



January 9, 2020

North Carolina Department of Transportation  
Geotechnical Unit  
Mail Service Center 1592  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1592

Attention: Mr. Craig Haden

email: [cehaden@ncdot.gov](mailto:cehaden@ncdot.gov)

Reference: **Preliminary Site Assessment Report**  
**NCDOT Project I-5878, WBS Element 53078.1.1**  
**Parcel 200-Word A Fire Ministries-Former T-Mart**  
511 Spring Branch Road  
Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina  
S&ME Project 4305-19-161

Dear Mr. Haden:

S&ME, Inc. (S&ME) is submitting this Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA) Report to the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT). This report presents the background/project information, field activities, findings, conclusions, and recommendations. These services were performed in general accordance with S&ME Proposal No. 43-1900576 REV-01 dated August 9, 2019, and Contract Number 7000018853 dated April 12, 2018 between NCDOT and S&ME, Inc., authorized by NCDOT in its September 5, 2019 Notice to Proceed Letter.

## ◆ Background/Project Information

Based on NCDOT's July 24, 2019, Request for Technical and Cost Proposal, the PSA was conducted within the NCDOT right-of-way (ROW) and/or easement as indicated on the preliminary plan sheets provided by NCDOT at the following property:

NCDOT Parcel No.	Property Owner	Site Address
200	Barbara B Properties, LLC	(Word A Fire Ministries-Former T-Mart) 511 Spring Branch (aka Pope Road), Dunn, NC



The property is developed with a commercial building currently occupied with Word A Fire Ministries (a church). The building was previously occupied by a gasoline/convenience store identified as T-Mart. The petroleum underground storage tanks (USTs) that the former T-Mart operated were previously removed. The former UST area is now located on the adjoining property to the northwest, which is occupied by BP I-Mart (Parcel 78), an operating gasoline/convenience store. The BP I-Mart and the former T-Mart are both listed with the same UST Facility ID No. of 0-00-000017633. Information regarding the former UST system listed for the Former T-Mart site is provided in the following table:

UST Facility ID No. 0-00-000017633

Number of Tanks	Contents	Capacity (gallons)	Date Installed	Date Removed
1	Gasoline	6,000	5/3/1951	7/21/1999
2	Gasoline	6,000	4/30/1961	7/21/1999
3	Gasoline	6,000	4/30/1961	7/21/1999
4	Gasoline	10,000	2/22/1975	7/21/1999

The property is listed with one North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) Incident (Incident #18955-T-Mart) associated with petroleum releases discovered at the site in 1998 from USTs. The USTs were removed in 1999. A groundwater treatment system was previously operated at the site. Numerous monitor wells and recovery wells identified as MW-1 through MW-8, DW-1, RW-1 and RW-2 have been installed on the site and adjacent properties. Specifically, MW-3, DW-1 and RW-1 are located on the current property boundaries within the ROW. MW-4, which was later converted to RW-2, is located on the adjoining BP I-Mart site to the north within the ROW. MW-6 through MW-8 are located across Spring Branch Road from the site within the ROW. MW-2 and MW-5 are located on the southern adjoining properties. Groundwater at the site is reported to primarily flow to the south. Free product has previously been measured in MW-3 and RW-2. Several aggressive fluid vapor recovery (AFVR) events have been performed at the site. The most recent event occurred in 2017 on MW-3. Prior to the event, 0.33 feet of free product was measured in MW-3 (*UST Closure Report* prepared by East Coast Environmental dated July 28, 1999, *Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring* prepared by East Coast Environmental dated November 8, 2002 and *AFVR and Groundwater Monitoring Report* prepared by Geologic Resources, Inc dated May 25, 2017). Copies of pertinent information obtained from the above referenced reports are included in **Appendix I**.

The PSA included a geophysical survey and subsequent limited soil sampling (nine soil borings up to 10 feet below ground surface (ft.-bgs)), within accessible areas of the proposed ROW/easement in preparation for construction activities. **Figure 1** shows the vicinity and site location, and **Figure 2** shows the site and boring locations. Soil sampling results are shown on **Figure 3**.

## ◆ Field Services

Prior to field activities, a site specific Health and Safety Plan was prepared as required by the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OSHA). Underground utilities were located and marked by the North Carolina One-Call Service. A private utility locator (East Coast Underground, LLC) was also used to locate and mark underground utilities.



## ◆ Geophysical Survey

On July 25, 2019, S&ME completed Time Domain Electromagnetic (TDEM) and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) surveys within accessible areas of the proposed ROW/easement at Parcel 200. Brief descriptions of these complementary geophysical techniques are presented in the following paragraphs.

### Time Domain Electromagnetics (TDEM)

TDEM measures the electrical conductivity of subsurface materials and discriminates between moderately conductive earth materials and very conductive metallic targets within the shallow subsurface. The conductivity is determined by transmitting a time-varying magnetic pulse into the subsurface and measuring the amplitude and phase shift of the secondary magnetic field. The secondary magnetic field is created when the conductive materials become an inductor as the primary magnetic field is passed through them. TDEM data are acquired continuously at a walking pace typically along a series of parallel or perpendicular lines. The system generates audible and visual indications when metallic targets are encountered. These measurements can also be supported with a global positioning system (GPS) which is output directly into the TDEM data file.

We used a Geonics Limited EM-61 MK2 TDEM system in general accordance with ASTM D6820 "*Standard Guide for Use of the Time Domain Electromagnetic Method for Subsurface Investigation.*" Data was collected along lines spaced at approximately five feet using a Juniper® Systems Geode™ sub-meter GPS as positioning support. The approximate TDEM data collection paths are presented in **Figure 4**. Golden Software's Surfer® program was used to grid and plot the data (**Figures 5 and 6**). The TDEM data has been presented as Plots A and B in order to provide both opaque and semi-transparent views, respectively.

### Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)

GPR transmits electromagnetic waves into the subsurface from an antenna at a specific frequency and measures the time for wave reflections to be received by interfaces between materials with differing material properties (e.g. soil/metal, etc.). The intensity of the reflected GPR wave is a function of the contrast in the material properties (i.e. dielectric permittivity) at the interface, the conductivity of the material that the wave is traveling through, and the frequency of the signal.

We used a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) SIR® 4000 GPR system equipped with a 350 MHz antenna in general accordance with ASTM D6432 "*Standard Guide for Using the Surface Ground Penetrating Radar Method for Subsurface Investigation*" to further characterize anomalies/features identified during the TDEM survey.

A total of 12 GPR profiles (Lines 1 through 12) were collected for documentation (**Figure 7**). The data was post-processed using the GSSI Radan® 7 GPR software program for additional analysis.

### Geophysical Findings

Responses indicative of a potential UST were not identified in the geophysical data sets collected at the site. Two anomalous features unrelated to known surficial targets were identified in the geophysical data sets (Anomalies A and B; **Figures 6 and 7**). Anomalies A and B are characterized by linear, high amplitude GPR responses about two feet in width and 15 feet in length located about two ft.-bgs. Anomaly A does not exhibit TDEM responses indicative of a buried relic metallic UST and may instead be related to an abandoned buried utility or other buried



linear structure. Anomaly B is located beneath a reinforced concrete slab, and as such, material type of this feature (i.e. metal, etc.) is unable to be determined. Given the similar dimensions as Anomaly A, Anomaly B may also be related to an abandoned buried utility or other buried linear structure. The anomalies were marked in the field using white spray paint. Example GPR profiles are presented in **Figures 8 and 9**.

