Prepared for:

North Carolina Department of Transportation

Geotechnical Engineering Unit GeoEnvironmental Section 1589 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina, 27699-1589

# **Preliminary Site Assessment Report**

Sibbett Properties, Inc. Property Parcel # 20 307 West Lewis Street Whiteville, Columbus County, North Carolina US 701 Bypass (Madison St-Powell Blvd) from SR 1437 (Virgil Ave) to US 74/76 TIP Number: R-5020B WBS Element: 41499.1.3



Apex Companies, LLC (dba Apex Engineering, PC) 10610 Metromont Parkway, Suite 206 Charlotte, North Carolina 28269

Prepared by:

DocuSigned by:

Troy Holzschule 2D73445FBBB9455... Troy L. Holzschuh Assistant Project Manager

Reviewed by:

DocuSigned by: Win 3CB3ABA2358C407.

Eric Wysong, L.G. Project Manager NC Geologist License No. 2581



November 21, 2018

not considered final unless all signatures are completed

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
1.1	Site History1
1.2	Site Description
2.0	GEOLOGY
2.1	Regional Geology3
2.2	Site Geology
3.0	FIELD ACTIVITIES
3.1	Preliminary Activities
3.2	Site Reconnaissance4
3.3	Geophysics Survey Results4
3.4	Well Survey4
3.5	Soil Sampling4
3.6	Groundwater Sampling5
4.0	SAMPLING RESULTS
5.0	CONCLUSIONS
6.0	RECOMMENDATIONS

# TABLES

 Table 1
 UVF Onsite Hydrocarbon Analytical Soil Data

#### FIGURES

Figure 1Site Location MapFigure 2Site Map with Soil Boring LocationsFigure 3Onsite UVF Hydrocarbon Analysis Results - SoilFigure 4Site Map with Estimated Area of Groundwater Contamination

# APPENDICES

- Appendix A Photograph Log
- Appendix B Historical Records
- Appendix C Boring Logs
- Appendix D Geophysical Report
- Appendix E UVF Hydrocarbon Analysis Results



# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA) for the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) Parcel 20 performed by Apex Companies, LLC (Apex) (dba Apex Engineering, PC) on behalf of the NCDOT. The subject site of this PSA report will be affected by the widening of J.K. Powell Blvd. (US 701 Bypass) from Virgil Ave. to US 74/76. The Site is comprised of one parcel and is located at 307 West Lewis Street and is identified as Parcel 20, Sibbett Properties, Inc. Property, within the NCDOT R-5020B design project. The property is located on the southwestern corner of the intersection of South J.K. Powell Boulevard and West Lewis Street in Whiteville, Columbus County, North Carolina, as shown in the attached Site Location Map (**Figure 1**). The site investigation was conducted in accordance with Apex Company's Technical and Cost proposal dated May 15, 2018.

NCDOT contracted Apex to perform the PSA within the proposed right-of-way (ROW) and/or easement of the Parcel 20 Property due to the potential presence of contamination at the site and the fact that excavation and grading may occur within the area. The PSA was performed to evaluate if soils have been impacted as a result of past and present uses of the property within the proposed investigation area, if buried underground storage tanks (USTs) are present in the area of investigation, and if groundwater is impacted.

The following report presents the results of an electromagnetic (EM) and ground penetrating radar (GPR) evaluation to identify USTs in the investigation area and describes the subsurface field investigation conducted. The report includes the evaluation of field screening, as well as field analyses with regards to the presence or absence of soil and groundwater contamination within the area of investigation across Parcel 20. **Appendix A** includes a Photograph log for the site.

# 1.1 Site History

Parcel 20 has been identified with the address of 307 West Lewis Street. Based on a search of the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) UST database registry, no registered tanks were identified for the 307 West Lewis Street site. Additionally, the geophysical survey did not identify evidence of USTs on site. Apex personnel also reviewed the NCDEQ Incident Management Database and no groundwater incident number is associated with this parcel. However incident number 94050 is associated with Parcel 19, the neighboring property to the south with the address of 106 South J.K. Powell Boulevard. Parcel 19 and Parcel 20 are both owned by Sibbett Properties Inc. Sibbett Auto Sales operates on Parcel 19 and stores additional cars on Parcel 20. Additionally, Apex personnel verified in the field the presence of a remediation system and monitoring wells on this parcel. The remediation system trailer was



located on Parcel 19. The remediation system trailer was interconnected with PVC pipe and a series of vaults that were on parcel 19 and the adjacent parcel to the north, Parcel 20.

Parcel 19 currently operates as Sibbett Auto sales, however the site formerly operated as a petroleum retail facility and convenience store. According to available information, a former above ground storage tank (AST) system owned by Whiteville Oil Company, Inc. (Whiteville Oil) consisted of two 6,000-gallon capacity gasoline ASTs and one 280-gallon capacity kerosene AST. The tank system was taken out-of-service in July 2000 and were subsequently removed by Whiteville Oil. An air sparging (AS) system consisting of 30 AS wells, a soil vapor extraction (SVE) system consisting of 12 SVE wells and associated equipment began operating on November 29, 2004. Geological Resources, Inc. (GRI) performed a receptor survey on July 20, 2016 and reported that no water supply wells are located within 1,500 feet of the site. In a report prepared for Whiteville Oil by GRI dated August 11, 2016, GRI states that benzene, toluene, xylenes, MTBE and/or naphthalene exceeded the North Carolina 2L Groundwater Quality Standards (2L Standards) in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells located on Parcel 19 and neighboring parcels. However, none of the contamination concentrations exceeded the gross contamination levels. Additionally, GRI states that in accordance with House Bill 765, the site is now classified as a low risk incident. GRI recommends that the incident be closed. On September 13, 2016 NCDEQ responded to Whiteville Oil acknowledging that the site can be closed. However, property owners where contamination has migrated will be required to agree to land restrictions before the incident can be closed and a No Further Action letter issued. According to the Mike Haseltine of NCDEQ the incident has not yet been closed. Historical records can be found in Appendix B.

# 1.2 Site Description

The site is located in a mixed commercial and residential area of Columbus County, Whiteville, North Carolina. The property currently houses one brick building and a groundwater remediation system which consists of a series of vaults interconnected by PVC piping. The remediation trailer is located on the neighboring parcel to the south, Parcel 19. The site also contains monitoring wells. The rest of the parcel is covered with grass. South J.K. Powell borders the site to the east followed by Dale's Seafood. The western property line is bordered by a residence and to the north by West Lewis Street followed by a residence. The southern property line is bordered by Sibbett Auto Sales. The geophysical surveyor, Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, PC, (Pyramid) did not identify GPR anomalies characteristic of USTs in the investigation area.



# 2.0 GEOLOGY

# 2.1 Regional Geology

Parcel 20, Sibbett Properties, Inc. Property, is located within the Coastal Plain Physiographic Province. The Coastal Plain is the largest physiographic province in the state, covering about 45% of the land area. According to the US Geological Survey Hydrogeological framework of the North Carolina coastal plain, the geology consists of eastward-dipping and eastward-thickening series of sedimentary strata which range in age from Holocene to Cretaceous. The most common type of sediment types are sand and clay, although a significant amount of limestone occurs in the southern part of the coastal plain. The Site overlies surficial sediments (to approximately 30 to 40 feet bls), the PeeDee Confining unit (approximately 10 feet thick in this area), and the Late Cretaceous age Peedee Formation. The Peedee Formation is named for exposures along the great Peedee River, it preserves belemnites and foraminifera fossils dating from the Late Cretaceous. It generally consists of marine sand, clayey sand and clay (M.D. Winner Jr. and R.W. Coble, 1996, *Hydrogeologic Framework of the North Carolina Coastal Plain, Regional Aquifer-System Analysis – Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain*, USGS Professional Paper 1404-I).

# 2.2 Site Geology

Site geology was observed through the drilling and sampling of four direct push technology (DPT) soil borings (SB) onsite. **Figure 2** presents the boring locations and site layout. Borings did not exceed a total depth of five and a half feet below ground surface (bgs) because the design plan indicates fill be added and drainage features are not proposed. Soil consisting predominantly of tan sand to tan clayey silt was observed across the parcel. Borings on the site intercepted water at approximately three to four feet bgs. According to the topographical maps found on the Columbus County Geographic Information System (GIS) site, the parcel slopes from east to west. Although groundwater does not always follow topographic changes, the topography suggests that the direction of groundwater flow generally flows to the west towards Mollie Branch. Boring logs are presented in **Appendix C**.

# 3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

# 3.1 Preliminary Activities

Prior to commencing field sampling activities at the site, several tasks were accomplished in preparation for the subsurface investigation. A Health and Safety Plan (HASP) was prepared to include the site-specific health and safety information necessary for the field activities. North Carolina-One Call was contacted on May 25, 2018 to report the proposed drilling activities and



notify affected utilities. Apex subcontracted Pyramid to locate subsurface utilities and other subsurface drilling hazards as well as to perform a geophysical survey. Carolina Soil Investigations, LLC (CSI) of Olin, North Carolina was retained by Apex to perform the direct push sampling for soil borings. REDLAB, LLC (REDLAB) provided an ultraviolet fluorescence (UVF) Hydrocarbon Analyzer and Eastern Solutions provided a calibrated Flame Ionization/Photoionization Detector (FID/PID). Boring locations were strategically placed in a pattern within the area of investigation to maximize the opportunity to encounter potentially contaminated soil.

