

December 14, 2018

North Carolina Department of Transportation Geotechnical Unit Mail Service Center 1592 Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1592

Attention: Mr. Craig Haden email: cehaden@ncdot.gov

Reference: **Preliminary Site Assessment Report**

NCDOT Project I-5986B, WBS Element 47532.1.3

Parcel 10-Copart 310 Copart Lane

Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina

S&ME Project 4305-18-175

Dear Mr. Haden:

S&ME, Inc. (S&ME) is submitting this Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA) Report to the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT). This report presents the background/project information, field activities, findings, conclusions, and recommendations. These services were performed in general accordance with S&ME Proposal No. 43-1800583 REV-02 dated August 16, 2018, and Contract Number 7000018853 dated April 12, 2018 between NCDOT and S&ME, Inc., authorized by NCDOT in its August 20, 2018 Notice to Proceed Letter.

♦ Background/Project Information

Based on NCDOT's July 30, 2018, Request for Technical and Cost Proposal, the PSA was conducted within the NCDOT right-of-way (ROW) and/or easement as indicated on the preliminary plan sheets provided by NCDOT at the following property:

NCDOT Parcel No.	Property Owner	Site Address
10	Larry and Billy Stripling,Trustee	(Copart)
		310 Copart Lane, Dunn, NC

The PSA included a geophysical survey, subsequent limited soil sampling (six soil borings up to 10 feet below ground surface (ft.-bgs.) and attempted groundwater sampling (one groundwater sample), within accessible areas of the proposed ROW/easement in preparation for construction activities. **Figure 1** shows the vicinity and site location, and **Figure 2** shows the site and boring locations. Soil sampling results are shown on **Figure 3**.



Field Services

Prior to field activities, a site specific Health and Safety Plan was prepared as required by the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OSHA). Underground utilities were located and marked by the North Carolina One-Call Service. A private utility locator (East Coast Underground, LLC.) was also used to locate and mark underground utilities.

Geophysical Survey

On October 15, 2018, S&ME personnel performed a geophysical survey within accessible areas of the proposed ROW/easement at Parcel 10. S&ME used a combination of the Time Domain Electromagnetic (TDEM) and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) methods to explore for buried subsurface features at the site such as underground storage tanks (USTs) and other possible buried obstructions. Brief descriptions of the proposed complementary geophysical techniques are presented in the following paragraphs.

Time Domain Electromagnetics (TDEM)

TDEM measures the electrical conductivity of subsurface materials and discriminates between moderately conductive earth materials and very conductive metallic targets within the shallow subsurface. The conductivity is determined by transmitting a time-varying magnetic pulse into the subsurface and measuring the amplitude and phase shift of the secondary magnetic field. The secondary magnetic field is created when the conductive materials become an inductor as the primary magnetic field is passed through them. TDEM data are acquired continuously at a walking pace typically along a series of parallel or perpendicular lines. The system generates audible and visual indications when metallic targets are encountered. These measurements can also be supported with a global positioning system (GPS) which is output directly into the TDEM data file.

We used a Geonics Limited EM-61 MK2 TDEM system in general accordance with ASTM D6820-02 (2007) "Standard Guide for Use of the Time Domain Electromagnetic Method for Subsurface Investigation." Data was collected along lines spaced at approximately five feet using a Juniper® Systems GeodeTM sub-meter GPS as positioning support. The presence of vehicles, metal equipment, thickly wooded areas, ditches, and other surficial obstructions within the requested survey area however prevented TDEM data collection in several locations. The approximate TDEM data collection paths are presented in **Figure 4**. Golden Software's Surfer® program was used to grid and plot the data (**Figures 5 and 6**). The TDEM data has been presented as Plots A and B in order to provide both opaque and transparent views, respectively.

Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)

GPR transmits electromagnetic waves into the subsurface from an antenna at a specific frequency and measures the time for wave reflections to be received by interfaces between materials with differing material properties (e.g. soil/metal, etc.). The intensity of the reflected GPR wave is a function of the contrast in the material properties (i.e. dielectric permittivity) at the interface, the conductivity of the material that the wave is traveling through, and the frequency of the signal.

We used a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) SIR® 3000 GPR system equipped with a 400 MHz antenna in general accordance with ASTM D6432-11 "Standard Guide for Using the Surface Ground Penetrating Radar Method for Subsurface Investigation" to further characterize anomalies/features identified during the TDEM survey.



A total of nine GPR profiles (Lines 1 through 9) were collected for documentation (**Figures 8 and 9**). The data were post-processed using the GSSI Radan® 7 GPR software program for additional analysis.

Geophysical Findings

Responses indicative of a potential UST were not identified in the geophysical data sets collected at the site. However, two anomalous features were identified in the geophysical data sets (Anomalies A and B; **Figures 6 through 9**). Anomaly A is an approximate 25 foot linear feature characterized by high amplitude GPR responses at about four ft.-bgs that terminates at a steel water valve to the south. TDEM responses were not identified over Anomaly A which indicates it may be related to a non-metallic feature such as a utility likely associated with the nearby water valve. Anomaly B is characterized by relatively high TDEM values (greater than about 200 mV) and high amplitude GPR responses located within the upper one foot. Anomaly B is about 10 feet by 10 feet in size and likely related to buried isolated metallic targets/debris. Anomalies were also marked in the field using white spray paint. Example GPR profiles are presented in **Figures 10**.

Soil Sampling

On October 22, 2018, S&ME's drill crew utilized a track mounted Geoprobe® rig to advance six soil borings (B-1 through B-6) and to collect soil samples within accessible areas of the proposed ROW/easement at Parcel 10. The approximate location of the soil borings are shown in **Figure 2**. A photographic log is included in **Appendix I**. S&ME's drill crew advanced the Geoprobe® borings to a depth of approximately 10 ft.-bgs. During the advancement of the soil borings, groundwater was encountered at depths ranging from approximately two to six ft.-bgs. Soil samples were continuously collected in four-foot long disposable acetate-plastic sleeves that line the hollow stainless-steel sample probes. Soil recovered from the sleeves was classified on-site by S&ME personnel and screened with a Photoionization Detector (PID) at approximately two foot depth intervals to measure relative headspace concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

VOC headspace readings were obtained from an aliquot of each soil sample that was placed in a re-sealable bag. Another portion of the sample was placed in a separate re-sealable bag and stored in an insulated container with ice for possible laboratory analyses. After waiting approximately 15 minutes to allow the sample to reach ambient temperature and headspace equilibrium, the PID probe was inserted into the bag to obtain a headspace reading. A summary of the PID readings and logs of the soil borings are included in **Appendix II.**

No petroleum odors, staining or elevated PID readings were noted within the collected soil samples. Therefore, soil samples from varying depth intervals were selected from each boring and provided to RED Lab, LLC (Red Lab) for on-site analysis. A total of nine soil samples were analyzed by RED Lab for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)-Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) and Diesel Range Organics (DRO) using ultra-violet fluorescence (UVF) spectroscopy with product (fuel) identification. Due to the site's use as an automotive salvage yard, one soil sample was also selected from each boring, placed in an insulated cooler with ice for transport to Con-Test Analytical for analysis of total lead, arsenic and chromium by EPA Method 6020.

