This electronic collection of documents is provided for the convenience of the user and is Not a Certified Document -

The documents contained herein were originally issued and sealed by the individuals whose names and license numbers appear on each page, on the dates appearing with their signature on that page. This file or an individual page shall not be considered a certified document.



STIP Project No. R-5768 US 311/NC 65/SR 1928 (Stokesburg Rd.) Walnut Cove, Stokes County, North Carolina

GEOENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING REPORT

on behalf of

North Carolina Department of Transportation Geotechnical Engineering Unit 1589 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1589

October 2017



Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP -

GeoEnvironmental Planning Report

In coordination with the geo-environmental investigation for R-5828, RK&K has investigated the above referenced project based on additional information from EDR that was not included in the previous geo-environmental assessment to identify potential hazardous material sites for inclusion in the environmental document.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EVALUATION

Purpose

This section presents the results of a hazardous material evaluation conducted in the vicinity of the project study area designated for construction of proposed roadway improvements associated with the US-311/NC-65/SR-1928 (Stokesburg Rd.) project in Walnut Cove, Stokes County, North Carolina. The main purpose of this investigation is to identify properties within the project study area that are or may be contaminated, and therefore, result in increased project costs and future liability if acquired by the Department. Hazardous material impacts may include, but are not limited to, active and abandoned underground storage tank (UST) sites, hazardous waste sites, regulated landfills and unregulated dumpsites.

Techniques/Methodologies

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) regulatory database information and Geographical Information System (GIS) mapping were evaluated to identify known sites of concern in relation to the US-311/NC-65/SR-1928 project as a preliminary screening of the corridor. A search of environmental agency site documentation was requested on July 21, 2017 and the material reviewed to identify potential environmental concerns. RK&K personnel conducted a field reconnaissance along the preliminary corridor from public rights-of-way to verify conditions at the identified sites on September 28, 2017.

Findings

UST Facilities

Based on our study, six (6) current or former UST facilities were identified within the vicinity of the project, four (4) of which were located within the project limits.

Hazardous Waste Sites

No current Hazardous Waste Sites were identified within the project limits.

Landfills

No apparent former landfills were identified within the project limits.

Other Geo-Environmental Concerns

One (1) cement plant and a new car wash with a potential oil/water separator were identified within the project limits.

Anticipated Impacts

Four (4) former UST facilities are located within the project limits of disturbance. One (1) current and one former UST facilities are located adjacent to the project limits. In addition, one (1) cement plant and one (1) car wash were identified within the project limits of disturbance. We anticipate moderate to high monetary and scheduling impacts resulting from these sites, if property acquisition or soil excavation/grading activities reach the groundwater table. (See the following table and appendices for details)

	Sites o	f Concern
1)	Property Name Cemex Walnut Cove RMC Carolina Materials 211 Main Street Facility ID #: Unidentified	Property Owner: Boyd Hole DBA Boyd Hole Concrete; Betty D Hole DBA Boyd Hole Concrete UST Owner: N/A
	Looking east from S. Main Str	reet at the building front and entrance.

This facility currently is a cement vehicle maintenance area. The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records. This site was not previously identified as a potential project concern.

The site inspection of September 28, 2017, showed that the site is used for truck maintenance and parking for tractor trailers and construction vehicles. Two small sheds serve as an office and supply building, and a larger building with two bays is a maintenance garage. The exterior of the buildings show no evidence of USTs, AST or discharges out of the buildings into the soil. All maintenance activities occur within the building.

This site, which is within the study area, is anticipated to present low geo-environmental impacts to the project.

2)	Property Name Joe Grindstaff residence 207 S Main Street (301 Main St)	Property Owner: Jimmy L. Knight Jr; Debbie R. Knight PO Box 531
	Facility ID #: Unidentified	Walnut Cove, NC 27052 UST Owner: N/A
	Building front an	Id entrance, facing south.

This facility currently is unoccupied and appears abandoned. The site is listed in the reviewed public records in the historical auto database, for records as an automotive supply and parts facility listed to, Grindstaff Joe, between 1992 to 1999.

The site inspection of September 28, 2017, showed that the property contains a single structure that has been abandoned and is in a state of disuse. The remainder of the property is covered in grass or gravel, with a single tree adjacent to the building. The vegetation is sparse and stressed, but no visible signs of areas of affected growth is present. No ASTs or surface signs of USTs are present.

This site is anticipated to present moderate geo-environmental impacts to the project.

3)	Property Name	Property Owner:	
,	Former Friendly Food Mart 6	Dr. VL Dehart	
	Route 2 (403Stokesburg Rd)	PO Box 398	
		Walnut Cove, NC 27052	
	Facility ID #: 00-0-000008364	UST Owner: Dan River Oil Co.	
	Incident #: 19490		
	Looking SE at the front of facility, wit	h groundwater monitoring well in foreground	

This facility currently is abandoned. The facility is listed in the reviewed public records in the UST database, for three 4,000-gallon gasoline tanks, all removed – and LUST and LUST TRUST databases for an open case of petroleum release with gross benzene contamination of groundwater in February 2000, with clean-up completed in September 2001.

Public records reviewed on October 5, 2017, designate the site as a former gasoline facility containing three 4,000-gallon gasoline USTs with unknown installation dates. The tanks were excavated on June 2, 1998, and removed for off-site disposal. Analytical laboratory results of soils excavated from beneath the USTs indicated the presence of petroleum concentrations below NC standards. Contaminated soils were identified near the dispenser island and the product lines with limited excavation removing impacted soils. High BTEX concentrations were detected in groundwater adjacent to NC 65.

The site inspection on September 28, 2017, identified an abandoned building and small parking lot. No indication of USTs were identified, but a cover plate indicating the presence of four groundwater monitoring wells were noted. This site is anticipated to present moderate geo-environmental impacts to the project.



This facility currently operates as a private residence. The facility is listed in the reviewed public records in the LAST and the IMD databases, for a case of 200 gallons of petroleum release from an AST in March 2004, with soil contamination. Closure and cleanup were not reported, but contaminated soil was noted as being removed. This site was not previously identified as a potential project concern.

The site inspection of September 28, 2017, showed that the property is a private residence. No signs of a UST or AST were present. The ground surface is lawn, with a bare-earth driveway. No business or commercial activity was apparent.

This site is anticipated to present moderate geo-environmental impacts to the project.

5)	Property Name NC Country Ham	Property Owner: Town Fork Produce Inc
	304 Main Street	Town Fork Froudee, me.
	Facility ID #: Unidentified	UST Owner: N/A
	Looking SE from S. Main	St. (US 311) at the front of facility
	N.C. Country Ham • Side M	eat · Fatback · Saithish · Fresh Produce Law - Index -

This facility is a small strip mall of small businesses. The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records.

The site inspection of September 28, 2017, showed that the site is used as a fresh produce and meat market on designated days. The market was not open at the time of assessment. A hair salon is also present in the strip mall. There are no signs of discharges, spills or hazardous material use on-site. There were no indications of USTs or ASTs, or other hazardous material storage on-site.

This site may have been misidentified as the Pork Produce Mart/former Gene Miller residence in the previous geo-environmental assessment and is therefore not anticipated to present a geo-environmental impact to the project.



The September 28, 2017, inspection of this property that fronts S. Main Street (US 311) observed the facility operating as restaurant and storage site. The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records. On the west side of the facility is a concrete patch in the asphalt paving pad. The owner of this property stated that a UST was removed between 1975 to 1980. The owner also operates a fuel delivery business with tanker trucks, but there is no infrastructure at this site. The wash bays located in the western portion of the property are not currently used. The ground surface is mostly asphalt pavement, with patches of gravel.

This site is anticipated to present moderate geo-environmental impacts to the project.

7)	Property Name Car Wash 415 Stokesburg Road	Property Owner: Walkertown Lube-N-Wash, LLC		
	Facility ID #:	UST Owner:		
	<image/>	<image/>		

This facility currently operates as an automated self-serve car wash. The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records.

The site inspection of September 28, 2017 showed that the site is an automatic car wash with 5 bays – one that moves the vehicle through the bay, and four that are stationary with central floor drains for hand washing. Minimal wash water appears to flow out of the bays. There are no indications that there are USTs to receive/recycle the used water. There were no personnel on site. Vacuums are also present in separate setups and an oil/water separator may be in place onsite.

This site is not anticipated to present geo-environmental impacts to the project. This site is also not a geo-environmental concern for the R-5768, NC-65 at US-311/1928 Intersection project.

8)	Property Name Mobil 634 S Main Street	Property Owner: AS&S Inc; Muhammad Ramzan Nasir; Robina Kausar
	Facility ID #: Unidentified	UST Owner: Unknown
	Dispenser area & convenience store	Fuel USTs, with car wash

This facility currently operates as a gas station and convenience store. The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records.

The site inspection of September 28, 2017, showed that the site has six dispenser islands, a car wash building, and a convenience store. There are three fuel USTs – premium gasoline, regular gasoline, and diesel fuel. The car wash was not in operation at the time of inspection. Significant sediment from the north side of the property had washed alongside the car wash and north edge of the paved asphalt surface. There was no indication of tanks in use for the car wash. No ASTs were present. No vehicle maintenance or repair is performed.

This site is not anticipated to present geo-environmental impacts to the project. This site is also identified as a low geo-environmental concern in the R-5768, NC-65 at US-311/1928 Intersection geo-environmental report.

9)	Property Name	Property Owner:
,	Pork Produce Mart/former Gene Miller	McLamb Holdings, LLC
	residence	
	711 S Main Street	
	Facility ID #: 00-0-0000024859	UST Owner:
		Barrow Oil Company
	Fron	t of building
		0 1
	All and a second	and the second s
		and a second second second
	the stand of the stand	
		and the second

This facility currently is abandoned. NCDEQ's database identified four tanks on the property, with a 3,000-gallon gasoline UST installed in 1986 and a 4,000-gallon and two 3,000-gallon gasoline USTs installed in 1964. Reportedly, one 3,000 gallon UST associated with the former operations was removed and replaced with the same sized UST in 1986. All USTs were removed in 2005 and no groundwater incident number was assigned. A cleanup was reported in 1986 and closed out to residential standards in 2016

The site inspection of September 28, 2017 showed that the site is a single residential building, with no activity. It appeared to be abandoned. The ground surface is a mix of gravel cover and short grass. A single monitoring well is in place in the gravel area, possibly indicating the former location of commercial tanks.

This site is anticipated to present low geo-environmental impacts to the project. This site may have been misidentified as the NC Country Ham site in previous geo-environmental assessments that identified the site as a moderate concern.

Please note that discovery of additional sites not recorded by regulatory agencies and not reasonably discernible during the project reconnaissance may occur. RK&K should be notified immediately upon the identification of additional sites so any potential impact(s) may be assessed. If the project area changes or property acquisitions occur, the estimation of potential risk relative to the contaminants of concern may also change.

If there are questions regarding the identified geo-environmental issues, please contact Mark Pierce, PE at 919-653-7480.

Mark Pierce Project Manager

cc: Scott Blevins, PE, RK&K

APPENDIX A

FIGURES









APPENDIX B

SUMMARY TABLE

Site #	Туре	Address	UST Facility ID#	Property Name	UST Owner/ Property Owner	Anticipated Impact	Anticipated Risk	Comments
1	Observation	211 Main Street	Unidentified	Cemex Walnut Cove/RMC Carolina Materials	N/A	Cement Dust, Metals	Low	The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records. The property is the site of truck operations and interior repair
2	Hist Auto	207 S Main Street	Unidentified	Joe Grindstaff Residence	Unidentified	Petroleum	Moderate	HIST AUTO database, for records of the Automotive Supplies and Parts, Grindstaff Joe, in 1992 to 1999
3	UST, LUST	Route 2	0-008364 00-0- 0000008364	Former Friendly Food Mart 6	Dan River Oil Co.	Petroleum	Moderate	UST database, for three 4,000-gallon gasoline tanks, all removed – and the LUST and the LUST TRUST databases, for an open case of petroleum release with gross benzene contamination of groundwater in February 2000, with clean-up completed in September 2001
4	LAST, IMD	511 McAlster Street	87080, 87036	Ray Neal residence	Unidentified	Petroleum	Moderate	LAST and the IMD databases, for a case of 200 gallons of petroleum release from an AST in March 2004, with soil contamination. Closure and cleanup were not reported, but contaminated soil was noted as being removed
5	Observation	304 Main Street	Unidentified	N.C. County Ham	Unidentified	None	None	The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records. The property is the site of commercial operations with no tank use.
6	Observation	407 Main Street	Unidentified	The Cove Grill/former Fulps Exxon	Unidentified	Petroleum	Moderate	The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records. The property is the site of a former gas station and car wash.
7	Observation	415 Stokesburg Road	N/A	Car Wash	Walkertown Lube-N-Wash, LLC	None	None	Car wash within R-5768

Site #	Туре	Address	UST Facility ID#	Property Name	UST Owner/ Property Owner	Anticipated Impact	Anticipated Risk	Comments
8	Geoenvironmental	634 S Main Street	Unidentified	Mobil	AS&S Inc; Muhammad Ramzan Nasir; Robina Kausar	None	Moderate	Active petroleum station within R- 5768
9	Geoenvironmental	711 S Main Street	Unidentified	Pork Produce Mart/former Gene Miller residence	McLamb Holdings, LLC	None	Low	Former USTs within R-5768

PROJECT SPECIAL PROVISIONS GEOENVIRONMENTAL

CONTAMINATED SOIL (6/23/2022)

The Contractor's attention is directed to the fact that soil contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbon compounds exist within the project area. The known areas of contamination are indicated on corresponding plans sheets. Information relating to these contaminated areas, sample locations, and investigation reports will be available at the following web address by navigating to the correct letting year and month then selecting, "Plans and Proposals", "R-5768", "Individual Sheets/520 GeoEnvironmental":

http://dotw-xfer01.dot.state.nc.us/dsplan/

Petroleum contaminated soil may be encountered during any earthwork activities on the project. The Contractor shall only excavate those soils that the Engineer designates necessary to complete a particular task. The Engineer shall determine if soil is contaminated based on areas shown on the plans, petroleum odors, and unusual soil staining. Contaminated soil not required to be excavated is to remain in place and undisturbed. Undisturbed soil shall remain in place, whether contaminated or not. The Contractor shall transport all contaminated soil excavated from the project to a facility licensed to accept contaminated soil.

