bgs. Figure 2 presents the boring locations and site layout. Soils encountered in the borings consisted mostly of red to tan to brown silty clays and sand. Petroleum odor and staining was observed in borings P609-SB5, P609-SB12, and P609-SB17. Groundwater was not encountered in the borings. Based on observations of topography of the Site vicinity, the groundwater flow direction is inferred to be generally to the north. Boring logs are presented in **Appendix B**.

## **3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES**

### **3.1 Preliminary Activities**

Prior to commencing field sampling activities at the Site, several tasks were accomplished in preparation for the subsurface investigation. A Health and Safety Plan (HASP) was created

---

including the Site-specific health and safety information necessary for the field activities. North Carolina 811 was contacted on April 9, 2019 to report the proposed sampling activities and subsequently notify affected utilities for the parcel. Probe Utility Locating (PUL) was retained by Wood to perform utility locating and GEL Solutions (GEL) was procured by would to perform a geophysical survey at the Site. South Atlantic Environmental Drilling and Construction Co. Inc. (SAEDACCO) was selected to conduct the direct-push drilling services at the Site and RED Lab instrumentation was scheduled for the use in the on-site UVF analysis.

Wood understands that acquisition of the expanded right-of-way is necessary for the construction of the US 74 – Shelby Bypass. Boring locations were strategically placed within the parcel to maximize the opportunity to encounter potential contaminated soil.

### **3.2 Site Reconnaissance**

Wood personnel performed a Site reconnaissance with property owner notification on April 9, 2019. During the Site reconnaissance, the area was visually examined for the presence of any areas/obstructions that could potentially affect the subsurface investigation. An auto repair facility (Cleveland Transmission Service) with two hydraulic lifts, discarded auto parts, five ASTs (one heating oil and four automobile oil), several 55-gallon drums, used vehicles, and a water-supply well were observed at the Site.

### **3.3 Geophysical Survey Results**

The geophysical survey of the Site occurred between April 15 and 18, 2019. GEL performed a time-domain electromagnetic (TDEM) survey of the Site with a ground penetrating radar (GPR) survey conducted across select EM anomalies. The TDEM equipment was not used in areas of the Site containing numerous large metallic objects (vehicles, drums, and ASTs) because of possible interference from the objects. However, a GPR survey was still conducted in the areas where space allowed. The GEL geophysical report is presented as **Appendix C**. GEL reported five anomalies within the area of investigation with four attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface including signs and a fence. The remaining anomaly was attributed to a potential buried utility traversing the Site. No subsurface geophysical anomalies indicating the presence of USTs were detected by GEL within the limits of the area of investigation at the Site.

### 3.4 Soil Sampling

On April 18, 2019, Wood and SAEDACCO mobilized to the Site to advanced 20 shallow soil borings at the Site across the area of investigation. Borings advanced via a direct-push rig were advanced to depths ranging from two to eight feet below ground surface (bgs). Borings were advanced with the rig to a minimum depth of four feet bgs (unless refusal was encountered), as sources of potential impacts were at or above the ground surface and NCDOT R-2707E design files indicate shallow soil disturbance or cutting during road construction activities. Borings that were advanced deeper (eight feet bgs) were chosen for deeper potential contaminant screening and observation of underlying soil characteristics. Borings advance via a stainless-steel hand auger were advanced to a maximum depth of three feet bgs. Borings were focused near the ASTs, drums, a floor drain discharge pipe located beneath the canopied portion of the building, used vehicles and stained areas at the Site, with a few borings spread around the Site. Soil borings were not advanced inside the building near the hydraulic lifts due to limited access caused by current operations at the Site.

The purpose of the soil sampling was to determine if a petroleum release had impacted the Site and if so, to estimate the volume of impacted soil that might require special handling during NCDOT construction activities. To minimize potential for cross-contamination between boring locations with the direct-push rig, a new PVC liner (tube) was inserted into the sampler for each soil interval and the hand auger was decontaminated between boring locations using a Liquinox® wash and distilled water rinse. Soil sampling was accompanied by field screening. Wood conducted field screening for VOCs of the soil borings with a photoionization detector (PID). The direct-push soil borings were screened with the PID at two-foot intervals while the hand auger borings were screened at one-foot intervals. A portion of the interval of the soil boring exhibiting the highest PID reading was retained for analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), diesel range organics (DRO), gasoline range organics (GRO), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX), total aromatics, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) soil via ultraviolet fluorescence (UVF). Twenty-three total samples were collected from the Site from the borings for UVF analysis.

Portions of select samples (P609-SB5-0-1, P609-SB5-2-3, P609-SB12-0-2, and P609-SB16-0-1, and P609-SB17-0-1) were also retained for laboratory analysis and placed in laboratory provided containers and immediately placed on ice. The samples were delivered under

---

standard chain-of-custody protocol via courier to Prism Laboratories, Inc. (Prism) in Charlotte, North Carolina and analyzed for VOCs via EPA Method 8260. Five samples were collected from the borings for VOC laboratory analysis.

## 4.0 SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS

Based on PID field screening and UVF hydrocarbon analysis from April 18, 2019, evidence of petroleum hydrocarbon impacts were identified in borings P609-SB5, P609-SB12, and P609-SB17.

### 4.1 Soil Screening and UVF Analyses

PID readings for the 20 borings ranged from 2.2 parts per million (ppm) in sample P609-SB12-4-6 collected from four to six feet bgs to 117.2 ppm in sample P609-SB5-0-1 collected from the ground surface to one foot bgs. The PID field screening results are summarized in **Table 1** and provided on the boring logs in Appendix B.

Results from the UVF petroleum soil analyses are presented in **Table 2**, with instrument generated tables in **Appendix D**. Several categories of analyses were measured such as: DRO, GRO, TPH, PAHs, and total aromatics. **Figure 3** presents the GRO and DRO results at each boring.

Elevated TPH values above the NCDEQ Action Limit of 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) for GRO were not detected in the 23 samples collected from the borings. Elevated levels above the NCDEQ Action Limit of 100 mg/kg for DRO were detected in samples P609-SB5-0-1 (232.8 mg/kg) located near the drain pipe discharge, P609-SB12-0-2 (215.2 mg/kg), located near the USTs along the western exterior of the building, and P609-SB17-0-1 (159.1 mg/kg) located near the drain pipe discharge. The hydrocarbon results from the QED QROS Hydrocarbon Analyzer are provided in Appendix D.

## 4.2 Laboratory Analyses

The laboratory analytical report and chain-of-custody form for the soil sample laboratory analyses conducted by Prism is included in **Appendix E**. The results of the five soil samples analyzed for VOCs by Prism are summarized in **Table 3**, as well as below:

- Concentrations of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (12 mg/kg), 4-isopropyltoluene (1.2 mg/kg), naphthalene (0.60J mg/kg), and n-propylbenzene (3.7 mg/kg) were identified in sample P609-SB5-0-1 which exceeded their respective NCDEQ Soil-to-Water Maximum Soil Contaminant Concentrations (MSCCs). Note, the naphthalene concentration identified in soil sample P609-SB5-0-1 was J-flagged by Prism indicating the concentration was above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit and is considered an estimate.
- None of the VOC concentrations identified in the deeper sample collected from boring P-609-SB5 (P609-SB5-2-3) or the other three samples collected from the Site exceeded their respective NCDEQ MSCCs.
- None of the VOC concentrations identified in five soil samples at the Site exceeded their respective EPA Composite Worker Regional Screening Levels (RSLs).

