

May 28, 2019 June 9, 2019 Revision

Mr. Gordon Box, LG Geotechnical Engineering Unit North Carolina Department of Transportation 1020 Birch Ridge Drive Raleigh, NC 27610

RE: ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT OF PARCEL 342-REMNANT Circle K, Taylor Family Properties 4401 Kernersville Road, Kernersville, North Carolina ESP Project No. GR22.313

TIP No.:U-2579ABWBS N0.:34839.1.8County:ForsythDescription:Winston-Salem - Northern Beltway Eastern Section (Future I-74) from I-40 to I-40
Business/US 421

Dear Mr. Box:

ESP Associates, Inc. (ESP) is pleased to submit this report on our Phase II Environmental Assessment of the subject parcel. This work was performed in accordance with your Request for Proposal dated April 1, 2019 and our Cost Proposal dated April 15, 2019.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist you during this phase of the project. If you should have any questions concerning this report, or if we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

ESP Associates, Inc.

Edward D. Billington, PG Senior Geologist/Geophysicist DMN/SBM/EDB/CJW



not considered Final unless all signatures are completed

7011 Albert Pick Road, Suite E, Greensboro, NC 27409 1.800.960.7317 NC: 336.334.7724 www.espassociates.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION
2.0	HISTORY1
3.0	SITE OBSERVATIONS
4.0	METHODS
4.1	Geophysics
4.2	Borings2
4.3	Soil Sample Protocol
4.4	Groundwater
5.0	RESULTS
5.1	Geophysics
5.2	Sample Data
5.3	Sample Observations
6.0	CONCLUSIONS
6.1	Interpretation of Results
6.2	Geophysics
6.3	Soil4
7.0	RECOMMENDATIONS
8.0	LIMITATIONS4

TABLES

Table 1Soil Sample PID Readings

Table 2Soil Sample UVF Results Summary

FIGURES

Figure 1	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., Site Photographs
Figure 3	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., EM61 Early Time Gate Response
Figure 4	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., EM61 Differential Response
Figure 5	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., EM61 Early Time Gate Response
	on Plan Sheet
Figure 6	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., EM61 Differential Response on Plan Sheet
Figure 7	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., Boring Locations on Plan Sheet
Figure 8	Parcel 342-Remnant, Taylor Family Prop., Soil Analytical Results on Plan Sheet
Figure 9	Legend for Plan Sheet Figures

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

Appendix A Soil Boring Logs

Appendix B RED Lab Laboratory Testing Report

Appendix C Chain-of-Custody Form

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) is planning to construct the Winston-Salem - Northern Beltway Eastern Section (Future I-74) From I-40 to I-40 Business/US 421. In May 2018, ESP performed a Preliminary Site Assessment of the proposed right-of-way (ROW) of Parcel 342 that included Borings B342-1 through B342-6. The results of that work were provided in a report to the NCDOT dated November 5, 2018, and indicated that there were no abandoned USTs or petroleum hydrocarbon soil contamination at or above the NCDEQ action levels in the proposed ROW. Groundwater was not encountered within the drilling depths of 10 feet below ground surface.

In April 2019, the NCDOT requested that ESP perform a Phase II Environmental Assessment of the planned remnant of Parcel 342 to locate possible underground storage tanks (USTs), sample soil, and delineate potentially contaminated soil (Figure 1). The remnant is located outside of the proposed ROW.

2.0 HISTORY

This parcel is owned by Taylor Family Properties and is currently occupied by an active gas station/convenience store (Circle K). The facility is listed in the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's (NCDEQ's) UST Section Registry with Facility ID #00-0-0000032502. A release was reported in June 2016, assigned Ground Water Incident #44687, and was closed in September 2016. Our online search of the NCDEQ records did not indicate any relevant documents for this site.

3.0 SITE OBSERVATIONS

During our April and May 2019 field work, the site was operating as an active gas station/convenience store (Figure 2). There are currently four 12,000-gallon USTs in use (two gasoline, one diesel, and one kerosene). The ground in the study area was covered by asphalt, concrete, and grass. Portions of the study area were obstructed by air conditioner units, dumpsters, debris, and a shed.