## ◆ Soil Sampling

On October 23 and 28, 2019, Troxler Geologic, Inc. (Troxler's) drill crew utilized a track mounted Geoprobe® rig to advance nine soil borings (B-1 through B-9) and to collect soil samples within accessible areas of the proposed ROW/easement at Parcel 200. Gravel was encountered within soil boring identified as B-2, which was located within a former pump island. Therefore, an additional boring was advanced at an offset location and identified as boring B-2A. The approximate location of the soil borings are shown in **Figure 2**. A photographic log is included in **Appendix II**. Troxler's drill crew advanced the Geoprobe® borings up to a depth of approximately eight ft.-bgs. During the advancement of the soil borings, groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately seven ft.-bgs. Soil samples were continuously collected in four-foot long disposable acetate-plastic sleeves that line the hollow stainless-steel sample probes. Soil recovered from the sleeves was classified on-site by S&ME personnel and screened with a Photoionization Detector (PID) at approximately two foot depth intervals to measure relative headspace concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

VOC headspace readings were obtained from an aliquot of each soil sample that was placed in a re-sealable bag. Another portion of the sample was placed in a separate re-sealable bag and stored in an insulated container with ice for possible laboratory analyses. After waiting approximately 15 minutes to allow the sample to reach ambient temperature and headspace equilibrium, the PID probe was inserted into the bag to obtain a headspace reading. A summary of the PID readings and logs of the soil borings are included in **Appendix III**.

Petroleum odors and elevated PID readings were noted at borings B-1, B-2A, B-3, B-4 and B-9, which were located within the former pump island areas, starting at a depth of approximately four ft.-bgs at boring B-4 and six ft.-bgs at borings B-1, B-2A, B-3 and B-9 and extending to boring termination at eight ft.-bgs. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately seven ft.-bgs. Therefore, a soil sample was selected from these boring at the four to six foot depth interval. Various soil samples at varying depth intervals were selected from the remaining borings. The soil samples were placed into laboratory supplied containers and transported to RED Lab, LLC (Red Lab) in an insulated cooler with ice for analysis. A total of nine soil samples (one soil sample per boring) were analyzed by RED Lab for TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO using ultra-violet fluorescence (UVF) spectroscopy with product (fuel) identification.

## Soil Analytical Results

Based upon analytical results of soil samples analyzed by RED Lab using UVP spectroscopy, TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO were reported at concentrations exceeding their respective North Carolina TPH Action Levels in borings B-1, B-2A and B-4. The highest concentrations were reported in boring B-4 at the four to six foot depth interval. TPH-GRO was reported in boring B-4 at a concentration of 3,352 milligrams per kilograms (mg/kg) which exceeds its North Carolina TPH Action Level of 50 mg/kg. TPH DRO was reported in boring B-4 at a concentration of 11,718 mg/kg which exceeds its North Carolina TPH Action Level of 100 mg/kg. TPH-GRO was also reported in boring B-9 at the four to six foot depth interval at a concentration of 48.9 mg/kg, which is slightly below its North Carolina TPH Action Level. TPH-DRO was also reported in borings B-3, B-6, B-7, B-8 and B-9, at concentrations above the





laboratory reporting limits but below its North Carolina TPH Action Level. TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO were not reported at concentrations exceeding the laboratory method reporting limits at the remaining soil samples. A summary of the soil analytical results is presented in **Table 1** and shown on **Figure 3**. A copy of the laboratory analytical report provided by RED Lab is presented in **Appendix IV**.

## ◆ Groundwater Sampling

During the advancement of the soil borings, groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately seven ft.-bgs which corresponds with the groundwater depth measured in the existing monitor well MW-3 located on the site within the ROW. Approximately 0.5 inches of free product was measured in MW-3. S&ME personnel did not gauge existing monitor wells DW-1 or RW-1 also located on the site within the ROW. Based on the presence of free product, a groundwater sample was not collected. The free product thickness measured in MW-3 is presented in **Table 2** and shown on **Figure 3**.

Upon completion of the soil sampling, the soil borings were backfilled with bentonite pellets and soil cuttings. Investigative derived wastes (IDW), such as soil cuttings generated during the soil boring advancement and decontamination water, were spread on the ground in accordance with the procedures specified by NCDEQ. Used gloves and tubing were bagged and disposed off-site.

## ◆ Conclusion and Recommendations

Existing groundwater monitoring well identified as MW-3, DW-1 and RW-1 are located on the property within the ROW. The wells are associated with a UST release from the Former T-Mart (NCDEQ Incident # 18955), which was previously located on the property. In 2017 an AFVR was performed on MW-3. Prior to the event, 0.33 feet of product was measured in MW-3. On October 23, 2019, groundwater was measured in MW-3 at a depth of approximately seven ft.-bgs with 0.5 inches of free product.

The geophysical survey identified two anomalies (Anomalies A and B) which may be related to abandoned buried utilities or other buried linear structures. Responses indicative of a potential UST were not identified in the geophysical data sets collected at the site.

S&ME advanced nine soil borings (B-1 through B-9) to a depth of up to approximately eight ft.-bgs at the site. Petroleum odors and elevated PID readings were noted at borings B-1, B-2A, B-3, B-4 and B-9, which were located within the former pump island areas, starting at a depth of approximately four ft.-bgs at boring B-4 and six ft.-bgs at borings B-1, B-2A, B-3 and B-9 and extending to boring termination at eight ft.-bgs. Selected soil samples from the soil borings were analyzed for TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO using UVF spectroscopy.

TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO were reported at concentrations exceeding their respective North Carolina TPH Action Levels in borings B-1, B-2A and B-4. The highest concentrations were reported in boring B-4 at the four to six foot depth interval. TPH-GRO was reported in boring B-4 at a concentration of 3,352 mg/kg. TPH DRO was reported in boring B-4 at a concentration of 11,718 mg/kg. TPH-GRO was also reported in boring B-9 at the four to six foot depth interval at a concentration of 48.9 mg/kg, which is slightly below its North Carolina TPH Action Level. TPH-DRO was also reported in borings B-3, B-6, B-7, B-8 and B-9, at concentrations above the laboratory reporting limits but below its North Carolina TPH Action Level. TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO were not reported at concentrations exceeding the laboratory method reporting limits at the remaining soil samples.



During the soil boring advancement, groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately seven ft.-bgs. Due to the presence of free product in existing monitor well MW-3 located on the property within the ROW, a groundwater sample was not collected.

Based on the findings of the geophysical survey, analytical results of soil samples and the presence of free product, it is likely that during construction, NCDOT may encounter soil and groundwater impacted with petroleum at the site. Petroleum impacted soil at concentrations exceeding the North Carolina TPH Action Levels may be encountered within the vicinity of borings B-1, B-2A, B-4 and extending to B-9 where TPH concentrations are slightly below TPH Action Levels. Assuming that a section of petroleum impacted soil approximately three feet thick, 24 feet wide and 29 feet long at a depth of four to seven ft.-bgs (groundwater was encountered at a depth of seven ft.-bgs); up to 80 cubic yards of soil may be impacted within the vicinity of boring B-4 and B-9. Assuming that another section of petroleum impacted soil approximately three feet thick, 24 feet wide and 40 feet long at a depth of four to seven ft.-bgs; up to 110 cubic yards of soil may be impacted within the vicinity of borings B-1 and B-2A. Therefore, a total of approximately 190 cubic yards of petroleum impacted soil may be encountered during construction to depths of approximately four to seven ft.-bgs.

It should also be assumed that saturated petroleum impacted soil will be encountered if construction excavations extend deeper than seven ft.-bgs on the site. If construction dewatering is required, petroleum impacted groundwater must be properly disposed or treated at a licensed facility.

If petroleum stained or odorous soils are encountered during construction, these soils should be properly handled and disposed at a licensed facility. If construction dewatering is required, petroleum impacted groundwater must be properly disposed or treated at a licensed facility.

S&ME recommends maintaining an awareness level for the presence of petroleum in the soil and groundwater at the site for the safety of workers and the public.

## ◆ **Limitations**

The results of this preliminary investigation are limited to the boring locations presented herein. The results of this Preliminary Site Assessment are not all inclusive and may not represent existing conditions across the entire property. These results only reflect the current conditions at the locations sampled on the date this Preliminary Site Assessment was performed. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted environmental engineering and geophysical practice for specific application to this project. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon applicable standards of our practice in this geographic area at the time this report was prepared. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

The geophysical methods used for this survey have inherent limitations. Site metallic features (e.g., reinforced concrete, utilities, etc.) and overhead transmission lines can produce a false electromagnetic response and may mask subsurface features. The depth of exploration of the GPR signal is highly site specific and is greatly limited by signal attenuation (absorption) of the subsurface materials. Signal attenuation is dependent upon the electrical conductivity of the subsurface materials. Signal attenuation is greatest in materials with relatively high electrical conductivities such as clay soils, and lowest in relatively low conductivity materials such as unsaturated sand. For this project location, the GPR data sets appear to have a maximum depth of penetration of about seven ft.-bgs.