# 3.2 Site Reconnaissance

Apex personnel performed a site reconnaissance on June 6, 2018. During the site reconnaissance, the area was visually examined for the presence of potential USTs or areas/obstructions that could potentially affect the subsurface investigation. The proposed boring locations were marked based on the site inspection and geophysical survey results. Apex personnel also used the site visit as an opportunity to contact the property manager/owner to inform them of upcoming field activities.

## 3.3 Geophysics Survey Results

The geophysical survey of the site was conducted from May 30, 2018 to June 6, 2018. Pyramid performed an EM induction metal survey followed by a GPR survey. A copy of the Geophysical Report is presented in **Appendix D**. A total of two EM anomalies were identified. These areas were associated with visible cultural features at the ground surface which included parked cars, well covers and utilities associated with a remediation system. The anomies were investigated further with the GPR method. Pyramid concluded no evidence of larger substructures such as USTs were observed. Geophysical data did not indicate evidence of metallic USTs on Parcel 20.

#### 3.4 Well Survey

According to a receptor survey completed on July 20, 2016, no water supply wells are located within a 1,500-foot radius of the site. One active monitoring well was observed within the investigation area of Parcel 19, and as discussed in Section 1.1, Site History of the parcel has a historic groundwater remediation system.

# 3.5 Soil Sampling

Apex conducted drilling activities at the site on June 6, 2018. The purpose of soil sampling was to determine if a petroleum release had occurred within the investigation area, and if so, to estimate the volume of impacted soil that might require special handling during construction activities. Apex drilling subcontractor, CSI, advanced four direct push soil borings within the proposed investigation area. The four boring locations were placed in locations to maximize the



likelihood of identifying potential soil contamination. **Figure 2** presents the Site Map with boring locations and site structures.

Soil sampling was performed utilizing hand auger and direct push methods accompanied by field screening of volatile organic vapors with the FID/PID unit and onsite quantitative analyses with the UVF Hydrocarbon Analyzer. One to two intervals of the soil boring, exhibiting the most elevated FID/PID readings, were selected for onsite quantitative analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in soil using the REDLAB UVF Hydrocarbon Analyzer. The analysis was performed onsite by Mr. Thomas Fisher, a certified REDLAB UVF technician with Apex. The UVF results were generated concurrent with soil boring activities so that rapid assessment could be utilized for strategic boring placement.

## 3.6 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater was encountered on site at a depth ranging from three to four feet bgs. However, soil contamination was not evident in the water table smear zone based on FID/PID field screening or UVF hydrocarbon analysis. There is no evidence of significant petroleum hydrocarbon contamination of groundwater onsite, within the area of investigation. Historical reports indicate that benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, MTBE and naphthalene are present in MW-7, MW-8, and MW-9 located on the southern, northeastern, and northwestern portion of the site.

# 4.0 SAMPLING RESULTS

Based on FID/PID field screening and onsite UVF hydrocarbon analysis from the June 2018 soil sampling there is little evidence of significant petroleum hydrocarbon contamination onsite, within the area of investigation.

Elevated PID readings were not observed above ten parts per million (ppm). PID reading ranged from non-detectable to 5.1 ppm. Elevated FID readings, above ten parts per million (ppm), were observed in boring SB-1. In this boring, the FID readings were 27.1 ppm in soils above the smear zone. Below the water table, the FID readings ranged from 25.6 to 74.6 ppm. The FID/PID field screening results are provided on the boring logs-in **Appendix C**.

Soil concentrations of TPH gasoline range organics (GRO) and diesel range organics (DRO) measured using the onsite UVF unit are presented in **Table 1**, with instrument generated tables and chromatographs in **Appendix E**. **Figure 3** presents the TPH-GRO and TPH-DRO results at each boring.

Based on the UVF analyses, TPH-GRO was not identified on Parcel 20. However, TPH-DRO was identified in soils on Parcel 20 at levels near detection limits. TPH-GRO concentrations



were below detectable levels. TPH-DRO concentrations ranged from below detectable levels to 0.2 mg/kg (P-20-SB-1) for soils sampled above the smear zone. TPH-DRO concentrations were below detectable levels for soils sampled below the smear zone. TPH-GRO concentrations did not exceed the regulatory action level of 50 mg/kg and the TPH-DRO concentrations did not exceed the regulatory action level of 100 mg/kg.

In a report dated August 11, 2016 MW-7 and MW-9 located within the investigation area on the northern portion of the parcel did contain benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene xylenes, MTBE and naphthalene. Elevated concentrations were noted for benzene in MW-9 and for benzene and naphthalene in MW-7. These are the only two monitoring wells within the investigation area to indicate elevated concentrations. Groundwater flows northwest. **Appendix B** provides historical groundwater data and **Figure 4** provides potential area of groundwater contamination. The estimated area of groundwater impact within proposed ROW of Parcel 20 is approximately 8,749 square feet in size.

# 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on site observations and onsite UVF analysis, petroleum-impacted soil contamination was not identified above the NCDEQ Action level of 50 mg/kg for TPH-GRO and was not identified above the NCDEQ Action level of 100 mg/kg for TPH-DRO.

The following bulleted summary is based upon Apex's evaluation of field observations and onsite quantitative analyses of samples collected from the Site on June 6, 2018.

- Results of the geophysical survey did not produce evidence of anomalies characteristic of USTs.
- Four soil borings were advanced onsite. Soil samples collected from each boring were analyzed in the field using a REDLAB UVF Hydrocarbon Analyzer.
- Soil samples analyzed using the UVF did not contain TPH-GRO concentrations above their respective NCDEQ Action levels of 50 mg/kg.
- Soil samples analyzed using the UVF did not contain TPH-DRO concentrations above their respective NCDEQ Action levels of 100 mg/kg.
- Groundwater was encountered on site at three to four feet bgs. Groundwater contamination was not evident based on FID/PID field screening or UVF hydrocarbon analysis.



- A former AST system owned by Whiteville Oil consisted of two 6,000-gallon capacity gasoline ASTs and one 280-gallon capacity kerosene ASTs were taken out-of-service in July 2000 and have since been removed by Whiteville Oil. To address both parcels 19 and 20, an AS system consisting of 30 AS wells, an SVE system consisting of 12 SVE wells, and associated equipment began operating on November 29, 2004.
- Historically, benzene, MTBE and/or naphthalene were found in groundwater at concentrations exceeding their respective 2L Standards. There is an open groundwater incident for the site. Based on the low risk ranking, the site will be closed once land use restrictions for all impacted properties are approved. No evidence of groundwater contamination was observed during this preliminary site assessment.

# 6.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on these PSA results, Apex does not recommend further assessment or soil sampling in the area of investigation. A remediation system composed of AS and SVE wells and vaults, as well as the associated PVC system piping is located on site. This treatment system and associated monitoring wells will need to be abandoned prior to construction activities. Groundwater impacted with low levels of petroleum fuel related compounds may be encountered and generated during construction activities. This groundwater will need to be managed in accordance with applicable requirements.



TABLES



#### Table 1 UVF Onsite Hydrocarbon Analytical Soil Data from June 2018 R-5020B, Parcel 20, Sibbett Properties, inc. Property Whiteville, Columbus County, North Carolina

Sample ID Number	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	GRO (mg/kg) (C5-C10)	DRO (mg/kg) (C10-C35)	
			SOIL		
NCDEQ Action Level in I	mg/kg		50	100	
P-20-SB-1	6/6/2018	1 - 2	<0.2	0.2	
P-20-SB-1	6/6/2018	5 - 5.5	<0.19	<0.19	
P-20-SB-2	6/6/2018	2 - 3	<0.64	<0.64	
P-20-SB-2	6/6/2018	5 - 5.5	<0.24	<0.24	
P-20-SB-3	6/6/2018	2 - 3	<0.65	<0.65	
P-20-SB-3	6/6/2018	5 - 5.5	<0.6	<0.6	
P-20-SB-4*	6/6/2018	2 - 3	<0.23	<0.23	
P-20-SB-4	6/6/2018	5 - 5.5	<0.21	<0.21	
P-20-DUP	6/6/2018		<0.23	<0.23	
NOTES: (mg/kg) = Milligrams per kilogram * = Duplicate Sample was collected GRO = Gasoline Range Organics					

ft bgs = feet below ground surface TPH - GRO values in exceedance of NCDEQ Action Level of 50 mg/kg are shown in Bold

TPH - DRO values in exceedance of NCDEQ Action Level of 100 mg/kg are shown in Bold

FIGURES











# APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPH LOG





#### Photo 1

View of the site from the southeast corner of the site as seen from JK Powell Blvd.



## Photo 2

View west towards a residential area and onsite remediation wells and monitoring wells.

10610 Metromont Pkwy Suite 206 Charlotte, NC 28269



WBS 41499.1.3 PROCESSED TLH DATE June 2018 PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG PSA Field Activities Parcel 20 Sibbett Properties, Inc. Property Whiteville, NC



#### Photo 1

View of CSI clearing for utilities prior to direct push drilling.



# Photo 2

View of onsite remediation vault and monitoring well.