In the event that a stockpile is needed, the stockpile shall be created within the property boundaries of the source material and in accordance with the Diagram for Temporary Containment and Treatment of Petroleum-Contaminated Soil per North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's (NCDEQ) Division of Waste Management UST Section GUIDELINES FOR EX SITU PETROLEUM CONTAMINATED SOIL REMEDIATION. If the volume of contaminated material exceeds available space on site, the Contractor shall obtain a permit from the NCDEQ UST Section's Regional Office for off-site temporary storage. The Contractor shall provide copies of disposal manifests completed per the disposal facilities requirements and weigh tickets to the Engineer.

Measurement and Payment:

The quantity of contaminated soil hauled and disposed of shall be the actual number of tons of material, which has been acceptably transported and weighed with certified scales as documented by disposal manifests and weigh tickets. The quantity of contaminated soil, measured as provided above, shall be paid for at the contract unit price per ton for "Hauling and Disposal of Petroleum Contaminated Soil".

The above price and payment shall be full compensation for all work covered by this section, including, but not limited to stockpiling, loading, transportation, weighing, laboratory testing, disposal, equipment, decontamination of equipment, labor, and personal protective equipment.

Payment shall be made under:

Pay Item

Hauling and Disposal of Petroleum Contaminated Soil





September 9, 2021

Mr. Gordon Box GeoEnvironmental Project Manager Geotechnical Engineering Unit 1020 Birch Ridge Drive Raleigh, NC 27610 Email : <u>ghbox@ncdot.gov</u>

TIP Number:	R-5768
WBS Number:	44670.1.1
County:	STOKES
Description:	US 311, NC 65 in Walnut Cove- Upgrade Intersection

Subject: Proper Management of Impacted Soil and Water

Ρ	Parcel #	Owner	Address
1		NCDOT formerly Hunter &	425 S Main St. Walnut Cove, NC
		Cynthia Willard	
3	3	NCDOT formerly Duane	407 S Main St. Walnut Cove, NC
		Sutphin	

Dear Mr. Box:

As requested by NCDOT, Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, P.C. (Pyramid) has completed the proper management of impacted soils and water at Parcel 1 and Parcel 3, located at 425 & 407 South Main Street, Walnut Cove, North Carolina. A Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA) was performed by Pyramid in 2019 and identified no USTs within the proposed right of way (ROW) area. The location of the site is shown on **Figure 1**. The location of the site features relative to the 2019 proposed ROW line are shown on **Figure 2**.

The NCDOT purchased additional property, adjacent to the proposed right-of-way, and an Oil-Water Separator (OWS) was located behind a car wash on the additional land. The demolition contractor found the OWS which contained water and sediment that needed to be removed and properly disposed. On another area of the project, some petroleum contaminated soil was discovered near a former heating oil tank (which was also outside the proposed ROW). The soil was excavated by the ROW demolition contractor and was stockpiled on plastic for disposal.

Pyramid prepared and submitted a proposal to complete the following scope of work:

<u>Scope of Work</u>

- Remove the fluid from vessels at Parcel 1 (approximately 750 gallons) generated from historical car wash operations (depth of fluid is reportedly approximately 6 ft. in two vessels).
- Remove approximately one (1) cubic yard (approximately 1.5 ton) of impacted soil at Parcel 3 (depth of excavation is reportedly approximately 2 ft. by width of 4 ft. by 4 ft.).
- Properly dispose of any impacted fluid and petroleum–impacted soils.
- On reports and NCDEQ forms attribute UST ownership to former property owner (see subject above)
- Prepare a letter report documenting your activities. Submit one electronic DocuSign copy to this office.
- The investigation report is requested to be due October 6, 2021.

After the work was approved by NCDOT GeoEnvironmental, Pyramid began the work with a site visit and soil sampling on August 10, 2021. Brett Higgins of Pyramid went to the site to locate the Oil-Water Separator (OWS) and examine access to perform the work. The OWS was reasonably accessible and was full of water and sediment.

Brett also collected a composite soil sample from the petroleum contaminated soil stockpile for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were collected from 6 locations within the stockpile and composited into a single sample for laboratory analysis. The composite soil sample was analyzed at RedLab in Wilmington, NC using the Ultra-Violet Fluorescence (UVF) analyses for petroleum compounds. The analyses Included:

- Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes (BTEX)
- Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)
- Diesel Range Organics (DRO)
- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) total aromatics, and
- Benzo-a-Pyrene (BaP)

The soil pile was covered with plastic and weighted down with rocks and hay until the soil removal could be arranged. The source of the petroleum contamination was #2 fuel oil from an AST located on the additional property purchased by NCDOT. The laboratory analytical results are presented in **Attachment A**, and showed low levels of petroleum contamination, especially Diesel Range Organics (DRO). The composite analysis was used to prepare and submit a waste profile to the disposal facility which is presented in **Attachment B**. The soil profile was accepted by AES and the shipment of the soil was approved.

Once the soil removal was arranged, the contractor CCI agreed to meet Pyramid at the site on Thursday, August 19, 2021 to remove the contaminated soil and clean out the OWS. However, on Thursday morning after mobilization, the vacuum truck driver called in sick, and the clean-up date was rescheduled to Wednesday, August 25, 2021.

On Wednesday, August 25th, Josh Dasnoit of Pyramid, met with the vacuum truck driver and two technicians of CCI to conduct the site clean-up. The contaminated soil stockpile and plastic was loaded into the dump truck for transportation to the disposal facility. The vacuum truck operator began vacuuming liquids and cleaning the OWS. The two openings on top of the separator were used to access the separator, pressure wash the inside, and clean out the sediment. The pressure-washer removed the sludge from the sides and bottom of the concrete OWS. The pressure washer filter clogged, and it took more than an hour to get it working so that the project could be finished. The equipment was repaired, and the clean-up operations resumed, and the tank was cleaned.

After work was completed, Josh Dasnoit of Pyramid signed the manifests for the soil and water. Photographs of the OWS and stockpile area before and after cleanup are provided in **Attachment C**. The signed Manifests and weight ticket are provided in **Attachment D**. The contractor transported the contaminated soil to AES, and the OWS sludge was disposed at the CCI facility. The OWS was covered with the original concrete lids after work was completed.

This report presents the documentation of the successful cleaning of the OWS and the disposal of the petroleum contaminated soils. If you have any questions or comments, please call me at (336) 335-3174 ext. 124.

Sincerely,

Michael G. Jones, LG **Operations Manager**

Figures 1 & 2 Attachments A - D Figures





Attachment A

O			\mathbf{G}	0			os Italian					0 2 0 2 0	
Client: Address:	Pyramid Environmental Greensboro, NC			нуагоса		ialysis K	esuits		Sal Sample Sampl	mples ta es extrac es analy	ken sed	Tuesday, August 10, Tuesday, August 10, Thursday, August 12,	2021 2021 2021
Contact:	Brett Higgins									Opera	tor	Tori Kelly	
Project:	NCDOT/ 2021-230												
												U04049	
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	ВТЕХ (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	ТРН (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	ВаР	ŝ	tios	HC Fingerprint Matc	6
										% light %	mid heav.		
s	CS1	19.3	<0.48	18.2	28.2	46.4	11.1	0.48	<0.019	77.1	8.6 4.	4 Deg.Fuel 82.8%,(FCM),(BO)	
	Initial C	alibrator (QC check	ý					Final FO	im ac ch	eck OK		96.3 %
Results gen Fingerprints (SBS) or (LE	erated by a QED HC-1 analyser. Concenti provide a tentative hydrocarbon identificatio tS) = Site Specific or Library Background Su.	ation values n. The abbr btraction ap	in mg/kg fc eviations are plied to resu	r soil sample ∷- FCM = R∉ lit : (PFM) = I	ss and mg/L ft esults calcula Poor Fingerpr	or water samp ted using Fur int Match : (T	oles. Soil val idamental Cali) = Turbid : (P)	ues are not c bration Mode i = Particulati	orrected for 9 : % = confi e present	moisture o	stone conti ample finge	ant print match to library	

QED Hydrocarbon Fingerprints

Project: Pyramid Project #2021-230 - NCDOT R-5768 Soil Stockpile



	B		-	/zed for	AH total			nple Wt.	5.2								~									
	K Moss Lane Idg, Suite 200	VC 28409		le will be ana , DRO, TPH, F matics and Ba				are Wt. Sar	40.3					-			ab USE ONL	Q			1-Hene					
RED Lab, LLC	MARBIONC B	Wilmington, 1		Each sample	BTEX, GRO,	aron		lotal Wt.	23. %		RED				-8 W											
TM			TICS	2	LICAL			GUBIEX										Date/Time		Date/Time	5/12/21 1Up					
			AGNOS		ANALY	Σ	-	IVI																		
			DID ENVIRONMENTAL D		HAIN OF CUSTODY AND	REQUEST FOR	-	sample ID										Accepted by		Accepted by	FOri Kelly					
			RAI	ntal.com	D	_	Aatrix	S/W)	soil CS1			-						Date/Time	8-10-21 / 16:50	Date/Time						
ironmental	Avenue NC 27406		21-230	idenvironmer	4 - Ext. 128		ested N	48 Hour (×										CIM	L	2					
Pyramid Env	503 Industria Greensboro,	Brett Higgins	NCDOT / 202	orett@pyrami	brett@pyram	336-335-317.	336-335-317	336-335-317	brett@pyran 336-335-317	336-335-317	Brett Higgins	TAT Requ	24 Hour										ished by	NI C	ished by	
Client Name:	Address:	Contact:	Project Ref .:	Email:	Phone #:	Collected by:	Sample Collection	Date/Time	8-10-21 / 14:00								Comments:	C Re(ingu	大学が	Relinqu						

Attachment B

NON-HAZARDOUS MATERIAL PROFILE SHEET

Sec. 1

	D HATENISCI HOT ILE	ourel .	(1)
CENTER ADDR'S DIECON (ATTOX	C.AO.S	sultant	
NAME NC DDT 8.	Dung / Dung -OIR	Samuro	4
CONTACT PERSONI MANY	TOOL FULLETTIN	CNVIKE	
PHONE # 336 225-2114 E	VA.		
MAU ING ADDRESS 507 IA		GATTINA M	a set day
IL CLISTOMER'S INFORMATION	pusterne pref	OKOCNJEORO, N	C 21406
VAME (T 5	C. ret		v
CONTACT DEPRON	SERVICE		
PHONE #QIA-753 1911) PAY	seef-		
MAILING ADDRERG, DRU		south	
TI WATERIAL DECONDENSI	Kurcy, Salisbury NC	20140	
WALLAND DBOCKFILD		1.	
MARIERIALS MARIRIA: SOIL/ WAIER/ OIHI	R: CONTAMINATES: 5	211	<u>k</u> .
diagon product	_leaded gasolineunlead	ed gasoline	1- 1-
transmission fluid.	gasoholjr	-4/jet a/ jet fuel	
Y 49 Sol 21	Stoddard solvent/versow	aste oils	
2 = 72 (uet off $= 74$ ruel off $= 75$ f	uel oil#6 fuel oil	hydraulic oils	+
DEFER CONTAMPANTANTA	mineral spiritsmotor oils	snaphthalene	
WERE OF CONTAINED ANT CONTAINED	LLY: #2 FUEL	DIL	
Source of CONTAMINANT(S):			1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
A above ground storage tank (ASI)			
underground storage tank (UST)			5
tank used for bastic - off 1100 gallons or less ;	for storing motor fuel for non-	commercial purposes	X
pineline	implive use on premise where	stored	X
preside			×
storri water or materwater collection		i.	
flow through process tools		~	
tank in underground open (heremond and here	73 5	····	Per la
surface chill celeste (not mine; in	nuel) situated upon or above th	ie floor	
**OTHER SOLD CE(S) OF CONTANTALITY	tem)	- 414	
**ORIGNATION POINT OF MATERIAL (S)	LIST SPECIFICALLY:		4
"CURRENT LOCATION OF MATERIAL (BE SP	eciric: street, city, state): 4	07 S. MAIN S	:T*
IV. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION By siming	this grafile share die C	WALNUT CO	IE, NC
Agent) certifies that unless clearly stated above or i	attachmentar	or (or the Generator's Au	horized
1. This material is not a "hazardous waste/ ha	zardous substance" as define	L. TREPL DODL OF	
OR ANY North Carolina or Federal Regul	ation.	i by usera, rcra, ce	KCLA,
2. This material does not contain any PCB's	polychlorineted Rinhamda)	a	<u> </u>
3. This material does not contain any herbicid	polychoninated Biphenyis)		
4. This profile sheet and its attachments conte	in true and accurate decomption	an affah 2 aut 1 a a	1.5.61
allirelevant information regarding known o	suspected hazards in the post	as or the subject materia	l, and
Generators Authorized Agent) has been dis	closed	Coston of the Generator	orme
5. If Earthree of NC, Inc. discovers, after hav	ing taken delivery of the subje	of material that any of a	· ·
material does not conform to the identificat	ion and description on this pro-	file cheet the Fouthroom	1C FAIC
inc. shall provide notice of such condition t	o the Generator (or the Generator	tor's Authorized Amant)	and
coordinate the return of non-conforming wa	ste to the point of origin as set	forth on the manifest or	10
such other locations designated in writing b	y the Generator (or the Genera	tor's Authorized Agent)	The
Generator and the Generator's Authorized A	gent agree to reimburse Earth	ter of NC Inc. for any o	ad all
expenses involved in characterizing, handling	ig, and disposing of the materi	al proparly including a	
limitation, the costs of sampling, analysis, th	eatment, disposal, transportati	on legal fees consulting wi	เกอนะ
fines and penalties.		on, regar recs, consuming	, rees,
want la so Da MA			
NOUT / ryramix - UPS. Mgr.	MIGHAEL JONZ	EJ 8/12/21	4.
"Generator's/ Generator's Agent Title	**Name (Type or Print)	Date	
		. 14110	
9	Thinhaul 4	andi	
	11		1
	V		
Notice R E	1.1	н. (9
			- 0. -