4.0 METHODS

ESP performed a geophysical study of the area designated by the NCDOT on April 17, 18 and 23, 2019. We performed direct-push drilling and sampling of subsurface soils within the planned remnant of Parcel 342 on May 2, 2019. A photoionization detector (PID) was used to screen subsurface soils in the field and select soil samples to send for laboratory analysis.

4.1 Geophysics

ESP performed a metal detector study over the accessible areas of the site using a Geonics EM61 MK2 with a line spacing of about three feet (Figures 3 and 4). Location control was provided in real-time using a differential global positioning system (DGPS). We collected ground-penetrating radar (GPR) data over selected EM61 anomalies and areas of reinforced concrete using our Sensors and Software Noggin 250 GPR system. The GPR data were collected using a line spacing of one to two feet.

4.2 Borings

ESP performed direct-push drilling activities within the proposed remnant of Parcel 342 using a subcontractor, SAEDACCO of Fort Mill, South Carolina. Four borings were drilled on May 2, 2019 using direct-push drilling and hand augering (B342-7 through B342-10). The soil borings were advanced using a GeoProbe 54DT direct-push rig. Continuous soil samples were obtained to a depth of approximately ten feet using four-foot long Macro-Core® tubes. Due to the presence of nearby buried utilities, a hand auger was used by the driller for the first 3 to 4 feet of B342-8, B342-9, and B342-10. The sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to drilling and between borings by the driller using a Liquinox® detergent solution.

4.3 Soil Sample Protocol

Representative soil samples were taken from the Macro-Core tubes or hand auger at approximate one-foot intervals by the ESP field geologist while wearing nitrile disposable gloves. Each sample was placed in a sealed plastic bag and then kept in a sunny area for at least 5 minutes prior to measuring volatile organic compound (VOC) levels in the head space with the PID. The PID readings were less than 10 parts per million (ppm) for each soil sample.

For samples selected for laboratory analysis, an approximate 10-gram soil sample was collected from the Macro-Core tube using a Terra Core Sampler and placed into a laboratory-supplied 40-milliliter volatile organic analysis (VOA) vial containing methanol. Once sealed, the vial was labeled with the sample identification number and then shaken vigorously for about one minute. The samples were packed on ice and sent via overnight delivery to RED Lab, LLC (RED Lab), located in Wilmington, North Carolina, following proper chain-of-custody procedures (Appendix C).

RED Lab used a QED Hydrocarbon Analyzer to quantitatively analyze the soil samples using the ultraviolet fluorescence (UVF) method for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX); gasoline range organics (GRO); diesel range organics (DRO); total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH); total aromatics; polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs); and benzo(a)pyrene (BaP).

4.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in the 4 borings drilled in the Parcel 342-Remnant.

5.0 **RESULTS**

5.1 Geophysics

The EM61 early time gate data show the response from both shallow and deeper metallic objects (Figure 3). The differential response reduces the effect of shallow anomalies and emphasizes anomalies from larger and more deeply buried metallic objects, such as USTs (Figure 4).

The EM61 differential results indicated several anomalies (response above background) that did not correspond to known site features. GPR data were collected over the EM61 anomalies. The GPR data collected did not indicate the presence of unknown USTs within the study area.

The EM61 early time gate response and differential response are shown on the plan sheet on Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

5.2 Sample Data

The soil sample UVF hydrocarbon analysis results for BTEX, GRO, DRO, and PAHs are presented in Table 2. The RED Lab laboratory report, which includes results for TPH, total aromatics, and BaP, is provided in Appendix B. Values are provided in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg or ppm).