Soil Analytical Results

TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO were not reported at concentrations exceeding the North Carolina TPH Action Levels. TPH-DRO was reported at borings B-3 (two to four foot depth interval and four to six foot depth interval), B-4



(one to two foot depth interval and two to four foot depth interval) and B-5 and B-6 (zero to two foot depth interval) at concentrations ranging from 1.1 milligrams per kilograms (mg/kg) to 22.3 mg/kg, which are below its North Carolina TPH Action Level of 100 mg/kg. Boring B-3 contained the highest concentration of TPH-DRO. TPH-GRO was reported at boring B-3 at the four to six foot depth interval at a concentration of 1.6 mg/kg, which is below its North Carolina TPH Action Level of 50 mg/kg. TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO were not reported at concentrations exceeding the laboratory method reporting limits for the remaining soil samples.

Total chromium was reported in each of the soil samples at concentrations ranging from 5.6 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg.

For chromium, there are screening levels for three different valent states of chromium. Total chromium, chromium III, and chromium VI. North Carolina conservatively considers laboratory results for total chromium, which is a combination of chromium III (most common isotopic state) and chromium VI, only as chromium VI. The NCDEQ, Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch (IHSB) has established Preliminary Soil Remediation Goals (PRSG) of Residential Health Based (0.31 milligrams per kilograms (mg/kg)), Industrial/Commercial Health Based (6.5 mg/kg) and Protection of Groundwater (3.8 mg/kg) for total chromium and chromium VI. Chromium III has a Residential Health Based PSRG of 23,000 mg/kg, an Industrial/Commercial Health Based PSRG of 350,000 mg/kg and Protection of Groundwater PSRG of 360,000 mg/kg. Chromium concentrations in the six soil samples are comparable, suggesting that chromium is naturally occurring and not indicative of a release of chromium. S&ME assumes that total chromium as reported by the laboratory is in the trivalent state (chromium III). Therefore, the total chromium results are considered to be well below the PSRGs. If required, speciated laboratory analysis and calculations will confirm whether the total chromium is comprised of trivalent and/or hexavalent chromium.

Total arsenic and total lead were also reported in each of the soil samples at concentrations below the PSRGs and at comparable concentrations suggesting that they are naturally occurring and not indicative of a release. Total arsenic was reported at concentrations ranging from 0.43 mg/kg to 0.67 mg/kg. Total lead was reported at concentrations ranging from 3.9 mg/kg to 17 mg/kg.

A summary of the soil analytical results is presented in **Table 1** and shown on **Figure 3**. A copy of the laboratory analytical report provided by RED Lab is presented in **Appendix III**.

Groundwater Sampling

During the advancement of the soil borings, groundwater was encountered at depths ranging from two to six ft.-bgs. Therefore, the Geoprobe® was used to advance one of the soil borings into the groundwater table for the collection of a groundwater sample. Due to the concentration of TPH-DRO in the soil, boring B-3 was selected for the collection of a groundwater sample. A temporary monitor well (TW-1) was installed at soil boring B-3 using a five foot section of one-inch diameter, Schedule 40 PVC well riser attached to a ten foot section of 0.01-inch slotted screen. Due to the sandy soil condition, the temporary well was unable to be installed deeper than 10 ft.-bgs. Groundwater within the temporary monitor well at soil boring B-3 was measured at five ft.-bgs. The temporary well was purged until dry and did not recover. Therefore, a groundwater sample was not obtained.

Upon completion of the soil and attempted groundwater sampling, the well materials were removed and the soil borings backfilled with bentonite pellets and soil cuttings. Investigative derived wastes (IDW), such as soil cuttings generated during the soil boring advancement and decontamination water, were spread on the ground in



accordance with the procedures specified by North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ). Used gloves and tubing were bagged and disposed off-site.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The geophysical survey identified two anomalous features (Anomalies A and B). Anomaly A is likely related to a non-metallic feature such as a utility likely associated with the nearby water valve. Anomaly B is likely related to buried isolated metallic targets/debris. Responses indicative of a potential UST were not identified in the geophysical data sets collected at the site.

S&ME advanced six soil borings (B-1 through B-6) to a depth of approximately 10 ft.-bgs at the site. No petroleum odors, staining or elevated PID readings were noted within soil samples collected from the soil borings. Selected soil samples from the soil borings were analyzed onsite for TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO using UVF spectroscopy. One soil sample from each boring was also analyzed for total chromium, total lead and total arsenic by Con-Test Laboratories.

TPH-GRO was reported at one soil boring at the four to six foot depth interval. TPH-DRO was reported at four soil borings at depths ranging from zero to six ft.-bgs. However, TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO were not reported at concentrations exceeding the North Carolina TPH Action Levels.

Total chromium, lead and arsenic detections in soil were reported at levels below the PSRGs and are likely naturally occurring. The chromium detections in soil are likely naturally occurring and in the trivalent state. The reported chromium detections in soil are well below the PSRGs for trivalent chromium. If required, speciated laboratory analysis and calculations will confirm whether the total chromium is comprised of trivalent and/or hexavalent chromium.

During the soil boring advancement, groundwater was encountered at depths ranging from approximately two to six ft.-bgs. One temporary well (TW-1) was installed at soil boring B-3. Groundwater at TW-1 was measured at 5.0 ft.-bgs. However, the well purged dry and did not recover. Therefore, a groundwater sample was not collected.

S&ME recommends maintaining an awareness level for the presence of marginally impacted petroleum in soil (below TPH Action Levels) at the site for the safety of workers and the public. If petroleum stained or odorous soils are encountered during construction, these soils should be properly handled and disposed at a licensed facility.

Limitations

The results of this preliminary investigation are limited to the boring locations presented herein. The results of this Preliminary Site Assessment are not all inclusive and may not represent existing conditions across the entire property. These results only reflect the current conditions at the locations sampled on the date this Preliminary Site Assessment was performed. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted environmental engineering and geophysical practice for specific application to this project. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon applicable standards of our practice in this geographic area at the time this report was prepared. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.



The geophysical methods used for this survey have inherent limitations. Site metallic features (e.g., buildings, reinforced concrete, vehicles, etc.) and overhead transmission lines can produce a false electromagnetic response and may mask subsurface features. The depth of exploration of the GPR signal is highly site specific, and is greatly limited by signal attenuation (absorption) of the subsurface materials. Signal attenuation is dependent upon the electrical conductivity of the subsurface materials. Signal attenuation is greatest in materials with relatively high electrical conductivities such as clay soils, and lowest in relatively low conductivity materials such as unsaturated sand. For this project location, the GPR data sets appear to have a maximum depth of penetration of approximately about five feet below ground surface.

Regardless of the thoroughness of a geophysical study, there is always a possibility that actual conditions may not match the interpretations. The results should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the methods used and the method's limitations and data coverage. Accordingly, the possibility exists that not all features at a project site will be located due to either subsurface soil conditions or the occurrence of features outside the lateral limits and below the depth of penetration of the methods used. As with most surface geophysical methods, resolution of the subsurface will also decrease with depth. As such, the size and/or contrast of features compared to the imaged subsurface media must be significant enough to produce the anticipated response. The location and/or determination (or the lack thereof) of potential buried features is based on our review of the provided information and of the geophysical survey. Under no circumstances does S&ME assume any responsibility for damages resulting from the presence of subsurface features that may exist but were not identified by our survey.