274

Attachment C








Attachment D

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

	WASTE MANIFEST				Document No.		of
T	3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address	I.F.	Sho NLDO	T			
	Promis BAVIONMENT	at Lingine	CUTTY 1589 M	1 Service la	ſ		
	11	0	11.1.4	7199-1200			
	4. Generator's Phone ()		Jeakigh M ?	1011-1007			
	5. Transporter 1 Company Name	(6. US EPA ID Numbe	r	A. State Trans	sorter's ID	
L	cef				B. Transporter	1 Phone	
Γ	7. Transporter 2 Company Name	ł	8. US EPA ID Numbe	r	C. State Trans	porter's ID	
					D. Transporter	2 Phone	
	9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address		10. US EPA ID Number	ər	E. State Facilit	ty's ID	
	CCL						
	-				F. Facility's Ph	tone	
	11. WASTE DESCRIPTION			12. Co	ntainers	13. Total	
F				No.	Туре	Quantity	W
	a.						
	and Handalan FU A	1 and i at	11/2 1		TT	859	2
4	VON HAZARDOUS STUG	k And WAter 1	m, red	001	//	001	V
	0.						
-	C.						
1	d.	-maž -					
				1			
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd	ove			H. Handling C	Codes for Wastes Listed A	Above
	 G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 	nformation			H. Handling C	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above
	 G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional Information (1997) 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information (1997) 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby continue proper condition for transport. The materials 	nformation entify that the contents of this described on this manifest	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazard	ly described and are in ous waste regulations.	H. Handling C	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above
	 G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional Information (1998) 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information (1998) 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby or in proper condition for transport. The materials 	nformation artify that the contents of this a described on this manifest	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazard	ly described and are in bus waste regulations.	H. Handling C	bodes for Wastes Listed /	Above
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abornation 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby or in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name	nformation entity that the contents of this s described on this manifest a	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazard	y described and are in bus waste regulations.	H. Handling C all respects	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abore 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby or in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Dosh Dasnoit	nformation	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazard	y described and are in bus waste regulations.	H. Handling C	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OS 20
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abo 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby co in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name OSH Dataoit 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Distratificand No-	nformation stilly that the contents of this a described on this manifest	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazardi	ly described and are in ous waste regulations.	H. Handling C all respects	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OB DD Date
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abo 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby co in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name DSM Data 1 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name	nformation artify that the contents of this a described on this manifest a f Materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazarda Signature Signature	ly described and are in ous waste regulations.	H. Handling C all respects	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OB Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abo 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby of in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Dash Dash of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name Williom Dashbart	nformation artify that the contents of this a described on this manifest	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazarda Signature Signature Signature	y described and are in pus waste regulations. Danado 2 Danado 2 Danado	H. Handling C all respects	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OS A Date Month Day OS A
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby of in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Data 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name Manual Manual Man	nformation artily that the contents of this adescribed on this manifest a f Materials f Materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazarda Signature Signature Signature Bienstere	by described and are in pous waste regulations. Daret 2 Daret 2 Daret	H. Handling C all respects	bodes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OS Date Month Day OS Date Month Day OS Date
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby of in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Dash Dash of Printed/Typed Name Million Dashburger 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name	nformation artify that the contents of this active described on this manifest a f Materials f Materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazards	ly described and are in ous waste regulations. Parat Barat Barat Barat	H. Handling C all respects	bodes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OB 20 Date Month Day OB 20 Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby or in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Dash Dash Dash 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name Million Dash Dash 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name Million Dash 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name	nformation entity that the contents of this described on this manifest f Materials f Materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazard Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	ly described and are in ous waste regulations. Tarrad Darad Darad	H. Handling C all respects	bodes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OS 20 Date Month Day OS 20 Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby co in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Data Data Data 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name Millian Data Data 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space	nformation of Materials f Materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazarda Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	y described and are in bus waste regulations. Tareat 2 Dama 2 Dama	H. Handling C all respects	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Above Date Month Day OS 20 Date Month Day OS 20 Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby of in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Data Data Data Data 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name Unit of Data Data Data Data 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space	nformation artify that the contents of this a described on this manifest a f Materials f Materials f Materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazarda Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	ty described and are in bus waste regulations. Darether Darether Darether Darether	H. Handling C all respects	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Above Date Month Day OS 20 Date Month Day OS 20 Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby of in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Data L 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name United/Typed Name United/Typed Name 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 20. Facility Owner or Operator; Certification of re	nformation artify that the contents of this adescribed on this manifest a f Materials f Materials celpt of the waste materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazarda Signature Signature Signature Signature	by described and are in pus waste regulations. Data 2 Data 3 Data	H. Handling C all respects	codes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OS 20 Date Month Day Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abd 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby of in proper condition for transport. The materials Printed/Typed Name Data Data Data Data 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name Million Data Data Data 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 20. Facility Owner or Operator; Certification of re	nformation artify that the contents of this active on this manifest a f Materials f Materials celpt of the waste materials	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazards Signature Signature Signature Signature	ly described and are in ous waste regulations. Danad Danad Danad Danad as noted in item 19.	H. Handling C all respects	bodes for Wastes Listed /	Above Date Month Day OB 20 Date Month Day OB 20 Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional International Handling Instructions and Additional International Internation International Internation International International Internation International Internation Internation International International Internation	ove Information Information If Materials If	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazard Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	ly described and are in ous waste regulations. Dana Dana Dana as noted in item 19.	H. Handling C all respects	bodes for Wastes Listed /	Above Above Above Date Month Day OB Date Month Day OB Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional Descriptions for Materials Listed Abditional Information of the second structure of the seco	ove Information Information If Materials If	s shipment are fully and accurate are not subject to federal hazard Signature Signature Signature Signature	by described and are in bus waste regulations. Danata 2 Danata 3 Danata	H. Handling C all respects	bodes for Wastes Listed /	Above Above Above Date Month Day OS 30 Date Month Day OS 30 Date Month Day OS 30 Date Month Day OS 400 Date Month Day OS 400 Date

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

	NON-HAZARDOUS 1. General WASTE MANIFEST	ators US EPA ID No.			Document No		of
	3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address		NEDOT 14	. / 10			
	Fyramic Environmental	T LNG MER	TTO Play at	viclage			
	4. Generator's Phone ()		Juddin &	111-137			
\vdash	5. Transporter 1 Company Name	6.	US EPA ID Number		A. State Tran	sorter's ID	
	CCE				B. Transporte	1 Phone	
	7. Transporter 2 Company Name	8.	US EPA ID Number		C. State Trans	sporter's ID	
L				_	D. Transporte	r 2 Phone	
	9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address	10.	US EPA ID Number		E. State Facil	ty's ID	
	CLT						
	CUT				F. Facility's P	hone	
┡				12. Co	Intainers	13.	14
				No.	Туре	Total Quantity	Uni Wt.A
	a.			-			
					DT	1.55	for
	ADE HAZARDOUS Dirt					0	
T	b.						
L				_			
	с.						
	d						
	u.						
	G. Additonal Descriptions for Materials Listed Above				H. Handling	Codes for Wastes Listed A	bove
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information						
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information						_
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information						_
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information			-			
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information						
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information	contents of this shipmen	t are fully and accurately descr	ibed and are in	all respects		
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or	contents of this shipmen n this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or	contents of this shipmen n this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr ibject to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in ste regulations.	n all respects		Date
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Sosh Dasnott	contents of this shipmen n this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately describic to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dasnot 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr ibject to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in ste regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day 25 Date
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dasnott 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Josh Dasnot 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Josh Josh	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was Signature	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day 25 Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dasholt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeff Dasholt Jeff 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeff	contents of this shipmen n this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was Signature	ibed and are in ste regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashatt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name JEFF January Jeff <td< td=""><td>contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su</td><td>t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was Signature</td><td>ibed and are in the regulations.</td><td>n all respects</td><td></td><td>Date Month Day Date Month Day S Date Month Day S Date</td></td<>	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was Signature	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day Date Month Day S Date Month Day S Date
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashatt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeff 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr ibject to federal hazardous was Signature	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Date Date Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashedt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeff Interasporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeff 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeff 19. Discrepance Indication Space	contents of this shipmen n this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day 25 Date Month Day 25 Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashatt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeffer Luce 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeffer Luce 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeffer Luce 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeffer Luce 19. Discrepancy Indication Space	contents of this shipmen n this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was Signature Signature Signature Signature	ibed and are in ste regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day 25 Date Month Day 25 Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashatt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Josh 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately describilect to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day
LrazonOrtur HACH	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashatt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Josh 18. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 20. Facility Owner or Operator; Certification of receipt of the w	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately describiect to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashatt 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name JEFF 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 20. Facility Owner or Operator; Certification of receipt of the w	contents of this shipmen in this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately describiect to federal hazardous was	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day Date Month Day
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the in proper condition for transport. The materials described or Printed/Typed Name Josh Dashaft 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Jeff 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 20. Facility Owner or Operator; Certification of receipt of the w	contents of this shipmen n this manifest are not su	t are fully and accurately descr bject to federal hazardous was Signature Signature Signature Signature by this maifest, except as noted Signature	ibed and are in the regulations.	n all respects		Date Month Day 25 Date Month Day 25 Date Month Day

13. STN 1.1.
Post Office Box 310
Sauford, MC 279-1
(1)0 - 2: 9: 12.77 - 4332:
Fox H. 919-174-64
Email: Bouns.ass@gmail.com

.

NORTH CAROLINA PUBLIC WEIGHMASTER LICENSE EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2819 JOE F, HOLDER INVALID UNLESS SIGNED

24197	Load Number:	nom noris, par and i i and a noris part of the max processes in the source of the sour
Cossient	Contant:	
,	Finoste:	. Venera i
Generator: R. ramed	Coutant Keich Be	reh
Walnut Cove	Photo: 704 650	1298
Case of the second s	, Coclect	uniter and a final second s
CUI Gowironmired	Phone :	
Destruction: AMS of NC, LLC. 3841 Cummers Rd, Thomasville, NC 27	Contact, Scott Keller 3rt Phone: 919-774-4517	Stankie Holder
Waste Description.	An is Origination:	1589 Mail Source Cere
Table # 700 B	Grus, Weight	08:33am 08/26/2021
n maan salah sa sabaran masharaffa magaraffa manan faffa sa tamar salah maratar tari sana sa da	Tore Weight:	44240 1b 6R
t 1995 dine on foreign brokkling if dien. Officiel has de Leiblic dar in der Leiblic der ander oder der Statistiker der	Net Weight:	13100 15 MT
Generator o Ceroffostion: Lastiny that the	moterials described above of	6.55 ton
subject to Aikaal mgalations is represent	-1	
subject to Adatal orgulations i comprising a	1	8-26-21
subject to Advad regulations if a reporting a	The	8-26-21 Drife:
Subject to Advie Agent Standard (Canacator Agent Standard Agent)	Title	8-26-21 Brie: 8-26-21
subject to Advie Agent Segmetric of Financial	There Signature	8-26-21 Brie: 8-26-21
Subject to Adved regulations if or reporting a Generator/Agent Stanstand Advertise Acknowledges to of Depart of Marketise Mored Discrete accord	This This Verivers Mg., dars	8-26-21 Drie: 8-26-21
Subject to Adoual ingulations if or crown of Generator Agont Stonator of Marcia Acknowledges on of Pacific of Marcia Hoted Dischaps, solor Ingracted A Accepted (accept to the set of	There is a structure and the structure of the structure o	8-26-21 Brie: 8-26-21 Child

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, P.C. Project # 2019-074 GeoEnvironmental Phase II Investigation (PHASE II) – Parcel 001 – Hunter & Cynthia Williard

GEOENVIRONMENTAL PHASE II INVESTIGATION PARCEL 001 - HUNTER & CYNTHIA WILLIARD **425 MAIN STREET** WALNUT COVE, STOKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA **STATE PROJECT: R-5768 WBS ELEMENT: 44670.1.1 APRIL 30, 2019**

Report prepared for:

Mr. Craig Haden **GeoEnvironmental Section Geotechnical Engineering Unit** North Carolina Department of Transportation **1020 Birch Ridge Drive** DocuSigned by: Raleigh, NC 27610





Report reviewed by:

DocuSigned by:

Michael G. Jones, LG NC License #1168

PYRAMID ENVIRONMENTAL & ENGINEERING, P.C. P.O. BOX 16265 **GREENSBORO, NC 27416-0265** (336) 335-3174

C-257 – Geology C-1251 – Engineering

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF RESULTS	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION 1.2 Project Information	3
2.0 SITE HISTORY	4
3.0 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION	4
4.0 SOIL SAMPLING ACTIVITIES & RESULTS	5
4.1 Soil Assessment Field Activities 4.2 Soil Sample Analytical Results 4.3 Temporary Monitoring Well Installation	5 6 6
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	7
 5.1 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION	7 7 7 7
6.0 LIMITATIONS	8
7.0 CLOSURE	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

FIGURES

Figure 1: Topographic Map Figure 2: Soil Boring Locations

TABLES

Table 1: Summary of Soil Field Screening Results Table 2: Summary of Soil Sample QED Analytical Results for GRO/DRO

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Historical Aerial Photographs Appendix B: Geophysical Investigation Report Appendix C: Soil Boring Logs Appendix D: RED Lab QED HC-1 Hydrocarbon Analysis Results Appendix E: Personnel Logs

Acronyms

BLS	.Below Land Surface
BTEX	.Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, & Xylenes
CADD	.Computer Aided Design and Drafting
COC	.Chain of Custody
CSA	.Comprehensive Site Assessment
DEQ	.Department of Environmental Quality
DRO	.Diesel Range Organics
DWM	.Division of Waste Management
EM	.Electromagnetic (as with EM-61)
EPA	.Environmental Protection Agency
GRO	.Gasoline Range Organics
GCLs	.Gross Contaminant Levels
GPR	.Ground Penetrating Radar
HASP	.Health & Safety Plan
MSCC	.Maximum Soil Contaminant Concentration
MTBE	.Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
μg/L	.Micrograms per Liter
mg/kg	.Milligrams per kilogram
NPDES	.National Pollutions Discharge Elimination System
NCAC	.North Carolina Administrative Code
NCDOT	North Carolina Department of Transportation
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OVA	.Organic Vapor Analyzer
PPM	.Parts Per Million
PID	.Photo-ionization Detector
PSA	.Preliminary Site Assessment
PVC	.Poly-vinyl Chloride
RFP	.Request for Proposal
ROW	.Right of Way
SVOCs	.Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
TW	.Temporary Well
TPH	.Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
UVF	.Ultraviolet Fluorescence (UVF) QED Analyzer
UST	.Underground Storage Tank
US EPA	.United States Environmental Protection Agency
VOCs	.Volatile Organic Compounds

GEOENVIRONMENTAL PHASE II INVESTIGATION PARCEL 001 – HUNTER & CYNTHIA WILLIARD 425 MAIN STREET WALNUT COVE, STOKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering P.C. (Pyramid) has prepared this GeoEnvironmental Phase II Investigation (Phase II) report documenting background information, field activities, assessment activities, findings, conclusions, and recommendations for Parcel 001, owned by Hunter & Cynthia Williard. The property currently contains an abandoned former car wash structure surrounded by asphalt, grass and dirt surfaces at 425 Main Street, Walnut Cove, NC. This Phase II was conducted on behalf of the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) in accordance with Pyramid's February 28, 2019, technical proposal. This Phase II is a part of State Project R-5768.