5.3 Sample Observations

The results of the laboratory testing indicated that BTEX, GRO, and PAHs were below the detection limits for the four samples tested. DRO was detected in one of the 4 soil samples tested (Sample B342-7/S4) at a concentration of 1.8 ppm, below the NCDEQ action level of 100 ppm.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Interpretation of Results

The results of the Phase II investigation of the planned remnant of Parcel 342 do not indicate the presence of abandoned USTs. No petroleum hydrocarbon soil contamination at or above NCDEQ action levels was detected within the planned remnant of Parcel 342.

6.2 Geophysics

The geophysical data do not indicate the presence of abandoned USTs.

6.3 Soil

The results of the PID field screening readings and off-site UVF hydrocarbon analyses do not indicate the presence of contaminated soil at or above the NCDEQ action levels within the planned remnant of Parcel 342 (Figure 7).

7.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Other than the 4 known USTs within the proposed ROW on Parcel 342, no limitations on construction activities or special handling of excavated soil are recommended for the planned remnant of Parcel 342.

8.0 LIMITATIONS

ESP's professional services have been performed, findings obtained, and recommendations prepared in accordance with customary principles and practices in the fields of environmental science and engineering. ESP is not responsible for the independent conclusions, opinions, or recommendations made by others based on the data presented in this report.

The passage of time may result in a change in the environmental characteristics at this site and surrounding properties. ESP does not warrant against future operations or conditions, or against operations or conditions present of a type or at a location not investigated. ESP does not assume responsibility for other environmental issues that may be associated with the subject site.

TABLES

TABLE 1SOIL SAMPLE PID READINGS

Boring	Date Collected	Sample Depth Range with PID > 10 ppm (feet bgs)	Maximum PID Reading (ppm) and Sample Depth (feet bgs)
B342-7	5/2/19	none	3.3 (4.0-4.5)
B342-8	5/2/19	none	2.5 (1.0-1.5)
B342-9	5/2/19	none	3.5 (5.0-5.5)
B342-10	5/2/19	none	2.7 (9.0-9.5)

Boring	Sample ID (depth in feet bgs)	Date Collected	BTEX (C6-C9) (mg/kg)	GRO (C5-C10) (mg/kg)	DRO (C10-C35) (mg/kg)	PAHs (mg/kg)
B342-7	S4 (4.0-4.5)	5/2/19	<0.61	<0.61	1.8	<0.2
B342-8	S8 (8.0-8.5)	5/2/19	<0.26	<0.26	<0.26	<0.08
B342-9	S5 (5.0-5.5)	5/2/19	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	< 0.05
B342-10	S9 (9.0-9.5)	5/2/19	<0.36	< 0.36	< 0.36	<0.12

TABLE 2SOIL SAMPLE UVF RESULTS SUMMARY

FIGURES



PROJECT NO. GR22.313	FIGURE 1 – PARCEL 342-REMNANT, TAYLOR FAM
scale N/A	SITE VICINITY MAP
6/9/19	NCDOT PROJECT U-2579AB, WINSTON-SALEM - N BELTWAY EASTERN SECTION (EUTURE LZ
BY SBM/EDB	FORSYTH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

www.espassociates.c



A. Photograph of edge of known USTs and part of study area on east side of the gas station, looking west.



B. Photograph of study area on the east side of the gas station, looking southwest.



C. Photograph of rear of the gas station building with fenced-in air conditioning systems, looking southwest.



D. Photograph of western part of the study area, looking northeast.

FIGURE 2 – PARCEL 342-REMNAN
SITE PHOTOGI
NCDOT PROJECT U-2579AB, WINS
FORSYTH COUNTY, NO

NT, TAYLOR FAMILY PROP. GRAPHS

STON-SALEM - NORTHERN ION (FUTURE I-74) ORTH CAROLINA



ESP Associates, Inc.

7011 Albert Pick Rd., Suite E Greensboro, NC 27409

336.334.7724

www.espassociates.con



SCALE AS SHOWN	EM61 EARLY TIME GATE
^{DATE} 6/9/19	NCDOT PROJECT U-2579AB, WINSTO BELTWAY FASTERN SECTION
SBM/EDB	FORSYTH COUNTY, NORT

ON-SALEM - NORTHERN N (FUTURE I-74) TH CAROLINA



7011 Albert Pick Rd., Suite E Greensboro, NC 27409

336.334.7724

www.espassociates.