This Preliminary Site Assessment was performed solely for NCDOT regarding the above-referenced site and assessment area. This report is provided for the sole use of NCDOT. Use of this report by any other parties will be at such party's sole risk. S&ME disclaims liability for any such use or reliance by third parties. The observations presented in this report are indicative of conditions during the time of the assessment and of the specific areas referenced.



Closing

S&ME appreciates the opportunity to provide these services to you. If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,

S&ME, Inc.

Jamie T Honeycutt

Jamie Honeyoutt

DocuSigned by:

Environmental Professional 4C890EAEC25F488.

ihoneycutt@smeinc.com

Michael W. Pfeifer Senior Project Manager

DocuSianed by:

861E52DDEFAF4C7

mpfeifer@smeinc.com

Thomas P. Raymond, P.E., P.M.P.

Senior Consultant traymond@smeinc.com

Attachments:

1/22/2019

DocuSigned by: lom Kaymond

D4B9FB5F636F4BB

Table 1: Summary of Soil Sampling Results

Figure 1: Vicinity Map Figure 2: Site Map

Figure 3: Soil Constituent Map Figure 4: TDEM Path Location Plan

Figure 5: TDEM Data Plot A Figure 6: TDEM Data Plot B

Figure 7: TDEM Data Plot B-Detail

Figure 8: Geophysical Anomaly Location Plan

Figure 9: Geophysical Anomaly Location Plan-Detail Figure 10: Example GPR Data – Lines 7, 8 and 9

Appendix I: **Photographs**

Appendix II: **Boring Logs Appendix III:** Laboratory Analytical Reports and Chain of Custody

SEAL 18760




TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS

NCDOT Project I-5986B

Parcel 10 - (Copart) 310 Copart Lane

Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina S&ME Project No. 4305-18-175





Ana	alytical Meth	od→	Range Organics (GR	carbons (TPH) Gasoline O) and Diesel Range traviolet Fluorescence ectrometry	Total Metals by EPA Method 6020						
		Contaminant of Concern→									
Sample ID	Date	Sample Depth (ftbgs)	TPH-GRO	TPH-DRO	Lead	Chromium*	Arsenic				
Parcel 10 B-1	10/22/2018	2 to 4	<0.37	<0.37	3.9	8.1	0.43				
Parcel 10 B-2	10/22/2018	2 to 4	<0.5	<0.5	4.5	10	0.53				
Paicei 10 B-2	4 to 6		<0.41	<0.41	NA	NA	NA				
Parcel 10 B-3 10/22/2018		2 to 4	<0.53	1.9	11	7.5	0.67				
Faicei 10 B-3	10/22/2016	4 to 6	1.6	22.3	NA	NA	NA				
Parcel 10 B-4	10/22/2018	1 to 2	<0.6	2.7	NA	NA	NA				
Paicei 10 b-4	10/22/2016	2 to 4	<0.6	4.3	17	6.1	0.43				
Parcel 10 B-5	10/22/2018	0 to 2	<1.1	1.1	5.3	5.6	0.11J				
Parcel 10 B-6	10/22/2018	0 to 2	<0.65	5.1	12	5.7	0.57				
North Car	olina TPH Ad	ction Levels	50	100		Not Applicable					
IHSB Reside	ential Health	Based PSRG	Not App	olicable	400	23,000	0.68				
	ndustrial/Cor alth Based P		Not App	blicable	800	350,000	3.0				
IHSB Prot	tection of Gr	oundwater PSRG	Not App	olicable	270	360,000	5.8				


Notes:

- 1. UVF analysis performed by RED Lab, LLC
- 2. Concentrations are reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg).
- 3. ft.-bgs:- feet below ground surface.
- 4. Concentrations exceeding the laboratory's reporting limits are shown in **BOLD** fields.
- 5. NA: Not Analyzed
- 6. Total Metals analyzed by Con-Test Laboratories.
- 7. J: Estimated concentration detected below the laboratory method reporting limit.
- 8. IHSB: North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
- 9. PSRG: Preliminary Soil Remediation Goals (Feb. 2018)
- 10. Concentrations exceeding the North Carolina TPH Action Levels or IHSB PSRGs are shown in Shaded and BOLD fields.
- 11. *: Assumes chromium in the trivalent state (chromium III):











REFERENCE:

(GOOGLE EARTH PRO) AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH (DATED, MARCH, 04 2018)



- CHIO Section 1 Google Earth

<u>LEGEND</u>

Approximate TDEM Path

Approximate Requested Survey Area

TDEM PATH LOCATION PLAN NCDOT PROJECT: 1-5986B PARCEL 10 – (COPART) 310 COPART LANE, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DATE: 11/1/2018

PROJECT NUMBER 4305-18-175

FIGURE NO.







(GOOGLE EARTH PRO) AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH (DATED, MARCH, 04 2018)





TDEM DATA PLOT A

NCDOT PROJECT: I-5986B
PARCEL 10 – (COPART)
310 COPART LANE, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DATE: 11/1/2018


PROJECT NUMBER 4305-18-175

FIGURE NO.

REFERENCE:

(GOOGLE EARTH PRO) AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH (DATED, MARCH, 04 2018)





LEGEND

 \bigcirc

Approximate Location of TDEM Anomaly

Approximate Location of Possible Utility



Approximate Location of GPR Anomaly



Approximate Location of Vehicle/Equipment

TDEM DATA PLOT B

NCDOT PROJECT: 1-5986B PARCEL 10 – (COPART) 310 COPART LANE, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE: AS SHOWN DATE:

DATE: 11/1/2018 PROJECT NUMBER

4305-18-175


FIGURE NO.



REFERENCE:

(GOOGLE EARTH PRO) AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH (DATED, MARCH, 04 2018)





LEGEND

Approximate Location of TDEM Anomaly
Approximate Location of Possible Utility

()

Approximate Location of GPR Anomaly



Approximate Location of Vehicle/Equipment

TDEM DATA PLOT B - DETAIL

NCDOT PROJECT: 1-5986B PARCEL 10 – (COPART) 310 COPART LANE, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE: AS SHOWN


DATE: 11/1/2018

PROJECT NUMBER 4305-18-175

FIGURE NO.

(GOOGLE EARTH PRO) AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH (DATED, MARCH, 04 2018)





LEGEND

Approximate Location of TDEM Anomaly
Approximate Location of Possible Utility



Approximate Location of GPR Anomaly

Approximate Location of GPR Profile



Approximate Location of Vehicle/Equipment

GEOPHYSICAL ANOMALY LOCATION PLAN


310 COPART LANE, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA


SCALE: AS SHOWN


DATE: 11/1/2018

PROJECT NUMBER 4305-18-175

FIGURE NO.

