The purpose of this assessment was to determine the presence or absence of underground storage tanks (USTs) and impacted soils between the existing edge of pavement and the proposed Right-Of-Way (ROW) and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. The Phase II was conducted with particular attention to the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features.

The following statements summarize the results of the Phase II:

• Site History: Pyramid interviewed DEQ personnel, interviewed property owners, and reviewed aerial photographs to assess past uses of the property. Pyramid reviewed aerial photographs from 1993 – 2018 obtained from Google Earth. Historical information reviewed as part of the Phase II indicated that the property appears to have remained in the same condition with its current building since at least 1993. The 1993 and 2018 aerial photographs are included in Appendix A.

On March 29, 2019, Pyramid emailed the Stokes County parcel address to Ms. Linda Estikowski at the NC Department of Environmental Quality (NC DEQ), with a request to investigate any environmental incidents associated with the parcel. Ms. Estikowski responded to the email and indicated that there were not any environmental incidents associated with the property.

Pyramid Staff Professional Tim Leatherman performed a site investigation at the property. Mr. Leatherman did not observe any significant environmental risks on

the property at the time of the investigation. No vent pipes were observed that could indicate the presence of USTs.

- **Geophysical Survey**: The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of seven EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 1</u>.
- Limited Soil Assessment: A total of seven soil borings were performed across the property. Soil samples were screened in the field using a Photo-Ionization Detector (PID) and select soil samples were analyzed for Diesel Range Organics (DRO) and Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) using a QED Analyzer. The DEQ action level for TPH-GRO is 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and the action level for TPH-DRO is 100 mg/kg. Soil samples were screened with a PID and select soil samples were analyzed for DRO and GRO using a QED Analyzer.

None of the soil samples analyzed exhibited DRO or GRO concentrations above DEQ action levels.

- Limited Groundwater Assessment: The water table was not encountered in the upper 10 feet of the soil column that was sampled during this Phase II. Review of the NCDOT engineering plans for this parcel indicate that groundwater will not be encountered during construction activities. Therefore, it was not necessary to collect a groundwater sample.
- **Contaminated Soil Volumes:** None of the soil samples analyzed exhibited DRO or GRO concentrations above DEQ action levels.

It should be noted that, if additional impacted soil is encountered during road construction outside of the area analyzed by this investigation, the impacted soil should be managed according to NC DEQ Division of Waste Management (DWM) guidelines and disposed of at a permitted facility.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering P.C. (Pyramid) has prepared this GeoEnvironmental Phase II Investigation (Phase II) report documenting background information, field activities, assessment activities, findings, conclusions, and recommendations for Parcel 001, owned by Hunter & Cynthia Williard. The property currently contains an abandoned former car wash structure surrounded by asphalt, grass and dirt surfaces at 425 Main Street, Walnut Cove, NC. This Phase II was conducted on behalf of the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) in accordance with Pyramid's February 28, 2019, technical proposal. This Phase II is a part of State Project R-5768.

The purpose of this assessment was to determine the presence or absence of underground storage tanks (USTs) and impacted soils between the existing edge of pavement and the proposed Right-Of-Way (ROW) and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. The Phase II was conducted with particular attention to the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features. The location of the subject site is shown on **Figure 1**.

1.1 Background Information

Based on the NCDOT's February 18, 2019, *Request for Technical and Cost Proposal* (*RFP*), the Phase II was conducted between the existing edge of pavement and the proposed ROW and/or easement lines (whichever distance was greater), with emphasis on the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features and/or other utilities, in accordance with the CADD files provided to Pyramid by the NCDOT. The Phase II included the following:

- Research the properties for past uses and possible releases.
- Conduct a preliminary geophysical site assessment and limited soil assessment across the entire parcel with emphasis on the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features and/or other utilities.
- If groundwater is likely to be encountered by subsequent excavation required by construction, then Pyramid will attempt to obtain a groundwater sample from the parcel.

1.2 Project Information

Prior to field activities, a Health and Safety Plan was prepared. Prior to drilling activities, the public underground utilities were located and marked by the North Carolina One-Call Service. Pyramid's geophysical staff provided additional private utility locating services to mark the on-site private, buried utilities.

2.0 SITE HISTORY

The NCDOT GeoEnvironmental Planning Report and Phase I Reports included with the RFP documents provided to Pyramid on February 18, 2019, did not include any specific comments regarding this parcel.

Pyramid interviewed DEQ personnel, interviewed property owners, and reviewed aerial photographs to assess past uses of the property. Pyramid reviewed aerial photographs from 1993 - 2018 obtained from Google Earth. Historical information reviewed as part of the Phase II indicated that the property appears to have remained in the same condition with its current building since at least 1993. The 1993 and 2018 aerial photographs are included in **Appendix A**.

On March 29, 2019, Pyramid emailed the Stokes County parcel address to Ms. Linda Estikowski at the NC Department of Environmental Quality (NC DEQ), with a request to investigate any environmental incidents associated with the parcel. Ms. Estikowski responded to the email and indicated that there were not any environmental incidents associated with the property.

Pyramid Staff Professional Tim Leatherman performed a site investigation at the property. Mr. Leatherman did not observe any significant environmental risks on the property at the time of the investigation. No vent pipes were observed that could indicate the presence of USTs.

3.0 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION

Pyramid's classifications of USTs for the purposes of this Phase II report are based directly on the geophysical UST ratings provided to us by the NCDOT. These ratings are as follows:

Geophysical Surveys for Underground Storage Tanks on NCDOT Projects

High Confidence	Intermediate Confidence	Low Confidence	No Confidence
Known UST	Probable UST	Possible UST	Anomaly noted but not
Active tank - spatial	Sufficient geophysical data from both	Sufficient geophysical data from	characteristic of a UST. Should be
location, orientation,	magnetic and radar surveys that is	either magnetic or radar surveys	noted in the text and may be called
and approximate	characteristic of a tank. Interpretation may	that is characteristic of a tank.	out in the figures at the
depth determined by	be supported by physical evidence such as	Additional data is not sufficient	geophysicist's discretion.
geophysics.	fill/vent pipe, metal cover plate,	enough to confirm or deny the	
	asphalt/concrete patch, etc.	presence of a UST.	

The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of seven EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 1</u>.

The full details of the geophysical investigation are documented in Pyramid's Geophysical Investigation Report, dated March 26, 2019, which is included as **Appendix B**.

4.0 SOIL SAMPLING ACTIVITIES & RESULTS

4.1 Soil Assessment Field Activities

On April 23, 2019, Pyramid mobilized to the site, drilled soil borings and collected the proposed soil samples for the Phase II. Seven (7) soil borings (1-1 through 1-7) were advanced on the subject property. The soil borings were completed using a truck-mounted Geoprobe drill rig. The selected locations were chosen to avoid public utilities along the adjacent roads and private utilities associated with the business while remaining in the proposed ROW and/or easement, or within other areas of concern such as proposed drainage features and areas designated for soil removal as indicated by the NCDOT engineering plans. The locations of the borings are shown on **Figure 2**.

Soil samples were continuously collected in four-foot long disposable sleeves from each boring for geologic description and visual examination for signs of contamination. Soil recovered from each sleeve was screened in the field using a Photo-Ionization Detector (PID) approximately every 2 feet, depending on the soil recovery. In general, the soil sample with the highest PID reading was selected from each boring for QED Ultra-Violet Fluorescence (UVF) laboratory analysis. If field screening detected multiple elevated readings, then additional soil samples from each boring were selectively chosen for UVF analysis. The soil boring logs with the soil descriptions, visual examination, and PID screening results are included in **Appendix C**. The PID field screening results are summarized in **Table 1**. To prevent cross-contamination, new disposable nitrile gloves were worn by the sampling technician during the sampling activities and were changed between samples. Petroleum odor was detected in borings 1-1, 1-2, 1-5 and 1-6 during the field screening.

The soil samples selected for total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) analyses were analyzed utilizing the QED UVF HC-1 Analyzer system from RED Lab. The DEQ & NCDOT now accept this instrument as an analytical method to provide total petroleum hydrocarbon

(TPH) results for soil analysis for Phase II projects. Pyramid preserved the samples for UVF analysis in methanol-filled containers provided by RED Lab. The samples were shipped to RED Lab for analysis following the soil collection. The soil samples selected for analysis using the QED Analyzer were analyzed for TPH as diesel range organics (DRO) and TPH as gasoline range organics (GRO).

4.2 Soil Sample Analytical Results

QED Results

The DEQ action level for TPH-GRO is 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and the action level for TPH-DRO is 100 mg/kg. Soil samples were screened with an PID and select soil samples were analyzed for DRO and GRO using a QED Analyzer. None of the soil samples analyzed exhibited DRO or GRO concentrations above DEQ action levels. The soil sample QED results are summarized in **Table 2**. A copy of the QED analysis report is included in **Appendix D**.

4.3 Temporary Monitoring Well Installation

The water table was not encountered in the upper 10 feet of the soil column that was sampled during this Phase II. Review of the NCDOT engineering plans for this parcel indicate that groundwater will not be encountered during construction activities. Therefore, it was not necessary to collect a groundwater sample.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As requested by the NCDOT, Pyramid has completed a Phase II at Parcel 001 (Hunter & Cynthia Williard) located at 425 Main Street, Walnut Cove, NC. The following is a summary of the assessment activities and results.

5.1 Geophysical Investigation

The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of seven EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 1</u>.

5.2 Limited Soil Assessment

The DEQ action level for TPH-GRO is 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and the action level for TPH-DRO is 100 mg/kg. Soil samples were screened with an PID and select soil samples were analyzed for DRO and GRO using a QED Analyzer. None of the soil samples analyzed exhibited DRO or GRO concentrations above DEQ action levels.

5.3 Limited Groundwater Assessment

The water table was not encountered in the upper 10 feet of the soil column that was sampled during this Phase II. Review of the NCDOT engineering plans for this parcel indicate that groundwater will not be encountered during construction activities. Therefore, it was not necessary to collect a groundwater sample.

5.4 Recommendations

Petroleum-Impacted Soils

No evidence of petroleum-impacted soils (DRO/GRO > DEQ Action Levels) was observed during this investigation. Therefore, no recommendations for the treatment, handling, or disposal of such materials are warranted.

It should be noted that, if deeper soils are deemed unsuitable or if impacted soil is encountered during road construction outside of the area analyzed by this investigation, the impacted soil should be managed according to NC DEQ Division of Waste Management (DWM) guidelines and disposed of at a permitted facility.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

The results of this preliminary investigation are limited to the boring locations completed during this limited assessment and presented in this report. The laboratory results only reflect the current conditions at the locations sampled on the date this Phase II was performed.

7.0 CLOSURE

This report was prepared for, and is available solely for use by, the NCDOT and their designees. The contents thereof may not be used or relied upon by any other person without the express written consent and authorization of Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, P.C. (Pyramid). The observations, conclusions, and recommendations documented in this report are based on site conditions and information reviewed at the time of Pyramid's investigation. Pyramid appreciates the opportunity to provide this environmental service.