10610 Metromont Pkwy Suite 206 Charlotte, NC 28269



WBS 41499.1.3 PROCESSED TLH DATE June 2018 PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG PSA Field Activities Parcel 20 Sibbett Properties, Inc. Property Whiteville, NC

# APPENDIX B HISTORICAL REPORTS





# **Geological Resources, Inc.**

August 11, 2016

Ms. Debbie Mayo NCDEQ – DWM, UST Section Wilmington Regional Office 127 Cardinal Drive Extension Wilmington, NC 28405

Re: Ground Water Monitoring Report July 2016 701 Service Station 106 JK Powell Blvd Whiteville, Columbus County, North Carolina Incident Number: 94050 Risk Classification/Ranking: Pending GRI Project No: 2598

Dear Ms. Mayo,

Please find enclosed the referenced report for the above mentioned site. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Justin Radford, P.G. at 704-845-4010.

Sincerely, Geological Resources, Inc.

Jackie Donnelly Project Coordinator

Enclosure

Cc: Mr. Sammy Black, Whiteville Oil Company file

3502 Hayes Road • Monroe, North Carolina 28110 113 West Firetower Road, Suite G • Winterville, North Carolina 28590 Phone (704) 845-4010 • (888) 870-4133 • Fax (704) 845-4012

#### GROUND WATER MONITORING REPORT JULY 2016 701 SERVICE STATION 106 JK POWELL BOULEVARD WHITEVILLE, COLUMBUS COUNTY INCIDENT NO. 94050 RISK CLASSIFICATION/RANKING: PENDING GRI PROJECT NO. 2598

Prepared for:

Whiteville Oil Company Post Office Box 689 Whiteville, North Carolina 28472

Prepared by:

Geological Resources, Inc. 3502 Hayes Road Monroe, North Carolina 28110 (704) 845-4010

August 11, 2016

Y. Read Williamson (For) Lisa Weathersby

#### SITE IDENTIFICATION

•	Facility Name: Location:	701 Service Station 106 JK Powell Boulevard Whiteville, Columbus County
•	Ground Water Incident No.: Facility ID No: Risk Classification: Land Use Classification:	94050 N/A Pending Commercial
٠	Property Owner:	Sibbett Properties, Inc. 2402 Canal Cove Road Lake Waccamaw, North Carolina 28450
٠	AST Owner/Operator:	Whiteville Oil Company, Inc. Post Office Box 689 Whiteville, North Carolina 28472
•	Consultant:	Geological Resources, Inc. 3502 Hayes Road Monroe, North Carolina 28110 (704) 845-4010
•	Laboratory:	Accutest Laboratories 4405 Vineland Road, Suite C-15 Orlando, Florida 32811 (407) 425-6700 State Certification Number: 573
•	<b>Release Information</b>	
•	Date Discovered:	June 30, 2000
٠	<b>Estimated Quantity of Release:</b>	Approximately 400 gallons of gasoline
٠	Cause of Release:	Leaking AST System
٠	Source of Release:	AST System
•	UST System Size/Contents:	(2) 6,000-gallon gasoline and (1) 280-gallon kerosene ASTs.
•	Latitude/Longitude:	34.328556° North / 78.708778° West

#### Certification

I, William L. Regenthal, a Licensed Geologist for Geological Resources, Inc., do certify that the information contained in this report is correct and accurate to the best of new knowledge.

r

Geological Resources, Inc. is licensed to practice geology and on smeering in North Carolina. The certification numbers of the company are C-127 and C-2727, respectively.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The 701 Service Station site is located at 106 JK Powell Boulevard in Whiteville, Columbus County, North Carolina. The adjoining properties are commercial and residential. The release was discovered in June 2000 from an above ground storage tank (AST) system. Municipal water is available to the site and surrounding properties.

A Receptor Survey was completed on July 20, 2016. No water supply wells were identified within a 1,500-foot radius of the site. No surface water bodies were identified within a 500-foot radius of the site. The site does not lie within a designated wellhead protection area.

On July 20, 2016, eight Type II monitoring wells were gauged, purged and sampled. Concentrations of requested method constituents that exceeded the Maximum Allowable Concentrations (MACs) specified in T15A NCAC 2L.0202 were reported in the ground water samples collected from MW-2, MW-7 through MW-10 and MW-13. None of the reported contaminant concentrations exceeded the gross contamination levels (GCLs). Oxygen releasing compound (ORC) treated socks were reinstalled in MW-7, MW-8 and MW-9 at the conclusion of the sampling event.

In accordance with House Bill 765, risked based assessments were approved to be implemented on above ground storage tank petroleum incidents. Based on the current site information, the incident is located in a low risk area and would be classified as a Low Risk incident. Therefore, upon NCDEQ implementing the risk based assessments, the incident should be closed upon completion of a Land Use Restriction.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	SITE HISTORY AND CHARACTERIZATION	1
2.0	CURRENT SITE ASSESSMENT	1
3.0	RECEPTOR SURVEY	1
3.0	GROUND WATER QUALITY	1
4.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2
5.0	LIMITATIONS	2

#### FIGURES

Figure	1:	Site	Lo	cat	ior	l l	Мар
	-					_	-

- Site Vicinity Map
- Figure 2 : Figure 3: Site Map
- Figure 4: Water Table Surface Map
- Ground Water Quality Map Figure 5:

#### TABLES

Table 1:	Adjacent Property Owner Information
Table 2:	Summary of Ground Water Elevation Data
Table 3:	Summary of Laboratory Analytical Results - Ground Water Samples

#### APPENDIX

Appendix A: Laboratory Report - Ground Water Samples

#### 1.0 SITE HISTORY AND CHARACTERIZATION

The 701 Service Station site is a former petroleum retail facility and convenience store located at 106 JK Powell Boulevard in Whiteville, Columbus County, North Carolina (**Figure 1**). According to available information, a former AST system owned by Whiteville Oil Company, Inc. (Whiteville Oil) consisting of two 6,000-gallon gasoline ASTs and one 280-gallon kerosene AST were taken out-of-service in July 2000 and have since been removed by Whiteville Oil. An air sparging (AS) system consisting of 30 AS wells, a soil vapor extraction (SVE) system consisting of 12 SVE wells, and associated equipment began operation on November 29, 2004. Previous assessment and remediation activities were conducted by CBM Environmental Services, Inc. (CBM). Whiteville Oil c/o BB&T Wealth Management contracted Geological Resources, Inc. (GRI) in September 2007 to take over as the environmental consultant for the site.

Please note that certain information contained in this report was obtained under the supervision of previous consultants. Although GRI cannot verify the accuracy of this information, for the purposes of this report it is assumed to be correct.

#### 2.0 CURRENT SITE ASSESSMENT

The purpose of this report is to present the results of receptor survey and ground water sampling activities conducted on July 20, 2016, at the former 701 Service Station site. The activities were conducted in accordance with GRI proposal number 16-087 which was submitted to Whiteville Oil Company and approved on July 7, 2016. The purpose of the activities was to obtain current receptor and ground water quality information for the site.

#### **3.0 RECEPTOR SURVEY**

A receptor survey was completed on July 20, 2016. No water supply wells were identified within a 1,500 foot radius of the site. Municipal water is available to the site and the surrounding properties. No surface water bodies were identified within a 500-foot radius of the site. The site does not lie within a designated wellhead protection area.

#### 4.0 GROUND WATER QUALITY

Eight Type II monitoring wells (MW-2, MW-7 through MW-10, MW-13, MW-14 and MW 15) were gauged, purged and sampled on July 20, 2016. The depths to ground water in the Type II monitoring wells during the July 2016 sampling event ranged from 1.73 to 6.12 feet below the tops of well casings. Ground water elevations in the Type II monitoring wells relative to a temporary benchmark of 100.00 feet established previously by CBM ranged from 82.56 to 93.88 feet. Based on this information, ground water flow was generally toward the northwest. The average horizontal hydraulic gradient across the site during the July 2016 sampling event was

approximately 0.04 feet per foot. A Site Map showing the locations of monitoring wells and structures onsite has been included as **Figure 2**. A Water Table Surface Map based on the July 2016 gauging event is included as **Figure 3**. A summary of ground water elevation data is presented in **Table 1**.

Laboratory analyses were performed on the ground water samples collected from the monitoring wells during the July 2016 sampling event for volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 602. Concentrations of benzene, toluene, xylenes, MTBE and/or naphthalene that exceeded the Maximum Allowable Concentrations (MACs) specified in T15A NCAC 2L.0202 were reported in the ground water samples collected from MW-2, MW-7 through MW-10 and MW-13. None of the reported contaminant concentrations exceeded the Gross Contamination Levels (GCLs). A Ground Water Quality Map based on data from the July 2016 sampling event has been included as **Figure 4**. A summary of ground water sample analytical results is presented in **Table 2**. A complete copy of the laboratory report has been included in **Appendix A**.

Oxygen releasing compound (ORC) treated socks were reinstalled in MW-7, MW-8 and MW-9 at the conclusion of the sampling event.

#### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- The average depth to water during the July 2016 sampling event was approximately 3.95 feet below the tops of well casings. The ground water flow direction in July 2016 was generally toward the northwest with an average horizontal hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.04 feet per foot.
- Concentrations of benzene, toluene, xylenes, MTBE and/or naphthalene that exceeded the MACs were reported in the ground water samples collected from MW-2, MW-7 through MW-10, and MW-13. None of the reported contaminant concentrations exceeded the GCLs.
- In accordance with House Bill 765, risked based assessments were approved to be implemented on above ground storage tank petroleum incidents. Based on the current site information, the incident is located in a low risk area and would be classified as a Low Risk incident. Therefore, upon NCDEQ implementing the risk based assessments, the incident should be closed upon completion of a Land Use Restriction.