December 14, 2018



PROJECT:	NCDOT I-5986B									
	Parcel 10-310 Copart Lane, Dunn, NC S&ME Project No. 4305-18-175				BORIN	NG LOG	B-1			
DATE DRILLED:	Monday, October 22, 2018	BORING DEPTH (FT):	10							
DRILL RIG:	Geoprobe 7730 DT	WATER LEVEL:								
DRILLER:	S&ME, Inc.	CAVE-IN DEPTH:		licable						
HAMMER TYPE:	Not Applicable	LOGGED BY:								
SAMPLING METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler	NORTHING:	,							
DRILLING METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler (3-in. OD)	EASTING:								
	<u>'</u>	!					_			
DEPTH (feet) GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		WATER LEVEL	SAMPLE	PID READING (PPM)	LABORATORY ANALYSES	Sample Time / 1st 6in	2nd 6in	3rd 6in	N VALUE
Tops	oil, Black,			Ш						
Silty	Sand, Brown, Tan,			I	0.0	NO Yes				
5 — Clay	ey Sand, Tan, Orange,		•		0.3	res				
Sanc	, Tan, Orange,									
10 — Borir	ng Terminated at 10 Ft-BGS									
15 —										
20 —										
25 —										
			İ	1	1	İ	i	1	İ	i


PROJECT	:	NCDOT I-5986B									
		Parcel 10-310 Copart Lane, Dunn, NC S&ME Project No. 4305-18-175				BORIN	NG LOG	B-2			
DATE DRILI	LED:	Monday, October 22, 2018	BORING DEPTH (FT):	10							
DRILL RIG:		Geoprobe 7730 DT	WATER LEVEL:								
DRILLER:		S&ME, Inc.	CAVE-IN DEPTH:		licable						
HAMMER 1	ГҮРЕ:	Not Applicable	LOGGED BY:								
SAMPLING	METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler	NORTHING:								
DRILLING N	METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler (3-in. OD)	EASTING:								
DEPTH (feet)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		WATER LEVEL	SAMPLE	PID READING (PPM)	LABORATORY ANALYSES	Sample Time / 1st 6in	2nd 6in	3rd 6in	N VALUE
		Topsoil, Black,			Н						
		Silty Sand, Brown, Tan,			ł	0.0	NO				
5 —		Clayey Sand, Tan, Orange,			ł	0.0	Yes				
				•		0.0	Yes				
	-										
10 —		Sand, Tan, Orange, Boring Terminated at 10 Ft-BGS									
	-										
	<u>-</u> -										
15 —	-										
	_										
	_										
20 —	-										
_	-										
 25	-										
	<u> </u> -										
	- -										
30 —	•				•	•		•	•	•	

PROJECT	Γ:	NCDOT I-5986B									
		Parcel 10-310 Copart Lane, Dunn, NC				BORIN	NG LOG	B-3/	TW-1	•	
		S&ME Project No. 4305-18-175									
DATE DRILI	LED:	Monday, October 22, 2018	BORING DEPTH (FT):	10							
DRILL RIG:		Geoprobe 7730 DT	WATER LEVEL:	5		-					
DRILLER:		S&ME, Inc.	CAVE-IN DEPTH:	Not App	licable						
HAMMER 1	TYPE:	Not Applicable	LOGGED BY:	J. Honey	cutt						
SAMPLING	METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler	NORTHING:								
DRILLING N	METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler (3-in. OD)	EASTING:								
DEPTH (feet)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		WATER LEVEL	SAMPLE	PID READING (PPM)	LABORATORY ANALYSES	Sample Time / 1st 6in	2nd 6in	3rd 6in	N VALUE
		Asphalt, Gravel,		M		Id	3 `	Sai			
		Sand, Tan,				0.1	No				
		Silty Sand, Black,			ш	0.1	140				
	-	Sity Suite, Stack,			ł	0.9	Yes				
5 —				•	ŧ	1.6	Yes				
						1.0	. 65				
	-										
10 —		Clayey Sand, Gray,									
		Boring Terminated at 10 Ft-BGS									
	_										
15 —											
	_										
20 —											
l —	1										
l —	1										
2F											
25 —	1										
	1										
<u> </u>											
<u>.</u> .											
30 —	1				1	1	l .	1	l .	·	

PROJECT:	NCDOT I-5986B									
	Parcel 10-310 Copart Lane, Dunn, NC				BORIN	NG LOG	B-4			
	S&ME Project No. 4305-18-175									
DATE DRILLED:	Monday, October 22, 2018	BORING DEPTH (FT):								
DRILL RIG:	Geoprobe 7730 DT	WATER LEVEL:								
DRILLER:	S&ME, Inc.	CAVE-IN DEPTH:	Not App	licable						
HAMMER TYPE:	Not Applicable	LOGGED BY:	J. Honey	cutt						
SAMPLING METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler	NORTHING:								
DRILLING METHOD:	Macro-Core Sampler (3-in. OD)	EASTING:								
et) PHIC	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		LEVEL	PLE	ADING M)	4TORY YSES	Time / Sin	6in	6in	TUE
DEPTH (feet) GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		WATER LEVEL	SAMPLE	PID READING (PPM)	LABORATORY ANALYSES	Sample Time / 1st 6in	2nd 6in	3rd 6in	N VALUE
Asp	halt, Gravel,									
Silty	/ Sand, Black,			ł	0.4	Yes				
_				Н	2.0	Vas				
5			•	_	2.8	Yes				
San	d, Gray,									
10 - Ror	ing Terminated at 10 Ft-BGS									
	ing Terminated at 10 TC-503									
15 —										
20 —										
25 —										
30										

PROJECT: NCDOT I-5986B									
Parcel 10-310 Copart Lane, Dunn, NC S&ME Project No. 4305-18-175				BORIN	NG LOG	B-5			
DATE DRILLED: Monday, October 22, 2018	BORING DEPTH (FT):	10							
DRILL RIG: Geoprobe 7730 DT	WATER LEVEL:								
DRILLER: S&ME, Inc.	CAVE-IN DEPTH:		licable						
HAMMER TYPE: Not Applicable	LOGGED BY:								
SAMPLING METHOD: Macro-Core Sampler	NORTHING:								
DRILLING METHOD: Macro-Core Sampler (3-in. OD)	EASTING:								
E a Di u		LEVEL	J.E	DING 1)	TORY SES	Fime / in	ii	in	.UE
H L (1991)		WATER LEVEL	SAMPLE	PID READING (PPM)	LABORATORY ANALYSES	Sample Time / 1st 6in	2nd 6in	3rd 6in	N VALUE
Gravei, Silty Sand, Black,		•	H	0.2	YES				
5 — Sand, Black,									
Sand, Tan, Orange,									
10									
Boring Terminated at 10 Ft-BGS									
<u> </u>									
15 —									
20 —									
l									
I →									
25 —									
20 —									
30			1				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