FIGURES





LEGEND

EXISTING ROW EXISTING PROPERTY BOUNDARY PROPOSED ROW LINE TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT PROPOSED PERMANENT UTILITY EASEMENT PROPOSED SS CUT LINE PROPOSED SS FILL LINE



♣1-1 SOIL SAMPLING LOCATION*



EXISTING SUPPLY WELL

*ANALYTICAL DATA PRESENTED IN TABLE 2 OF PHASE II REPORT



SOIL BORING AND SUPPLY WELL LOCATIONS

PROJECT

TITLE

PARCEL 1
WALNUT COVE, NORTH CAROLINA
NCDOT PROJECT R-5768

GEOPHYSICS 33 Licer	503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE GREENSBORO, NC 27406 6.335.3174 (p) 336.691.0648 (f) nse # C1251 Eng. / #C257 Geology
DATE: 04-23-2019	REVISION NO. 0
PYRAMID PROJECT NO. 2019-074	FIGURE NO. 2

TABLES

TABLE 1

Summary of Soil Field Screening Results NCDOT Project R-5768 Parcel 001 - Stokes County PSAs Hunter & Cynthia Willard - 425 S. Main Street Walnut Cove, Stokes County, North Carolina

SOIL BORING	SAMPLE ID	DEPTH	PID	
4/23/2019		(feet bgs)	READINGS (PPM)	
	1-1-0-2	0 to 2	160.0	
	1-1-2-4	2 to 4	400.0	
1-1	1-1-4-6	4 to 6	395.0	
	1-1-6-8	6 to 8	150.0	
	1-1-8-10	8 to 10	3.0	
	1-2-0-2	0 to 2	86.0	
1.2	1-2-2-4	2 to 4	40.0	
1-2	1-2-4-6	4 to 6	36.0	
	1-2-6-8	6 to 8	50.0	
	1-3-0-2	0 to 2	18.0	
1 2	1-3-2-4	2 to 4	3.4	
1-3	1-3-4-6	4 to 6	2.0	
	1-3-6-8	6 to 8	3.1	
4.4	1-4-0-2	0 to 2	200.0	
1-4	1-4-2-4	2 to 4	100.0	
	1-5-0-2	0 to 2	220.0	
15	1-5-2-4	2 to 4	125.0	
1-5	1-5-4-6	4 to 6	5.0	
	1-5-6-8	6 to 8	70.0	
	1-6-0-2	0 to 2	270.0	
16	1-6-2-4	2 to 4	395.0	
1-0	1-6-4-6	4 to 6	50.0	
	1-6-6-7	6 to 7	35.0	
	1-7-1-2	1 to 2	10.0	
1-7	1-7-2-3	2 to 3	11.0	
	1-7-3-4	3 to 4	5.0	

bgs= below ground surface

PID= photo-ionization detector

PPM= parts-per-million

= sampled for lab analysis &/or QROS-QED analysis

OVA= Organic Vapor Analyzer

TABLE 2

Summary of Soil Sample QED Analytical Results for GRO/DRO NCDOT State Project R-5768 Parcel 001 Hunter & Cynthia Willard - 425 S. Main Street Walnut Cove, Stokes County, North Carolina

				QROS - QED Analysis			
SAMPLE	DATE	DEPTH (feet)	PID (ppm)	GRO (mg/kg) (C5-C10)	DRO (mg/kg) (C10-C35)	TPH (mg/kg) (C5-C35)	
1-1-2-4	4/23/2019	2-4	400.0	<0.67	13	13	
1-1-4-6	4/23/2019	4-6	395.0	<0.73	10.3	10.3	
1-2-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	86.0	3.7	2.8	6.5	
1-3-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	18.0	11.2	1.9	13.1	
1-3-2-4	4/23/2019	2-4	3.4	<0.33	0.6	0.6	
1-4-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	200.0	<0.3	<0.3	0.21	
1-5-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	220.0	<0.69	8.2	8.2	
1-6-2-4	4/23/2019	2-4	395.0	<0.26	0.63	0.63	
1-7-2-3	4/23/2019	2-3	11.0	<0.67	0.67	0.67	
NC Initial Act	tion Level - U	ST Section	for				
5035/	5030-GRO; 35	50-DRO		50	100	NA	
PID=	photo-ionizaton	detector	GRO=	Gasoline Range Organics	TPH= Total Petroleum	NA= Not Applicable	
PPM=	PPM= parts-per-million DRO= I			Diesel Range Organics	Hydrocarbons (GRO + DRO)		

mg/kg= milligrams-per-kilogram

* Bold values indicate concentrations above initial action levels

APPENDIX A

Parcel 1 1993 Aerial



mage U.S. Geological Survey

311

Stokesburg Rd

65



APPENDIX B



PYRAMID GEOPHYSICAL SERVICES (PROJECT 2019-074)

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

METALLIC UST INVESTIGATION: PARCEL 1 NCDOT PROJECT R-5768 (44670.1.1)

425 MAIN STREET, WALNUT COVE, NC

APRIL 10, 2019

Report prepared for:

Craig Haden NCDOT Geotechnical Engineering Unit 1020 Birch Ridge Drive Raleigh, NC 27610

Prepared by:

Eric C. Cross, P.G. NC License #2181

Doug Canavello

Reviewed by: _

Douglas A. Canavello, P.G. NC License #1066

503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE, WALNUT COVE, NC 27406 P: 336.335.3174 F: 336.691.0648 C257: GEOLOGY C1251: ENGINEERING

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	2
Field Methodology	2
Discussion of Results	3
Discussion of EM Results	3
Discussion of GPR Results	4
Summary & Conclusions	5
Limitations	5

Figures

Figure 1 – Parcel 1 - Geophysical Survey Boundaries and Site Photographs
Figure 2 – Parcel 1 - EM61 Results Contour Map
Figure 3 – Parcel 1 - GPR Transect Locations and Images
Figure 4 - Overlay of Metal Detection Results on NCDOT Engineering Plans

LIST OF ACRONYMS

CADD	Computer Assisted Drafting and Design
DF	Dual Frequency
EM	Electromagnetic
GPR	Ground Penetrating Radar
GPS	Global Positioning System
NCDOT	North Carolina Department of Transportation
ROW	Right-of-Way
UST	Underground Storage Tank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Project Description: Pyramid Environmental conducted a geophysical investigation for the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) at Parcel 1, located at 425 Main Street, in Walnut Cove, NC. The survey was part of an NCDOT Right-of-Way (ROW) investigation (NCDOT Project R-5768). The survey was designed to extend from the existing edge of pavement into the proposed ROW and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. Conducted on April 3, 2019, the geophysical investigation was performed to determine if unknown, metallic underground storage tanks (USTs) were present beneath the survey area.

Geophysical Results: The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of seven EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 1</u>.

INTRODUCTION

Pyramid Environmental conducted a geophysical investigation for the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) at Parcel 1, located at 425 Main Street, in Walnut Cove, NC. The survey was part of an NCDOT Right-of-Way (ROW) investigation (NCDOT Project R-5768). The survey was designed to extend from the existing edge of pavement into the proposed ROW and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. Conducted on April 3, 2019, the geophysical investigation was performed to determine if unknown, metallic underground storage tanks (USTs) were present beneath the survey area.

The site included a former car wash surrounded by asphalt and grass/dirt surfaces. An aerial photograph showing the survey area boundaries and ground-level photographs are shown in **Figure 1**.

FIELD METHODOLOGY

The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. Pyramid collected the EM data using a Geonics EM61-MK2 (EM61) metal detector integrated with a Geode External GPS/GLONASS receiver. The integrated GPS system allows the location of the instrument to be recorded in real-time during data collection, resulting in an EM data set that is geo-referenced and can be overlain on aerial photographs and CADD drawings. A boundary grid was established around the perimeter of the site with marks every 10 feet to maintain orientation of the instrument throughout the survey and assure complete coverage of the area.

According to the instrument specifications, the EM61 can detect a metal drum down to a maximum depth of approximately 8 feet. Smaller objects (1-foot or less in size) can be detected to a maximum depth of 4 to 5 feet. The EM61 data were digitally collected at approximately 0.8-foot intervals along north-south trending or east-west trending,

generally parallel survey lines, spaced five feet apart. The data were downloaded to a computer and reviewed in the field and office using the Geonics NAV61 and Surfer for Windows Version 15.0 software programs.

GPR data were acquired across select EM anomalies on April 3, 2019, using a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) UtilityScan DF unit equipped with a dual frequency 300/800 MHz antenna. Data were collected both in reconnaissance fashion as well as along formal transect lines across EM features. The GPR data were viewed in real-time using a vertical scan of 512 samples, at a rate of 48 scans per second. GPR data were viewed down to a maximum depth of approximately 6 feet, based on dielectric constants calculated by the DF unit in the field during the reconnaissance scans. GPR transects across specific anomalies were saved to the hard drive of the DF unit for post-processing and figure generation.

Pyramid's classifications of USTs for the purposes of this report are based directly on the geophysical UST ratings provided by the NCDOT. These ratings are as follows:

Geophysical Surveys for Underground Storage Tanks on NCDOT Projects

High Confidence	Intermediate Confidence	Low Confidence	No Confidence
Known UST	Probable UST	Possible UST	Anomaly noted but not
Active tank - spatial	Sufficient geophysical data from both	Sufficient geophysical data from	characteristic of a UST. Should be
location, orientation,	magnetic and radar surveys that is	either magnetic or radar surveys	noted in the text and may be called
and approximate	characteristic of a tank. Interpretation may	that is characteristic of a tank.	out in the figures at the
depth determined by	be supported by physical evidence such as	Additional data is not sufficient	geophysicist's discretion.
geophysics.	fill/vent pipe, metal cover plate,	enough to confirm or deny the	
	asphalt/concrete patch, etc.	presence of a UST.	

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Discussion of EM Results

A contour plot of the EM61 results obtained across the survey area at the property is presented in **Figure 2**. Each EM anomaly is numbered for reference in the figure. The

following table presents the list of EM anomalies and the cause of the metallic response, if known:

Metallic Anomaly #	Cause of Anomaly	Investigated with GPR
1	Surface Metal	
2	Supply Well	
3	Building/Surface Debris/Reinforced Concrete	Ø
4	Bollards	
5	Water Meter	
6	Metal Poles	
7	Signs	

LIST OF METALLIC ANOMALIES IDENTIFIED BY EM SURVEY

All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface, including metal debris, a supply well, a building, reinforced concrete, bollards, a water meter, metal poles, and signs. EM Anomaly 3 was investigated with GPR to confirm that these surface features did not obscure any potential USTs and that there was reinforcement in the concrete slab.

Discussion of GPR Results

Figure 3 presents the locations of the formal GPR transects performed at the property, as well as the transect images. A total of five formal GPR transects were performed at the site. GPR Transects 1-5 were performed across EM Anomaly 3. These transects confirmed the presence of reinforcement in the concrete slab. No evidence of any buried structures such as USTs was observed.

Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of metallic USTs within the</u> <u>survey area at Parcel 1</u>. **Figure 4** provides an overlay of the geophysical metal detection results onto the NCDOT MicroStation engineering plans for reference.

SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

Pyramid's evaluation of the EM61 and GPR data collected at Parcel 1 in Walnut Cove, North Carolina, provides the following summary and conclusions:

- The EM61 and GPR surveys provided reliable results for the detection of metallic USTs within the accessible portions of the geophysical survey area.
- All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface.
- GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures.
- Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the</u> <u>geophysical survey area at Parcel 1</u>.

LIMITATIONS

Geophysical surveys have been performed and this report was prepared for the NCDOT in accordance with generally accepted guidelines for EM61 and GPR surveys. It is generally recognized that the results of the EM61 and GPR surveys are non-unique and may not represent actual subsurface conditions. The EM61 and GPR results obtained for this project have not conclusively determined the definitive presence or absence of metallic USTs, but the evidence collected is sufficient to result in the conclusions made in this report. Additionally, it should be understood that areas containing extensive vegetation, reinforced concrete, or other restrictions to the accessibility of the geophysical instruments could not be fully investigated.





View of Survey Area (Facing Approximately Southwest)



View of Survey Area (Facing Approximately Southwest)

DATE	4/4/2019	CLIENT	NCDOT
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2019-074		FIGURE 1

Ν


NO EVIDENCE OF METALLIC USTs WAS OBSERVED.

The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The differential results focus on larger metallic objects such as USTs and drums. The EM61 data were collected on April 3, 2019, using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Verification GPR data were collected using a GSSI UtilityScan DF instrument with a dual frequency 300/800 MHz antenna on April 3, 2019.

EM61 Metal Detection Response (millivolts)

1000	750	500	400	300	200	150	100	75	60	50	40	30	-90	-100	-200	-400	-5000



DATE	4/4/2019	CLIENT	NCDOT	
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2019-074	FIGURE 2		





GPR TRANSECT 3 (T3)



GPR TRANSECT 4 (T4)



GPR TRANSECT 5 (T5)

DATE	4/4/2019	CLIENT	NCDOT
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2019-074]	FIGURE 3

Ν



APPENDIX C

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 001, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	1-1
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 001, SW portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	10 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brownish gray, sandy-silty-clay (CL), moist, possible oily odor	PID= 160 PPM
2-4	Brownish gray, sandy-silty-clay (CL), moist, possible oily odor	PID= 400 PPM
4-6	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm to hard, moist, no odor	PID= 395 PPM
6-8	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm to hard, moist, no odor	PID= 150 PPM
8-10	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm to hard, moist, no odor	PID= 3.0 PPM
	No water in boring.	

MONITORING WELL INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND	
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	}	BENTONITE USED	BAGS OF CEMENT USED 0 .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 001, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	1-2
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 001, Center portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	8 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brownish gray, clayey-sandy-silt (ML), moist, firm, slight oily odor	PID= 86 PPM
2-4	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 40 PPM
4-6	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 36 PPM
6-8	Brown, saprolite, silty-sand (SM), moist, no odor	PID= 50 PPM
	Geoprobe refusal at 8 feet.	
	No water in boring.	

MONITORING WELL INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND	
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	}	BENTONITE USED	BAGS OF CEMENT USED 0 .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 001, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	1-3
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 001, Center portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	8 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brownish gray, clayey-sandy-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 18 PPM
2-4	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 3.4 PPM
4-6	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 2.0 PPM
6-8	Brown, saprolite, silty-sandy-clay (CL), firm to hard, moist, no odor	PID= 3.1 PPM
	Geoprobe refusal at 8 feet.	
	No water in boring.	

MONITORING WELL INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

0_.

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND	
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEMENT USED

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 001, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	1-4
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 001, South portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	4 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 200 PPM
2-4	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 100 PPM
	Geoprobe refusal at 8 feet.	
	No water in boring.	

MONITORING WELL INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 001, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	1-5
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 001, NW portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	8 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Gray, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, possible oily odor	PID= 220 PPM
2-4	Brown, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 125 PPM
4-6	Brown, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 5.0 PPM
6-8	Brown, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 70 PPM
	Geoprobe refusal at 8 feet.	
	No water in boring.	