Regardless of the thoroughness of a geophysical study, there is always a possibility that actual conditions may not match the interpretations. The results should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the methods used and the method's limitations and data coverage. Accordingly, the possibility exists that not all features at a project site will be located due to either subsurface soil conditions or the occurrence of features outside the lateral limits and below the depth of penetration of the methods used. As with most surface geophysical methods, resolution of the subsurface will also decrease with depth. As such, the size and/or contrast of features compared to the imaged subsurface media must be significant enough to produce the anticipated response. The location and/or determination (or the lack thereof) of potential buried features is based on our review of the provided information and of the geophysical survey. Under no circumstances does S&ME assume any responsibility for damages resulting from the presence of subsurface features that may exist but were not identified by our survey.

This Preliminary Site Assessment was performed solely for NCDOT regarding the above-referenced site and assessment area. This report is provided for the sole use of NCDOT. Use of this report by any other parties will be at such party's sole risk. S&ME disclaims liability for any such use or reliance by third parties. The observations presented in this report are indicative of conditions during the time of the assessment and of the specific areas referenced.

◆ **Closing**

S&ME appreciates the opportunity to provide these services to you. If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,

**S&ME, Inc.**

DocuSigned by:  
  
 4C890EAFEC25F488...  
 Jamie I Honeycutt  
 Environmental Professional  
[jhoneycutt@smeinc.com](mailto:jhoneycutt@smeinc.com)

DocuSigned by:  
  
 861E52DDEF4F4C7...

Michael W. Pfeifer  
 Senior Project Manager  
[mpfeifer@smeinc.com](mailto:mpfeifer@smeinc.com)



DocuSigned by:  
  
 D4B9FB5F636F4BB...

1/27/2020

Thomas P. Raymond, P.E., P.M.P.  
 Senior Consultant  
[traymond@smeinc.com](mailto:traymond@smeinc.com)



**Preliminary Site Assessment Report**  
**NCDOT Project I-5878, WBS Element 53078.1.1**  
**Parcel 200-Word A Fire Ministries-Former T-Mart**  
**Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina**  
**S&ME Project No. 4305-19-161**

Attachments:

**Table 1:** Summary of Soil Sampling Results

**Table 2:** Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results

**Figure 1:** Vicinity Map

**Figure 2:** Site Map

**Figure 3:** Soil and Groundwater Constituent Map

**Figure 4:** TDEM Path Location Plan

**Figure 5:** TDEM Data Plot A

**Figure 6:** TDEM Data Plot B

**Figure 7:** Geophysical Anomaly Location Plan

**Figure 8:** Example GPR Data – Lines 3, 4 and 11

**Figure 9:** Example GPR Data – Lines 1 and 2

**Appendix I:** NCDEQ File Review

**Appendix II:** Photographs

**Appendix III:** Boring Logs

**Appendix IV:** Laboratory Analytical Reports and Chain of Custody

## Tables



**TABLE 1**  
**SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS**  
**NCDOT Project I-5878**  
**Parcel 200 - (Word A Fire Ministries-Former T-Mart)**  
**511 Spring Branch Road**  
**Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina**  
**S&ME Project No. 4305-19-161**

Analytical Method→			Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) and Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by Ultraviolet Fluorescence (UVF) Spectrometry	
Sample ID	Date	Contaminant of Concern→	TPH-GRO	TPH-DRO
		Sample Depth (ft.-bgs)		
B-1	10/23/2019	4 to 6	<b>63.2</b>	<b>273.1</b>
B-2A	10/23/2019	4 to 6	<b>369.9</b>	<b>131.8</b>
B-3	10/23/2019	4 to 6	<0.88	<b>2.6</b>
B-4	10/23/2019	4 to 6	<b>3,352</b>	<b>11,718</b>
B-5	10/28/2019	4 to 6	<0.52	<0.52
B-6	10/28/2019	4 to 6	<0.5	<b>1.5</b>
B-7	10/28/2019	2 to 4	<0.54	<b>13.8</b>
B-8	10/28/2019	4 to 6	<0.53	<b>0.94</b>
B-9	10/28/2019	4 to 6	<b>48.9</b>	<b>20.1</b>
<b>North Carolina TPH Action Levels</b>			<b>50</b>	<b>100</b>

Notes:

1. UVF analysis performed by RED Lab, LLC
2. Concentrations are reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg).
3. ft.-bgs:- feet below ground surface.
4. Concentrations exceeding the laboratory's reporting limits are shown in **BOLD** fields.
5. Concentrations exceeding the North Carolina TPH Action Levels are shown in Shaded and **BOLD** fields.

**TABLE 2**  
**SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS**  
**NCDOT Project I-5878**  
**Parcel 200 - (Word A Fire Ministries-Former T-Mart)**  
**511 Spring Branch Road**  
**Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina**  
**S&ME Project No. 4305-19-161**



Analytical Method→		Volatiles Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260	Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds (PAHs) by EPA
Sample ID	Contaminant of Concern→	Constituent Specific	Constituent Specific
	Date		
MW-3	10/23/2019	<b>Water Level at 7 ft-bgs with 0.5 inches of free product. No Sample Collected</b>	
<b>2L Standard (µg/L)</b>		<b>Not Applicable</b>	
<b>GCL (µg/L)</b>		<b>Not Applicable</b>	


Notes:

1. Groundwater Sample Not Analyzed Due to Free Product.
2. Concentrations are reported in micrograms per liter (µg/L).
3. 2L Standard: North Carolina Groundwater Quality Standards: 15A NCAC 2L.0202
4. Concentrations exceeding the laboratory's reporting limits are shown in **BOLD** fields.
5. Concentrations exceeding the 2L Standards are shown in Shaded and **BOLD** fields.
6. GCL: Gross Contamination Level.
7. J: Estimated concentration detected below the reporting limit.
8. ft.-bgs: feet below ground surface



## Figures

Drawing Path: T:\Projects\2019\ENV\4305-19-161 NCDOT I-5878 PSAs\GIS\Parcel\_200\VICINITY.mxd plotted by abentz 11-25-2019



**REFERENCE:**

GIS BASE LAYERS WERE OBTAINED FROM THE USGS NATIONAL TOPO MAP VIEWER. THIS MAP IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. ALL FEATURE LOCATIONS DISPLAYED ARE APPROXIMATED. THEY ARE NOT BASED ON CIVIL SURVEY INFORMATION, UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.

 Site Parcel




**VICINITY MAP**

NCDOT PROJECT I-5878  
 PARCEL NO. 200 (WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES - FORMER T-MART)  
 511 SPRING BRANCH RD, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE:  
 1" = 2,000'  
 DATE:  
 11-25-19  
 PROJECT NUMBER  
 4305-19-161

FIGURE NO.  
**1**






PARCEL 200  
BARBARA B. PROPERTIES, LLC

Approximate extent of Benzene  
based on Semi-Annual Groundwater  
Monitoring Report by East Coast  
dated November 2002

LEGEND	
Geoenvironmental Boring:	
Underground Storage Tank (UST):	
Map Source:	NCDOT Project I-59868
Image Source:	NC ONEMAP, Dated 2016
Existing Monitoring Well:	
Known Soil Contamination:	
Possible Soil Contamination:	
Existing Contamination Known - Water:	
Possible Groundwater Contamination:	




**SITE MAP**

NCDOT Project: I-5878  
PARCEL 200 - WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES (FORMER T-MART)  
511 Spring Branch Road, Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina

SCALE:	FIGURE NO.
1" = 20'	2
DATE:	
PROJECT NUMBER	
4305-19-161	






Notes:  
 mg/kg: Milligrams per Kilogram  
 µg/L: Micrograms per Liter  
 GRO: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Gasoline Range Organics  
 DRO: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Diesel Range Organics  
 TPH: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon  
**BOLD\***: Indicates Exceedance of NC TPH Action Levels  
**BOLD#**: Indicates Exceedance of 2L Groundwater Samples  
 ft-bgs : Feet Below Ground Surface  
 Samples Collected 10-23-2019 and 10-28-2019.  
 GRO/DRO Analyzed by Ultraviolet Fluorescence (UVF) by RED Lab, LLC.