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the Site observations, UVF analysis, and laboratory analysis, petroleum-impacted soil contamination was identified above the NCDEQ Action Limit of 100 mg/kg for DRO in three of the 23 samples collected from the borings advanced at the Site. Concentrations of GRO were not identified above the NCDEQ Action Limit of 50 mg/kg for GRO. In addition, the VOC laboratory analysis identified several VOC concentrations in one of the five soil samples which exceeded their respective NCDEQ Soil-to-Water MSCCs.

The areas of petroleum-impacted soils appear to be located in two areas of the site; near the floor drain pipe discharge and borings P609-SB5 and P609-SB17 and near the USTs along the western exterior of the building and boring P609-SB12. The estimated areas of

---

impacted soils are shown on **Figure 4**. The estimated impacted soil volume for the area near borings P609-SB5 and P609-SB17 is 486 cubic feet (18 cubic yards) and the volume of the area near boring P609-SB12 is 145 cubic feet (5.4 cubic yards). Both soil volumes are based on an unsaturated thickness of two feet.

## 6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on these PSA results, Wood recommends additional interior subsurface assessment be performed at the Site near the hydraulic lifts and floor drains inside the building after the building has been vacated by the current tenant. No further assessment is recommended for the exterior subsurface of the Site, unless additional surface staining is observed after the current tenant has removed materials and containers from the Site. It is understood the areas of impacted soils are located in areas to be impacted by construction activities. Special handling should be performed during excavation in these areas and impacted soil should be disposed properly offsite.

## **TABLES**

**Table 1: Summary of PID Screening Results**  
**Parcel 609 - Robert Gregory Randall**  
**Kings Mountian, North Carolina**  
**Wood Project: 1883R2707E**

Boring ID	Depth of Sample Interval	PID Reading
P609-SB1	0-2	7.7
P609-SB1	4-6	11.5
P609-SB2	6-8	11.2
P609-SB3	2-4	8.4
P609-SB4	0-2	5.2
P609-SB5	0-1	117.2
P609-SB5	2-3	10.3
P609-SB6	0-2	11.4
P609-SB7	0-1	6.3
P609-SB8	2-4	10.7
P609-SB9	2-4	7.4
P609-SB10	0-2	6.4
P609-SB11	0-2	8.2
P609-SB12	0-2	6.2
P609-SB12	4-6	1.3
P609-SB13	0-2	7.0
P609-SB14	0-1	3.2
P609-SB15	0-1	4.5
P609-SB16	0-1	3.7
P609-SB17	0-1	11.0
P609-SB18	0-1	6.2
P609-SB19	0-1	5.3
P609-SB20	0-1	3.4

**Notes:**

1. Samples collected on April 18, 2019
2. Depths shown in feet below ground surface (bgs)
3. PID = Photoionization Detector
4. PID readings shown in parts per million (ppm)

Prepared By/Date: AJF 4/25/2019  
Checked By/Date: DRH 5/3/2019

**Table 2: Summary of UVF Petroleum Soil Results**  
**Parcel 609 - Robert Gregory Randall**  
**Kings Mountain, North Carolina**  
**Wood Project: 1883R2707E**

Sample ID Number	Sample Depth	BTEX	GRO	DRO	PAHs
P609-SB1-0-2	0-2	<0.42	<0.42	0.45	0.02
P609-SB1-4-6	4-6	<0.53	<0.53	<0.21	<0.01
P609-SB2-6-8	6-8	<0.46	<0.46	<0.18	<0.009
P609-SB3-2-4	2-4	<0.52	<0.52	<0.21	<0.01
P609-SB4-0-2	0-2	<0.44	<0.44	<0.18	<0.009
P609-SB5-0-1	0-1	<0.78	<0.78	<b>232.8</b>	8.5
P609-SB5-2-3	2-3	<0.45	<0.45	8.9	0.04
P609-SB6-0-2	0-2	<0.42	<0.42	0.44	0.006
P609-SB7-1-2	0-1	<0.38	<0.38	<0.15	<0.008
P609-SB8-2-4	2-4	<0.41	<0.41	<0.16	<0.008
P609-SB9-2-4	2-4	<0.5	<0.5	0.15	0.01
P609-SB10-0-2	0-2	<0.46	<0.46	<0.19	<0.009
P609-SB11-0-2	0-2	<0.4	1.3	13.3	0.09
P609-SB12-0-2	0-2	<0.42	<0.42	<b>215.2</b>	7.9
P609-SB12-4-6	4-6	<0.4	<0.4	0.08	0.007
P609-SB13-0-2	0-2	<0.43	<0.43	4.20	0.02
P609-SB14-0-1	0-1	<0.44	<0.44	0.71	0.05
P609-SB15-0-1	0-1	<0.5	<0.5	1.00	0.09
P609-SB16-0-1	0-1	<0.59	0.59	2.00	0.04
P609-SB17-0-1	0-1	<0.47	<0.47	<b>159.1</b>	4.1
P609-SB18-0-1	0-1	<0.47	<0.47	<0.19	<0.009
P609-SB19-0-1	0-1	<0.41	<0.41	<0.16	<0.008
P609-SB20-0-1	0-1	<0.56	<0.56	0.80	0.02
<b>NC State Action Level</b>		<b>N/A</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>N/A</b>

**Notes:**

1. Samples collected on April 18, 2019
2. Depths shown in feet below ground surface (bgs)
3. Concentrations shown in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)
4. BTEX = Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene
5. GRO = Gasoline Range Organics
6. DRO = Diesel Range Organics
7. PAHs = Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
8. N/A = Not applicable
9. Bold values exceed respective NC State Action Level

Prepared By/Date: AJF 4/25/2019

Checked By/Date: DRH 5/3/2019

**Table 3: Summary of VOC Analytical Results**  
**Parcel 609 - Robert Gregory Randall**  
**Kings Mountain, North Carolina**  
**Wood Project: 1883R2707E**


Constituent	P609-SB5-0-1	P609-SB5-2-3	P609-SB12-0-2	P609-SB16-0-1	P609-SB17-0-1	Soil-to-Water MSCCs	Industrial/ Commercial MSCCs	EPA Composite Worker Soil Carcinogenic TR RSLs	EPA Composite Worker Soil Non-carcinogenic HI RSLs
Sample Depth	0-1	2-3	0-2	0-1	0-1				
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	<b>12</b>	<0.00059	<0.00052	<0.00067	0.0098J	8.5	20,440	NE	180
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	3.3	<0.00070	<0.00062	<0.00079	0.0072J	8.3	20,440	NE	150
4-Isopropyltoluene	<b>1.2</b>	<0.0014	<0.0012	<0.0016	<0.0044	0.12	4,000	NE	NE
Acetone	0.68J	0.043	0.12	0.10	1.1	24	360,000	NE	67,000
Ethylbenzene	0.45	<0.00084	<0.00074	<0.00095	<0.0026	4.9	40,000	25	2,000
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	1.3	<0.00065	<0.00057	<0.00073	<0.0020	1.7	40,880	NE	990
m,p-Xylenes	2.1	<0.0014	<0.0013	<0.0016	<0.0044	4.6	81,760	NE	240
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	0.97J	0.0044J	0.015J	0.0060J	0.10	16	245,280	NE	19,000
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.27J	<0.00046	0.063	<0.00051	<0.0014	0.40	32,000	NE	14,000
Naphthalene	<b>0.60J</b>	<0.00056	<0.00050	<0.00064	<0.0017	0.16	8,176	17	59
n-Butylbenzene	2.1	<0.00052	<0.00046	<0.00059	<0.0016	4.3	16,350	NE	5,800
n-Propylbenzene	<b>3.7</b>	<0.00081	<0.00071	<0.00091	<0.0025	1.7	16,350	NE	2,400
o-Xylene	2.0	<0.00060	<0.00052	<0.00067	<0.0018	4.6	81,760	NE	280
sec-Butylbenzene	3.2	<0.00060	<0.00053	<0.00068	<0.0019	3.3	16,350	NE	12,000
Xylenes, total	4.1	<0.0020	<0.0018	<0.0023	<0.0062	4.6	81,760	NE	280