MONITORING WELL INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

0_.

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND	
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEMENT USED

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 001, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	1-6
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 001, NW portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	7 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	brownish gray to gray, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, possible oily odor	PID= 270 PPM
2-4	brownish gray to gray, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, possible oily odor	PID= 395 PPM
4-6	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 50 PPM
6-7	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 35 PPM
	Geoprobe refusal at 7 feet.	
	No water in boring.	

MONITORING WELL INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 001, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	1-7
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 001, SW portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Hand-Auger	SAMPLE METHOD:	Hand-Auger Bucket
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	4 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - grass and dirt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Dark brown to black, silty-sand with some rocks (SM), naturally organic	PID= 11 PPM
	rich soil, moist, no odor	
2-4	Brown, silty-sand (SM), moist, no odor	PID= 5.0 PPM
	Hand-auger refusal at 4 feet.	

MONITORING WELL INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

APPENDIX D









Client: Samples taken Tuesday, April 23, 2019 PYRAMID ENVIRONMENTAL Samples extracted Tuesday, April 23, 2019 Address: 503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE Samples analysed Thursday, April 25, 2019 **GREENSBORO NC 27406** Contact: TIM LEATHERMAN Operator DAVIS MARTINEC Project: STOKES PARCEL 1 2019-074 F03640 Total Dilution BTEX GRO DRO TPH 16 EPA BaP **HC Fingerprint Match** Matrix Sample ID Aromatics Ratios (C6 - C9) (C5 - C10) (C10 - C35) (C5 - C35) used PAHs (C10-C35) %

										70 light	70 mia	heavy		
s	1-1-2-4	26.9	<0.67	<0.67	13	13	7.3	0.27	<0.027	0	78	22	Deg Fuel 89.9%,(FCM)	
s	1-1-4-6	29.4	<0.73	<0.73	10.3	10.3	4.5	0.44	<0.029	0	75.8	24.2	Road Tar 73.2%,(FCM)	
s	1-2-0-2	22.5	<0.56	3.7	2.8	6.5	2.5	<0.18	<0.023	80.5	14.6	4.9	Deg.Fuel 82.6%,(FCM)	
s	1-3-0-2	26.9	<0.67	11.2	1.9	13.1	0.92	<0.22	<0.027	95.1	3.5	1.4	Deg.PHC 53.4%,(FCM)	
s	1-3-2-4	13.4	<0.33	<0.33	0.6	0.6	0.16	<0.11	<0.013	0	60.8	39.2	Deg Fuel 71.8%,(FCM)	
s	1-4-0-2	11.9	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	0.21	0.21	<0.1	<0.012	0	46.4	53.6	Residual HC	
s	1-5-0-2	27.6	<0.69	<0.69	8.2	8.2	4.1	<0.22	<0.028	0	77	23	Deg.PHC 75%,(FCM)	
s	1-6-2-4	10.3	<0.26	<0.26	0.63	0.63	0.34	<0.08	<0.01	0	83.6	16.4	Deg Fuel 68.4%,(FCM)	
s	1-7-2-3	26.9	<0.67	<0.67	0.67	0.67	0.38	<0.22	<0.027	0	63.8	36.2	Residual HC	
	Initial Ca	alibrator	QC check	OK					Final F	CM QC	Check	OK		97.6 %

Results generated by a QED HC-1 analyser. Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values are not corrected for moisture or stone content

Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification. The abbreviations are:- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence for sample fingerprint match to library

(SBS) or (LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate present



APPENDIX E

FIELD PERSONNEL LOG

PROJECT NAME: NCDOT R-5768 Phase II

PROJECT NO.: 2019-074

Name: Leatherman, Heenan

Dates: 4/3/19 & 4/4/19

TASKS PERFORMED: Site reconnaissance, geophysical surveys, utility locating

T. Leatherman, J. Heenan Mobilize to site. Site, recon, geophysics, utility locating. Average daily time: ~8:00 AM - 5:00PM

FIELD PERSONNEL LOG

PROJECT NAME: NCDOT R-5768 Phase II

PROJECT NO.: 2019-074

Name: Leatherman

Dates: 4/23/19 & 4/24/19

TASKS PERFORMED: Soil Sampling

T. Leatherman Mobilize to site, soil sampling sup

Mobilize to site. soil sampling supervision, collection and analysis prep Average daily time: \sim 8:00 AM - 5:00PM

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, P.C. Project # 2019-074 GeoEnvironmental Phase II Investigation (PHASE II) – Parcel 003 – Duane Sutphin

GEOENVIRONMENTAL PHASE II INVESTIGATION PARCEL 003 – DUANE SUTPHIN 407 MAIN STREET WALNUT COVE, STOKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA STATE PROJECT: R-5768 WBS ELEMENT: 44670.1.1 APRIL 30, 2019

Report prepared for:

Mr. Craig Haden GeoEnvironmental Section Geotechnical Engineering Unit North Carolina Department of Transportation 1020 Birch Ridge Drive Raleigh, NC 27610





Michael G. Jones, LG NC License #1168

5/7/2019

DocuSianed by:

A7CBC72174E9413

PYRAMID ENVIRONMENTAL & ENGINEERING, P.C. P.O. BOX 16265 GREENSBORO, NC 27416-0265 (336) 335-3174

C-257 –Geology C-1251 – Engineering

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF RESULTS	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION 1.2 Project Information	4 4
2.0 SITE HISTORY	
3.0 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION	5
4.0 SOIL SAMPLING ACTIVITIES & RESULTS	6
4.1 Soil Assessment Field Activities 4.2 Soil Sample Analytical Results 4.3 Temporary Monitoring Well Installation	6 7 7
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION 5.2 Limited Soil Assessment 5.3 Limited Groundwater Assessment 5.4 Recommendations	
6.0 LIMITATIONS	9
7.0 CLOSURE	9

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

FIGURES

Figure 1: Topographic MapFigure 2: Soil Boring Locations and Estimated Area of Soil Contamination

TABLES

Table 1: Summary of Soil Field Screening Results Table 2: Summary of Soil Sample QED Analytical Results for GRO/DRO

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Historical Aerial Photographs Appendix B: Geophysical Investigation Report Appendix C: Soil Boring Logs Appendix D: RED Lab QED HC-1 Hydrocarbon Analysis Results Appendix E: Personnel Logs

Acronyms

BLS	.Below Land Surface
BTEX	.Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, & Xylenes
CADD	.Computer Aided Design and Drafting
COC	.Chain of Custody
CSA	.Comprehensive Site Assessment
DEQ	.Department of Environmental Quality
DRO	.Diesel Range Organics
DWM	.Division of Waste Management
EM	.Electromagnetic (as with EM-61)
EPA	.Environmental Protection Agency
GRO	.Gasoline Range Organics
GCLs	.Gross Contaminant Levels
GPR	.Ground Penetrating Radar
HASP	.Health & Safety Plan
MSCC	.Maximum Soil Contaminant Concentration
MTBE	.Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
μg/L	.Micrograms per Liter
mg/kg	.Milligrams per kilogram
NPDES	.National Pollutions Discharge Elimination System
NCAC	.North Carolina Administrative Code
NCDOT	North Carolina Department of Transportation
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OVA	.Organic Vapor Analyzer
PPM	.Parts Per Million
PID	.Photo-ionization Detector
PSA	.Preliminary Site Assessment
PVC	.Poly-vinyl Chloride
RFP	.Request for Proposal
ROW	.Right of Way
SVOCs	.Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
TW	.Temporary Well
TPH	.Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
UVF	.Ultraviolet Fluorescence (UVF) QED Analyzer
UST	.Underground Storage Tank
US EPA	.United States Environmental Protection Agency
VOCs	.Volatile Organic Compounds

GEOENVIRONMENTAL PHASE II INVESTIGATION PARCEL 003 – DUANE SUTPHIN 407 MAIN STREET WALNUT COVE, STOKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering P.C. (Pyramid) has prepared this GeoEnvironmental Phase II Investigation (Phase II) report documenting background information, field activities, assessment activities, findings, conclusions, and recommendations for Parcel 003, owned by Duane Sutphin. The property currently contains a diner, a former market, and a vacant building surrounded by asphalt, grass and dirt surfaces at 407 Main Street, Walnut Cove, NC. This Phase II was conducted on behalf of the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) in accordance with Pyramid's February 28, 2019, technical proposal. This Phase II is a part of State Project R-5768.

The purpose of this assessment was to determine the presence or absence of underground storage tanks (USTs) and impacted soils between the existing edge of pavement and the proposed Right-Of-Way (ROW) and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. The Phase II was conducted with particular attention to the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features.

The following statements summarize the results of the Phase II:

• Site History: Pyramid interviewed DEQ personnel, interviewed property owners, and reviewed aerial photographs to assess past uses of the property. Pyramid reviewed aerial photographs from 1993 – 2018 obtained from Google Earth. Historical information reviewed as part of the Phase II indicated that the property appears to have remained in the same condition with its current building since at least 1993. Possible structures (it is unclear from the 1993 aerial if they are vehicles or other structures) are observed in front of the building in the 1993 aerial and are absent in the 1998 aerial.

On March 29, 2019, Pyramid emailed the Stokes County parcel address to Ms. Linda Estikowski at the NC Department of Environmental Quality (NC DEQ), with a request to investigate any environmental incidents associated with the parcel. Ms. Estikowski responded to the email and indicated that there were not any environmental incidents associated with the property.

Pyramid Staff Professional Tim Leatherman performed a site investigation at the property. Mr. Leatherman did not observe any significant environmental risks on the property at the time of the investigation. No vent pipes were observed that could indicate the presence of USTs.

- **Geophysical Survey**: The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of twelve EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building, vehicles, and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 3</u>.
- Limited Soil Assessment: A total of seven soil borings were performed across the property. Soil samples were screened in the field using a Photo-Ionization Detector (PID) and select soil samples were analyzed for Diesel Range Organics (DRO) and Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) using a QED Analyzer. The DEQ action level for TPH-GRO is 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and the action level for TPH-DRO is 100 mg/kg. Soil samples were screened with a PID and select soil samples were analyzed for DRO and GRO using a QED Analyzer.

One boring exhibited DRO concentrations above action levels. Specifically, one sample from boring 3-7 (2-3 feet) recorded a DRO concentration of **514.5 mg/kg**. None of the remaining soil samples analyzed exhibited DRO and GRO concentrations above action levels.

- Limited Groundwater Assessment: The water table was not encountered in the upper 10 feet of the soil column that was sampled during this Phase II. Review of the NCDOT engineering plans for this parcel indicate that groundwater will not be encountered during construction activities. Therefore, it was not necessary to collect a groundwater sample.
- Contaminated Soil Volumes: Pyramid's PSA investigation resulted in an estimated volume of 428 cubic yards of impacted soil at the location of boring 3-7. This was calculated using the bottom depth of the contaminated sample (3 feet below ground surface). The NCDOT engineering plans indicate that these contaminated soils are within a potential zone of planned soil excavation associated with a proposed drainage feature. The boundaries of the areas of contamination are approximate due to limited soil analytical data.

It should be noted that, if additional impacted soil is encountered during road construction outside of the area analyzed by this investigation, the impacted soil should be managed according to NC DEQ Division of Waste Management (DWM) guidelines and disposed of at a permitted facility.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering P.C. (Pyramid) has prepared this GeoEnvironmental Phase II Investigation (Phase II) report documenting background information, field activities, assessment activities, findings, conclusions, and recommendations for Parcel 003, owned by Duane Sutphin. The property currently contains a diner, a former market, and a vacant building surrounded by asphalt, grass and dirt surfaces at 407 Main Street, Walnut Cove, NC. This Phase II was conducted on behalf of the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) in accordance with Pyramid's February 28, 2019, technical proposal. This Phase II is a part of State Project R-5768.

The purpose of this assessment was to determine the presence or absence of underground storage tanks (USTs) and impacted soils between the existing edge of pavement and the proposed Right-Of-Way (ROW) and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. The Phase II was conducted with particular attention to the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features. The location of the subject site is shown on **Figure 1**.

1.1 Background Information

Based on the NCDOT's February 18, 2019, *Request for Technical and Cost Proposal* (*RFP*), the Phase II was conducted between the existing edge of pavement and the proposed ROW and/or easement lines (whichever distance was greater), with emphasis on the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features and/or other utilities, in accordance with the CADD files provided to Pyramid by the NCDOT. The Phase II included the following:

- Research the properties for past uses and possible releases.
- Conduct a preliminary geophysical site assessment and limited soil assessment across the entire parcel with emphasis on the areas to be cut as indicated by slope stake lines and cross-sections or to be excavated for the installation of drainage features and/or other utilities.
- If groundwater is likely to be encountered by subsequent excavation required by construction, then Pyramid will attempt to obtain a groundwater sample from the parcel.

1.2 Project Information

Prior to field activities, a Health and Safety Plan was prepared. Prior to drilling activities, the public underground utilities were located and marked by the North Carolina One-Call Service. Pyramid's geophysical staff provided additional private utility locating services to mark the on-site private, buried utilities.

2.0 SITE HISTORY

The NCDOT GeoEnvironmental Planning Report comments for Parcel 003 in the RFP documents provided to Pyramid on February 18, 2019, provided the following background information related to the site:

"The September 28, 2017, inspection of this property that fronts S. Main Street (US 311) observed the facility operating as restaurant and storage site. The facility is not listed in the reviewed public records. On the west side of the facility is a concrete patch in the asphalt paving pad. The owner of this property stated that a UST was removed between 1975 to 1980. The owner also operates a fuel delivery business with tanker trucks, but there is no infrastructure at this site. The wash bays located in the western portion of the property are not currently used. The ground surface is mostly asphalt pavement, with patches of gravel."