**LEGEND**  
 Geoenvironmental Boring:   
 Underground Storage Tank (UST):   
 Map Source: NCDOT Project I-59868  
 Image Source: NC ONEMAP, Dated 2016

Existing Monitoring Well:   
 Known Soil Contamination:   
 Possible Soil Contamination:   
 Existing Contamination Known - Water:   
 Possible Groundwater Contamination:



**SOIL AND GROUNDWATER CONSTITUENT MAP**

NCDOT Project: I-5878  
 PARCEL 200 - WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES (FORMER T-MART)  
 511 Spring Branch Road, Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina


SCALE:	FIGURE NO.
1" = 20'	3
DATE:	
JAN. 2020	
PROJECT NUMBER:	
4305-19-161	







**REFERENCE:**  
GOOGLE EARTH PRO AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH  
(DATED MARCH 4, 2018)



Google Earth  
© 2018 Google

**LEGEND**

- ..... Approximate TDEM Path
- Approximate Requested Survey Area

**TDEM PATH LOCATION PLAN**

NC DOT PROJECT: I-5878  
PARCEL #200 - (WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES-FORMER T-MART)  
511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE:  
AS SHOWN

DATE:  
11/25/2019


PROJECT NUMBER  
4305-19-161

FIGURE NO.

**4**



REFERENCE:  
GOOGLE EARTH PRO AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH  
(DATED MARCH 4, 2018)



TDEM DATA PLOT A

NC DOT PROJECT: I-5878  
PARCEL #200 - (WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES-FORMER T-MART)  
511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE:  
AS SHOWN

DATE:  
11/25/2019

PROJECT NUMBER  
4305-19-161


FIGURE NO.

**5**





**REFERENCE:**  
GOOGLE EARTH PRO AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH  
(DATED MARCH 4, 2018)



**LEGEND**

- Approximate Location of Geophysical Anomaly
- Approximate Location of Possible Utility

**TDEM DATA PLOT B**

NC DOT PROJECT: I-5878  
PARCEL #200 - (WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES-FORMER T-MART)  
511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE:  
AS SHOWN

DATE:  
11/25/2019

PROJECT NUMBER  
4305-19-161


FIGURE NO.

**6**





**REFERENCE:**  
GOOGLE EARTH PRO AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH  
(DATED MARCH 4, 2018)



Google Earth  
© 2018 Google

**LEGEND**

- Approximate Location of Geophysical Anomaly
- Approximate Location of Possible Utility
- Approximate Location of GPR Profile

**GEOPHYSICAL ANOMALY LOCATION PLAN**

NCDOT PROJECT: I-5878  
PARCEL #200 - (WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES-FORMER T-MART)  
511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA



SCALE:  
AS SHOWN

DATE:  
11/25/2019

PROJECT NUMBER  
4305-19-161

FIGURE NO.

**7**



----- Approximate Location of Possible Utility

Note: Presented GPR profile depths are based on an assumed average dielectric and should be considered approximate



EXAMPLE GPR DATA - LINES 3, 4, AND 11

NCDOT PROJECT: I-5878  
 PARCEL #200 - (WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES-FORMER T-MART)  
 511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA


SCALE:  
 AS SHOWN

DATE:  
 11/25/2019



PROJECT NUMBER  
 4305-19-161

FIGURE NO.

**8**



Anomaly B



Note: Presented GPR profile depths are based on an assumed average dielectric and should be considered approximate



EXAMPLE GPR DATA – LINES 1 AND 2

NCDOT PROJECT: I-5878  
 PARCEL #200 - (WORD A FIRE MINISTRIES-FORMER T-MART)  
 511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD, DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

SCALE:  
 AS SHOWN

DATE:  
 11/25/2019

PROJECT NUMBER  
 4305-19-161

FIGURE NO.

**9**

## **Appendix I – NCDEQ File Review**





**EAST COAST**  
Environmental, P.A.

**RECEIVED**

AUG 5 1999

FAYETTEVILLE  
REG. OFFICE

**REPORT FOR  
PERMANENT CLOSURE AND CHANGE IN SERVICE OF UST SYSTEMS  
(NCAC TITLE 15A, SUBCHAPTER 2N, SECTION .0802)**

**at  
T-Mart AMOCO  
511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD  
DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA  
Facility I.D. 0-017633**

**ECE Project # 9930**

**July 28, 1999**


**Prepared for:**

T-Mart Food Stores  
510 W. Broad Street  
P.O. Box 1369  
Dunn, North Carolina 28334  
(910) 892-5331

**Prepared By:**

East Coast Environmental, P.A.  
3535 South Wilmington Street  
Suite 208  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603  
(919) 772-0268





<p>GRAPHIC SCALE 0 10 20 30 40 1"=20'</p>	<p>TITLE: FIGURE 2 SITE MAP WITH UST SYSTEM LOCATIONS SHOWN T-Mart Amoco Spring Branch Road Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina</p>	<p>East Coast Environmental, P.A. 355 S. 4th Street, Suite 200 Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 772-4286 FAX:(919) 772-4488</p>
<p>CAD FILE: UST.DWG</p>	<p>PREP. BY: KBB REV. BY: TRW</p>	<p>DATE: 07/26/99 PROJECT NO. 9833</p>



**EAST COAST**  
Environmental, P.A.



**REPORT FOR SEMI-ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING  
T-MART AMOCO (FORMER SUN TOWEL SHOP)  
511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD  
DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

**FACILITY I.D. # 0-017633  
NCDENR-DWQ GROUNDWATER INCIDENT # 18955  
SITE RISK CLASSIFICATION: HIGH  
LAND USE CATEGORY: RESIDENTIAL**

**November 8, 2002**

**Responsible Party:**

Holt Oil Company  
P.O. Box 53157  
Fayetteville, North Carolina 28305  
(910) 483-5137

**Current Property Owner:**

T.C. Godwin  
P.O. Box 1369  
Dunn, North Carolina 28335  
(910) 892-9278

**Consultant:**

East Coast Environmental, P.A.  
3709 Junction Blvd.  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603  
(919) 772-0268



Release Discovery Date: February 6, 1998  
Cause of Release: Leaking Commercial Gasoline UST System  
UST Size and Contents: (1) 6,000-gallon gasoline UST System  
Latitude: 35° 15' 36", Longitude: 78° 36' 18"





## 2.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on analytical data for the October 23, 2002 groundwater sampling event only benzene and MTBE were present in samples collected from monitoring wells at levels in excess of their *Maximum Allowable Concentration(s)* defined by the *2L Standards*. The area encompassed by the total BTEX plume in the surficial aquifer measured approximately 12,642-square feet, or 0.29-acre. This area of BTEX was centered on wells MW-2, MW-3 and MW-7.

Free product in the form of gasoline has been consistently measured in monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-4 since they were installed during July 1998. The most recent free product measurement for MW-3 made on October 8, 2002 determined the presence of 14-inches of free product as measured with an oil/water interface probe and confirmed with a bailer.

Analytical data for sample obtained from the Wood drinking water well located across Spring Branch Road and analyzed by EPA 524:2 detected MTBE at a level of 1.7 ug/l in the sample collected on October 23, 2002. It should be noted that MTBE was also detected in the Wood supply well during the March 2002 semiannual sampling event at a level of 0.88-ug/l. This data indicates that the Wood supply well may be impacted by petroleum products. However, the source of the MTBE cannot be determined at this time due to the fact that other potential contaminant source(s) are located in close proximity to the Site. Also present in the Wood supply well sample was chloroform, detected at a level of 4.3-ug/l. The level of chloroform in the sample exceeds the *Maximum Allowable Concentration* for this compound of 0.00019 ug/l defined by the *2L Standards*, however, ECE attributes this compound to either laboratory cross-contamination or well chlorination by the owner in an effort to keep it free of bacterial contamination.