#### 6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Whiteville Oil Company for specific application to the referenced site in Columbus County, North Carolina. The assessment was conducted based on the scope of work and level of effort specified by NCDEQ and with resources adequate only for that scope of work. Our findings have been developed in accordance with generally accepted standards of geology and hydrogeology practices in the State of North Carolina, available information, and our professional judgment. No other warranty is expressed

or implied.

The data that are presented in this report are indicative of conditions that existed at the precise locations sampled and at the time the samples were collected. In addition, the data obtained from samples would be interpreted as being meaningful with respect to parameters indicated in the laboratory report. No additional information can logically be inferred from these data. Please note that certain information contained in this report was not obtained under the direct supervision of GRI personnel. Therefore, GRI cannot verify the accuracy of this information. However, for the purpose of this report, GRI assumes the information is correct.

FIGURES



Generated by Geological Resources, Inc.





Braswell House MW-14 MW-13		
LEWIS STREET	Ś	
MW-10 MW-8 MW-8 MW-8 MW-2 ASPHALT7 Stat. MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-4 MW-12 PHILLIPS STREET	J. K. POWELL BLVD. / 701 BYPAS	Sign
0 50 100 200		

Date:

MW-16





86 Braswell House MW-14 (82.56) X LEWIS STREET 92		
MW-10 (91.24) (92.35)	J. K. POWELL BLVD. / 701 BYPASS	
0 50 100 200 ( IN FEET ) 1 inch = 100 ft		701 Inci GRI Date:







Geological Resources, Inc.

0 50	100	200	701
	Incid GRI Pi		
1 Ìi	nch = 100 ft		Date:



(NS)

TABLES

# TABLE 1ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION701 SERVICE STATION (INCIDENT NO. 94050)

#### Date: 08/01/16

Facility ID #: <u>NA</u>

Parcel ID No.	Property Owner Name	Mailing Address		
0281.04-80-0798.000 (Site)	Sibbett Properties Inc.	2402 Canal Cove Road		
0281.04-80-0855.000	Sibbett Hoperties, inc.	Lake Waccamaw, North Carolina 28450		
0281.04-70-8870.000	Wilbur G. Best, Jr.	311 W Lewis Street Whiteville, North Carolina 28472		
0281.04-80-3931.000	John E. and Sara B. Thompson	115 W Main Street Whiteville, North Carolina 28472		
0281.04-80-4707.000	Corold & Corold Inc	107 S Powell Boulevard		
0281.04-80-3657.000	Geraid & Geraid, Inc.	Whiteville, North Carolina 28472		
0281.04-80-0593.000	Whiteville ABC Store	112 S JK Powell Boulevard Whiteville, North Carolina 28472		
0281.04-80-0631.000	Juanita G. Troy	30 Scranton Court Cameron, North Carolina 28326		
0281.04-70-9680.000	James O. & Edith G. Price	304 W Phillip Street Whiteville, North Carolina 28472		

• This information is based on the Columbus County GIS website.

• Properties are keyed to Figure 2.
# TABLE 2SUMMARY OF GROUND WATER ELEVATION DATA701 SERVICE STATION (INCIDENT NO. 94050)

## Date: <u>07/29/16</u>

Facility ID # : <u>N/A</u>

Well No.	Date Water Level Measured	Top of Casing	Depth to	Depth to Ground	Ground Water
	(mm/dd/yy)	Elevation	Product	Water	Elevation
MW-1	11/20/07	99.34	NA	NF	NF
	11/20/07			9.55	90.45
	12/19/07			10.00	90.00
	06/02/09			7 21	92.79
	11/17/09			6.23	93.77
	05/05/10			6.20	93.80
MW-2	11/16/10	100.00	NA	6.38	93.62
	07/19/12			6.54	93.46
	12/12/12			6.78	93.22
	09/22/14			6.08	93.92
	03/03/15			6.03	93.97
	07/20/16			6.12	93.88
MW-3	11/20/07	99.00	NA	NF	NF
	11/20/07			NM	NM 02.81
	12/19/07			0.02 NM	92.81 NM
	06/02/09			NM	NM
MW-4	11/17/09	99.43	NA	NM	NM
,, ,	05/05/10		- •• •	NM	NM NM
	09/16/11			NM	NM NM
	07/19/12			NM	NM
	12/12/12			NM	NM
	11/20/07			<u>NM</u>	NM 01.28
	11/25/08			<u> </u>	91.28 NM
	06/02/09			NM	NM
MW-5	11/17/09	96.98	NA	NM	NM
	05/05/10			NM NM	NM NM
	09/16/11			NM	NM
	07/19/12			NM	NM
	12/12/12			NM	NM
	12/19/07			10.85	89.77
	11/25/08			NM	NM
	06/02/09			NM	NM
MW-6	11/17/09	100.62	NA	NM NM	NM NM
	11/16/10			NM	NM
	09/16/11			NM	NM
	07/19/12			NM	NM
	12/12/12			NM 9.18	NM 88.63
	12/19/07			9.65	88.16
	11/25/08			6.10	91.71
	06/02/09			4.70	93.11
	05/05/10			6.14	91.67
MW-7	11/16/10	97 81	NA	6.33	91.48
TAT AA - 1	09/16/11	27.01	1 12 1	5.33	92.48
	0//19/12			6.82	90.99 90.88
	09/22/14			4.10	93.71
	03/03/15			3.70	94.11
	09/04/15			7.87	89.94
	07/20/16			5.46	<u>92.35</u> 86.81
	12/19/07			14.45	80.66
	11/25/08			2.87	92.24
	06/02/09			1.95	93.16
	05/05/10			3.32	91.79
MW-8	11/16/10	95 11	NA	3.60	91.51
141 44 -0	09/16/11	<i>J</i> J.11	1 12 1	3.20	91.91
	0//19/12 12/12/12			4.55	90.56
	09/22/14			2.22	92.89
	03/03/15			0.43	94.68
	09/04/15			6.29	88.82
I	0//20/10			5.30	71./J

# TABLE 2SUMMARY OF GROUND WATER ELEVATION DATA701 SERVICE STATION (INCIDENT NO. 94050)

## Date: <u>07/29/16</u>

Facility ID # : <u>N/A</u>

Well No.	Date Water Level Measured (mm/dd/yy)	Top of Casing Elevation	Depth to Product	Depth to Ground Water	Ground Water Elevation
	11/20/07			8.25	84.72
	12/19/07			10.55	82.42
	11/25/08			2.38	90.59
	11/17/09			0.00	91.00
	05/05/10			2.60	90.37
MW-9	11/16/10	92 97	NΔ	2.50	90.47
141 44 -2	09/16/11	12.11	1 17 1	2.17	90.80
	07/19/12			3.15	89.82
	09/22/14			1.05	91.92
	03/03/15			TOC	NM
	09/04/15			5.19	87.78
	07/20/16			1.73	91.24
	11/20/07			9.40	81.73
	11/25/08			2.91	88.22
	06/02/09			2.28	88.85
	11/17/09			1.15	89.98
	05/05/10			4.12	87.01
MW-10	09/16/11	91.13	NA	<u> </u>	<u>87.79</u> 89.89
	07/19/12			4.66	86.47
	12/12/12			4.01	87.12
	09/22/14			3.05	88.08
	03/03/15			1.89	89.24
	09/04/15			2.69	80.04
	11/20/07			NM	NM
	12/19/07			14.75	79.02
	11/25/08			NM	NM
	06/02/09			NM	NM
MW-11	05/05/10	93.77	NA	NM NM	NM
	11/16/10			NM	NM
	09/16/11			NM	NM
	07/19/12			NM	NM
	12/12/12			NM NM	NM NM
	12/19/07			6.80	88.26
	11/25/08			NM	NM
	06/02/09			NM	NM
MW-12	11/17/09	95.06	NA	NM	NM
	05/05/10			NM NM	NM NM
	09/16/11			NM	NM
	07/19/12			NM	NM
	12/12/12			NM	NM
	11/20/07			7.25	82.14
	12/19/07			<u> </u>	86 59
	06/02/09			0.42	88.97
	11/17/09			4.25	85.14
	05/05/10			3.32	86.07
MW-13	11/16/10	89.39	NA	5.60	83.79
	07/19/12			5.25	84.44
	12/12/12			2.56	86.83
	09/22/14			1.44	87.95
	03/03/15			TOC	NM 92.26
	09/04/15			0.03	85.30 85.20
	11/20/07		<u> </u>	NM	NM
	12/19/07			11.65	76.64
	11/25/08			8.08	80.21
	06/02/09			2.82	85.47
	05/05/10			5.01	<u>83</u> 28
<b>NATS</b> 7 14	11/16/10	00 20	NT A	8.24	80.05
IVI W -14	09/16/11	88.29	INA	4.87	83.42
	07/19/12			7.66	80.63
	12/12/12			8.27	80.02
	03/03/15			2.07	04.87 86.22
	09/04/15			8.41	79.88
	07/20/16			5.73	82.56

## TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF GROUND WATER ELEVATION DATA 701 SERVICE STATION (INCIDENT NO. 94050)