EM61 DIFFERE	SCALE AS SHOWN	
NCDOT PROJECT U-2579AB,	6/9/19	
FORSYTH COUNT	BY SBM/EDB	

, WINSTON-SALEM - NORTHERN I SECTION (FUTURE I-74) TY, NORTH CAROLINA Greensboro, NC 27409 336.334.7724

www.espassociates.con









	STATE OF NORTH	CAROLII	NA, DIVISION OF HIGHWA	AYS	
	CONVENTION	ΔΙ ΡΙ	AN SHEET SYMBO	210	
BOUNDARIES AND PROPERTY:	Note: Not to S	¬L ILı ∑cale ★S	U.E. = Subsurface Utility Engineering		WATER:
State Line					Water Manhole —
County Line	PAR POADS				Water Meter
Township Line	RAILROADS:	 	Orthand	~ ~ ~ ~	Water Valve
City Line	Standard Gauge	CSX TRANSPORTATION	Orchard		Water Hydrant —
Reservation Line	RR Signal Milepost	WILEPOST 35		- Vineyard	U/G Water Line I
Property Line	Switch	SINTCH	EXISTING STRUCTURES:		U/G Water Line I
Existing Iron Pin 🔤	RR Abandoned		MAJOR:		U/G Water Line I
Property Corner	RR Dismantled		Bridge, Tunnel or Box Culvert	сонс	Above Ground W
Property Monument	RIGHT OF WAY:	•	Bridge Wing Wall, Head Wall and End Wall-	- J CONC **	
Parcel/Sequence Number 🕑	Baseline Control Point	•	MINOR:		TV Pedestal
Existing Fence Line ————————————————————————————————————	Existing Right of Way Marker	\bigtriangleup	Pine Culvert		TV Tower
Proposed Woven Wire Fence	Existing Right of Way Line		Fipe Colvert		U/G TV Cable H
Proposed Chain Link Fence	Proposed Right of Way Line		Footbridge		U/G TV Cable I
Proposed Barbed Wire Fence	Proposed Right of Way Line with		Drainage Box: Catch Basin, DI or JB	СВ	U/G TV Cable L
Existing Wetland Boundary	Proposed Right of Way Line with		Paved Ditch Gutter		U/G TV Cable LO
Proposed Wetland Boundary	Concrete or Granite RW Marker		Storm Sewer Manhole	S	U/G Fiber Ontic (
Existing Endangered Animal Boundary	Proposed Control of Access Line with		Storm Sewer	s	U/G Fiber Ontic (
Existing Endangered Plant Boundary	Existing Control of Access	(Ē)	UTILITIES:		U/G Fiber Optic (
Existing Historic Property Boundary	Proposed Control of Access		POWER:		
Known Contamination Area: Soil ————————————————————————————————————	Froposed Control of Access		Existing Power Pole		GAS:
Potential Contamination Area: Soil ————————————————————————————————————	Existing Easement Line	——E——	Proposed Power Pole	8	Gas Valve
Known Contamination Area: Water	Proposed Temporary Construction Easement -	E	Existing Joint Use Pole	- -	Gas Meter —
Potential Contamination Area: Water - 🔊 - 🕱	Proposed Temporary Drainage Easement	TDE	Proposed Joint Use Pole	- 	U/G Gas Line LC
Contaminated Site: Known or Potential —— 🕱 🅱	Proposed Permanent Drainage Easement	PDE PDE	Power Manhole	Ð	U/G Gas Line LC
BUILDINGS AND OTHER CULTURE:	Proposed Permanent Utility Essement	DUE	Power Line Tower	\boxtimes	U/G Gas Line LC
Gas Pump Vent or U/G Tank Cap — O	Proposed Termanent Offiny Easement	PUE	Power Transformer		Above Ground G
Sign Q	Proposed Temporary Onliny Edsement	TUE	U/G Power Cable Hand Hole		SANITARY SEWER:
Well	Proposed Aendi Oniny Edsement	AUE	H-Frame Pole		Sanitary Sewer M
Small Mine 🔶 🛠	Proposed Permanent Easement with	۲	U/G Power Line LOS B (S.U.E.*)		Sanitary Sewer C
Foundation	ROADS AND RELATED FEATURE	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	U/G Power Line LOS C (S.U.E.*)		U/G Sanitary Sew
Area Outline	Evisting Edge of Payament		U/G Power Line LOS D (S.U.E.*)		Above Ground So
Cemetery t	Existing Curb		TELEPHONE		SS Forced Main
Building	Proposed Slope Stakes Cut	6			SS Forced Main
School	Proposed Slope Stakes Fill		Existing Telephone Pole	-	SS Forced Main
Church	Proposed Curb Ramp	P	Proposed Telephone Pole	-0-	
Dam	Existing Metal Guardrail		Telephone Manhole	Ō	MISCELLANEOUS:
HYDROLOGY:	Proposed Guardrail		Telephone Pedestal		Utility Pole
Stream or Body of Water	Existing Cable Guiderail		Telephone Cell Tower	,	Utility Pole with t
Hydro, Pool or Reservoir	Proposed Cable Guiderail		U/G Telephone Cable Hand Hole		Utility Located Of
Jurisdictional Stream	Fauglity Symbol	4	U/G Telephone Cable LOS B (S.U.E.*)		Utility Traffic Sign
Buffer Zone 1BZ 1BZ 1	Provement Removal		U/G Telephone Cable LOS C (S.U.E.*)		
Buffer Zone 2 BZ 2	VEGETATION	000004	U/G Telephone Cable LOS D (S.U.E.*)	r	U/G Tank; Water,
Flow Arrow	Single Tree	¢	U/G Telephone Conduit LOS B (S.U.E.*)	r:	Underground Stor
Disappearing Stream	Single Chrub	ີ ເ	U/G Telephone Conduit LOS C (S.U.E.*)	n	A/G Tank; Water,
Spring O		~	U/G Telephone Conduit LOS D (S.U.E.*)	π	Geoenvironmenta
Wetland Ł	Weeds Line	-0-0-0-0-0-	U/G Fiber Optics Cable LOS B (S.U.E.*)		U/G Test Hole LC
Proposed Lateral, Tail, Head Ditch — 🗕 🕹 🕹	woous Line		U/G Fiber Optics Cable LOS C (S.U.E.*)	— _ 1 10—	Abandoned Accor
False Sump —			U/G Fiber Optics Cable LOS D (S.U.E.*)	1 to	End of Informatio