Data for samples retained from the remaining monitoring wells imply that the plume has migrated to off-Site locations at low levels. Groundwater hydraulic gradient movement appears to remain consistent with past gauging events at the Site, and continues to flow to the south.

While the dissolved phase contaminant plume appears to be under control or declining throughout most of the off Site monitoring areas, free phase petroleum product continues to collect in on-Site well MW-3 in relatively large amounts (14-inches in the most recent gauging event). Therefore, ECE is recommending that MW-3 be converted to a recovery well for use with the currently operating DPE system as displayed in Figure 8. This could be accomplished inexpensively by installing a manhole cover around MW-3, trenching approximately 15-feet to a nearby header pipe that currently serves recovery well RW-2 and installing a short piece of header pipe from MW-3 to the existing header pipe. The total cost including labor and materials would be on the order of \$4,000.00. Including MW-3 as part of the DPE recovery system would allow for removal of the stubborn pocket of product in this area that is not being recovered by the DPE system in its current configuration and should result in a more efficient cleanup over time.

Finally, based on: 1) the analytical results for samples collected from monitoring wells during the October 2002 sampling; 3) there is a nearby water supply well in use; 3) and the continued presence of free product in MW-3, the groundwater cleanup needs to continue into the foreseeable future.


ECE recommends that a copy of this document be submitted to the *North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality, Fayetteville Regional Office* to the attention of Mr. James Brown.

Respectfully Submitted,  
*East Coast Environmental, P.A.*


  
Thomas R. Will, P.G. #1164  
President



E:\ECE\Projects\smart\Monitor\gwater\October-2002\Mon10-2002.doc



<p><b>East Coast Environmental, P.A.</b> 3709 Junction Boulevard Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 772-0268 Fax (919) 772-0468</p>		<p>PROJECT NO. 9833</p>	
<p><b>FIGURE 3</b> BENZENE ISOCENTRATION MAP (ug/l) - October 23, 2002 Former T-Mart Amoco Spring Branch Road Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina</p>		<p>DATE: 11/04/02</p>	<p>REV. BY: TRW</p>
<p>CAD FILE: GW10-2002</p>	<p>PREP. BY: KBB</p>	<p>REV. BY: KBB</p>	<p>DATE: 11/04/02</p>
<p>SCALE: AS SHOWN</p>		<p>PROJECT NO. 9833</p>	




**East Coast Environmental, P.A.**  
 3709 Junction Boulevard  
 Raleigh, North Carolina 27603  
 (919) 772-0268 Fax (919) 772-0468

**FIGURE 4**  
 MTBE ISOCENTRATION MAP (ug/l) - October 23, 2002  
 Former T-Mart Amoco  
 Spring Branch Road  
 Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina

**GRAPHIC SCALE**  
 0 20 40 60 80  
 1" = 40'

**CAD FILE:** GW10-2002  
**PREP. BY:** KBB  
**REV. BY:** TRW  
**DATE:** 11/04/02  
**PROJECT NO.:** 9833

**SCALE:** AS SHOWN



**East Coast Environmental, P.A.**  
 3709 Junction Boulevard  
 Raleigh, North Carolina 27603  
 (919) 772-0266 Fax (919) 772-0468

**TITLE: FIGURE 6**  
**GROUNDWATER HYDRAULIC GRADIENT MAP (ft) 10/23/02**  
**Former T-Mart Amoco**  
**Spring Branch Road**  
**Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina**

**SCALE: AS SHOWN**

**CAD FILE: GR10-2002**  
**PREP. BY: KBB**  
**REV. BY: TRW**  
**DATE: 10-25-02**  
**PROJECT NO. 9833**

**Table 1**  
 Summary of Analytical Data – Groundwater  
 EPA Method 8260  
 T-Mart AMOCO  
 511 Spring Branch Road  
 Dunn, NC

Analytical Method >			8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260	8260
Contaminant of Concern >			Benzene	MTBE	Chloroform	1,2-dichloroethane	Ethylbenzene	Isopropylbenzene	Naphthalene	n-propylbenzene	Toluene	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Sec-Butylbenzene
Well ID	Sample ID	Date Collected (m/dd/yy)													
MW-1	MW-1	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	MW-2	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND	ND	4.0	ND	3.0	ND	8.0	6.0	2.0	23	ND
MW-5	MW-5	10/23/02	ND	ND	<b>0.8</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-6	MW-6	10/23/02	ND	1.0	<b>3.0</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-7	MW-7	10/23/02	<b>33 D</b>	<b>200 D</b>	ND	ND	21	3.0	9.0	4.0	3.0	30 D	5.0	30 D	0.7
MW-8	MW-8	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
DW-1	DW-1	10/23/02	ND	130 D	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.7	ND	ND	ND	ND
2L Standard (ug/l)			<b>1</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>.00019</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>70</b>
GCL (ug/l)			<b>5,000</b>	<b>200000</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>29000</b>	<b>NRS</b>	<b>15500</b>	<b>30000</b>	<b>257500</b>	<b>28500</b>	<b>28500</b>	<b>87500</b>	<b>8500</b>

Results are in ug/l  
 Bold Results indicate exceedence of 2L Standards  
 Bold and shaded results indicate exceedence of GCL

**Table 1**  
 Summary of Analytical Data – Groundwater  
 MADEP-VPH  
 T-Mart AMOCO  
 511 Spring Branch Road  
 Dunn, NC

Analytical Method >			MADEP-VPH	MADEP-VPH	MADEP-VPH			
Contaminant of Concern >								
Well ID	Sample ID	Date Collected (m/dd/yy)	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	C9-C10 Aromatics			
MW-1	MW-1	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND			
MW-2	MW-2	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND			
MW-5	MW-5	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND			
MW-6	MW-6	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND			
MW-7	MW-7	10/23/02	ND	ND	<b>180</b>			
MW-8	MW-8	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND			
DW-1	DW-1	10/23/02	ND	ND	ND			
2L Standard (ug/l)			<b>420</b>	<b>4,200</b>	<b>210</b>			
GCL (ug/l)			<b>NRS</b>	<b>NRS</b>	<b>NRS</b>			

Results are in ug/l  
 Bold Results indicate exceedence of 2L Standards  
 Bold and shaded results indicate exceedence of GCL



**Table 2**  
**T-Mart Amoco**  
**Historical Summary of Analytical Data**

Analyte	Well #	7/27/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1
Toluene	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1000
Naphthalene	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	0.9	nd	nd	nd	21
Ethylbenzene	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	29
Xylene (Total)	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
n-Propylbenzene	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
MTBE	MW-1	nd	ns	0.7	1	nd	nd	nd	200
IPE	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
EDB	MW-1	nd	ns	na	na	na	nd	nd	0.0004
Lead	MW-1	nd	ns	na	na	na	na	na	15
Total BTEX	MW-1	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	MW-1	nd	ns	0.7	1	nd	nd	nd	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	MW-1	bql	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	MW-1	bql	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	MW-1	bql	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
bql = below quantitation limit.  
na = not analyzed.  
nrs = no reported standard.  
nd=not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
E = "Estimated Concentration"