PROJECT	:		NCDOT I-5986B		BORING LOG: B-6												
			Parcel 10-310 Copart Lane, Dunn, NC				BORIN	NG LOG	B-6								
			S&ME Project No. 4305-18-175														
DATE DRILL	LED:		Monday, October 22, 2018	BORING DEPTH (FT):													
DRILL RIG:			Geoprobe 7730 DT	WATER LEVEL:													
DRILLER:			S&ME, Inc.	CAVE-IN DEPTH:													
HAMMER T			Not Applicable	LOGGED BY:	J. Honey	cutt											
SAMPLING			Macro-Core Sampler	NORTHING:													
DRILLING N	ИЕТНОD:		Macro-Core Sampler (3-in. OD)	EASTING:		1	ı	I	1			1					
DEPTH (feet)	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		WATER LEVEL	SAMPLE	PID READING (PPM)	LABORATORY ANALYSES	Sample Time / 1st 6in	2nd 6in	3rd 6in	N VALUE					
		Grave Sand	el, Black,			H	0.5	YES									
		Silty	Sand, Black,		▼												
5 —																	
		Sand	Tan, Orange, Gray,														
10 —		Borin	g Terminated at 10 Ft-BGS														
<u> </u>																	
15																	
20 —																	
25 —																	
_																	
30 —																	







Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

Client: S&ME Samples taken Monday, October 22, 2018 Address: 310 COPART RD Samples extracted Monday, October 22, 2018 Samples analysed Monday, October 22, 2018 **DUNN NC 28334**

Contact: JAMIE HONEYCUTT Operator JENN RYAN

Project: 4305-18-175


													U00904												
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	ВаР	% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		3	HC Fingerprint Match
										C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18													
S	PARCEL 10 B - 2 (2-4')	20.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.1	<0.16	< 0.02	0	0	0	PHC not detected												
S	PARCEL 10 B - 2 (4 - 6')	16.5	< 0.41	<0.41	< 0.41	< 0.41	<0.08	< 0.13	< 0.016	0	0	0	PHC not detected												
S	PARCEL 10 B - 1 (2 - 4')	14.9	< 0.37	<0.37	<0.37	< 0.37	< 0.07	<0.12	< 0.015	0	100	0	,(FCM)												
	Initial Ca	alibrator (QC check	OK					Final FC	CM QC	Check	OK	95.6 %												

Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.


Abbreviations :- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence of hydrocarbon identification : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate detected


B = Blank Drift : (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (BO) = Background Organics detected : (OCR) = Outside cal range : (M) = Modifed Result.

% Ratios estimated aromatic carbon number proportions: HC = Hydrocarbon: PHC = Petroleum HC: FP = Fingerprint only. Data generated by HC-1 Analyser



Project: 4305-18-175









Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

Client: S&ME

Address: 310 COPART RD

Samples extracted

Monday, October 22, 2018

Samples extracted

Monday, October 22, 2018

Samples analysed

Monday, October 22, 2018

DUNN NC 28334 Samples analysed Monday, October 22, 2018

Contact: JAMIE HONEYCUTT Derator JENN RYAN

Project: 4305-18-175

													U00904																													
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	BaP	% Ratios		% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			% Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match
										C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18																														
S	PARCEL 10 B - 3 (2 - 4')	21.3	<0.53	<0.53	1.9	1.9	1.3	<0.17	<0.021	0	82.4	17.6	Deg Fuel 73.6%,(FCM)																													
	Initial Ca	alibrator	QC check	OK					Final F	CM QC	Check	OK	102.9 %																													

Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.


Abbreviations: FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode: % = confidence of hydrocarbon identification: (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match: (T) = Turbid: (P) = Particulate detected

B = Blank Drift : (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (BO) = Background Organics detected : (OCR) = Outside cal range : (M) = Modifed Result.

% Ratios estimated aromatic carbon number proportions: HC = Hydrocarbon: PHC = Petroleum HC: FP = Fingerprint only.

Data generated by HC-1 Analyser

QED Hydrocarbon Fingerprints







Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

Client: S&ME Address: 310 COPART ROAD

DUNN NC 28334

Samples taken Samples extracted Samples analysed Monday, October 22, 2018 Monday, October 22, 2018

Monday, October 22, 2018

Contact: JAMIE HONEYCUTT Operator JENN RYAN

Project: 4305-18-175

													U00904								
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	ВаР	% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		% Ratios		3	HC Fingerprint Match
										C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18									
S	PARCEL 10 B - 3 (4 - 6')	20.8	<0.52	1.6	22.3	23.9	10.7	1.2	0.029	15.2	73.2	11.6	Road Tar 95.1%,(FCM),(BO)								
S	PARCEL 10 B - 4 (1 - 2')	23.9	<0.6	<0.6	2.7	2.7	1.8	<0.19	< 0.024	0	84.5	15.5	Deg Fuel 76.6%,(FCM)								
S	PARCEL 10 B - 4 (2 - 4')	23.9	<0.6	<0.6	4.3	4.3	2.7	<0.19	< 0.024	0	72.1	27.9	V.Deg.PHC 75.6%,(FCM)								
S	PARCEL 10 B - 5 (0 - 2')	45.6	<1.1	<1.1	1.1	1.1	1	< 0.36	<0.046	0	71.3	28.7	V.Deg.PHC 72.5%,(FCM)								
S	PARCEL 10 B - 6 (0 - 2')	26.0	<0.65	< 0.65	5.1	5.1	2.5	<0.21	<0.026	0	80.2	19.8	Deg.PHC 82.9%,(FCM)								

Initial Calibrator QC check

OK

Final FCM QC Check OK


101.8 %

Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.


Abbreviations :- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence of hydrocarbon identification : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate detected


B = Blank Drift : (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (BO) = Background Organics detected : (OCR) = Outside cal range : (M) = Modifed Result.


% Ratios estimated aromatic carbon number proportions: HC = Hydrocarbon: PHC = Petroleum HC: FP = Fingerprint only. Data generated by HC-1 Analyser




Project: 4305-18-175











November 1, 2018

Michael Pfeifer S&ME, Inc - Raleigh, NC 3201 Spring Forest Rd. Raleigh, NC 27616

Project Location: Parcel 10

Client Job Number:

Project Number: 4305-18-175

Laboratory Work Order Number: 18J1179

Keny K. Mille

Enclosed are results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on October 23, 2018. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kerry K. McGee Project Manager

Table of Contents

Sample Summary	3
Hits Only Report	4
Case Narrative	5
Sample Results	6
18J1179-01	6
18J1179-02	8
18J1179-03	10
18J1179-04	12
18J1179-05	14
18J1179-06	16
Sample Preparation Information	18
QC Data	19
Metals Analyses (Total)	19
B216002	19
Flag/Qualifier Summary	20
Certifications	21
Chain of Custody/Sample Receipt	22



S&ME, Inc - Raleigh, NC 3201 Spring Forest Rd. Raleigh, NC 27616

ATTN: Michael Pfeifer

REPORT DATE: 11/1/2018

PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER:

PROJECT NUMBER: 4305-18-175

ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

WORK ORDER NUMBER: 18J1179

The results of analyses performed on the following samples submitted to the CON-TEST Analytical Laboratory are found in this report.