Pyramid interviewed DEQ personnel, interviewed property owners, and reviewed aerial photographs to assess past uses of the property. Pyramid reviewed aerial photographs from 1993 – 2018 obtained from Google Earth. Historical information reviewed as part of the Phase II indicated that the property appears to have remained in the same condition with its current building since at least 1993. Possible structures (it is unclear from the 1993 aerial if they are vehicles or other structures) are observed in front of the building in the 1993 aerial and are absent in the 1998 aerial. The 1993, 1998 and 2018 aerial photographs are included in **Appendix A**.

On March 29, 2019, Pyramid emailed the Stokes County parcel address to Ms. Linda Estikowski at the NC Department of Environmental Quality (NC DEQ), with a request to investigate any environmental incidents associated with the parcel. Ms. Estikowski responded to the email and indicated that there were not any environmental incidents associated with the property.

Pyramid Staff Professional Tim Leatherman performed a site investigation at the property. Mr. Leatherman did not observe any significant environmental risks on the property at the time of the investigation. No vent pipes were observed that could indicate the presence of USTs.

3.0 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION

Pyramid's classifications of USTs for the purposes of this Phase II report are based directly on the geophysical UST ratings provided to us by the NCDOT. These ratings are as follows:

Geophysical Surveys for Underground Storage Tanks on NCDOT Projects								
Known UST	Probable UST	Possible UST	Anomaly noted but not					
Active tank - spatial location, orientation, and approximate depth determined by geophysics.	Sufficient geophysical data from both magnetic and radar surveys that is characteristic of a tank. Interpretation may be supported by physical evidence such as fill/vent pipe, metal cover plate, asphalt/concrete patch, etc.	Sufficient geophysical data from either magnetic or radar surveys that is characteristic of a tank. Additional data is not sufficient enough to confirm or deny the presence of a UST.	characteristic of a UST. Should be noted in the text and may be called out in the figures at the geophysicist's discretion.					

The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of twelve EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building, vehicles, and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 3</u>.

The full details of the geophysical investigation are documented in Pyramid's Geophysical Investigation Report, dated March 26, 2019, which is included as **Appendix B**.

4.0 SOIL SAMPLING ACTIVITIES & RESULTS

4.1 Soil Assessment Field Activities

On April 23, 2019, Pyramid mobilized to the site, drilled soil borings and collected the proposed soil samples for the Phase II. Seven (7) soil borings (3-1 through 3-7) were advanced on the subject property. The soil borings were completed using a truck-mounted Geoprobe drill rig. The selected locations were chosen to avoid public utilities along the adjacent roads and private utilities associated with the business while remaining in the proposed ROW and/or easement, or within other areas of concern such as proposed drainage features and areas designated for soil removal as indicated by the NCDOT engineering plans. The locations of the borings are shown on **Figure 2**.

Soil samples were continuously collected in four-foot long disposable sleeves from each boring for geologic description and visual examination for signs of contamination. Soil recovered from each sleeve was screened in the field using a Photo-Ionization Detector (PID) approximately every 2 feet, depending on the soil recovery. In general, the soil sample with the highest PID reading was selected from each boring for QED Ultra-Violet Fluorescence (UVF) laboratory analysis. If field screening detected multiple elevated readings, then additional soil samples from each boring were selectively chosen for UVF analysis. The soil boring logs with the soil descriptions, visual examination, and PID screening results are included in **Appendix C**. The PID field screening results are summarized in **Table 1**. To prevent cross-contamination, new disposable nitrile gloves were worn by the sampling technician during the sampling activities and were changed between samples. Petroleum odor was detected in borings 3-1 and 3-6 during the field screening.

The soil samples selected for total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) analyses were analyzed utilizing the QED UVF HC-1 Analyzer system from RED Lab. The DEQ & NCDOT now accept this instrument as an analytical method to provide total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) results for soil analysis for Phase II projects. Pyramid preserved the samples for UVF analysis in methanol-filled containers provided by RED Lab. The samples were shipped to RED Lab for analysis following the soil collection. The soil samples selected for analysis using the QED Analyzer were analyzed for TPH as diesel range organics (DRO) and TPH as gasoline range organics (GRO).

4.2 Soil Sample Analytical Results

QED Results

The DEQ action level for TPH-GRO is 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and the action level for TPH-DRO is 100 mg/kg. Soil samples were screened with an OVA, and select soil samples were analyzed for DRO and GRO using a QED Analyzer. One boring exhibited DRO concentrations above 10 mg/kg. Specifically, one sample from boring 3-7 (2-3 feet) recorded a DRO concentration of **514.5 mg/kg**. None of the remaining soil samples analyzed exhibited DRO and GRO concentrations above action levels. The soil sample QED results are summarized in **Table 2**. A copy of the QED analysis report is included in **Appendix D**.

4.3 Temporary Monitoring Well Installation

The water table was not encountered in the upper 10 feet of the soil column that was sampled during this Phase II. Review of the NCDOT engineering plans for this parcel indicate that groundwater will not be encountered during construction activities. Therefore, it was not necessary to collect a groundwater sample.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As requested by the NCDOT, Pyramid has completed a Phase II at Parcel 003 (Duane Sutphin) located at 407 Main Street, Walnut Cove, NC. The following is a summary of the assessment activities and results.

5.1 Geophysical Investigation

The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of twelve EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building, vehicles, and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 3</u>.

5.2 Limited Soil Assessment

The DEQ action level for TPH-GRO is 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and the action level for TPH-DRO is 100 mg/kg. Soil samples were screened with an OVA, and select soil samples were analyzed for DRO and GRO using a QED Analyzer. One boring exhibited DRO concentrations above action levels. Specifically, one sample from boring 3-7 (2-3 feet) recorded a DRO concentration of **514.5 mg/kg**. None of the remaining soil samples analyzed exhibited DRO and GRO concentrations above action levels.

5.3 Limited Groundwater Assessment

The water table was not encountered in the upper 10 feet of the soil column that was sampled during this Phase II. Review of the NCDOT engineering plans for this parcel indicate that groundwater will not be encountered during construction activities. Therefore, it was not necessary to collect a groundwater sample.

5.4 Recommendations

Petroleum-Impacted Soils

During road construction activities, it is possible the NCDOT may encounter petroleum impacted soil near soil boring 3-7. DRO concentrations of a soil sample from this boring exceeded action levels. The direct source of this petroleum was not evident during this investigation. The NCDOT MicroStation plans indicate a proposed drainage feature at this location that may require excavation for installation.

Estimating the Area of Contamination

The estimated area of contamination is depicted on **Figure 2**. The boundaries of the area of contamination are generally estimated by applying a circular area of contamination around a boring exhibiting DRO/GRO levels above action levels with a radius equal to half the distance between that boring and the nearest "clean" boring. In cases where this

approach is not feasible, such as near property boundaries or where data does not exist to provide a definitive boundary, the area of contamination is terminated using the distance to the property boundary as a radius, or an educated approximation is applied.

Pyramid's PSA investigation resulted in an **estimated volume of 428 cubic yards of impacted soil at the location of boring 3-7**. This was calculated using the bottom depth of the contaminated sample (3 feet below ground surface). The NCDOT engineering plans indicate that these contaminated soils are within a potential zone of planned soil excavation associated with a proposed drainage feature. The boundaries of the areas of contamination are approximate due to limited soil analytical data.

It should be noted that, if impacted soil is encountered during road construction outside of the area analyzed by this investigation, the impacted soil should be managed according to NC DEQ Division of Waste Management (DWM) UST Section Guidelines and disposed of at a permitted facility.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

The results of this preliminary investigation are limited to the boring locations completed during this limited assessment and presented in this report. The laboratory results only reflect the current conditions at the locations sampled on the date this Phase II was performed.

7.0 CLOSURE

This report was prepared for, and is available solely for use by, the NCDOT and their designees. The contents thereof may not be used or relied upon by any other person without the express written consent and authorization of Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, P.C. (Pyramid). The observations, conclusions, and recommendations documented in this report are based on site conditions and information reviewed at the time of Pyramid's investigation. Pyramid appreciates the opportunity to provide this environmental service.

FIGURES







TABLES

TABLE 1

Summary of Soil Field Screening Results NCDOT Project R-5768 Parcel 003 - Stokes County PSAs Duane Sutphin - 407 S. Main Street Walnut Cove, Stokes County, North Carolina

SOIL BORING	SAMPLE ID	DEPTH	PID
4/23/2019		(feet bgs)	READINGS (PPM)
	3-1-0-2	0 to 2	20.0
3-1	3-1-2-4	2 to 4	5.0
	3-1-4-6	4 to 6	3.0
	3-1-6-8	6 to 8	2.0
	3-1-8-10	8 to 10	1.5
2.2	3-2-0-2	0 to 2	2.0
3-2	3-2-2-4	2 to 4	2.2
	3-3-0-2	0 to 2	3.0
2.2	3-3-2-4	2 to 4	3.5
3-3	3-3-4-6	4 to 6	3.0
	3-3-6-8	6 to 8	1.5
	3-4-0-2	0 to 2	4.8
	3-4-2-4	2 to 4	3.5
3-4	3-4-4-6	4 to 6	3.6
	3-4-6-8	6 to 8	2.8
	3-4-8-10	8 to 10	1.9
	3-5-0-2	0 to 2	3.4
	3-5-2-4	2 to 4	2.2
3-5	3-5-4-6	4 to 6	1.9
	3-5-6-8	6 to 8	2.8
	3-5-8-10	8 to 10	3.2
3.6	3-6-0-1	0 to 1	30.0
3-0	3-6-2	2	200.0
37	3-7-0-1	0 to 1	3.4
3-1	3-7-2-3	2 to 3	4.2

bgs= below ground surface

PID= photo-ionization detector

PPM= parts-per-million

= sampled for lab analysis &/or QROS-QED analysis

OVA= Organic Vapor Analyzer

TABLE 2

Summary of Soil Sample QED Analytical Results for GRO/DRO NCDOT State Project R-5768 Parcel 003 Duane Sutphin - 407 S. Main Street Walnut Cove, Stokes County, North Carolina

				QROS - QED Analysis		
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (feet)	PID (ppm)	GRO (mg/kg) (C5-C10)	DRO (mg/kg) (C10-C35)	TPH (mg/kg) (C5-C35)
3-1-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	20.0	<0.58	32.8	32.8
3-1-2-4	4/23/2019	2-4	5.0	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59
3-2-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	2.2	<0.54	9	9
3-3-2-4	4/23/2019	2-4	3.5	<0.81	33.4	33.4
3-4-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	4.8	<0.61	4.7	4.7
3-5-0-2	4/23/2019	0-2	3.4	<0.52	14.6	14.6
3-6-2	4/23/2019	2	200.0	7.6	50.2	57.8
3-7-2-3	4/23/2019	2-3	4.2	<4.3	514.5	514.5
NC Initial A 5035	NC Initial Action Level - UST Section for 5035/5030-GRO; 3550-DRO			50	100	NA
PID	PID= photo-ionizaton detector GRO=			Gasoline Range Organics	TPH= Total Petroleum	NA= Not Applicable

mg/kg= milligrams-per-kilogram

* Bold values indicate concentrations above initial action levels
APPENDIX A







APPENDIX B



PYRAMID GEOPHYSICAL SERVICES (PROJECT 2019-074)

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

METALLIC UST INVESTIGATION: PARCEL 3 NCDOT PROJECT R-5768 (44670.1.1)

407 MAIN STREET, WALNUT COVE, NC

APRIL 10, 2019

Report prepared for:

Craig Haden NCDOT Geotechnical Engineering Unit 1020 Birch Ridge Drive Raleigh, NC 27610

Prepared by:

Eric C. Cross, P.G. NC License #2181

Doug Canavello

Reviewed by:

Douglas A. Canavello, P.G. NC License #1066

503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE, WALNUT COVE, NC 27406 P: 336.335.3174 F: 336.691.0648 C257: GEOLOGY C1251: ENGINEERING

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	2
Field Methodology	2
Discussion of Results	3
Discussion of EM Results	3
Discussion of GPR Results	4
Summary & Conclusions	5
Limitations	5

Figures

Figure 1 – Parcel 3 - Geophysical Survey Boundaries and Site Photographs
Figure 2 – Parcel 3 - EM61 Results Contour Map
Figure 3 – Parcel 3 - GPR Transect Locations and Select Images
Figure 4 – Overlay of Metal Detection Results on NCDOT Engineering Plans

Appendices

Appendix A - GPR Transect Images

LIST OF ACRONYMS

DFDual Frequency	
EMElectromagnetic	
GPRGround Penetrating Radar	
GPSGlobal Positioning System	
NCDOTNorth Carolina Department of Transportati	on
ROWRight-of-Way	
USTUnderground Storage Tank	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Project Description: Pyramid Environmental conducted a geophysical investigation for the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) at Parcel 3, located at 407 Main Street, in Walnut Cove, NC. The survey was part of an NCDOT Right-of-Way (ROW) investigation (NCDOT Project R-5768). The survey was designed to extend from the existing edge of pavement into the proposed ROW and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. Conducted on April 3, 2019, the geophysical investigation was performed to determine if unknown, metallic underground storage tanks (USTs) were present beneath the survey area.

Geophysical Results: The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. A total of twelve EM anomalies were identified. All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building, vehicles, and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the geophysical survey area at Parcel 3</u>.

INTRODUCTION

Pyramid Environmental conducted a geophysical investigation for the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) at Parcel 3, located at 407 Main Street, in Walnut Cove, NC. The survey was part of an NCDOT Right-of-Way (ROW) investigation (NCDOT Project R-5768). The survey was designed to extend from the existing edge of pavement into the proposed ROW and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. Conducted on April 3, 2019, the geophysical investigation was performed to determine if unknown, metallic underground storage tanks (USTs) were present beneath the survey area.

The site included a diner, a former market, and a vacant building surrounded by asphalt and grass/dirt surfaces. On the northeast portion of the property, there was metallic debris at and just below the surface. An aerial photograph showing the survey area boundaries and ground-level photographs are shown in **Figure 1**.