**Table 2**  
**T-Mart Amoco**  
**Historical Summary of Analytical Data**

Analyte	Well #	7/27/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	MW-2	740	ns	1200	4	53	nd	nd	1
Toluene	MW-2	1800	ns	2000	15	310	39	8	1000
Naphthalene	MW-2	270	ns	460	nd	96	20	3	21
Ethylbenzene	MW-2	590	ns	840	22	210	40	4	29
Xylene (Total)	MW-2	2600	ns	3900	75	1100	210	23	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	MW-2	830	ns	960	nd	290	84	6	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	MW-2	220	ns	840	nd	91	28	2	350
n-Propylbenzene	MW-2	110	ns	nd	7	40	10	nd	70
MTBE	MW-2	1600	ns	2200	nd	13	13	nd	200
IPE	MW-2	nd	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
EDB	MW-2	0.84	ns	na	na	na	nd	nd	0.0004
Lead	MW-2	11.7	ns	na	na	na	nd	nd	15
Total BTEX	MW-2	5730	ns	7940	116	1673	289	35	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	MW-2	7330	ns	10140	116	1686	302	35	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	MW-2	3000	ns	1300	360	660	410	nd	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	MW-2	6000	ns	6900	89	1400	nd	nd	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	MW-2	2100	ns	3900	170	1200	320	nd	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
 bql = below quantitation limit.  
 na = not analyzed.  
 nrs = no reported standard.  
 nd=not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
 E = "Estimated Concentration"

**Table 2**  
**T-Mart Amoco**  
**Historical Summary of Analytical Data**

Analyte	Well #	7/27/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	1
Toluene	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	1000
Naphthalene	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	21
Ethylbenzene	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	29
Xylene (Total)	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	350
n-Propylbenzene	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	70
MTBE	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	200
IPE	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	70
EDB	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	na	na	ns	ns	0.0004
Lead	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	na	na	na	na	15
Total BTEX	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	MW-3	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
 bql = below quantitation limit.  
 na = not analyzed.  
 nrs = no reported standard.  
 nd = not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
 E = "Estimated Concentration"

**Table 2**  
**T-Mart Amoco**  
**Historical Summary of Analytical Data**

Analyte	Well #	7/17/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1
Toluene	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1000
Naphthalene	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	21
Ethylbenzene	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	29
Xylene (Total)	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
n-Propylbenzene	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
MTBE	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	200
IPE	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
EDB	MW-5	ns	nd	na	na	na	nd	nd	0.0004
Lead	MW-5	ns	nd	na	na	na	na	na	15
Total BTEX	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	MW-5	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
 bql = below quantitation limit.  
 na = not analyzed.  
 nrs = no reported standard.  
 nd=not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
 E = "Estimated Concentration"

**Table 2**  
**T-Mart Amoco**  
**Historical Summary of Analytical Data**

Analyte	Well #	7/27/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1
Toluene	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1000
Naphthalene	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	21
Ethylbenzene	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	29
Xylene (Total)	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
n-Propylbenzene	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
MTBE	MW-6	ns	nd	400	8	210	4	1	200
IPE	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
EDB	MW-6	ns	nd	na	na	na	nd	nd	0.0004
Lead	MW-6	ns	nd	na	na	na	na	na	15
Total BTEX	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	MW-6	ns	nd	400	8	210	4	1	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	MW-6	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
 bql = below quantitation limit.  
 na = not analyzed.  
 nrs = no reported standard.  
 nd=not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
 E = "Estimated Concentration"

Table 2  
T-Mart Amoco  
Historical Summary of Analytical Data

Analyte	Well #	7/27/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	MW-7	ns	420	690	520 D	72	13	33 D	1
Toluene	MW-7	ns	nd	nd	28 E	nd	2	3	1000
Naphthalene	MW-7	ns	100	190	120 D	nd	4	9	21
Ethylbenzene	MW-7	ns	340	250	280 D	45	10	21	29
Xylene (Total)	MW-7	ns	820	830	700 D	46	18	30 D	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	MW-7	ns	400	280	350 D	29	12	30 D	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	MW-7	ns	nd	nd	350 D	nd	7	5	350
n-Propylbenzene	MW-7	ns	nd	nd	68 D	nd	3	4	70
MTBE	MW-7	ns	1300	2400	2500 D	380	75	200 D	200
IPE	MW-7	ns	nd	nd	5	nd	nd	nd	70
EDB	MW-7	ns	nd	na	na	na	nd	nd	0.0004
Lead	MW-7	ns	60	na	na	na	na	na	15
Total BTEX	MW-7	ns	1160	1770	1528	163	43	87	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	MW-7	ns	2460	4170	4028	543	118	287	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	MW-7	ns	4180	nd	850	120	160	nd	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	MW-7	ns	5410	2400	190	54	nd	nd	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	MW-7	ns	2080	1300	640	170	130	180	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
 bql = below quantitation limit.  
 na = not analyzed.  
 nrs = no reported standard.  
 nd=not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
 E = "Estimated Concentration"

**Table 2**  
**T-Mart Amoco**  
**Historical Summary of Analytical Data**

Analyte	Well #	7/27/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1
Toluene	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1000
Naphthalene	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	21
Ethylbenzene	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	29
Xylene (Total)	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	350
n-Propylbenzene	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
MTBE	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	200
IPE	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
EDB	MW-8	ns	nd	na	na	na	nd	nd	0.0004
Lead	MW-8	ns	nd	na	na	na	na	na	15
Total BTEX	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	MW-8	ns	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
 bql = below quantitation limit.  
 na = not analyzed.  
 nrs = no reported standard.  
 nd=not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
 E = "Estimated Concentration"

**Table 2**  
**T-Mart Amoco**  
**Historical Summary of Analytical Data**

Analyte	Well #	7/27/98 (ug/l)	11/12/98 (ug/l)	7/12/99 (ug/l)	1/17/01 (ug/l)	8/23/01 (ug/l)	3/2/02 (ug/l)	10/23/02 (ug/l)	2L Stand. (ug/l)
EPA-8260									
Benzene	DW-1	400	nd	160	2	27	nd	nd	1
Toluene	DW-1	2600	nd	nd	5 D	86	nd	0.7	1000
Naphthalene	DW-1	210	nd	nd	nd	10	nd	nd	21
Ethylbenzene	DW-1	770	nd	nd	0.9	13	nd	nd	29
Xylene (Total)	DW-1	4400	62	nd	5	92	nd	nd	530
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	DW-1	1500	nd	nd	0.7	41	nd	nd	350
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	DW-1	430	nd	nd	0.9	12	nd	nd	350
n-Propylbenzene	DW-1	210	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
MTBE	DW-1	1400	800	2200	76 D	130	18	130 D	200
IPE	DW-1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	70
EDB	DW-1	nd	nd	na	na	na	nd	nd	0.0004
Lead	DW-1	nd	nd	na	na	na	na	na	15
Total BTEX	DW-1	8170	62	160	12.9	218	nd	0.7	
Total BTEX + MTBE (ppb)	DW-1	9570	862	2360	88.9	348	18	130.7	
Mass. VPH Method									
C5-C8 Aliphatics	DW-1	13000	887	nd	nd	86	nd	nd	420
C9-C12 Aliphatics	DW-1	11100	579	1300	nd	110	nd	nd	4200
C9-C10 Aromatics	DW-1	4600	174	660	nd	170	nd	nd	210

bdl = below method detection limit.  
 bql = below quantitation limit.  
 na = not analyzed.  
 nrs = no reported standard.  
 nd=not detected

D = "Result Based on Sample Dilution"  
 E = "Estimated Concentration"



**AGGRESSIVE FLUID-VAPOR RECOVERY AND  
GROUND WATER MONITORING REPORT  
T-MART AMOCO  
MARCH-APRIL 2017  
511 SPRING BRANCH ROAD  
DUNN, HARNETT COUNTY  
NORTH CAROLINA  
INCIDENT NO. 18955  
RISK CLASSIFICATION/RANKING: I172D  
GRI PROJECT NO. 4176**


Prepared for:

Holt Oil Company  
Post Office Box 53157  
Fayetteville, North Carolina 28305

Prepared by:

Geological Resources, Inc.  
3502 Hayes Road  
Monroe, North Carolina 28110  
(704) 845-4010

May 25, 2017

  
Holden McClenney  
Project Manager

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

T-Mart Amoco is located at 511 Spring Branch Road, Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina. The adjoining properties are residential and commercial. Public water is available to the site and surrounding properties. The site is currently has a risk classification of intermediate due to the presence of free product.