**Notes:**

1. Samples collected on April 18, 2019
2. Concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)
3. Depths shown in feet below ground surface (bgs)
4. Bold value indicates concentration exceeds Soil-to-Water MSCC
5. MSCC = NCDEQ Division of Waste Management, Maximum Soil Contaminant Concentration Levels, dated April 2012
6. EPA RSLs = EPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs), Carcinogenic Target Risk (TR) = 1e-06, Non-carcinogenic Hazard Index (HI) 0.1, dated November 2018
7. J-flag indicates value was identified above method detection limit but below laboratory reporting limit, value is considered an estimate
8. NE = Not established

Prepared By/Date: RPD 5/10/19  
 Checked By/Date: AJF 5/13/19


## **FIGURES**



**wood.**

**SITE VICINITY**  
**R2707E - Parcel 609**  
**Robert Gregory Randall**  
**4919 East Dixon Boulevard**  
**Kings Mountain, North Carolina 28086**

Site Boundary




• BORING LOCATION  
■ AREA OF INVESTIGATION



20' 0' 20' 40'  
10'  
(ENGLISH)

**wood.**

PREPARED BY: LHM	DATE: 5/13/19	CHECKED BY: HPC	DATE: 5/13/19	JOB NUMBER: 188322707	FIGURE: 2
------------------	---------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------------	-----------

AREA OF INVESTIGATION WITH SOIL BORING LOCATIONS - PARCEL 609  
ROBERT GREGORY RANDALL PROPERTY  
STATE PROJECT: R-2707E  
WBS ELEMENT: 34497.1.2  
CLEVELAND COUNTY, KINGS MOUNTAIN, NORTH CAROLINA





**wood.**

KNOWN CONTAMINATION AREA - PARCEL 609  
ROBERT GREGORY RANDALL PROPERTY  
STATE PROJECT: R-2707E  
WBS ELEMENT: 34497.1.2  
CLEVELAND COUNTY, KINGS MOUNTAIN, NORTH CAROLINA

PREPARED BY: LHM DATE: 5/13/19 CHECKED BY: HPC DATE: 5/13/19 JOB NUMBER 188322707 FIGURE 4

**APPENDIX A**

**PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG**



**PHOTO 1:**

View west of the front/east side of the site building and auto storage yard.

Photo date: 4/18/2019



**PHOTO 2:**

View of a vehicle repair bay, ground surface staining, ASTs, and drums near the northeast corner of the Site building facing west.

Photo date: 4/18/2019



**PHOTO 3:**

View of vehicle repair bays, ground surface staining, ASTs, and drums near the northeast corner of the Site building facing southwest.

Photo date: 4/18/2019



**PHOTO 4:**

View of staining near P609-SB13 and an AST at the northeast corner of the Site building.

Photo date: 4/18/2019



**PHOTO 5:**

View of staining near P609-SB12 and drums at the northeast corner of the Site building.

Photo date: 4/18/2019



**PHOTO 6:**

View south of a heating oil AST on the west side of the Site building.

Photo date: 4/18/2019



**PHOTO 7:**

View west of drums and buckets behind/west of the Site building.

Photo date: 4/18/2019



**PHOTO 8:**

View north of the south side of the site building. An air compressor can be seen left, and a water supply well can be seen right.

Photo date: 4/18/2019

**APPENDIX B**  
**BORING LOGS**

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB1</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>8</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR		<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG		<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
1		Top soil and grass	
2	7.7	Red silty CLAY	
3			
4	7.4		
5			
6	11.5	Red tan silty CLAY	
7			
8	10.2		
9		Boring terminated at 8ft. UVF sample taken at 0-2 and 4-6ft.	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB2</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>8</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>		Dark brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	7.1		
<b>3</b>		Tan silty CLAY	
<b>4</b>	7.2		
<b>5</b>			
<b>6</b>	7.1	Tan and white PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK	
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>	11.2		
<b>9</b>		Boring terminated at 8ft. UVF sample taken at 6-8ft.	
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB3</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>8</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>		Dark brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	5.9		
<b>3</b>			
<b>4</b>	8.4		
<b>5</b>		Red silty CLAY	
<b>6</b>	7.6		
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>	8.1		
<b>9</b>		Boring terminated at 8ft. UVF sample taken at 2-4ft.	
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB5</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>3</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Hand Auger</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>	117.2	Black moist fine-grained sandy CLAY w/roots and leaves	
<b>2</b>	36.3	Tan and red silty CLAY	
<b>3</b>	10.3		
<b>4</b>		Boring terminated at 3ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1 and 2-3ft. Sample for off-site analysis taken at 0-1 and 2-3.	
<b>5</b>			
<b>6</b>			
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB6</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>8</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>			
<b>2</b>	11.4	Dark brown and red silty CLAY	
<b>3</b>			
<b>4</b>	10.3		
<b>5</b>			
<b>6</b>	11.0	Red silty CLAY	
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>	10.9		
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>		Boring terminated at 8ft. UVF sample taken at 0-2ft.	
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB7</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>2</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>	6.3	Red brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	5.4		
<b>3</b>		Boring refusal at 2ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1ft.	
<b>4</b>			
<b>5</b>			
<b>6</b>			
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB8</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>8</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>		Asphalt and concrete	
<b>2</b>	7.3	Brown silty CLAY	
<b>3</b>			
<b>4</b>	10.7		
<b>5</b>		Red silty CLAY	
<b>6</b>	9.4		
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>	7.7		
<b>9</b>		Boring terminated at 8ft. UVF sample taken at 2-4ft.	
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: JRMPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB9</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>4</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>		Brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	6.9		
<b>3</b>		Red silty CLAY	
<b>4</b>	7.4		
<b>5</b>		Boring terminated at 4ft. UVF sample taken at 2-4ft.	
<b>6</b>			
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB10</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>4</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>		Brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	6.4		
<b>3</b>		Red and brown silty CLAY	
<b>4</b>	6.0		
<b>5</b>		Boring terminated at 4ft. UVF sample taken at 0-2ft.	
<b>6</b>			
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB11</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>4</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>		Brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	8.2		
<b>3</b>		Red and brown silty CLAY	
<b>4</b>	7.0		
<b>5</b>		Boring terminated at 4ft. UVF sample taken at 0-2ft.	
<b>6</b>			
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB12</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>8</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>SAEDACCO</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Geoprobe 54DT</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>		Dark stained silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	6.2	Red silty CLAY	
<b>3</b>			
<b>4</b>	1.2		
<b>5</b>		Brown and red silty CLAY	
<b>6</b>	1.3		
<b>7</b>		Red silty CLAY	
<b>8</b>	2.2		
<b>9</b>		Boring terminated at 8ft. Initial push to 4ft then returned to advance to 8ft. Dark staining at surface. UVF sample taken at 0-2 and 4-6ft. Sample for off-site analysis taken at 0-2ft at 1420.	
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	P609-SB13	BORING DEPTH (ft)	2	NUMBER OF PAGES	1
PROJECT #	1883R2707	PROJECT NAME	NCDOT Shelby R-2707E		
DATE DRILLED	4/18/2019	WEATHER CONDITIONS	79°F Sunny		
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	n/a	DRILL RIG	Hand Auger		