 PROJECT NO.
 GR22.313
 FIGURE 9

 SCALE
 LEGEND FOR PLAN SHEET FIGURES

 DATE
 6/9/19
 NCDOT PROJECT U-2579AB, WINSTON-SALEM - NORTHERN BELTWAY EASTERN SECTION (FUTURE I-74) FORSYTH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

PRDJECT	EFERENCE NO. SHEET NO.
	Q
	ŏ
	_
nt	Å
ine LOS B (SILE*)	
	A/G Nater
	_
	ũ
	8
ble Hand Hole	5
ble LOS B (S.U.E.*)	
ble LOS C (S.U.E.*)	
ole LOS D (S.U.E.*)	T Y
optic Cable LOS B (S.U.E.*)	TY P0
optic Cable LOS C (S.U.E.*)	
ptic Cable LOS D (S.U.E.*)	
	♦
	ô
ne LOS B (S.U.E.*)	
ne LOS C (SUE*)	
ne LOS D (SLLE*)	
ad Gas Line	▲/G Gas
WER:	
er Manhole	
ver Cleanout	۲
y Sewer Line	u
nd Sanitary Sewer —	A/G Sanitary Sever
Nain Line LOS B (S.U.E.*)	
Nain Line LOS C (S.U.E.*)	
Nain Line LOS D (S.U.E.*)	
DUS:	
	•
with Base	
ed Object	0
Signa Box	EI.
own U/G Line LOS B (S.U.E.*)	
Vater, Gas, Oil	
d Storage Tank, Approx. Loc. ——	UST)
ater, Gas, Oil	
nental Boring	•
e LOS A (S.U.E.*)	•
According to Utility Records	AATUR
nation	E.O.I.