<b>Date:</b> 07/29/16	
-----------------------	--

Facility ID # : <u>N/A</u>

Well No.	Date Water Level Measured (mm/dd/yy)	Top of Casing Elevation	Depth to Product	Depth to Ground Water	Ground Water Elevation
	11/20/07			NM	NM
	12/19/07			9.20	79.00
	11/25/08			2.95	85.25
	06/02/09			0.00	88.20
	11/17/09			6.75	81.45
	05/05/10			2.51	85.69
MW 15	11/16/10	88.20	NΛ	7.79	80.41
101 00 -1.5	09/16/11	00.20	INA	8.11	80.09
	07/19/12			7.59	80.61
	12/12/12			1.67	86.53
	09/22/14			1.31	86.89
	03/03/15			TOC	NM
	09/04/15			6.46	Ground           Water           Elevation           NM           79.00           85.25           88.20           81.45           85.69           80.41           80.09           80.61           86.53           86.89           NM           81.74           85.79           NM           83.54           NM           NM
	07/20/16			2.41	85.79
	11/20/07			NM	NM
	12/19/07			10.45	83.54
	11/25/08			NM	NM
	06/02/09			NM	NM
MW 16	11/17/09	03 00	NΛ	NM	NM
101 00 -10	05/05/10	95.99	INA	NM	NM
	11/16/10			NM	NM
	09/16/11			NM	NM
	07/19/12			NM	NM
	12/12/12			NM	NM
	11/20/07			NM	NM
	12/19/07			21.55	78.04
	11/25/08			NM	NM
	06/02/09			NM	NM
$TW_{-1}$	11/17/09	00 50	NΔ	NM	NM
1 VV -1	05/05/10	)).))		NM	NM
	11/16/10			NM	NM
	09/16/11			NM	NM
	07/19/12			NM	NM
	12/12/12			NM	NM

Notes:

Elevations relative to a temporary benchmark with an assumed datum of 100.00 feet; data reported in feet.
NF = Not found; well assumed to be destroyed.

NM = Not neasured.
TW-1 is a Type III monitoring well.
TOC = Ground Water observed above top of well casing.

Page 3 of 3

DocuSign Envelope ID: 86AB8CDF-4211-4CF4-94E3-C615FEFADA96

APPENDIX

### APPENDIX A

Laboratory Report - Ground Water Samples



**Report to:** 

GRI 3502 Hayes Rd Monroe, NC 28110 jjr@geologicalresourcesinc.com; carriekennedy@geologicalresourcesinc.com; jjr@geologicalresourcesinc.com ATTN: Justin Radford

Total number of pages in report: 25



Norme Farm

Norm Farmer Technical Director

Test results contained within this data package meet the requirements of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program and/or state specific certification programs as applicable.

Client Service contact: Muna Mohammed 407-425-6700

Certifications: FL (E83510), LA (03051), KS (E-10327), IA (366), IL (200063), NC (573), NJ (FL002), SC (96038001) DoD ELAP (L-A-B L2229), CA (2937), TX (T104704404), PA (68-03573), VA (460177), AK, AR, GA, KY, MA, NV, OK, UT, WA This report shall not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written approval of SGS Accutest. Test results relate only to samples analyzed.

Southeast • 4405 Vineland Road • Suite C-15 • Orlando, FL 32811 • tel: 407-425-6700 • fax: 407-425-0707 • http://www.accutest.com



## **Table of Contents**

#### -1-

Section 1: Sample Summary	3
Section 2: Summary of Hits	4
Section 3: Sample Results	6
3.1: FA35610-1: MW-2	7
3.2: FA35610-2: MW-7	8
3.3: FA35610-3: MW-8	9
3.4: FA35610-4: MW-9	10
3.5: FA35610-5: MW-10	11
<b>3.6:</b> FA35610-6: MW-13	12
3.7: FA35610-7: MW-14	13
3.8: FA35610-8: MW-15	14
Section 4: Misc. Forms	15
4.1: Chain of Custody	16
Section 5: GC/MS Volatiles - QC Data Summaries	19
5.1: Method Blank Summary	20
5.2: Blank Spike Summary	22
5.3: Matrix Spike Summary	24
5.4: Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary	25



SGS

G

## Sample Summary

GRI (Geological Resources Inc.)

Job No: FA35610

701 Service Station; 106 JK Powell Blvd, Whiteville, NC Project No: 94050/2598

Sample Number	Collected Date	Time By	Received	Matri Code	ix Type	Client Sample ID
FA35610-1	07/20/16	11:33 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-2
FA35610-2	07/20/16	11:20 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-7
FA35610-3	07/20/16	11:24 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-8
FA35610-4	07/20/16	11:10 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-9
FA35610-5	07/20/16	11:07 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-10
FA35610-6	07/20/16	12:04 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-13
FA35610-7	07/20/16	11:55 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-14
FA35610-8	07/20/16	12:10 ZC	07/22/16	AQ	Ground Water	MW-15



3 of 25

FA35610

## Summary of Hits

Job Number:	FA35610
Account:	GRI (Geological Resources Inc.)
Project:	701 Service Station; 106 JK Powell Blvd, Whiteville, NC
Collected:	07/20/16

Lab Sample ID Analyte	Client Sample ID	Result/ Qual	RL	MDL	Units	Method
FA35610-1	MW-2					
Benzene Di-Isopropyl Eth Ethylbenzene Methyl Tert Buty Naphthalene Toluene Xylene (total)	er 1 Ether	21.5 0.55 65.7 30.2 98.5 0.24 J 0.52 J	0.50 0.50 2.5 0.50 2.5 0.50 1.5	0.13 0.13 0.63 0.13 0.63 0.13 0.63 0.13 0.31	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B
FA35610-2	MW-7					
Benzene Di-Isopropyl Eth Ethylbenzene Methyl Tert Buty Naphthalene Toluene Xylene (total)	er 1 Ether	132 3.9 145 13.5 59.6 9.2 152	2.5 0.50 2.5 0.50 2.5 0.50 7.5	0.63 0.13 0.63 0.13 0.63 0.13 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B
FA35610-3	MW-8					
Benzene Di-Isopropyl Eth Ethylbenzene Methyl Tert Buty Naphthalene Toluene Xylene (total)	er 1 Ether	381 8.9 J 237 212 140 1260 1580	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 75	6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 15	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B
FA35610-4	MW-9					
Benzene <sup>a</sup> Di-Isopropyl Eth Ethylbenzene <sup>a</sup> Methyl Tert Buty Naphthalene <sup>a</sup> Toluene <sup>a</sup> Xylene (total) <sup>a</sup>	er <sup>a</sup> 'l Ether <sup>a</sup>	28.7 0.20 J 8.6 3.1 3.1 0.22 J 6.5	$\begin{array}{c} 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$	0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.31	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B
FA35610-5	MW-10					
Benzene Di-Isopropyl Eth Methyl Tert Buty	er 1 Ether	2.2 11.6 43.9	0.50 0.50 0.50	0.13 0.13 0.13	ug/l ug/l ug/l	SM 6200B SM 6200B SM 6200B





4 of 25

ACCUTEST FA35610

## **Summary of Hits**

·	
Job Number:	FA35610
Account:	GRI (Geological Resources Inc.)
Project:	701 Service Station; 106 JK Powell Blvd, Whiteville, NC
Collected:	07/20/16

Lab Sample ID Client Sample ID Analyte	Result/ Qual	RL	MDL	Units	Method
FA35610-6 MW-13					
Di-Isopropyl Ether Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	0.57 31.2	0.50 0.50	0.13 0.13	ug/l ug/l	SM 6200B SM 6200B
Di-Isopropyl Ether Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.5 14.1	0.50 0.50	0.13 0.13	ug/l ug/l	SM 6200B SM 6200B
FA35610-8 MW-15					
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	0.31 J	0.50	0.13	ug/l	SM 6200B

(a) Sample was not preserved to a pH < 2.

N





Section 3 😡

Sample Results

Report of Analysis



			Repo	ort of A	Analysis		Page 1 of 1
Client Sar	nple ID: MW-	2					
Lab Samp	le ID: FA35	610-1				Date Sampled:	07/20/16
Matrix:	AQ -	Ground Wa	ater			Date Received:	07/22/16
Method:	SM 6	200B				<b>Percent Solids:</b>	n/a
Project:	701 S	ervice Stati	on; 106 JK Pow	ell Blvd,	Whiteville, NC		
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Bate	h Analytical Batch
Run #1	E047257.D	1	07/25/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1565
Run #2	E047278.D	5	07/26/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1566
	Purge Volum	e					
Run #1	10.0 ml						
Run #2	10.0 ml						

#### **Purgeable Aromatics, Full List**

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	21.5	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	0.55	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	65.7 <sup>a</sup>	2.5	0.63	ug/l	
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	30.2	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	98.5 <sup>a</sup>	2.5	0.63	ug/l	
108-88-3	Toluene	0.24	0.50	0.13	ug/l	J
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	0.52	1.5	0.31	ug/l	J
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	103%	106%	70-1	30%	
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	106%	109%	70-1	30%	
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	97%	99%	70-1	30%	
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	104%	102%	70-1	30%	

(a) Result is from Run# 2

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



SGS

7 of 25 ACCUTEST FA35610

			Repo	rt of A	nalysis		Page 1 of 1
Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	nple ID: MW- le ID: FA35 AQ - SM 6 701 S	7 610-2 Ground Wa 200B ervice Station	iter on; 106 JK Pow	ell Blvd, `	] ] ] Whiteville, NC	Date Sampled: 07 Date Received: 07 Percent Solids: n/	7/20/16 7/22/16 a
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	E047258.D	1	07/25/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1565
Run #2	E047288.D	5	07/26/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1566
	Purge Volum	e					
Run #1	10.0 ml						
Run #2	10.0 ml						
Purgeable	Aromatics, Fu	l List					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL Ur	nits Q	