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
1		Red silty CLAY	
2	7.0		
3		Boring terminated at 2ft. UVF sample taken at 0-2ft.	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Log Completed By: DRH

Page: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	P609-SB14	BORING DEPTH (ft)	2.5	NUMBER OF PAGES	1
PROJECT #	1883R2707	PROJECT NAME	NCDOT Shelby R-2707E		
DATE DRILLED	4/18/2019	WEATHER CONDITIONS	79°F Sunny		
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	n/a	DRILL RIG	Hand Auger		

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
1	3.2		
2	3.1	Red silty CLAY w/PWR	
3			
4		Boring hit refusal at 2.5ft. UVF sample taken at 0-2ft.	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Log Completed By: DRH

Page: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	P609-SB15	BORING DEPTH (ft)	2	NUMBER OF PAGES	1
PROJECT #	1883R2707	PROJECT NAME	NCDOT Shelby R-2707E		
DATE DRILLED	4/18/2019	WEATHER CONDITIONS	79°F Sunny		
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	n/a	DRILL RIG	Hand Auger		

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
1	4.5	Red silty CLAY	
2	4.2		
3			
4		Boring terminated at 2ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1ft.	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Log Completed By: DRH

Page: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB16</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>2</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME			<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS			<b>79°F Sunny</b>
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>n/a</b>	DRILL RIG			<b>Hand Auger</b>

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>	3.7	Red and brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>	3.4		
<b>3</b>			
<b>4</b>		Boring terminated at 2ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1ft.	
<b>5</b>		Sample for off-site analysis sampled at 0-1ft.	
<b>6</b>			
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	P609-SB17	BORING DEPTH (ft)	2	NUMBER OF PAGES	1
PROJECT #	1883R2707	PROJECT NAME	NCDOT Shelby R-2707E		
DATE DRILLED	4/18/2019	WEATHER CONDITIONS	79°F Sunny		
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	n/a	DRILL RIG	Hand Auger		

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
1	11.0	Dark brown silty CLAY, leaves, petroleum staining	
2	5.8	Red silty CLAY	
3			
4		Boring terminated at 2ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1ft.	
5		Sample for off-site analysis sampled at 0-1ft.	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Log Completed By: DRH

Page: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	P609-SB18	BORING DEPTH (ft)	2	NUMBER OF PAGES	1
PROJECT #	1883R2707	PROJECT NAME	NCDOT Shelby R-2707E		
DATE DRILLED	4/18/2019	WEATHER CONDITIONS	79°F Sunny		
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	n/a	DRILL RIG	Hand Auger		

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
1	6.2	Red silty CLAY	
2	4.7		
3		Boring terminated at 2ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1ft.	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Log Completed By: DRH

Page: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	P609-SB19	BORING DEPTH (ft)	1	NUMBER OF PAGES	1
PROJECT #	1883R2707	PROJECT NAME	NCDOT Shelby R-2707E		
DATE DRILLED	4/18/2019	WEATHER CONDITIONS	79°F Sunny		
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	n/a	DRILL RIG	Hand Auger		

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
1	5.3	Red silty CLAY	
2		Boring terminated at 1ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1ft.	
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Log Completed By: DRH

Page: 1

**SOIL BORING FIELD WORKSHEET**

BORING #	<b>P609-SB20</b>	BORING DEPTH (ft)	<b>1</b>	NUMBER OF PAGES	<b>1</b>
PROJECT #	<b>1883R2707</b>	PROJECT NAME	<b>NCDOT Shelby R-2707E</b>		
DATE DRILLED	<b>4/18/2019</b>	WEATHER CONDITIONS	<b>79°F Sunny</b>		
DRILLING SUB-CONTRACTOR	<b>n/a</b>	DRILL RIG	<b>Hand Auger</b>		

DEPTH (ft bgs)	PID (ppm)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE INFO
<b>1</b>	3.4	Red and brown silty CLAY	
<b>2</b>		Boring terminated at 1ft. UVF sample taken at 0-1ft.	
<b>3</b>			
<b>4</b>			
<b>5</b>			
<b>6</b>			
<b>7</b>			
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			
<b>10</b>			
<b>11</b>			
<b>12</b>			
<b>13</b>			
<b>14</b>			
<b>15</b>			
<b>16</b>			
<b>17</b>			
<b>18</b>			
<b>19</b>			
<b>20</b>			
<b>21</b>			

Log Completed By: DRHPage: 1

**APPENDIX C**  
**GEOPHYSICAL REPORT**

April 29, 2019

Mr. John Maas, PG  
Wood, PLC  
2801 Yorkmont Road, Suite 100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Re: Report for Geophysical Survey to Identify Underground Storage Tanks  
Parcel #609  
4919 E. Dixon Blvd.  
Kings Mountain, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Maas,

GEL Solutions appreciates the opportunity to provide Wood with this report of our geophysical investigation for the referenced project. This investigation was designed to determine the potential presence of underground storage tanks (USTs) at the site and underground utilities that would obstruct drilling activities at the site. The geophysical field investigation was successfully performed on April 15, 2019 through April 18, 2019.

## 1.0 Summary of Results

No subsurface anomalies were identified in the geophysical data that indicated the presence of USTs. The anomalies represented in Figure 1 are consistent with known metallic surface objects, utilities, and/or cultural interference. Although geophysical methods provide a high level of assurance for the location of subsurface objects, the possibility exists that not all features can or will be identified. Therefore, due caution should be used when performing any subsurface excavation, and GEL Solutions, LLC will not be liable for any damages that may occur. Descriptions of the technologies employed during this geophysical investigation are provided below.

## 2.0 Overview of Geophysical Investigation

The geophysical evaluation included the deployment of ground penetrating radar (GPR) and time-domain electromagnetic (TDEM) technologies to the site. These technologies were used in concert with one another in order to identify the presence of potential USTs at the site. A brief description of each technology is presented in the following paragraphs.

### Ground Penetrating Radar Methodology

An Impulse Radar digital radar control system configured with a 160-Megahertz and 600-Megahertz (MHz) antenna array was used in this investigation. GPR is an electromagnetic geophysical method that detects interfaces between subsurface materials with differing dielectric constants. The GPR system consists of an antenna which houses the transmitter and receiver, a digital control unit which both generates and digitally records the GPR data, and a color video monitor to view data as it is collected in the field.

The transmitter radiates repetitive short-duration electromagnetic waves (at radar frequencies) into the earth from an antenna moving across the ground surface. These radar waves are reflected back to the receiver from the interface of materials with different dielectric constants. The intensity of the reflected signal is a function of the contrast in the dielectric constant between the materials, the conductivity of the material through which the wave is traveling, and the frequency of the signal.