ESP Associates, Inc.

7011 Albert Pick Rd., Suite E Greensboro, NC 27409

336.334.7724

www.espassociates.com

APPENDIX A SOIL BORING LOGS

	FCP				BORING NO.
			2570AB		D240.7
Northeast side of know		side of known	B342-7		
TYPE	TYPE OF BORING: Direct Pust		Direct Pus	h DATE STARTED: 5/2/19 SHEE	T: 1 of 1
DRILL	ING FIRM:		SAEDACC	O DATE FINISHED: 5/2/19 TOTAL DEPTH	H: 10.0 ft
DRILL	ER:		Stefan Smi	th SAMPLE METHOD: 4' Macro Core DEPTH TO GV	V: N/A ft
DRILL	RIG:		Geoprobe 54	LOGGED BY: S. Montgomery COMMEN	T:
ЭЕРТН (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				0.0 - 0.1 Grass, root mat	Core 1 Rec 4.0'/4.0'
				0.1 - 3.0 Red to red brown clayey sand, dry	
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	1.7		
_2	S-2	2.0-2.5	0.5		
[
	S-3	30-35	03	3.0 - 4.0 Red to red brown clavey silt_dry	
		0.0 0.0	0.0		
[Core 2 Rec 4 0'/4 0'
4	S-4	4.0-4.5	3.3	4.0 - 5.0 Red to red brown and white sandy clay, dry	
-					
-					
_ 5	S-5	5.0-5.5	0.4	5.0 - 8.5 Red to red brown clayey sand, dry	
6	S-6	60-65	25		
		0.0 0.0	2.0		
7	S-7	7.0-7.5	0.8		
-8	S-8	8.0-8.5	1.0	8.5 - 10.0 Mottled white, gray and black sand, dry	Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
- <u>a</u>	S-9	9.0-9.5	0.4		
10					
[
- 11					
[]					
12					
13					
}					
[
_ 14					
[
15					
ப	I				

S FSP		p				BORING NO.
				2579AB		B342-8
I OCATION Behind dumpster # 1; in		PROJ. NO.: <u>GR22.313</u>				D342-0
TYPE OF BORING: Direct Push		Direct Pus	h DATE STARTED: 5/2/19 SHEET	1 of 1		
DRILI	LING F	IRM:		SAEDACC	O DATE FINISHED: 5/2/19 TOTAL DEPTH	: 10.0 ft
DRILL	LER:			Stefan Smi	th SAMPLE METHOD: <u>4' Macro Core</u> DEPTH TO GW	N/A ft
	_ RIG:			Geoprope 54	LOGGED BY: S. Montgomery COMMENT	:
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE	ON	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
					0.0 - 0.1 Grass, root mat 0.1 - 8.0 Red to red brown clavey silt_dry	Hand augering from 0.0' -3.0'
<u> </u>						No odor
1	S-1	H.A.	1.0-1.5	2.5		
2	S-2	H.A.	2.0-2.5	1.3		
3	S-3	H.A.	3.0-3.5	0.7		
						Core 1 Rec 1.0/1.0
	S-4		4 0-4 5	0.7		Core 2 Rec 4 0'/4 0'
-4	10-4		4.0-4.0	0.7		0010 2 1100 4.0 /4.0
5	S-5		5.0-5.5	0.3		
_6	S-6		6.0-6.5	0.6		
7	S-7		7.0-7.5	0.3		······································
	-					······································
8	S-8		8.0-8.5	0.4	8.5 - 10.0 Mottled white, gray and black sand, dry	Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
t						
- <u>a</u>	S-9		9.0-9.5	0.2		········
				-		
}				_		
10	1					
	+					······································
44	1			1		
<u></u>						
12						
	<u> </u>					
13						
[1					
14						
	1					
}						
15	1					