PROJECT LOCATION: Parcel 10

FIELD SAMPLE #	LAB ID:	MATRIX	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	TEST	SUB LAB
Parcel 10-B-2 2-4'	18J1179-01	Soil		SM 2540G	
				SW-846 6020B	
Parcel 10 B-1 2-4'	18J1179-02	Soil		SM 2540G	
				SW-846 6020B	
Parcel 10 B-3 2-4'	18J1179-03	Soil		SM 2540G	
				SW-846 6020B	
Parcel 10 B-4 2-4'	18J1179-04	Soil		SM 2540G	
				SW-846 6020B	
Parcel 10 B-5 0-2'	18J1179-05	Soil		SM 2540G	
				SW-846 6020B	
Parcel 10 B-6 0-2'	18J1179-06	Soil		SM 2540G	
				SW-846 6020B	



% Solids

39 Spruce Street * East Longmeadow, MA 01028 * FAX 413/525-6405 * TEL. 413/525-2332

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Client ID:	Parcel 10-B-2 2-4'	Lab ID	18J1179-01	

Analyt	te	Results/Qual		DL	RL	Units	Method
Arsenic		0.53	(0.033	0.20	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Chromium		10		0.18	5.0	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Lead		4.5	(0.066	2.0	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
% Solids		83.2				% Wt	SM 2540G
Client ID: Parcel 10 B-1 2-4	4'	Lab ID:	18J1179-02				
Analyt	te	Results/Qual		DL	RL	Units	Method
Arsenic		0.43	(0.032	0.19	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Chromium		8.1		0.17	4.9	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Lead		3.9	(0.064	1.9	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
% Solids		86.5				% Wt	SM 2540G
Client ID: Parcel 10 B-3 2-4	4'	Lab ID:	18J1179-03				
Analyt	te	Results/Qual		DL	RL	Units	Method
Arsenic		0.67	(0.032	0.20	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Chromium		7.5		0.17	4.9	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Lead		11	(0.064	2.0	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
% Solids		84.9				% Wt	SM 2540G
Client ID: Parcel 10 B-4 2-4	4'	Lab ID:	18J1179-04				
Analyt	te	Results/Qual		DL	RL	Units	Method
Arsenic		0.43	(0.035	0.21	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Chromium		6.1		0.19	5.3	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Lead		17		0.069	2.1	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
% Solids		77.9				% Wt	SM 2540G
Client ID: Parcel 10 B-5 0-2	2'	Lab ID:	18J1179-05				
Amalust		Results/Qual		DL	RL	Units	Method
Analyt	C .	_	,	DL).033	0.20	mg/Kg dry	
Arsenic					5.0		SW-846 6020B
Chromium		5.6		0.18 0.065		mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Lead		5.3	().065	2.0	mg/Kg dry % Wt	SW-846 6020B
% Solids		82.2				70 W L	SM 2540G
Client ID: Parcel 10 B-6 0-2	2'	Lab ID:	18J1179-06				
Analyt	te	Results/Qual		DL	RL	Units	Method
Arsenic		0.57	(0.031	0.19	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Chromium		5.7		0.17	4.7	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
Lead		12	(0.061	1.9	mg/Kg dry	SW-846 6020B
						0 / 337	

Con-Test does not accept liability for the consequences of any actions taken solely on the basis of the information provided in the Executive Summary section of this report. Users must review this report in its entirety to determine data usability and assessment.

88.8

% Wt

SM 2540G



CASE NARRATIVE SUMMARY

All reported results are within defined laboratory quality control objectives unless listed below or otherwise qualified in this report.

For method 6020, all dilutions were performed as per standard operating procedure.

The results of analyses reported only relate to samples submitted to the Con-Test Analytical Laboratory for testing.

I certify that the analyses listed above, unless specifically listed as subcontracted, if any, were performed under my direction according to the approved methodologies listed

I certify that the analyses listed above, unless specifically listed as subcontracted, if any, were performed under my direction according to the approved methodologies liste in this document, and that based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete.

Lisa A. Worthington



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10-B-2 2-4'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 11:00

Sample ID: 18J1179-01
Sample Matrix: Soil

				Metals Analy	ses (Total)						
								Date	Date/Time		
Analyte	Results	RL	DL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst	
Arsenic	0.53	0.20	0.033	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 12:55	МЈН	
Chromium	10	5.0	0.18	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 12:55	MJH	
Lead	4.5	2.0	0.066	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 12:55	MJH	



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10-B-2 2-4'

Sample ID: 18J1179-01
Sample Matrix: Soil

Sampled: 10/22/2018 11:00

								Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
% Solids		83.2		% Wt	1		SM 2540G	10/29/18	10/30/18 10:42	JFC

10/30/18 10/31/18 11:52 MJH



Analyte

39 Spruce Street * East Longmeadow, MA 01028 * FAX 413/525-6405 * TEL. 413/525-2332

Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-1 2-4'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 11:30

Results

0.43

8.1

3.9

1.9

0.064

mg/Kg dry

5

Sample ID: 18J1179-02
Sample Matrix: Soil

Arsenic

Lead

Chromium

		Metals Analy	ses (Total)					
						Date	Date/Time	
RL	DL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
0.19	0.032	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 11:52	MJH
4.9	0.17	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 11:52	MJH

SW-846 6020B



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-1 2-4'

Sample ID: 18J1179-02
Sample Matrix: Soil

Sampled: 10/22/2018 11:30

								Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
% Solids		86.5		% Wt	1		SM 2540G	10/29/18	10/30/18 10:42	JFC



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-3 2-4'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 13:30

Sample ID: 18J1179-03
Sample Matrix: Soil

Matale	Ana	vene	(Total)

									Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	DL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Arsenic		0.67	0.20	0.032	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 12:58	MJH
Chromium		7.5	4.9	0.17	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 12:58	MJH
Lead		11	2.0	0.064	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 12:58	MJH



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-3 2-4'

Sample ID: 18J1179-03
Sample Matrix: Soil

Sampled: 10/22/2018 13:30

								Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
% Solids		84.9		% Wt	1		SM 2540G	10/29/18	10/30/18 10:42	JFC



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-4 2-4'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 14:00

Sample ID: 18J1179-04
Sample Matrix: Soil

Metals	Analyses	(Total)

									Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	DL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Arsenic		0.43	0.21	0.035	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:02	МЈН
Chromium		6.1	5.3	0.19	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:02	MJH
Lead		17	2.1	0.069	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:02	MIH



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-4 2-4'

Sample ID: 18J1179-04
Sample Matrix: Soil

Sampled: 10/22/2018 14:00

								Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
% Solids		77.9		% Wt	1		SM 2540G	10/29/18	10/30/18 10:42	JFC



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-5 0-2'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 15:00

Sample ID: 18J1179-05
Sample Matrix: Soil

Metals	Ana	VCAC	(Total)

									Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	DL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Arsenic		0.11	0.20	0.033	mg/Kg dry	5	J	SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:05	МЈН
Chromium		5.6	5.0	0.18	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:05	MJH
Lead		5.3	2.0	0.065	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:05	МЈН



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-5 0-2'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 15:00

Sample ID: 18J1179-05
Sample Matrix: Soil

								Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
% Solids		82.2		% Wt	1		SM 2540G	10/29/18	10/30/18 10:42	JFC



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-6 0-2'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 15:15

Sample ID: 18J1179-06
Sample Matrix: Soil

Metals Analyses (Total)	