Subsurface features that commonly cause such reflections are: 1) natural geologic conditions, such as changes in sediment composition, bedding, and cementation horizons and voids; or 2) unnatural changes to the subsurface such as disturbed soils, soil backfill, buried debris, tanks, pipelines, and utilities. The digital control unit processes the signal from the receiver and produces a continuous cross-section of the subsurface interface reflection events.

GPR data profiles were collected along transects covering the entire rights of ways. Depth of investigation of the GPR signal is highly site-specific and is limited by signal attenuation (absorption) in the subsurface materials. Signal attenuation is dependent upon the electrical conductivity of the subsurface materials. Signal attenuation is greatest in materials with relatively high electrical conductivities such as clays, brackish groundwater, or groundwater with a high dissolved solid content from natural or manmade sources. Signal attenuation is lowest in relatively low conductivity materials such as dry sand or rock. Depth of investigation is also dependent on the antenna's transmitting frequency. Depth of investigation generally increases as transmitting frequency decreases; however, the ability to resolve smaller subsurface features is diminished as frequency is decreased. The average depth of penetration at this site was approximately 2-5 feet below the surface.

The GPR antenna used at this site is internally shielded from aboveground interference sources. Accordingly, the GPR response is not affected by overhead power lines, metallic buildings, or nearby objects.

#### Time Domain Electromagnetic Methodology

TDEM methods measure the electrical conductivity of subsurface materials. The conductivity is determined by inducing (from a transmitter) a time or frequency-varying magnetic field and measuring (with a receiver) the amplitude and phase shift of an induced secondary magnetic field. The secondary magnetic field is created by subsurface conductive materials behaving as an inductor as the primary magnetic field is passed through them.

The Geonics EM-61 system used in this investigation operates within these principles. However, the EM-61 TDEM system can discriminate between moderately conductive earth materials and very conductive metallic targets. The EM-61 consists of a portable coincident loop time domain transmitter and receiver with a 1.0-meter by 0.5-meter coil system. The EM-61 generates 150 pulses per second and measures the response from the ground after transmission or between pulses. The secondary EM responses from metallic targets are of longer duration than those created by conductive earth materials. By recording the later time EM arrivals, only the response from metallic targets is measured, rather than the field generated by the earth material.

### **3.0 Field Procedures and Results**


The geophysical field investigation was successfully performed on April 15 through April 18, 2019 at the referenced site located in the immediate vicinity of E. Dixon Blvd. in Kings Mountain, NC. Interpretation of the GPR data was conducted in the field and any potential anomalies were marked in the field. TDEM was also used to scan the project site with a spacing of 2.5 feet. Any electromagnetic anomalies detected during field activities that were indicative of buried metallic objects were also marked in the field. TDEM was not used within the fenced area north and east of the building due to metallic surface obstructions (vehicles and metal parts). GPR was used within the limited access area and between surface obstructions where feasible.

There were no subsurface geophysical anomalies detected within the limits of Parcel #609 during this investigation that indicated the presence of USTs. The anomalies represented in the data shown on Figure 1 are indicative of known metallic surface features and/or cultural interference.

#### 4.0 Closing

GEL Solutions appreciates the opportunity to assist Wood with this project. If you have any questions or need further information regarding the project, please do not hesitate to call me at (828) 782-3523.

Yours very truly,



Jeff Tallent  
Director of Western NC Operations

Enclosures  
fc: 609.AMEC00419.Report.pdf

### Site Photos






Photo 1: Looking North showing surface metal and obstructions




Photo 2: Looking West showing surface metal and obstructions




## NOTES

- 1) UNDERGROUND FEATURES WERE LOCATED USING VISUAL EVIDENCE, GROUND PENETRATING RADAR (GPR), AND TIME DOMAIN ELECTROMAGNETIC (TDEM) METHODS. OTHER BURIED FEATURES MAY EXIST BUT WERE NOT DETECTED DUE TO LIMITATIONS OF THE GEOPHYSICAL METHODS, SITE ACCESS, AUTHORIZED SCOPE-OF-WORK, AND/OR HIGH TARGET CONGESTION. THEREFORE, DUE CAUTION SHOULD BE USED WHEN PERFORMING SUBSURFACE EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES WHERE POTENTIAL CONFLICTS EXIST. GEL SOLUTIONS IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR DAMAGES THAT MAY OCCUR. IDENTIFYING THE LOCATION OF SOME FEATURES MAY ONLY BE POSSIBLE WITH VACUUM OR OTHER EXCAVATION METHODS.
- 2) FIELD SURVEY CONDUCTED ON 04.15.2019 - 04.18.2019.
- 3) GEOPHYSICAL DATA GENERATED USING AN IMPULSE RADAR CROSSOVER GPR SYSTEM CONFIGURED WITH A 170MHZ AND 600MHZ ANTENNA AND A GEONICS EM-61 TDEM SYSTEM. APPROXIMATE POSITIONING WAS PROVIDED USING TRIMBLE RTK/GPS.
- 4) GEL SOLUTIONS IS NOT LIABLE FOR ACCURACY OF BASE MAP PROVIDED BY WOOD.


## GRAPHIC SCALE




( IN FEET )  
1 inch = 30 ft.



- 1) UNDERGROUND FEATURES WERE LOCATED USING VISUAL EVIDENCE, GROUND PENETRATING RADAR (GPR), AND TIME DOMAIN ELECTROMAGNETIC (TDEM) METHODS. OTHER BURIED FEATURES MAY EXIST BUT WERE NOT DETECTED DUE TO LIMITATIONS OF THE GEOPHYSICAL METHODS, SITE ACCESS, AUTHORIZED SCOPE-OF-WORK, AND/OR HIGH TARGET CONGESTION. THEREFORE, DUE CAUTION SHOULD BE USED WHEN PERFORMING SUBSURFACE EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES WHERE POTENTIAL CONFLICTS EXIST. GEL SOLUTIONS IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR DAMAGES THAT MAY OCCUR. IDENTIFYING THE LOCATION OF SOME FEATURES MAY ONLY BE POSSIBLE WITH VACUUM OR OTHER EXCAVATION METHODS.  
 2) FIELD SURVEY CONDUCTED ON 04.15.2019 - 04.18.2019.  
 3) GEOPHYSICAL DATA GENERATED USING AN IMPULSE RADAR CROSSOVER GPR SYSTEM CONFIGURED WITH A 170MHZ AND 600MHZ ANTENNA AND A GEONICS EM-61 TDEM SYSTEM. APPROXIMATE POSITIONING WAS PROVIDED USING TRIMBLE RTK/GPS.  
 4) GEL SOLUTIONS IS NOT LIABLE FOR ACCURACY OF BASE MAP PROVIDED BY WOOD.