	-				
71-43-2	Benzene	132 <sup>a</sup>	2.5	0.63	ug/l
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	3.9	0.50	0.13	ug/l
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	145 <sup>a</sup>	2.5	0.63	ug/l
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	13.5	0.50	0.13	ug/l
91-20-3	Naphthalene	59.6 <sup>a</sup>	2.5	0.63	ug/l
108-88-3	Toluene	9.2	0.50	0.13	ug/l
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	152 a	7.5	1.5	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Liı	nits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	99%	101%	70-	130%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	102%	102%	70-	130%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	97%	101%	70-	130%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	102%	103%	70-	130%

(a) Result is from Run# 2

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



8 of 25 ACCUTEST FA35610

			Repo	ort of A	nalysis		Page 1 of 1
Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	nple ID: MW ble ID: FA3 AQ SM 701	-8 5610-3 - Ground Wa 6200B Service Statio	ter on; 106 JK Pow	ell Blvd, `	Whiteville, NC	Date Sampled: Date Received: Percent Solids:	07/20/16 07/22/16 n/a
Run #1 Run #2	<b>File ID</b> E047259.D	<b>DF</b> 50	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/25/16	<b>By</b> TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	e <b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	n Analytical Batch VE1565
Run #1 Run #2	<b>Purge Volun</b> 10.0 ml	ne					
Purgeable	Aromatics, Fu	ıll List					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL U	Jnits Q	

Compound	Result	KL	WIDL	Units	Q
Benzene	381	25	6.3	ug/l	
Chlorobenzene	ND	25	6.3	ug/l	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	25	6.3	ug/l	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	25	6.3	ug/l	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	25	6.3	ug/l	
Di-Isopropyl Ether	8.9	25	6.3	ug/l	J
Ethylbenzene	237	25	6.3	ug/l	
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	212	25	6.3	ug/l	
Naphthalene	140	25	6.3	ug/l	
Toluene	1260	25	6.3	ug/l	
Xylene (total)	1580	75	15	ug/l	
Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
	0.004		<b>5</b> 0.44	2004	
Dibromofluoromethane	99%		70-1.	30%	
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	100%		70-1.	30%	
Toluene-D8	102%		70-13	30%	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		70-13	30%	
	Benzene Chlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene Di-Isopropyl Ether Ethylbenzene Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Naphthalene Toluene Xylene (total) Surrogate Recoveries Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8 4-Bromofluorobenzene	CompoundResultBenzene381ChlorobenzeneND1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1,4-DichlorobenzeneNDDi-Isopropyl Ether8.9Ethylbenzene237Methyl Tert Butyl Ether212Naphthalene140Toluene1260Xylene (total)1580Surrogate RecoveriesRun# 1Dibromofluoromethane99%1,2-Dichloroethane-D4100%Toluene-D8102%4-Bromofluorobenzene103%	CompoundResultResultResultBenzene38125ChlorobenzeneND251, 2-DichlorobenzeneND251, 3-DichlorobenzeneND251, 4-DichlorobenzeneND25Di-Isopropyl Ether8.925Ethylbenzene23725Methyl Tert Butyl Ether21225Naphthalene14025Toluene126025Xylene (total)158075Surrogate RecoveriesRum# 1Run# 2Dibromofluoromethane99%1, 2-Dichloroethane-D4100%Toluene-D8102%4-Bromofluorobenzene103%	Compound         Result         R.         MDL           Benzene         381         25         6.3           Chlorobenzene         ND         25         6.3           1,2-Dichlorobenzene         ND         25         6.3           1,3-Dichlorobenzene         ND         25         6.3           1,4-Dichlorobenzene         ND         25         6.3           1,4-Dichlorobenzene         ND         25         6.3           Di-Isopropyl Ether         8.9         25         6.3           Ethylbenzene         237         25         6.3           Methyl Tert Butyl Ether         212         25         6.3           Naphthalene         140         25         6.3           Toluene         1260         25         6.3           Xylene (total)         1580         75         15           Surrogate Recoveries         Run# 1         Run# 2         Limit           Dibromofluoromethane         99%         70-12         70-12           1,2-Dichloroethane-D4         100%         70-12         70-12           4-Bromofluorobenzene         103%         70-12         70-12	Compound         Result         Resul

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



Report of Analysis     Page 1									
Client Sar Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	nple ID: MW- ble ID: FA35 AQ - SM 6 701 S	9 610-4 Ground Wa 200B ervice Stati	ater ion; 106 JK Pow	vell Blvd,	Whiteville, NC	Date Sampled: Date Received: Percent Solids:	07/20/16 07/22/16 n/a		
Run #1 <sup>a</sup> Run #2	<b>File ID</b> E047260.D	<b>DF</b> 1	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/25/16	<b>By</b> TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	<b>Prep Bato</b> n/a	<b>Analytical Batch</b> VE1565		
Run #1 Run #2 <b>Purgeable</b>	Purge Volum 10.0 ml	e II List							

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	28.7	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	0.20	0.50	0.13	ug/l	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	8.6	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	3.1	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	3.1	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-88-3	Toluene	0.22	0.50	0.13	ug/l	J
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	6.5	1.5	0.31	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits		
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	102%		70-1	30%	
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	104%		70-1	30%	
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	101%		70-1	30%	
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	101%		70-1	30%	

(a) Sample was not preserved to a pH < 2.

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



10 of 25 ACCUTEST FA35610

3.4

			Repo	ort of A	Analysis		Page 1 of 1			
Client Sa Lab Sam Matrix: Method: Project:	mple ID: MW- ple ID: FA35 AQ - SM 6 701 S	10 610-5 Ground W 200B ervice Stat	ater ion; 106 JK Pow	vell Blvd,	I I I Whiteville, NC	Date Sampled: 0' Date Received: 0' Percent Solids: n/	7/20/16 7/22/16 /a			
Run #1 Run #2	<b>File ID</b> E047261.D	<b>DF</b> 1	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/25/16	By TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	<b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	<b>Analytical Batch</b> VE1565			
Run #1 Run #2	<b>Purge Volum</b> 10.0 ml	e								
Purgeabl	e Aromatics, Ful	l List								

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	2.2	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	11.6	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	43.9	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	ND	1.5	0.31	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	Limits	
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	99%		70-13	30%	
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	104%		70-13	30%	
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	101%		70-13	30%	
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	103%		70-13	30%	

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



11 of 25 ACCUTEST FA35610

Report of Analysis         Client Sample ID: MW-13         Lab Sample ID:       FA35610-6       Date Sampled:       07/20/         Matrix:       AQ - Ground Water       Date Received:       07/22/         Matrix:       AQ - Ground Water       Date Received:       07/22/								
Client Sa Lab Sam Matrix: Method: Project:	mple ID: MW- ple ID: FA35 AQ - SM 6 701 S	13 610-6 Ground W 200B ervice Stat	ater ion; 106 JK Pow	vell Blvd,	D D P Whiteville, NC	Date Sampled: 07 Date Received: 07 Dercent Solids: n/	7/20/16 7/22/16 ′a	
Run #1 Run #2	<b>File ID</b> E047262.D	<b>DF</b> 1	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/25/16	By TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	<b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	<b>Analytical Batch</b> VE1565	
Run #1 Run #2	<b>Purge Volum</b> 10.0 ml	e						
Purgeabl	e Aromatics, Ful	l List						

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



Report of AnalysisPage								
Client Sa Lab Sam Matrix: Method: Project:	mple ID: MW- ple ID: FA35 AQ - SM 6 701 S	14 610-7 Ground W 200B ervice Stat	ater ion; 106 JK Pow	vell Blvd,	Da Da Pe Whiteville, NC	ate Sampled: 07 ate Received: 07 ercent Solids: n/	7/20/16 7/22/16 a	
Run #1 Run #2	<b>File ID</b> E047263.D	<b>DF</b> 1	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/25/16	<b>By</b> TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	<b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	Analytical Batch VE1565	
Run #1 Run #2	Purge Volum 10.0 ml	e						
Purgeabl	e Aromatics, Fu	I LIST						

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	1.5	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	14.1	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	ND	1.5	0.31	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	101%		70-13	30%	
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	104%		70-13	30%	
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	100%		70-13	30%	
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	102%		70-13	30%	

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



ω

3.7

			Repo	ort of A	Analysis		Page 1 of 1
Client Sa Lab Sam Matrix: Method: Project:	mple ID: MW- ple ID: FA35 AQ - SM 6 701 S	15 610-8 Ground W 200B ervice Stat	ater ion; 106 JK Pow	vell Blvd,	D D Pe Whiteville, NC	7/20/16 7/22/16 a	
Run #1 Run #2	<b>File ID</b> E047264.D	<b>DF</b> 1	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/25/16	<b>By</b> TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	<b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	<b>Analytical Batch</b> VE1565
Run #1 Run #2	Purge Volume 10.0 ml	e					
Purgeabl	e Aromatics, Ful	l List					

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	0.31	0.50	0.13	ug/l	J
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	ND	1.5	0.31	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	101%		70-1	30%	
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	102%		70-1	30%	
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	101%		70-1	30%	
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	102%		70-1	30%	

- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
- N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



14 of 25 ACCUTEST FA35610

ω. 8



**Section 4** 

Misc. Forms

Custody Documents and Other Forms

Includes the following where applicable:

Chain of Custody



	440	Vinela	d Roz	id, Su	ite (	C-15	Ori	and	5, Ĥ	328	811				A	ccute	est JC	B #1	٢ <b>/</b>	13	56		<u>)</u> P	AGE_		OF
LABORATORIES	1	EL. 40	-425-0 W	6700 ww.a	• ccut	PAA est.co	s: 40 om	/-42	:5-0	/0/					A	ccute	est Qu	iote #				SKII	=F#			
Client / Reporting Information	a series	6.24 Dealerst Ma	Proj	ect Info	orma	ition		2.30	(nji)të	(inc)		Shig	Calification of the	g Sing	ienter	- <u>19</u>	an de la	i an	1	Analyti	cal Info	rmatio	1	64,863	325	Matrix Code
npany Name Geological Resources Enc.		Project Na	<u> </u>	01	Se	<u>'' N</u>	u	ز کر	fa f	46	527															DW - Drinking W GW - Ground W
ess		Street 1	<u>o( _</u>	JК	_1	204	12)	B	lec	<u>/</u>					4											WW - Water SW - Surface W
tothe Monroe State NC Zip 2	£110	UNY U	hite	w'I	(2					ate	<u> 1</u>	$\mathcal{M}$	<u>`</u>		_						1			1		SO - Soll SL - Sludge
Justin		Project #	29	98								_	·····		- 1	N										OI - Oil LIQ - Other Lic
Name/e) (Printed) / /		Client Run	hana Or	dor #											-1.5	O					-					AIR - Air SOL - Other S
Ho Lac		COLLECTION						TINTAR		ODMIT					1١	9						1				WP - Wipe
		T						.	1	1	1		NN I	£  .	٦,	h.										
Field ID / Point of Collection	DATE	TIME	SAMPLE		RIX	OF	-* 1	ONE	ą	HOB	Ş	PSS -	HOH I	U WKI		Š										
MW-2	7/20	1133	20	- 6	w	2	3	T	1-	1		Ĩ	Ĩ		1.						1	İ				
2 MW-7	11	1120	T	Ť	ŕ			+	Π	t	t		Π	-	1	r +	1	+	1						$\neg$	
3 MW-8	$\mathbf{T}$	1124	t + t			$\vdash$	+	+	Ħ	╀	$\uparrow$	$\square$			+	$\vdash$		+	+	+		-	+		$\neg$	
4 naug	++	1110	+	+	$\square$	$\vdash$		╈	$^{\dagger}$	┿	+		H	+	+	$\vdash$	-+-	-	+	+			+	┢──╂	$\neg$	
5 400-10	++	1	$\vdash$		Η	$\vdash$	-	+	₩	┢	+	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	-	+	+		+			+		+	$\vdash$	+	
	++	1007	╞─╀╴			$\vdash$	-	+	₩	┝	-			-+	+		+	-	+					$\vdash$	$\rightarrow$	
<u>6 MW-13</u>	++	1207	+	+	_	$\vdash$	-+	+	╢	┝╌			$\square$	-	+		_+_		+	_	-	<u> </u>		$\vdash$	_	
7 MW-19	++	1155	┝╌┟			$\square$	+	+-	╢	-	┢				+				-		_			$\vdash$	$\rightarrow$	
8 MW-15	11	1210	N	N		V	_	_	N		-				1									$\square$	$ \rightarrow $	
						1				-	ļ						_							$\square$		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>																			L				
_								_																		
										1																
TURNAROUND TIME (Business Days)		a salar an		200			Data	Deli	verat	ole Ir	nform	ation	n			1224-04	4.20.A.S.C.		Neuñio	105-legh	ren (	Comr	nents / I	Remark	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 Days Standard	0009			COWN	/ER(	CIAL, "	A" (F	ESU	LTS	ONL	Y)															
7 Day RUSH				COM	喝		B" (A	ESU	ITS	PLUS	s oc	)					-									
5 Day RUSH				REDT	i (El	PALE	VEL	3) <b>_</b> `	20		N.	0	. 1	1	D	ĸ										
				FULT1	(EP	A LE	Ŀι	, I -	Ν	C		2	32	73											·	
			一日	FDD'S																						
Ernergency or Rush T/A Data Available VIA Email or La	blink																									
Sample Custody must	be docun	nented be	low eac	h time	san	noles d	chang	e po	sses	sion,	incl	Jding	1 cou	rier d	elive	ry						17				
Tuyshed by Sampler: Date Time:	He	ceived E	iy:	Ŧ	Ξy	/			Ľ	Helii 2	nqui	shea	a by	۰. ۲	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	~			Da	ate Tin	ne:	Re		By:	1	9
nquished by: Date Time:	Re	ceived E	ly:		~				+	Reli	ngui	shee	d by	:					Da	ate Tir	ne:	4	eceived	By:	<u> </u>	-+124
· /	6		-							7			'									8				

FA35610: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 3



16 of 25 ACCUTEST FA35610

44

4

USign Envelope ID: 86AB8CDF-4211-4CF4-94E3-C6 ACCUTEST LABORAT accutest's job number: <u>FA35610</u> date/time received: <u>7/22///6915</u> {mm	ISFEFADA96 ORIES SAMPLE RECEIPT CONFIRMATION CLIENT:
METHOD OF DELIVERY: FEDEX UPS AIRBILL NUMBERS: 8087 5428 7786	ACCUTEST COURIER DELIVERY OTHER:
COOLER INFORMATION CUSTODY SEAL NOT PRESENT OR NOT INTACT CHAIN OF CUSTODY NOT RECEIVED (COC) ANALYSIS REQUESTED IS UNCLEAR OR MISSING SAMPLE DATES OR TIMES UNCLEAR OR MISSING TEMPERATURE CRITERIA NOT MET TRIP BLANK INFORMATION TRIP BLANK NOT PROVIDED TRIP BLANK NOT PROVIDED TRIP BLANK INTACT TRIP BLANK NOT INTACT RECEIVED WATER TRIP BLANK	TEMPERATURE INFORMATION         IR THERM ID       /       CORR. FACTOR $fo.o$ OBSERVED TEMPS:       3.0       (USED FOR LIMS)         GORRECTED TEMPS:       3.0         CORRECTED TEMPS:       3.0         CORRECTED TEMPS:       3.0         GORRECTED TEMPS:         SAMPLE INFORMATION         INCORRECT NUMBER OF CONTAINERS USED         SAMPLE RECEIVED IMPROPERLY PRESERVED         INSUFFICIENT VOLUME FOR ANALYSIS         DATES/TIMES ON COC DO NOT MATCH SAMPLE LABEL         ID'S ON COC DO NOT MATCH LABEL         VOC VIALS HAVE HEADSPACE (MACRO BUBBLES)         BOTTLES RECEIVED BUT ANALYSIS NOT REQUESTED         NO BOTTLES RECEIVED FOR ANALYSIS REQUESTED         UNCLEAR FILTERING OR COMPOSITING INSTRUCTIONS
MISC. INFORMATION NUMBER OF ENCORES ? 25-GRAM	SAMPLE CONTAINER(S) RECEIVED BROKEN 5035 FIELD KITS NOT RECEIVED WITHIN 48 HOURS BULK VOA SOIL JARS NOT RECEIVED WITHIN 48 HOURS % SOLIDS JAR NOT RECEIVED RESIDUAL CHLORINE PRESENT LOT#
TECHNICIAN SIGNATURE/DATE MC 7/2: NF 11/15	2/10 REVIEWER SIGNATURE/DATE Jey Jutre 7-22-16 RECEIPTLOG040416.xls

FA35610: Chain of Custody Page 2 of 3



4.1

4





FA35610: Chain of Custody Page 3 of 3







GC/MS Volatiles

QC Data Summaries

Includes the following where applicable:

- Method Blank Summaries
- Blank Spike Summaries
- Matrix Spike and Duplicate Summaries



## **Method Blank Summary**

460-00-4

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Job Number: Account:	FA35610 GRINCC GRI (O	Geologica	Resources Inc.	)			
Project:	701 Service Stat	ion; 106 J	K Powell Blvd,	Whitevil	le, NC		
<b>Sample</b> VE1565-MB	<b>File ID</b> E047239.D	<b>DF</b> 1	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/25/16	<b>By</b> TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	<b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	<b>Analytical Batch</b> VE1565
The QC repor	ted here applies to	o the follo	wing samples:			Method: SM 62	00B

FA35610-1, FA35610-2, FA35610-3, FA35610-4, FA35610-5, FA35610-6, FA35610-7, FA35610-8

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units (	2
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l	
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	ND	1.5	0.31	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries		Limits			
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	99%	70-1309	%		
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	99%	70-1309	%		
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	101%	70-1309	%		

103%

70-130%



Page 1 of 1

5.1.1 **5** 

## Method Blank Summary

Job Number: Account: Project:	FA35610 GRINCC GRI (0 701 Service Stati	Geological ion; 106 J	Resources Inc.) K Powell Blvd,	) Whitevil	le, NC		
Sample VE1566-MB	<b>File ID</b> E047274.D	<b>DF</b> 1	<b>Analyzed</b> 07/26/16	By TD	<b>Prep Date</b> n/a	<b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	Analytical Batch VE1566
The QC repor	ted here applies to	o the follo	wing samples:			Method: SM 62	200B
FA35610-1, FA	A35610-2						

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.50	0.13	ug/l
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	ND	1.5	0.31	ug/l

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries		Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	100%	70-130%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	102%	70-130%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	102%	70-130%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	104%	70-130%





## **Blank Spike Summary**

Job Number: Account: Project:	FA35610 GRINCC GRI (C 701 Service Stati	Geologica	l Resources Inc.) K Powell Blyd	) Whitevil	le NC		
Sample VE1565-BS <sup>a</sup>	File ID E047238.D	<b>DF</b> 1	Analyzed 07/25/16	By TD	Prep Date n/a	<b>Prep Batch</b> n/a	<b>Analytical Batch</b> VE1565
The QC repor	ted here applies to	o the follo	owing samples:			Method: SM 62	00B

FA35610-1, FA35610-2, FA35610-3, FA35610-4, FA35610-5, FA35610-6, FA35610-7, FA35610-8

CAS No.	Compound	Spike ug/l	BSP ug/l	BSP %	Limits
71-43-2	Benzene	10	10.5	105	70-130
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	10	10.5	105	70-130
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	10	10.7	107	70-130
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	10	11.0	110	70-130
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	10	10.5	105	70-130
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	10	9.6	96	70-130
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	10	10.7	107	70-130
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	10	9.3	93	70-130
91-20-3	Naphthalene	10	11.4	114	70-130
108-88-3	Toluene	10	10.5	105	70-130
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	30	33.4	111	70-130
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	BSP	Li	mits	

1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	100%	70-130%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	100%	70-130%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%	70-130%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	102%	70-130%

(a) No MSD available for this run.