S FSP			BORING NO.				
			B342-9				
LOCA	TION:	Behind gas	station, cente	er of building	0042-9		
TYPE	OF BORING	:	Direct Pus	h DATE STARTED: 5/2/19 SHEE	T: 1 of 1		
DRILL	ING FIRM:		SAEDACC	O DATE FINISHED: 5/2/19 TOTAL DEPT	H: 10.0 ft		
DRILL	LER:	Geoprobe	Stefan Smi	th SAMPLE METHOD: 4' Macro Core DEPTH TO G	N: N/A ft		
				LOGGED BY: S. Montgomery COMMEN			
DEPTH (ft	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS		
				0.0 - 0.1 Grass, root mat 0.1 - 8.0 Red to red brown clayey sand, dry	— H.A. 0.0' -3.0'		
		1015	0.2				
	5-1 п.А.	1.0-1.5	0.2				
[
2	S-2 H.A.	2.0-2.5	1.3				
[6 2 UA	2025	10				
_ <u>3</u> 	5-5 п.А.	5.0-5.5	1.2		Core 1 Rec 1.0'/1.0'		
4	S-4	4.0-4.5	2.3		Core 2 Rec 4.0'/4.0'		
	S E	5055	2.5				
-5	3-0	5.0-5.5	3.5				
[
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	2.8				
[S.7	7075	10				
	0-7	7.0-7.0	1.0				
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	1.2	8.0 - 10.0 Tan to red brown sandy clay, dry	Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'		
	S-9	9 0-9 5	1.6				
		0.0 0.0	1.0				
}							
10							
t							
11							
ļ							
			+				
12	[
<u> </u>							
13							
			+				
14							
t							
15							

S FSP			BORING NO.			
				P242 10		
PRO		NAME:	Behind AC	D342-10		
TYPE	OFE	i. BORING	:	Direct Pus	h DATE STARTED: 5/2/19 SHEE	T: 1 of 1
DRILI	ING	FIRM:		SAEDACC	O DATE FINISHED: 5/2/19 TOTAL DEPTH	H: 10.0 ft
DRILLER:				Stefan Smi	th SAMPLE METHOD: 4' Macro Core DEPTH TO GV	V: N/A ft
DRILL RIG:				Geoprobe 54	LOGGED BY: S. Montgomery COMMEN	Т:
DEPTH (ft)		SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
					0.0 - 0.1 Grass, root mat	— H.A. from 0.0' -4.0'
[1	S-1	H.A.	1.0-1.5	1.0		_
	S-2	НΔ	20-25	2.0	2.0.4.5 Red Brown clavey cand very wet	
	0-2	п.д.	2.0-2.0	2.0		
3	S-3	H.A.	3.0-3.5	1.0		wet clayey sand — thought to have been — from water main near _ the borehole 2' deep —
	-					
_4	S-4	H.A.	4.0-4.5	2.2	4.5 - 8.0 Red brown clayey sand, dry	Core 1 Rec 4.0'/4.0'
	-					
[]				1.0		
-5	5-5		5.0-5.5	1.9		
6	S-6		6.0-6.5	0.9		
- — —						·
7	S-7		7.0-7.5	0.5		
·	-					·
[0.0.05	1.2	0.0.05 Ded by unit and maint	Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
8	3-0		0.0-0.3	1.3	8.5 - 10.0 Dark gray sand, moist	
[
9	S-9		9.0-9.5	2.7		
	-					
_ 10						
<u> </u>	+			-		
<u> </u>	┼──			-		
[1					
12	+			+		
				+		
13						
}				+		·
				1		
- 14						
[1		
15	┨					
13	L					