GEL ENGINEERING OF NC, INC. DBA <b>GEL</b>   <b>SOLUTIONS</b> an Affiliate of THE GEL GROUP, INC. 55 SHILOH ROAD, SUITE E ASHEVILLE, NC 28803 (828) 782-3523 WWW.GEL-SOLUTIONS.COM	PROJECT: AMEC00419	GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION FOR USTs PARCEL 609 4919 E. DIXON BLVD. KINGS MOUNTAIN, NORTH CAROLINA	RESULTS OF GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION	FIGURE 1
	DATE: 4/25/19		DRAWN BY: JAT	APPRV. BY: WRA



## NOTES

- 1) UNDERGROUND FEATURES WERE LOCATED USING VISUAL EVIDENCE, GROUND PENETRATING RADAR (GPR), AND TIME DOMAIN ELECTROMAGNETIC (TDEM) METHODS. OTHER BURIED FEATURES MAY EXIST BUT WERE NOT DETECTED DUE TO LIMITATIONS OF THE GEOPHYSICAL METHODS, SITE ACCESS, AUTHORIZED SCOPE-OF-WORK, AND/OR HIGH TARGET CONGESTION. THEREFORE, DUE CAUTION SHOULD BE USED WHEN PERFORMING SUBSURFACE EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES WHERE POTENTIAL CONFLICTS EXIST. GEL SOLUTIONS IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR DAMAGES THAT MAY OCCUR. IDENTIFYING THE LOCATION OF SOME FEATURES MAY ONLY BE POSSIBLE WITH VACUUM OR OTHER EXCAVATION METHODS.
- 2) FIELD SURVEY CONDUCTED ON 04.15.2019 - 04.18.2019.
- 3) GEOPHYSICAL DATA GENERATED USING AN IMPULSE RADAR CROSSOVER GPR SYSTEM CONFIGURED WITH A 170MHZ AND 600MHZ ANTENNA AND A GEONICS EM-61 TDEM SYSTEM. APPROXIMATE POSITIONING WAS PROVIDED USING TRIMBLE RTK/GPS.
- 4) GEL SOLUTIONS IS NOT LIABLE FOR ACCURACY OF BASE MAP PROVIDED BY WOOD.

## GRAPHIC SCALE



**APPENDIX D**

**RESULTS FROM ON-SITE UVF SOIL ANALYSES**



### Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

**Client:** Wood Thursday, April 18, 2019  
**Address:** 2801 Yorkmont Rd Thursday, April 18, 2019  
 Charlotte, NC Thursday, April 18, 2019

**Contact:** Helen Corley **Operator** Derick Haydin

**Project:** NCDOT Shelby

H09382													
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX	GRO	DRO	TPH	Total Aromatics	16 EPA PAHs	BaP	% Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match
			(C6 - C9)	(C5 - C10)	(C10 - C35)	(C5 - C35)	(C10-C35)	C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18			
Soil	P609-SB1-0-2	16.8	<0.42	<0.42	0.45	0.45	0.31	0.02	<0.005	0	100	0	57.6%,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB1-4-6	21.3	<0.53	<0.53	<0.21	<0.53	<0.01	<0.01	<0.006	0	0	0	PHC ND,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB2-6-8	18.4	<0.46	<0.46	<0.18	<0.46	<0.009	<0.009	<0.006	0	0	0	PHC ND,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB3-2-4	20.6	<0.52	<0.52	<0.21	<0.52	<0.01	<0.01	<0.006	0	0	0	PHC ND,(FCM)
	Initial Calibrator QC check					OK	Final FCM QC Check					OK	98.3%

Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.

Abbreviations :- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence of hydrocarbon identification : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate detected

B = Blank Drift : (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (BO) = Background Organics detected : (OCR) = Outside cal range : (M) = Modified Result.

% Ratios estimated aromatic carbon number proportions : HC = Hydrocarbon : PHC = Petroleum HC : FP = Fingerprint only. Data generated by HC-1 Analyser







## Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

**Client:** Wood Thursday, April 18, 2019  
**Address:** 2801 Yorkmont Rd Thursday, April 18, 2019  
 Charlotte, NC Thursday, April 18, 2019

**Contact:** Helen Corley **Operator** Derick Haydin

**Project:** NCDOT Shelby

H09382





Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	BaP	% Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match
										C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18	
Soil	P609-SB12-4-6	16.0	<0.4	<0.4	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.007	<0.005	0	84.2	15.8	Residual HC
Soil	P609-SB14-0-1	17.7	<0.44	<0.44	0.71	0.71	0.68	0.05	<0.005	0	94	6	Residual PHC
Soil	P609-SB15-0-1	20.0	<0.5	<0.5	1	1	0.83	0.09	<0.006	0	95.5	4.5	56.8%,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB16-0-1	23.4	<0.59	0.59	2	2.6	0.79	0.04	<0.007	47.4	49.4	3.2	Deg.PHC 77%,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB17-0-1	18.7	<0.47	<0.47	159.1	159.1	62.7	4.1	0.005	0	99.1	0.9	Deg.Fuel 73.9%,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB18-0-1	18.7	<0.47	<0.47	<0.19	<0.47	<0.009	<0.009	<0.006	0	0	0	PHC ND,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB19-0-1	16.5	<0.41	<0.41	<0.16	<0.41	<0.008	<0.008	<0.005	100	0	0	PHC ND,(FCM)
Soil	P609-SB20-0-1	22.4	<0.56	<0.56	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.02	<0.007	0	93.6	6.4	Pyrogenic HC 77.9%,(FCM)
	Initial Calibrator QC check				OK				Final FCM QC Check				OK
													95.3%







Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.






Abbreviations :- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence of hydrocarbon identification : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate detected









B = Blank Drift : (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (BO) = Background Organics detected : (OCR) = Outside cal range : (M) = Modified Result.

% Ratios estimated aromatic carbon number proportions : HC = Hydrocarbon : PHC = Petroleum HC : FP = Fingerprint only. Data generated by HC-1 Analyser









**APPENDIX E**

**LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY**

**FORM**



Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Charl)  
John Maas  
2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
Project No.: 1883R2707 Parcel 609  
Lab Submittal Date: 04/22/2019  
Prism Work Order: 9040346

This data package contains the analytical results for the project identified above and includes a Case Narrative, Sample Results and Chain of Custody. Unless otherwise noted, all samples were received in acceptable condition and processed according to the referenced methods.

Data qualifiers are flagged individually on each sample. A key reference for the data qualifiers appears at the end of this case narrative.

Please call if you have any questions relating to this analytical report.

Respectfully,

**PRISM LABORATORIES, INC.**

Robbi A. Jones  
President/Project Manager

Reviewed By Robbi A. Jones  
President/Project Manager

**Data Qualifiers Key Reference:**

- ISR Internal standard response outside the QC limits. Analysis repeated without improvement. Initial result reported.
- J Detected but below the Reporting Limit; therefore, result is an estimated concentration (CLP J-Flag).
- L Parameter reported with possible low bias. LCS recovery below the QC limit.
- SR3 Re-analysis due to high surrogate recovery resulted in similar recoveries. Matrix interference suspected. Initial result reported.
- U Not Detected at the MDL
- MDL Method Detection Limit
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- \* Results reported to the reporting limit. All other results are reported to the MDL with values between MDL and reporting limit indicated with a J.



Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	Date/Time Sampled	Date/Time Received
P609-SB5-0-1	9040346-01	Solid	04/18/19 11:30	04/22/19 9:30
P609-SB5-2-3	9040346-02	Solid	04/18/19 11:40	04/22/19 9:30
P609-SB12-0-2	9040346-03	Solid	04/18/19 14:20	04/22/19 9:30
P609-SB16-0-1	9040346-04	Solid	04/18/19 14:10	04/22/19 9:30
P609-SB17-0-1	9040346-05	Solid	04/18/19 17:00	04/22/19 9:30

Samples were received in good condition at 2.8 degrees C unless otherwise noted.