								Date	Date/Time	
Analyte	Results	RL	DL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Arsenic	0.57	0.19	0.031	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:09	МЈН
Chromium	5.7	4.7	0.17	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:09	MJH
Lead	12	1.9	0.061	mg/Kg dry	5		SW-846 6020B	10/30/18	10/31/18 13:09	MJH



Project Location: Parcel 10 Sample Description: Work Order: 18J1179

Date Received: 10/23/2018

Field Sample #: Parcel 10 B-6 0-2'

Sampled: 10/22/2018 15:15

Sample ID: 18J1179-06
Sample Matrix: Soil

								Date	Date/Time	
	Analyte	Results	RL	Units	Dilution	Flag/Qual	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
% Solids		88.8		% Wt	1		SM 2540G	10/29/18	10/30/18 10:42	IFC



Sample Extraction Data

Prep Method: % Solids-SM 2540G

Lab Number [Field ID]	Batch	Date
18J1179-01 [Parcel 10-B-2 2-4']	B215886	10/29/18
18J1179-02 [Parcel 10 B-1 2-4']	B215886	10/29/18
18J1179-03 [Parcel 10 B-3 2-4']	B215886	10/29/18
18J1179-04 [Parcel 10 B-4 2-4']	B215886	10/29/18
18J1179-05 [Parcel 10 B-5 0-2']	B215886	10/29/18
18J1179-06 [Parcel 10 B-6 0-2']	B215886	10/29/18

Prep Method: SW-846 3050B-SW-846 6020B

Lab Number [Field ID]	Batch	Initial [g]	Final [mL]	Date	
18J1179-01 [Parcel 10-B-2 2-4']	B216002	1.49	50.0	10/30/18	
18J1179-02 [Parcel 10 B-1 2-4']	B216002	1.49	50.0	10/30/18	
18J1179-03 [Parcel 10 B-3 2-4']	B216002	1.51	50.0	10/30/18	
18J1179-04 [Parcel 10 B-4 2-4']	B216002	1.52	50.0	10/30/18	
18J1179-05 [Parcel 10 B-5 0-2']	B216002	1.53	50.0	10/30/18	
18J1179-06 [Parcel 10 B-6 0-2']	B216002	1.51	50.0	10/30/18	



QUALITY CONTROL

Metals Analyses (Total) - Quality Control

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch B216002 - SW-846 3050B										
Blank (B216002-BLK1)				Prepared: 10	0/30/18 Analy	zed: 10/31	/18			
Arsenic	ND	0.17	mg/Kg wet							
Chromium	ND	4.2	mg/Kg wet							
Lead	ND	1.7	mg/Kg wet							
LCS (B216002-BS1)				Prepared: 10	0/30/18 Analy	zed: 10/31	/18			
Arsenic	166	2.0	mg/Kg wet	161		103	83.2-116.8			
Chromium	146	50	mg/Kg wet	136		107	82.4-117.6			
Lead	110	20	mg/Kg wet	111		99.1	83-117.1			
LCS Dup (B216002-BSD1)				Prepared: 10	0/30/18 Analy	zed: 10/31	/18			
Arsenic	177	2.0	mg/Kg wet	161		110	83.2-116.8	6.55	30	
Chromium	152	49	mg/Kg wet	136		111	82.4-117.6	3.76	30	
Lead	119	20	mg/Kg wet	111		108	83-117.1	8.23	30	
Duplicate (B216002-DUP1)	Source	e: 18J1179	-02	Prepared: 10	0/30/18 Analy	zed: 10/31	/18			
Arsenic	0.396	0.19	mg/Kg dry		0.426			7.28	35	
Chromium	7.88	4.8	mg/Kg dry		8.11			2.84	35	
Lead	3.87	1.9	mg/Kg dry		3.87			0.0646	35	
Matrix Spike (B216002-MS1)	Sourc	e: 18J1179	-02	Prepared: 10	0/30/18 Analy	zed: 10/31	/18			
Arsenic	15.1	0.77	mg/Kg dry	19.3	0.426	75.9	75-125			
Chromium	31.7	19	mg/Kg dry	19.3	8.11	122	75-125			
Lead	25.9	7.7	mg/Kg dry	19.3	3.87	114	75-125			



FLAG/QUALIFIER SUMMARY

- * QC result is outside of established limits.
- † Wide recovery limits established for difficult compound.
- ‡ Wide RPD limits established for difficult compound.
- # Data exceeded client recommended or regulatory level

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

No results have been blank subtracted unless specified in the case narrative section.

J Detected but below the Reporting Limit (lowest calibration standard); therefore, result is an estimated concentration (CLP J-Flag).



CERTIFICATIONS

Certified Analyses included in this Report

Analyte Certifications

SW-846 6020B in Soil

Arsenic NY,VA,NH,NC,ME


Chromium NY,NC,ME Lead NY,NC,ME

The CON-TEST Environmental Laboratory operates under the following certifications and accreditations:

Code	Description	Number	Expires
AIHA	AIHA-LAP, LLC - ISO17025:2005	100033	03/1/2020
MA	Massachusetts DEP	M-MA100	06/30/2019
CT	Connecticut Department of Publilc Health	PH-0567	09/30/2019
NY	New York State Department of Health	10899 NELAP	04/1/2019
NH-S	New Hampshire Environmental Lab	2516 NELAP	02/5/2019
RI	Rhode Island Department of Health	LAO00112	12/30/2018
NC	North Carolina Div. of Water Quality	652	12/31/2018
NJ	New Jersey DEP	MA007 NELAP	06/30/2019
FL	Florida Department of Health	E871027 NELAP	06/30/2019
VT	Vermont Department of Health Lead Laboratory	LL015036	07/30/2019
ME	State of Maine	2011028	06/9/2019
VA	Commonwealth of Virginia	460217	12/14/2018
NH-P	New Hampshire Environmental Lab	2557 NELAP	09/6/2019
VT-DW	Vermont Department of Health Drinking Water	VT-255716	06/12/2019
NC-DW	North Carolina Department of Health	25703	07/31/2019