FIELD METHODOLOGY

The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. Pyramid collected the EM data using a Geonics EM61-MK2 (EM61) metal detector integrated with a Geode External GPS/GLONASS receiver. The integrated GPS system allows the location of the instrument to be recorded in real-time during data collection, resulting in an EM data set that is geo-referenced and can be overlain on aerial photographs and CADD drawings. A boundary grid was established around the perimeter of the site with marks every 10 feet to maintain orientation of the instrument throughout the survey and assure complete coverage of the area.

According to the instrument specifications, the EM61 can detect a metal drum down to a maximum depth of approximately 8 feet. Smaller objects (1-foot or less in size) can be detected to a maximum depth of 4 to 5 feet. The EM61 data were digitally collected at

approximately 0.8-foot intervals along north-south trending or east-west trending, generally parallel survey lines, spaced five feet apart. The data were downloaded to a computer and reviewed in the field and office using the Geonics NAV61 and Surfer for Windows Version 15.0 software programs.

GPR data were acquired across select EM anomalies on April 3, 2019, using a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) UtilityScan DF unit equipped with a dual frequency 300/800 MHz antenna. Data were collected both in reconnaissance fashion as well as along formal transect lines across EM features. The GPR data were viewed in real-time using a vertical scan of 512 samples, at a rate of 48 scans per second. GPR data were viewed down to a maximum depth of approximately 6 feet, based on dielectric constants calculated by the DF unit in the field during the reconnaissance scans. GPR transects across specific anomalies were saved to the hard drive of the DF unit for post-processing and figure generation.

Pyramid's classifications of USTs for the purposes of this report are based directly on the geophysical UST ratings provided by the NCDOT. These ratings are as follows:

Geophysical Surveys for Underground Storage Tanks on NCDOT Projects

High Confidence	Intermediate Confidence	Low Confidence	No Confidence
Known UST Active tank - spatial location, orientation, and approximate depth determined by geophysics.	Probable UST Sufficient geophysical data from both magnetic and radar surveys that is characteristic of a tank. Interpretation may be supported by physical evidence such as fill/vent pipe, metal cover plate, asphalt/concrete patch, etc.	Possible UST Sufficient geophysical data from either magnetic or radar surveys that is characteristic of a tank. Additional data is not sufficient enough to confirm or deny the presence of a UST.	Anomaly noted but not characteristic of a UST. Should be noted in the text and may be called out in the figures at the geophysicist's discretion.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Discussion of EM Results

A contour plot of the EM61 results obtained across the survey area at the property is presented in **Figure 2**. Each EM anomaly is numbered for reference in the figure. The

following table presents the list of EM anomalies and the cause of the metallic response, if known:

Metallic Anomaly #	Cause of Anomaly	Investigated with GPR
1	AST/Air Conditioner	
2	Dumpsters	
3	Building/Surface Debris	Ø
4	Metal Surface Debris	
5	Metal Surface Debris	
6	Signs/Utilities	
7	Vehicle (Moved During Survey)	Ś
8	Vehicle	Ø
9	Building	Ø
10	Vehicle/Building	ø
11	Reinforced Concrete	Ø
12	Sign	

LIST OF METALLIC ANOMALIES IDENTIFIED BY EM SURVEY

All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface, including an AST, an air conditioner, dumpsters, a building, metal surface debris, signs, utilities, vehicles, and reinforced concrete. EM Anomalies 3 and 7-10 were investigated with GPR to confirm that these surface features did not obscure any potential USTs. EM Anomaly 11 was investigated with GPR to confirm that there was reinforcement in the concrete slab and the reinforcement did not obscure any potential USTs.

Discussion of GPR Results

Figure 3 presents the locations of the formal GPR transects and reconnaissance GPR performed at the property, as well as select transect images. All of the transect images are included in **Appendix A**. A total of 10 formal GPR transects were performed at the site. GPR Transects 1-5 were performed across EM Anomaly 11. These transects confirmed the presence of reinforcement in the concrete slab. No evidence of any buried structures such as USTs was observed.

GPR Transects 6-10 and reconnaissance GPR were performed across EM Anomalies 3 and 7-10. No evidence of any buried structures such as USTs was observed.

Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of metallic USTs within the</u> <u>survey area at Parcel 3</u>. **Figure 4** provides an overlay of the geophysical metal detection results onto the NCDOT MicroStation engineering plans for reference.

SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

Pyramid's evaluation of the EM61 and GPR data collected at Parcel 3 in Walnut Cove, North Carolina, provides the following summary and conclusions:

- The EM61 and GPR surveys provided reliable results for the detection of metallic USTs within the accessible portions of the geophysical survey area.
- All of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface.
- GPR was performed across EM anomalies associated with the building, vehicles, and suspected reinforced concrete to verify that the metallic interference associated with these features did not obscure any potential USTs. GPR did not record any evidence of significant buried structures.
- Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of USTs within the</u> <u>geophysical survey area at Parcel 3</u>.

LIMITATIONS

Geophysical surveys have been performed and this report was prepared for the NCDOT in accordance with generally accepted guidelines for EM61 and GPR surveys. It is generally recognized that the results of the EM61 and GPR surveys are non-unique and may not represent actual subsurface conditions. The EM61 and GPR results obtained for this project have not conclusively determined the definitive presence or absence of metallic USTs, but the evidence collected is sufficient to result in the conclusions made in this report. Additionally, it should be understood that areas containing extensive vegetation, reinforced

concrete, or other restrictions to the accessibility of the geophysical instruments could not be fully investigated.





View of Survey Area (Facing Approximately Northeast)



View of Survey Area (Facing Approximately Northwest)

DATE	4/4/2019	CLIENT	NCDOT	
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2019-074		FIGURE 1	

Ν



NO EVIDENCE OF METALLIC USTs WAS OBSERVED.

The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The differential results focus on larger metallic objects such as USTs and drums. The EM61 data were collected on April 3, 2019, using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Verification GPR data were collected using a GSSI UtilityScan DF instrument with a dual frequency 300/800 MHz antenna on April 3, 2019.

EM61 Metal Detection Response (millivolts)

1000	750	500	400	300	200	150	100	75	60	50	40	30	-90	-100	-200	-400	-5000



DATE	4/4/2019	CLIENT	NCDOT
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2019-074]	FIGURE 2



DATE	4/4/2019	CLIENT	NCDOT
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2019-074		FIGURE 3

GPR TRANSECT 11 (T11)



Ν



GPR TRANSECT 8 (T8)









TITLE

PROJECT

OVERLAY OF METAL DETECTION RESULTS ON NCDOT ENGINEERING PLANS

PARCEL 3 WALNUT COVE, NORTH CAROLINA NCDOT PROJECT R-5768

GEOPHYSICS 33 Lice	503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE GREENSBORO, NC 27406 36.335.3174 (p) 336.691.0648 (f) nse # C1251 Eng. / #C257 Geology
DATE: 04-03-2019	REVISION NO. 0
PYRAMID PROJECT NO. 2019-074	FIGURE NO. 4

Appendix A – GPR Transect Images



Transect 1



Transect 2



Transect 3



Transect 4



Transect 5





Transect 7



Transect 8

Transect 6



Transect 9



Transect 10

APPENDIX C

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 003, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	3-1
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 003, SW portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	10 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brownish gray, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), firm, moist, possible oily odor	PID= 20 PPM
2-4	Brown, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, possible oily odor	PID= 5 PPM
4-6	Brown, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, possible oily odor	PID= 3 PPM
6-8	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt to sandy-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 2 PPM
8-10	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt to sandy-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 1.5 PPM
	No water in boring.	

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 003, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	3-2
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 003, SW portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	4 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brown, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm to hard, moist, no odor	PID= 2.0 PPM
2-4	Brown, sandy-silty-clay (CL), firm to hard, moist, no odor	PID= 2.2 PPM
	Geoprobe refusal at 10 feet	

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 003, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	3-3
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 003, Central portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	8 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 3.0 PPM
2-4	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 3.5 PPM
4-6	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 3.0 PPM
6-8	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 2 PPM
8-10	Brown to tan, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), firm, moist, no odor	PID= 1.5 PPM
	Geoprobe refusal at 8 feet.	

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND	
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	}	BENTONITE USED	BAGS OF CEMENT USED 0 .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 003, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	3-4
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 003, Center portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	10 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brown to tan, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 4.8 PPM
2-4	Brown to tan, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 3.5 PPM
4-6	Brown to tan, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 3.6 PPM
6-8	Brown to tan, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 2.8 PPM
8-10	Brown to tan, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 1.9 PPM
	No water in boring.	

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 003, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	3-5
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 003, NE portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Geoprobe	SAMPLE METHOD:	Macro-core
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	10 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - Asphalt	Core Sample Depths
0-2	Brown to tan, clayey-silty-sand (SM), moist, no odor	PID= 3.4 PPM
2-4	Brown to reddish brown, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), moist, no odor	PID= 2.2 PPM
4-6	Brown to reddish brown, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), moist, no odor	PID= 1.9 PPM
6-8	Brown to reddish brown, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), moist, no odor	PID= 2.8 PPM
8-10	Brown to reddish brown, sandy-silty-clay to silty-clay (CL), moist, no odor	PID= 3.2 PPM
	No water in boring.	
	Geoprobe refusal at 10 feet	

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 003, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	3-6
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 003, N portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Hand-Auger	SAMPLE METHOD:	Hand-Auger Bucket
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	2 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - grass and dirt	Core Sample Depths
0-1	Brown, sandy-clayey-silt (ML), moist, no odor	PID= 30 PPM
1-2	Brownish gray, clayey-sand and gravel (SC), petroleum odor	PID= 200 PPM
	Hand-auger refusal at 2 feet.	

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	·
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	ITE USED	BAGS OF CEM	IENT USED <u>0</u> .

FIELD DRILLING RECORD

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:	NC DOT R-5768, Parcel 003, Walnut Cove, NC (2019-074)	BORING/WELL NO:	3-7
SITE LOCATION:	Stokes County, NC	BORING/WELL LOCATION:	Parcel 003, NE portion
START DATE:	04/23/19	COMPLETED:	04/23/19
GEOLOGIST:	T. Leatherman	DRILLER:	Draper Aden
DRILL METHOD:	Hand-Auger	SAMPLE METHOD:	Hand-Auger Bucket
BORING DIA:	2-inch	CASING DIA:	N/A
TOTAL DEPTH:	3 feet	CASING DEPTH:	N/A

	VISUAL MANUAL SOIL CLASSIFICATION	OVA RESULTS
DEPTH	COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURE, CONSISTENCY, ODOR, ETC.	PERCENT RECOVERY
(ft.)		BLOW COUNTS

	Surface - grass and dirt	Core Sample Depths
0-3	Dark brown to black, sandy-silt with some rocks (SM), naturally organic	PID= 3.4 PPM
	rich soil, moist, no odor	PID= 4.2 PPM
	Hand-auger refusal at 3 feet.	

RISER LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
SCREEN LENGTH (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	DIAMETER (in)	MATERIAL	<u> </u> .
DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND		BAGS OF SAND		
DEPTH TO TOP SEAL	BENTON	NITE USED	BAGS OF CEN	MENT USED <u>0</u> .

APPENDIX D



Client:







Contact: TIM LEATHERMAN

Address: 503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE

Operator

Samples analysed

DAVIS MARTINEC

Thursday, April 25, 2019

Project: STOKES PARCEL 3 2019-074

PYRAMID ENVIRONMENTAL

GREENSBORO NC 27406

										F03640			
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	BaP	Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match
										% light	% mid	% heavy	
S	3-1-0-2	23.0	<0.58	<0.58	32.8	32.8	23.2	0.8	<0.023	0	80.1	19.9	Deg Fuel 76.6%,(FCM)
S	3-1-2-4	23.6	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.12	<0.19	<0.024	0	100	0	Residual HC
S	3-2-0-2	21.5	<0.54	<0.54	9	9	4.3	0.46	<0.021	0	72.2	27.8	Road Tar 91.3%,(FCM)
S	3-3-2-4	32.5	<0.81	<0.81	33.4	33.4	22	0.75	<0.033	0	77.5	22.5	Deg Fuel 76.5%,(FCM)
s	3-4-0-2	24.5	<0.61	<0.61	4.7	4.7	4	<0.2	<0.025	0	73.2	26.8	Deg Fuel 89%,(FCM)
s	3-5-0-2	20.6	<0.52	<0.52	14.6	14.6	6.6	0.29	<0.021	0	71.6	28.4	V.Deg.PHC 92.8%,(FCM)
s	#3-6-2	22.8	<0.57	7.6	50.2	57.8	10.6	0.51	<0.023	60.9	27.3	11.8	V.Deg.PHC 84.6%,(FCM)
S	3-7-2-3	170.0	<4.3	<4.3	514.5	514.5	233.8	9.4	<0.17	0	75	25	V.Deg.PHC 95.5%,(FCM)
	Initial Ca	alibrator	QC check	OK					Final F	CM QC	Check	OK	98.8 %
Results generated by a QED HC-1 analyser. Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values are not corrected for moisture or stone content Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification. The abbreviations are:- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence for sample fingerprint match to library													

(SBS) or (LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate present



APPENDIX E

FIELD PERSONNEL LOG

PROJECT NAME: NCDOT R-5768 Phase II

PROJECT NO.: 2019-074

Name: Leatherman, Heenan

Dates: 4/3/19 & 4/4/19

TASKS PERFORMED: Site reconnaissance, geophysical surveys, utility locating

T. Leatherman, J. Heenan Mobilize to site. Site, recon, geophysics, utility locating. Average daily time: ~8:00 AM - 5:00PM

FIELD PERSONNEL LOG

PROJECT NAME: NCDOT R-5768 Phase II

PROJECT NO.: 2019-074

Name: Leatherman

Dates: 4/23/19 & 4/24/19

TASKS PERFORMED: Soil Sampling

T. Leatherman Mobilize to site. soil sampling supervision, collection and analysis prep

Average daily time: ~8:00 AM - 5:00PM