## Summary of Detections

05/03/2019

Prism Work Order: 9040346

Prism ID	Client ID	Parameter	Method	Result	Units
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	8260B	12	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	8260B	3.3	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	4-Isopropyltoluene	8260B	1.2	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	Acetone	8260B	0.68	J mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	Ethylbenzene	8260B	0.45	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	8260B	1.3	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	m,p-Xylenes	8260B	2.1	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	8260B	0.97	J mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	8260B	0.27	J mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	Naphthalene	8260B	0.60	J mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	n-Butylbenzene	8260B	2.1	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	n-Propylbenzene	8260B	3.7	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	o-Xylene	8260B	2.0	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	sec-Butylbenzene	8260B	3.2	mg/kg dry
9040346-01	P609-SB5-0-1	Xylenes, total	8260B	4.1	mg/kg dry
9040346-02	P609-SB5-2-3	Acetone	8260B	0.043	mg/kg dry
9040346-02	P609-SB5-2-3	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	8260B	0.0044	J mg/kg dry
9040346-03	P609-SB12-0-2	Acetone	8260B	0.12	mg/kg dry
9040346-03	P609-SB12-0-2	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	8260B	0.015	J mg/kg dry
9040346-03	P609-SB12-0-2	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	8260B	0.063	mg/kg dry
9040346-04	P609-SB16-0-1	Acetone	8260B	0.10	mg/kg dry
9040346-04	P609-SB16-0-1	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	8260B	0.0060	J mg/kg dry
9040346-05	P609-SB17-0-1	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	8260B	0.0098	J mg/kg dry
9040346-05	P609-SB17-0-1	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	8260B	0.0072	J mg/kg dry
9040346-05	P609-SB17-0-1	Acetone	8260B	1.1	mg/kg dry
9040346-05	P609-SB17-0-1	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	8260B	0.10	mg/kg dry

This report should not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written consent of Prism Laboratories, Inc.



Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Ch Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
Attn: John Maas  
2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Project No.: 1883R2707 Parcel 609  
Sample Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: P609-SB5-0-1  
Prism Sample ID: 9040346-01  
Prism Work Order: 9040346  
Time Collected: 04/18/19 11:30  
Time Submitted: 04/22/19 09:30

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Ethylbenzene	0.45	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.047	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Isopropyl Ether	0.046 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.046	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	1.3	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.037	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
m,p-Xylenes	2.1	mg/kg dry	0.63	0.080	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)	0.022 U	mg/kg dry	1.3	0.022	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	0.97 J	mg/kg dry	1.3	0.073	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.27 J	mg/kg dry	1.3	0.026	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Methylene Chloride	0.051 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.051	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether	0.044 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.044	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Naphthalene	0.60 J	mg/kg dry	0.63	0.032	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
n-Butylbenzene	2.1	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.029	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
n-Propylbenzene	3.7	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.045	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
o-Xylene	2.0	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.033	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
sec-Butylbenzene	3.2	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.034	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Styrene	0.030 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.030	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
tert-Butylbenzene	0.037 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.037	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Tetrachloroethylene	0.057 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.057	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Toluene	0.050 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.050	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.060 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.060	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.027 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.027	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Trichloroethylene	0.061 U	mg/kg dry	0.31	0.061	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.085 U	mg/kg dry	0.63	0.085	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Vinyl acetate	0.034 U	mg/kg dry	0.63	0.034	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Vinyl chloride	0.062 U	mg/kg dry	0.63	0.062	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Xylenes, total	4.1	mg/kg dry	0.94	0.11	50	8260B	5/1/19 18:29	JLB	P9E0041
Surrogate						Recovery		Control Limits	
						4-Bromofluorobenzene	105 %	70-130	
						Dibromofluoromethane	103 %	70-130	
						Toluene-d8	106 %	70-130	

This report should not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written consent of Prism Laboratories, Inc.



Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Ch Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
Attn: John Maas  
2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Project No.: 1883R2707 Parcel 609  
Sample Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: P609-SB5-2-3  
Prism Sample ID: 9040346-02  
Prism Work Order: 9040346  
Time Collected: 04/18/19 11:40  
Time Submitted: 04/22/19 09:30

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Ethylbenzene	0.00084 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00084	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Isopropyl Ether	0.00082 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00082	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	0.00065 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00065	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
m,p-Xylenes	0.0014 U	mg/kg dry	0.011	0.0014	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)	0.00039 U	mg/kg dry	0.022	0.00039	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
<b>Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)</b>	<b>0.0044 J</b>	<b>mg/kg dry</b>	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.0013</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8260B</b>	<b>4/26/19 19:37</b>	<b>JLB</b>	<b>P9D0530</b>
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.00046 U	mg/kg dry	0.022	0.00046	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Methylene Chloride	0.00091 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00091	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether	0.00078 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00078	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Naphthalene	0.00056 U	mg/kg dry	0.011	0.00056	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
n-Butylbenzene	0.00052 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00052	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
n-Propylbenzene	0.00081 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00081	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
o-Xylene	0.00060 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00060	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00060 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00060	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Styrene	0.00054 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00054	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00066 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00066	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Tetrachloroethylene	0.0010 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.0010	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Toluene	0.00089 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00089	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.0011 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.0011	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.00048 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.00048	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Trichloroethylene	0.0011 U	mg/kg dry	0.0056	0.0011	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0015 U	mg/kg dry	0.011	0.0015	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Vinyl acetate	0.00061 U	mg/kg dry	0.011	0.00061	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Vinyl chloride	0.0011 U	mg/kg dry	0.011	0.0011	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530
Xylenes, total	0.0020 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0020	1	8260B	4/26/19 19:37	JLB	P9D0530

Surrogate	Recovery	Control Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene	109 %	70-130
Dibromofluoromethane	110 %	84-123
Toluene-d8	106 %	76-129



Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Ch Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
Attn: John Maas  
2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Project No.: 1883R2707 Parcel 609  
Sample Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: P609-SB12-0-2  
Prism Sample ID: 9040346-03  
Prism Work Order: 9040346  
Time Collected: 04/18/19 14:20  
Time Submitted: 04/22/19 09:30

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Ethylbenzene	0.00074 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00074	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Isopropyl Ether	0.00072 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00072	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	0.00057 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00057	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
m,p-Xylenes	0.0013 U	mg/kg dry	0.0099	0.0013	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)	0.00034 U	mg/kg dry	0.020	0.00034	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
<b>Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)</b>	<b>0.015 J</b>	<b>mg/kg dry</b>	<b>0.020</b>	<b>0.0012</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8260B</b>	<b>4/26/19 22:07</b>	<b>JLB</b>	<b>P9D0530</b>
<b>Methyl Isobutyl Ketone</b>	<b>0.063</b>	<b>mg/kg dry</b>	<b>0.020</b>	<b>0.00040</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8260B</b>	<b>4/26/19 22:07</b>	<b>JLB</b>	<b>P9D0530</b>
Methylene Chloride	0.00080 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00080	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether	0.00069 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00069	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Naphthalene	0.00050 U	mg/kg dry	0.0099	0.00050	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
n-Butylbenzene	0.00046 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00046	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
n-Propylbenzene	0.00071 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00071	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
o-Xylene	0.00052 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00052	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00053 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00053	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Styrene	0.00048 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00048	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00059 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00059	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Tetrachloroethylene	0.00089 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00089	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Toluene	0.00078 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00078	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.00094 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00094	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.00042 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00042	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Trichloroethylene	0.00096 U	mg/kg dry	0.0049	0.00096	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0013 U	mg/kg dry	0.0099	0.0013	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Vinyl acetate	0.00053 U	mg/kg dry	0.0099	0.00053	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Vinyl chloride	0.00097 U	mg/kg dry	0.0099	0.00097	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530
Xylenes, total	0.0018 U	mg/kg dry	0.015	0.0018	1	8260B	4/26/19 22:07	JLB	P9D0530