APPENDIX B

RED LAB LABORATORY TESTING REPORT

Q	DED REDLAB RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL DIAGNOSTICS									<u>Aaros</u>				
	Hydrocarbon Analysis Results													
Client: Address:	ESP ASSOCIATES : GREENSBORO, NC				Sa Sampl Samp	amples taken les extracted les analysed			Thursday, May 2, 2019 Thursday, May 2, 2019 Tuesday, May 7, 2019					
Contact: NED BILLINGTON Operator CAROLINE STEVE										CAROLINE STEVENS				
Project:	Project: GR22.313 GROUP 2													
													F03640	
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	BaP	Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match	
										% light	% mid	% heavy		
S	B342-7 S4	24.5	<0.61	<0.61	1.8	1.8	1.3	<0.2	<0.025	0	50.3	49.7	V.Deg.PHC 75.8%,(FCM),(P)	
s	B342-8 S8	10.4	<0.26	<0.26	<0.26	<0.26	< 0.05	<0.08	<0.01	0	0	0	,(FCM)	
S	B342-9 S5	5.9	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.006	0	0	0	,(FCM)	
S	B342-10 S9	14.4	<0.36	<0.36	<0.36	<0.36	<0.07	<0.12	<0.014	U	0	U	,(FCM)	
	+	'	++	ļļ	ļļ	++	ł							
		+'		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(†							
		'		ļļ	ļļ	ļ!								
						<u> </u>			- Final F					
	Initia	Calibrator	QC check	UK					Final F		Спеск	OK	90.8 %	
Results gen	nerated by a QED HC-1 analyser. Concent	ration values	in mg/kg for	soil samples :	and mg/L for v	water samples	. Soil values a	are not corre	ected for moi	sture or s	stone cor	itent		
Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification. The abbreviations are:- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence for sample fingerprint match to library														
(SBS) or (LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate present														

APPENDIX C CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY FORM

Client Name	ESP Are
chefte Harne.	60109500.
Address:	Greensbord
Contact:	Ned Billington
Project Ref.:	GR22.313
Email:	6.10
Phone #:	ovejla
Collected by:	5. Montgomeny

REDLAB RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL DIAGNOSTICS

CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND ANALYTICAL REQUEST FORM RED Lab, LLC 5598 Marvin K Moss Lane MARBIONC Bldg, Suite 2003 Wilmington, NC 28409

Each sample will be analyzed for BTEX, GRO, DRO, TPH, PAH total aromatics and BaP

Sample Collection	TAT Requested		In this Is	Sample ID							-	C 1 14/1
Date/Time	Date/Time 24 Hour 48 Hour		Initials							lotal Wt.	lare Wt.	Sample Wt.
5/2/19		V	Cits	B39	-1	54)		55-9	44.9	11
572/19			ERS	839	-2	59				57.1	45-2	11.9
5/2/19		2	ERD	\$39	-3	58	****		C . 1	56,2	44.7	11.5
5/2/19			EDS	839	-24	54		7	. Ortryp !	56.9	44.S	12.21
5/2/19			SDS	839	- 4	59				55.7	44.7	17
5/2/19			ERS	B39	1-5	55		5		54.8	44.9	9.9
					مغورات والأرج ويحاجدوا المالكة جري			a de la caractería de la c				
5/2/19			EPR	B34	2-7	54)		55:5	44.9	10.6
5/2/19			EPB	B34	2-8	58		4	Gronp 2	54.4	44.8	9.6
5/2/19			203	B34	2-9	55			1	55.0	44.8	10.2
5/2/19			EDB	R34	2-10	59	-1	1		54.3	44.6	9.7
Comments: UV	F,	pls re	porte	och gosup separately				RED Lab USE ONLY				
Relinquished by			Date/	Time Accepted by			Date/Time		(0)			
Ned Billington			5/6/1	9	an a	nga anang kapanan nga tang at kada na ang kap						
Relinqu	uished by		Date/	/Time Accept		ted by		Date/Time	1			
					ana falana ana ang kanyas		lannikkonduk yezőkin szandalas ar namanaga			B		