On March 27, 2017 an Aggressive fluid/Vapor Recovery (AFVR) event was conducted on MW-3. A total of 2,785 gallons of petroleum impacted groundwater was removed during the event. Approximately 0.76 gallons of vapor phase free product were removed by the March 2017 AFVR event.

On April 26, 2017, three Type II monitoring wells and one recovery well were gauged, purged and sampled. No free product was present in any of the monitoring wells gauged. Concentrations of requested method constituents that exceeded the Maximum Allowable Concentrations specified in T15A NCAC 2L.0202 were reported in the ground water sample collected from MW-3 and RW-2. None of the reported concentrations exceeded the Gross Contamination Levels (GCLs).

Based on this information, GRI recommends that ground water monitoring should continue on a semi-annual basis to determine if free product recharges into MW-3. If free product is not observed and contaminant concentrations remain below the GCLs for two consecutive sampling events, then the site risk classification should be re-evaluated. The next sampling event is tentatively scheduled for October 2017.

## **1.0 SITE HISTORY AND CHARACTERIZATION**

The T-Mart Amoco site is located at 511 Spring Branch Road in Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina (**Figure 1**). According to the available information, a release was discovered at the site in 1998. According to the NCDEQ registered tank database, four underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed from the site in 1999. Two 10,000-gallon gasoline and one 8,000-gallon gasoline USTs were installed at the site in 1999; however they appear to be located on the adjacent property. A Comprehensive Site Assessment (CSA) was completed by East Coast Environmental, P.A. (ECE) in 1999. According to the CSA report dated February 19, 1999, eight Type II monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-8) and one Type III monitoring well (DW-1) were installed at the site. One recovery well (RW-1) was installed at the site, and MW-4 was converted to a recovery well (RW-2) during past remediation activities. MW-5 has not been located during past assessment activities and is assumed to have been destroyed.

The site risk classification is ranked as Intermediate Risk due to the past presence of free product in MW-3, MW-4 and/or RW-2. Multiple Aggressive Fluid-Vapor Recovery (AFVR) events have been conducted at the site in order to recover free product.

Site activities prior to the June 2016 groundwater monitoring event were conducted by previous consultants. Although Geological Resources, Inc. (GRI) cannot verify the accuracy of information obtained from previous reports, for the purposes of this report, it is assumed to be correct. Please refer to previous submittals for further historical information regarding the site.

## **2.0 CURRENT SITE ASSESSMENT**

The purpose of this report is to present the results of the AFVR event and ground water sampling activities conducted between March 27 and April 26, 2017, at the T-Mart Amoco site. The activities were conducted in accordance with GRI proposal number 17-009 which was submitted to NCDEQ on January 9, 2017, and approved as Task Authorization No. 18955-13 on January 13, 2017. The purpose of the activities was to recover free product, reduce contaminant concentrations and to obtain current ground water quality data for the site.




## **3.0 AFVR EVENT**

On March 27, 2017, Hazmat Emergency Response and Remediation, Inc. (HERR) conducted an AFVR event on MW-3. The AFVR equipment included a 3,500-gallon capacity vacuum truck, flexible vacuum

**LEGEND**

- TYPE II MONITORING WELL
- ⊕ TYPE III MONITORING WELL
- ⊙ RECOVERY WELL
- - - - - PROPERTY BOUNDARY LINE
- FENCE LINE
- SD ■■■ STORM DRAIN
- - - - - UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK

**Note:**  
 1. This Site Map is based on data from the Harnett County GIS of NC and a Site Map dated October 25, 2002 that was prepared by the former consultant.  
 2. This site was not professionally surveyed; locations of monitoring wells are approximate.



SITE MAP			
T-Mart Amoco Incident No. 18955 GRI Project No. 4176		511 Spring Branch Road Dunn, Harnett County, NC	
Date:	10/03/16	Drawn by:	ECH
			Figure: 2



**TABLE 1  
WELL CONSTRUCTION AND GAUGING INFORMATION  
T-MART AMOCO (INCIDENT NO. 18955)**

Date: 04/28/17

Facility ID # : 0-017633

Well ID	Date Installed (mm/dd/yy)	Date Water Level Measured (mm/dd/yy)	Well Casing Depth (ft. BGS)	Screened Interval (x to y ft. BGS)	Bottom of Well (ft. BGS)	Top of Casing Elevation* (ft.)	Depth to Water from Top of Casing (ft.)	Free Product Thickness** (ft.)	Ground Water Elevation (ft.)	Comments
MW-1	07/22/98	06/28/16	4	4 - 14	14	495.74	8.54	---	487.20	
MW-2	07/22/98	06/28/16	5	5 - 15	15	494.26	NM	NM	NM	Not Located
		04/26/17					NM	NM	NM	
MW-3	07/22/98	06/28/16	6	6 - 16	16	494.26	7.78	1.32	487.61	
		09/15/16					7.25	2.33	489.01	
		03/27/17					6.91	0.33	487.63	Before AFVR
		03/27/17					7.91	---	486.35	After AFVR
		04/26/17					3.32	---	490.94	
MW-4	07/22/98	06/28/16	5	5 - 15	15	494.54	NM	NM	NM	Converted to RW-2
MW-5	11/09/98	06/28/16	5	5 - 19	19	493.93	NR	NR	NR	
MW-6	11/09/98	06/28/16	5	5 - 19	19	494.63	NM	NM	NM	Not Located
		04/26/17					8.59	---	486.04	
MW-7	11/09/98	06/28/16	5	5 - 19	19	495.69	11.01	---	484.68	
		04/26/17					7.56	---	488.13	
MW-8	11/09/98	06/28/16	5	5 - 14	14	490.71	NR	NR	NR	
DW-1	07/22/98	06/28/16	26	26 - 30	30	494.20	7.47	---	486.73	
RW-1	NA	06/28/16	NA	NA	NA	NA	NR	NR	NR	
RW-2	NA	06/28/16	NA	NA	NA	NA	NM	NM	NM	Inaccessible
		09/15/16					4.52	---	NM	
		04/26/17					2.36	---	NM	

- Notes:
- \* : Reference point for elevation measurements determined by previous consultant.
  - \*\* : If free product is present in a well, ground water elevation should be calculated by: (Top of Casing Elevation - Depth to Water) + (Free Product Thickness x 0.8581).
  - ft. BGS: feet below ground surface.
  - NM: Not measured.
  - NR: Not requested.
  - NA: Information not available.
  - Top of casing and well construction information obtained from the report titled 'Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report' dated May 30, 2003 by East Coast Environmental P.A.

**TABLE 2**  
**FREE PRODUCT RECOVERY RESULTS**  
**T-MART AMOCO (INCIDENT NO. 18955)**

**Date:** 04/28/17

**Facility ID#:** 0-017633

Method of Measurement: Interface probe at top-of-casing

<b>Well ID</b>	<b>Product Type</b> (gas, diesel, etc.)	<b>Date of Recovery</b> (mm/dd/yy)	<b>Free Product Recovery Method*</b>	<b>Casing Diameter</b> (inches)	<b>Product Thickness before Recovery</b> (feet)	<b>Product Thickness after Recovery</b> (feet)	<b>Amount of Liquid Recovered</b> (gallons)	<b>Amount of Product Recovered</b> (gallons)
MW-3	Gasoline	03/27/17	AFVR	2	0.33	0.00	2,785	0.00

Notes :

- \*: Bailing, Skimming, Aggressive Fluid Vapor Recovery (AFVR), Mobile Multiphase Extraction (MMPE)
- Amount of Liquid Recovered (gallons) includes Total liquids (Water and Free Product) as indicated on Disposal Manifest
- Amount of Product Recovered (in gallons) includes only the volume of free product in the tanker at the end of the event (based on Disposal Manifest and Field Notes).
- NM: Not measured.