Surrogate	Recovery	Control Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene	131 %	70-130 SR3
Dibromofluoromethane	112 %	84-123
Toluene-d8	110 %	76-129



Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Ch Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
Attn: John Maas  
2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Project No.: 1883R2707 Parcel 609  
Sample Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: P609-SB16-0-1  
Prism Sample ID: 9040346-04  
Prism Work Order: 9040346  
Time Collected: 04/18/19 14:10  
Time Submitted: 04/22/19 09:30

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Ethylbenzene	0.00095 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00095	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Isopropyl Ether	0.00092 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00092	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	0.00073 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00073	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
m,p-Xylenes	0.0016 U	mg/kg dry	0.013	0.0016	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)	0.00044 U	mg/kg dry	0.025	0.00044	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
<b>Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)</b>	<b>0.0060 J</b>	<b>mg/kg dry</b>	<b>0.025</b>	<b>0.0015</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8260B</b>	<b>4/26/19 15:08</b>	<b>JLB</b>	<b>P9D0530</b>
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.00051 U	mg/kg dry	0.025	0.00051	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Methylene Chloride	0.0010 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.0010	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether	0.00088 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00088	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Naphthalene	0.00064 U	mg/kg dry	0.013	0.00064	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
n-Butylbenzene	0.00059 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00059	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
n-Propylbenzene	0.00091 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00091	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
o-Xylene	0.00067 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00067	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00068 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00068	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Styrene	0.00061 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00061	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00075 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00075	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Tetrachloroethylene	0.0011 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.0011	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Toluene	0.0010 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.0010	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.0012 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.0012	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.00054 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.00054	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Trichloroethylene	0.0012 U	mg/kg dry	0.0063	0.0012	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0017 U	mg/kg dry	0.013	0.0017	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Vinyl acetate	0.00068 U	mg/kg dry	0.013	0.00068	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Vinyl chloride	0.0012 U	mg/kg dry	0.013	0.0012	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530
Xylenes, total	0.0023 U	mg/kg dry	0.019	0.0023	1	8260B	4/26/19 15:08	JLB	P9D0530

Surrogate	Recovery	Control Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene	111 %	70-130
Dibromofluoromethane	112 %	84-123
Toluene-d8	107 %	76-129





Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Ch Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
Attn: John Maas  
2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Project No.: 1883R2707 Parcel 609  
Sample Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: P609-SB17-0-1  
Prism Sample ID: 9040346-05  
Prism Work Order: 9040346  
Time Collected: 04/18/19 17:00  
Time Submitted: 04/22/19 09:30

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Ethylbenzene	0.0026 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0026	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Isopropyl Ether	0.0025 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0025	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	0.0020 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0020	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
m,p-Xylenes	0.0044 U	mg/kg dry	0.035	0.0044	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)	0.0012 U	mg/kg dry	0.069	0.0012	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
<b>Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>mg/kg dry</b>	<b>0.069</b>	<b>0.0041</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8260B</b>	<b>5/1/19 17:00</b>	<b>JLB</b>	<b>P9E0038</b>
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.0014 U	mg/kg dry	0.069	0.0014	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Methylene Chloride	0.0028 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0028	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether	0.0024 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0024	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Naphthalene	0.0017 U	mg/kg dry	0.035	0.0017	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
n-Butylbenzene	0.0016 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0016	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
n-Propylbenzene	0.0025 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0025	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
o-Xylene	0.0018 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0018	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
sec-Butylbenzene	0.0019 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0019	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Styrene	0.0017 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0017	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
tert-Butylbenzene	0.0021 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0021	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Tetrachloroethylene	0.0031 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0031	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Toluene	0.0028 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0028	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.0033 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0033	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.0015 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0015	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Trichloroethylene	0.0034 U	mg/kg dry	0.017	0.0034	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0047 U	mg/kg dry	0.035	0.0047	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Vinyl acetate	0.0019 U	mg/kg dry	0.035	0.0019	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Vinyl chloride	0.0034 U	mg/kg dry	0.035	0.0034	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038
Xylenes, total	0.0062 U	mg/kg dry	0.052	0.0062	1	8260B	5/1/19 17:00	JLB	P9E0038

Surrogate	Recovery	Control Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene	148 %	70-130 SR3
Dibromofluoromethane	118 %	84-123
Toluene-d8	122 %	76-129

Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Ch Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
 Attn: John Maas  
 2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
 Charlotte, NC 28208

Project No: 1883R2707 Parcel  
 609

Prism Work Order: 9040346  
 Time Submitted: 4/22/2019 9:30:00AM

**Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS - Quality Control**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	-------------	---------------	------	-------------	-----	-----------	-------

**Batch P9D0530 - 5035**

**Blank (P9D0530-BLK1)**

Prepared & Analyzed: 04/26/19

1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1-Dichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1-Dichloroethylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1-Dichloropropylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dibromoethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,3-Dichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
2,2-Dichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
2-Chlorotoluene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
4-Chlorotoluene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
4-Isopropyltoluene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Acetone	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet
Benzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromochloromethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromodichloromethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromoform	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromomethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Carbon Tetrachloride	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Chlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Chloroethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Chloroform	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Chloromethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Dibromochloromethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Dichlorodifluoromethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Ethylbenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Isopropyl Ether	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
m,p-Xylenes	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet

This report should not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written consent of Prism Laboratories, Inc.



















Full-Service Analytical &  
Environmental Solutions

Wood Environ. & Infrastructure Solutions (Ch Project: NCDOT Shelby R-2707 D&E  
Attn: John Maas  
2801 Yorkmont Rd. #100  
Charlotte, NC 28208

Project No: 1883R2707 Parcel  
609

Prism Work Order: 9040346  
Time Submitted: 4/22/2019 9:30:00AM

Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS (Medium Level) - Quality Control

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	-------------	---------------	------	-------------	-----	-----------	-------

**Batch P9E0041 - 5035**

**Blank (P9E0041-BLK1)**

Prepared & Analyzed: 05/01/19

1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1-Dichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1-Dichloroethylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,1-Dichloropropylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dibromoethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dichloroethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,2-Dichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,3-Dichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
2,2-Dichloropropane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
2-Chlorotoluene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
4-Chlorotoluene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
4-Isopropyltoluene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Acetone	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet
Benzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromochloromethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromodichloromethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromoform	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Bromomethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Carbon Tetrachloride	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Chlorobenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Chloroethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Chloroform	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Chloromethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Dibromochloromethane	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Dichlorodifluoromethane	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Ethylbenzene	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Isopropyl Ether	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	BRL	0.0050	mg/kg wet
m,p-Xylenes	BRL	0.010	mg/kg wet
Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	BRL	0.020	mg/kg wet

This report should not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written consent of Prism Laboratories, Inc.