	Г	Т	Т	-1		na ig	3.475	. j. 10),					N.			A, S	. 1.3	ia i			4 54	4 ()	Α.,	14.7	V - 15	4 1 4 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1			· · · · ·	N		ng Spring	, 3.4.		7	Tab	ole	of Co	onte	nts
Page of		# of Containers	* Preservation Code	³ Container Code	Plaser (Vega (Matai) s. sampiles	Field Filtered	Lab to Filter			Field Eitered				1 Matrix Codes:	WW = Waste Water	— DW = Drinking Water A ≈ Air	S=Soil	SOL = Solid	0 = Other (please		2 Preservation Codes:	7 = HCT	M = Methanol N = Nitric Acid	S = Suffuric Acid	B = Sodium Bisuifate X = Sodium Hydroxide	T = Sodium	O = Other (please	define)	³ Container Codes:	A = Amber Glass		ST = Sterile V = Vial	S = Summa Canister	T = Tedlar Bag O = Other (please	define)		PCB ONLY	Soxhlet	I NOR SOXINEL	The state of the s
Doc # 379 Rev 1_03242017 39 Spruce Street East Longmeadow, MA 01028					ANALYSIS REQUESTED					08	22			28) 3H													The state of the s	Please use the following codes to indicate possible sample concentration within the Conc Code column above:	H - High; M - Medium; L - Low; C - Clean; U - Unknown	Drownsom Information	DSCA UST/Trust Fund	andfill	IHSB Orphaned Landfill	State Lead	Other:	WELAC and AIHA-LAP, LLC Acoredited	Other	Chromatogram	277, 177, 177, 177, 177, 177, 177, 177,	AAAL
: # 379 Rev	-						*	70	~/ '02	ב. היי	wc	भ्रम् स्राप्त	く つ	540			+	2	7	7	5							lowing code within the (M - Medium											
arotina)	Imaloune Whe	10-Day		ovati Kerjulifen	3-Day	4-Day	Wally	EXCEL []		red:	,	A STELLES		Grab Warrix Conc		\perp		>				A STATE OF THE STA						Please use the foll	H - High; A	1					AND THE CASE OF TH	***************************************		Municipality Brownfield	School	
http://www.contestlabs.com chain of CUSTODY RECORD (North G	ii] oossailba _k]	uue bate.			2-Day [_] 4-	Data Del	Format: PDF 🛛 E)	Other;	CLP Like Data Pkg Required:		Fax To #:		Ending Composite G	0011	130		(330	1400	7 80 7	اکراک										21.	GWPC	SWSL	IHSB	MSCC	**************************************	ty	Government Mu Federal Ma		
			Τ	.) 2										Date/Ilme	7 12-01					,	>					-									O Page		Project Entity			
$ \$ \cup + + + + + + + + + + + + + $	Fax: 413-525-6405 Email: info@contestlabs.com	man, miloecontestiabs, com	1,1	FORCET CAL VALOREN		K			,					Client Sample ID / Description	10. 3.3 2.41		9 6	أم	10 24 24	10 3.5 0.21	3-6	TO TO								Date/Time:	0061/8122-01	L	10 42/2 123V	10/13/10/16:47	-41	WIMIS ALY	Date/Time:	Date/Time:		
CON-TEST*		Control of the contro		SAOL SURWE	18 8/18 BI	323	Project Location: Carce 10 eres		Project Manager: M.K. 155.6		Invoice Recipient:	Sampled By: James H. H.	Con Toes	*	1 Buce	2 0 2	2 2	_1	Parce	S fared	C Rung C						Comments:			Relinggished by: (signature)	lamos Coment	Received by: (signature)		White by Asignature	eived by: (signature)		nquished by: (signature)	eived by: (signature)		







Delivered Wednesday 10/24/2018 at 9:24 am



DELIVERED

Signed for by: P.BLAKE

GET STATUS UPDATES OBTAIN PROOF OF DELIVERY

FROM

Raleigh, NC US

TO

EAST LONGMEADOW, MA US

Shipment Facts

TRACKING NUMBER

773547745711

DIMENSIONS

24x14x13 in.

TOTAL SHIPMENT WEIGHT

45.3 lbs / 20.55 kgs

PACKAGING

Your Packaging

SHIP DATE

0

Tue 10/23/2018

SERVICE

FedEx Priority Overnight

DELIVERED TO

Shipping/Receiving

TERMS

Third Party

SPECIAL HANDLING SECTION

Deliver Weekday, Additional Handling

Surcharge

ACTUAL DELIVERY

Wed 10/24/2018 9:24 am

WEIGHT 45.3 lbs / 20.55 kgs

TOTAL PIECES

SHIPPER REFERENCE

STANDARD TRANSIT

(2)

10/24/2018 by 10:30 am

Travel History

Local Scan Time



Wednesday , 10/24/2018

9:24 am EAST LONGMEADOW, MA

Delivered

WINDSOR LOCKS, CT

On FedEx vehicle for delivery

7:52 am

8:03 am

WINDSOR LOCKS, CT

At local FedEx facility

6:58 am

EAST GRANBY, CT

At destination sort facility

5:13 am

INDIANAPOLIS, IN

Departed FedEx location

12:19 am

INDIANAPOLIS, IN

Arrived at FedEx location

Page 23 of 24

I Have Not Confirmed Sample Container
Numbers With Lab Staff Before Relinquishing
Over Samples_____



Doc# 277 Rev 5 2017

Login Sample Receipt Checklist - (Rejection Criteria Listing - Using Acceptance Policy) Any False Statement will be brought to the attention of the Client - State True or False

Client S+ ME						L			
Received By	PAP		Date	10/	ટેપ	18	Time	924	
How were the samples	In Cooler	7	No Cooler			On Ice	7	No Ice	
received?	Direct from Samp	ina	•	,	~~~~	Ambient -		- Melted Ice	***************************************
		By Gun#	(7-)			Actual Temp	- 7.2		
Were samples within	4					-			_
Temperature? 2-6°C		By Blank #				Actual Temp	^ ^ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		-
Was Coc Balin		NA	•		•	Tampered v		<u>NA</u>	-
Was COC Relin	eaking/loose caps	on ony com	•	s Chain C	Agr	ee With Sam	ipies?		-
Is COC in ink/ Legible?	**	on any sam	·	anlee re	coi	ed within hol	ding time?		
Did COC include all	Client		Analysis	ipies re	 	eu within noi Samplei	•		-
pertinent Information?	Project		ID's			Collection E		·	-
Are Sample labels filled	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7							-
Are there Lab to Filters?	-	È	•	Who	was	notified?			
Are there Rushes?	•	7	•			notified?			-
Are there Short Holds?	•	4	•			notified?			-
Is there enough Volume	;? - _√ ∧	P T	_			<u> Г</u>			<u>-</u>
Is there Headspace who	` -	-	•	MS/MS	D?	-			
Proper Media/Container	•	T	•	ls splitt	ing s	samples requ	iired?		
Were trip blanks receive	-			On CO	-	<i>I</i> * .			-
Do all samples have the	proper pH?	NA	Acid		-		Base		
Vials #	Containers:	#				#			# 1
Unp-	1 Liter Amb.		1 Liter	Plastic			16 oz	z Amb.	
HCL-	500 mL Amb.		500 mL	Plastic			8oz An	nb/Clear	
Meoh-	250 mL Amb.		250 mL					ற்b/Clear	6
Bisulfate-	Col./Bacteria		Flash					nb/Clear	
DI-	Other Plastic		Other	···				core]
Thiosulfate-	SOC Kit		Plastic			F	rozen:		1
Sulfuric-	Perchlorate		Ziplo	ock					
			Unused N	/ledia					919 6 6 6 6
Vials #	Containers:	#				#			#
Unp-	1 Liter Amb.	·	1 Liter I					z Amb.	
HCL- Meoh-	500 mL Amb.		500 mL		\dashv		·	nb/Clear	
Bisulfate-	250 mL Amb. Col./Bacteria		250 mL Flash					nb/Clear nb/Clear	<u> </u>
DI-	Other Plastic		Other					core	
Thiosulfate-	SOC Kit		Plastic		_	T _F	rozen:	COTE	<u>'</u>
Sulfuric-	Perchlorate		Ziplo		寸				
Comments:			20,01						