**TABLE 5**  
**SUMMARY OF GROUND WATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS**  
**T-MART AMOCO (INCIDENT NO. 18955)**

Date : 05/05/17

Facility ID # : 0-017633

Analytical Method		EPA Method 6200B										
Contaminant of Concern		Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE	Naphthalene	Isopropylbenzene	IPE	n-Propylbenzene	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Well ID.	Date Collected (mm/dd/yy)											
<b>2L Standard (mg/l)</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>400</b>
<b>GCL (mg/l)</b>		<b>5,000</b>	<b>260,000</b>	<b>84,500</b>	<b>85,500</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>25,000</b>	<b>70,000</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>28,500</b>	<b>25,000</b>
MW-1	06/28/16	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.31	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13
MW-2	06/28/16	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-3	06/28/16	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP
	04/26/17	<b>99.7</b>	<b>6,150</b>	<b>1,740</b>	<b>9,970</b>	<13	<b>1,150</b>	<b>138</b>	<13	<b>462</b>	<b>3,970</b>	<b>1,090</b>
MW-5	06/28/16	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
MW-6	06/28/16	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	04/26/17	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.25	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13
MW-7	06/28/16	<b>9.4</b>	2.0	7.6	8.2	1.4	<b>9.9</b>	1.7	0.17 J	2.3	5.1	1.4
	04/26/17	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.25	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13
MW-8	06/28/16	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
DW-1	06/28/16	0.33 J	4.3	0.84	3.8	0.28 J	0.43 J	<0.13	<0.13	<0.13	0.59	0.16 J
RW-1	06/28/16	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
RW-2	06/28/16	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	04/26/17	<b>8.3</b>	0.86	41.2	17.7	0.61	<b>48.2</b>	25.7	<0.13	<b>120</b>	<b>549</b>	87.4

Notes:

- Results reported in µg/l (micrograms per liter).
- 2L Standard: as specified in T15A NCAC 2L.0202 for salt water.
- GCL: Gross contamination level.
- NE: Standard not established.
- NS: Not sampled; Well not located, destroyed or inaccessible.
- NR: Not requested.
- < : Less than the method detection limit specified in the laboratory report.
- FP: Free product
- Concentrations in bold face type exceeded the 2L standards.
- Concentrations of n-butylbenzene (82.1 µg/l in MW-3) and o-chlorotoluene (128 µg/l in MW-3) were reported in the ground water samples collected on April 26, 2017.
- For other compounds reported which did not exceed the 2L Standards, refer to the laboratory reports.

## **Appendix II – Photographs**




**Preliminary Site Assessment Report**  
**NCDOT Project I-5878, WBS Element 53078.1.1**  
**Parcel 200-Word A Fire Ministries-Former T-Mart**  
**Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina**  
**S&ME Project No. 4305-19-161**

<b>1</b>	<b>Location / Orientation</b>	View of site looking northeast.	Date: 10/23/2019
	<b>Remarks</b>	Note existing wells.	




<b>2</b>	<b>Location / Orientation</b>	View looking north-northeast at site.	Date: 10/23/2019
	<b>Remarks</b>	Note MW-3, RW-1 and DW-1 located onsite.	





**Preliminary Site Assessment Report**  
**NCDOT Project I-5878, WBS Element 53078.1.1**  
**Parcel 200-Word A Fire Ministries-Former T-Mart**  
**Dunn, Harnett County, North Carolina**  
**S&ME Project No. 4305-19-161**

<b>3</b>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; font-size: 0.8em; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <span>SE 150 S 180 SW 210 W 270 300</span> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px; font-size: 0.7em;"> <span>217°SW (T) ● 35°17'36"N, 78°36'17"W ±16ft ▲ 186ft</span> </div>  </div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <span>Date: 10/23/2019</span> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <span>Photographer: JTH</span> </div>				
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%; padding: 5px;"><b>Location / Orientation</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">View of free product in MW-3</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>Remarks</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">None</td> </tr> </table>		<b>Location / Orientation</b>	View of free product in MW-3	<b>Remarks</b>	None	
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	View of free product in MW-3					
<b>Remarks</b>	None					

<b>4</b>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; font-size: 0.8em; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <span>W 270 NW 300 N 330 NE 30 E 90</span> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px; font-size: 0.7em;"> <span>358°N (T) ● 35°17'36"N, 78°36'18"W ±16ft ▲ 186ft</span> </div>  </div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <span>Date: 10/23/2019</span> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <span>Photographer: JTH</span> </div>				
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%; padding: 5px;"><b>Location / Orientation</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">View looking north across site.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>Remarks</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Note adjoining BP I-Mart to the north.</td> </tr> </table>		<b>Location / Orientation</b>	View looking north across site.	<b>Remarks</b>	Note adjoining BP I-Mart to the north.	
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	View looking north across site.					
<b>Remarks</b>	Note adjoining BP I-Mart to the north.					

## **Appendix III – Boring Logs**





















**Appendix IV – Laboratory Analytical Reports and Chain of Custody**





### Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

**Client:** S & ME  
**Address:** RALEIGH, NC

**Samples taken** Wednesday, October 23, 2019  
**Samples extracted** Wednesday, October 23, 2019  
**Samples analysed** Friday, October 25, 2019

**Contact:** JAMIE HONEYCUTT

**Operator** CAROLINE STEVENS





**Project:** NCDOT I-5878 PARCEL 200

											F03640															
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	BaP	Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match													
										% light	% mid	% heavy														
s	B-1 (4-6')	23.0	<0.58	63.2	273.1	336.3	7.8	0.29	<0.023	99.5	0.4	0.1	Deg.Kerosene 89.1%,(FCM)													
s	B-2A (4-6')	33.9	<0.85	369.9	131.8	501.7	34.8	1.3	<0.034	99.6	0.4	0.1	Deg.Fuel 77.3%,(FCM)													
s	B-3 (4-6')	35.0	<0.88	<0.88	2.6	2.6	2.3	<0.28	<0.035	0	87.3	12.7	Deg Fuel 82.1%,(FCM)													
s	B-4 (4-6')	684.0	<17.1	3352	11718	15070	399.5	15	<0.68	99.8	0.2	0	Deg.Kerosene 89.4%,(FCM)													
Initial Calibrator QC check											OK		Final FCM QC Check											OK		98.8 %

Results generated by a QED HC-1 analyser. Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values are not corrected for moisture or stone content

Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification. The abbreviations are:- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence for sample fingerprint match to library

(SBS) or (LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate present





### Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

**Client:** S&ME  
**Address:** 3201 SPRING FOREST RD  
 RALEIGH NC

**Samples taken** Monday, October 28, 2019  
**Samples extracted** Monday, October 28, 2019  
**Samples analysed** Tuesday, October 29, 2019

**Contact:** JAMIE HONEYCUTT  
**Operator** MAX MOYER

**Project:** NCDOT I-5878 PARCEL 200

U00902

Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	BaP	% Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match
										C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18	
s	B-5 (4-6')	20.6	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.1	<0.17	<0.021	0	0	0	PHC not detected,(P)
s	B-6 (4-6')	20.2	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	1.5	0.82	<0.16	<0.02	0	69.5	30.5	V.Deg.PHC 77.5%,(FCM),(P)
s	B-7 (2-4')	21.5	<0.54	<0.54	13.8	13.8	6.6	0.29	<0.021	0	61.8	38.2	V.Deg.PHC 94.6%,(FCM),(P)
s	B-8 (4-6')	21.1	<0.53	<0.53	0.94	0.94	0.48	<0.17	<0.021	0	75.3	24.7	Deg Fuel 61%,(FCM),(P)
s	B-9 (4-6')	19.3	<0.48	48.9	20.1	69	29.1	1.1	<0.019	94.3	4.7	1	Deg.Fuel 55.5%,(FCM)

Initial Calibrator QC check **OK** Final FCM QC Check **OK** 99.6 %

Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.  
 Abbreviations :- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode : % = confidence of hydrocarbon identification : (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match : (T) = Turbid : (P) = Particulate detected  
 B = Blank Drift : (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (BO) = Background Organics detected : (OCR) = Outside cal range : (M) = Modified Result.  
 % Ratios estimated aromatic carbon number proportions : HC = Hydrocarbon : PHC = Petroleum HC : FP = Fingerprint only. **Data generated by HC-1 Analyser**