Page 1 of 1

5.2.1

G

## **Blank Spike Summary**

Job Number:	FA35610	A35610										
Account:	GRINCC GRI (0	JRINCC GRI (Geological Resources Inc.)										
Project:	701 Service Stati	01 Service Station; 106 JK Powell Blvd, Whiteville, NC										
Sample	<b>File ID</b>	<b>DF</b>	<b>Analyzed</b>	By	<b>Prep Date</b>	<b>Prep Batch</b>	<b>Analytical Batch</b>					
VE1566-BS	E047273.D	1	07/26/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1566					
The QC report	ted here applies to	o the follo	owing samples:			Method: SM 62	.00B					

FA35610-1, FA35610-2

CAS No.	Compound	Spike ug/l	BSP ug/l	BSP %	Limits
71-43-2	Benzene	10	10.1	101	70-130
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	10	10.3	103	70-130
91-20-3	Naphthalene	10	10.5	105	70-130
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	30	31.8	106	70-130

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	BSP	Limits
		1011	
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	101%	70-130%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	103%	70-130%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	100%	70-130%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	100%	70-130%



## Matrix Spike Summary Job Number: FA35610

Account:	GRINCC GRI (Geological Resources Inc.)									
Project:	701 Service Station; 106 JK Powell Blvd, Whiteville, NC									
<b>Sample</b>	<b>File ID</b>	<b>DF</b>	<b>Analyzed</b>	By	<b>Prep Date</b>	<b>Prep Batch</b>	<b>Analytical Batch</b>			
FA35519-2MS	E047265.D	1	07/25/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1565			
FA35519-2	E047245.D	1	07/25/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1565			
The QC report	ted here applies to	o the follo	wing samples:			Method: SM 62	.00B			

FA35610-1, FA35610-2, FA35610-3, FA35610-4, FA35610-5, FA35610-6, FA35610-7, FA35610-8

CAS No.	Compound	FA35519-2 ug/l Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Limits
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	10	8.1	81	70-130
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND	10	8.0	80	70-130
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	10	7.8	78	70-130
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	10	8.0	80	70-130
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	10	7.9	79	70-130
108-20-3	Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	10	7.4	74	70-130
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	10	8.1	81	70-130
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	ND	10	7.3	73	70-130
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	10	7.6	76	70-130
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	10	7.9	79	70-130
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	ND	30	24.9	83	70-130
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	FA35519	-2 Lim	its	
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	103%	98%	70-1	30%	
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	106%	98%	70-1	30%	
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%	101%	70-1	30%	
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	100%	102%	70-1	30%	



5.3.1

G

## Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Job Number:	FA35610
Account:	GRINCC GRI (Geological Resources Inc.)
Project:	701 Service Station; 106 JK Powell Blvd, Whiteville, NC

Sample	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	<b>Prep Batch</b>	<b>Analytical Batch</b>
FA35611-2MS	E047297.D	100	07/26/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1566
FA35611-2MSD	E047298.D	100	07/26/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1566
FA35611-2	E047282.D	100	07/26/16	TD	n/a	n/a	VE1566

#### The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: SM 6200B

FA35610-1, FA35610-2

CAS No.	Compound	FA35611-2 ug/l Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
71-43-2 100-41-4 91-20-3 1330-20-7	Benzene Ethylbenzene Naphthalene Xylene (total)	200 3410 913 6040	1000 1000 1000 3000	1180 4350 2000 8940	98 94 109 97	1000 1000 1000 3000	1140 4160 2050 8590	94 75 114 85	3 4 2 4	70-130/20 70-130/20 70-130/20 70-130/20
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	FA3	5611-2	Limits				
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5 460-00-4	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102% 107% 99% 101%	102% 108% 99% 102%	99% 1009 1019 1029	% %	70-130% 70-130% 70-130% 70-130%	, , ,			



25 of 25

FA35610

DocuSign Envelope ID: 86AB8CDF-4211-4CF4-94E3-C615FEFADA96



Waste Management ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PAT MCCRORY Governor

DONALD R. VAN DER VAART Secretary

MICHAEL SCOTT

September 13, 2016

Whiteville Oil Company Mr. Sammy Black PO Box 689 Whiteville, NC 28472

Re:

Acknowledgement of Report Receipt 701 Service Station 106 JK Powell Blvd, Whiteville Columbus County Incident Number: 94050

Dear Mr. Black:

The UST Section, Division of Waste Management (DWM), is in receipt of the report dated August 11, 2016. The report will be reviewed and maintained in the Wilmington Regional Office. The DWM is in agreement with the recommendations proposed. Please note, property owners where contamination has migrated, may be required to be in agreement before a no further action is issued for this site. Once the DWM has finalized how to implement risk based closure of Non-UST sites with offsite contamination, you will be directed to proceed accordingly. If you have questions, please contact me at the address or telephone number listed below.

Liz Price

Hydrogeologist Wilmington Regional Office UST Section, Division of Waste Management, NCDEQ

cc: WiRO/UST

Justin Radford, Geological Resources, Inc.

Wilmington Regional Office | 127 Cardinal Drive Extension | Wilmington, NC 28405 | (910) 796-7215

State of North Carolina | Environmental Quality | Waste Management Wilmington Regional Office | 127 Cardinal Drive Extension | Wilmington, NC 28405 | (910) 796-7215 DocuSign Envelope ID: 86AB8CDF-4211-4CF4-94E3-C615FEFADA96

APPENDIX C BORING LOGS



>				Apex Companies, LLC					
APEX Boring Log									
Boring/Well No	o.: P20-SB	51		Site Name: Parcel 20					
Date: 6/6/2018	_			Location: Whiteville, Columbus County, NC					
Job No.: NCD	OT-001			Sample Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push					
Apex Rep: Tro	by Holzsch	uh . o.u.		Drilling Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push					
Drilling Compa	any: Carol	ina Soil In	vestigations	Driller Name/Cert #: Danny Summers/2579					
Remarks:									
Depth (ft BLS)	FID Reading	PID Reading	Lab Sample ID	Soil/Lithologic Description					
	(ppm)	(ppm)		0.41 Orean Deven and the <b>OUT</b> and the dist of the					
1				U-4° Grass Bown sandy SILI, saturated at 3°.					
1	27.1	2.1							
2									
3	25.6	1.9							
4									
5	74.6	1.6		4'-5' Yellow clayey <b>SILT</b> .					
				Boring terminated at 5 feet					
6									
/									
0									
0									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
1/									
	L	W	ELL CONSTRUC	TION DETAILS (If Applicable)					
Well Type/Diame	ter:			Outer Casing Interval:					
Total Depth:				Outer Casing Diameter:					
Screen Interval:				Bentonite Interval:					
Sand Interval:				Slot Size:					
Grout Interval:				Static Water Level:					

>				Apex Companies, LLC
API	ΞX			Boring Log
Boring/Well No	o.: P20-SB	2		Site Name: Parcel 20
Date: 6/6/2018				Location: Whiteville, Columbus County, NC
Job No.: NCD	OT-001			Sample Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push
Apex Rep: Tro	y Holzsch	uh		Drilling Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push
Drilling Compa	any: Carol	ina Soil In	vestigations	Driller Name/Cert #: Danny Summers/2579
Remarks:				
Depth (ft BLS)	FID Reading (ppm)	PID Reading (ppm)	Lab Sample ID	Soil/Lithologic Description
1				0.1' Grass-Orange fine <b>SAND</b> .
2	<0.1	0.3		
3	2.3	5.1		
5	2.8	4.9		4'-5' Yellow clayey <b>SILT</b> .
				Boring terminated at 5 feet.
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
		W	ELL CONSTRUC	TION DETAILS (If Applicable)
Well Type/Diame	ter: 1"			Outer Casing Interval: NA
I otal Depth: 15				Outer Casing Diameter: NA
Screen Interval:	5'-10'			Bentonite Interval: NA
Sand Interval: N	A 			SIOL SIZE: U.UTU" SIOL
Grout Interval: N	А			

>				Apex Companies, LLC		
AP	EX			Boring Log		
Boring/Well N	o.: P20-SB	33		Site Name: Parcel 20		
Date: 6/6/2018	3			Location: Whiteville, Columbus County, NC		
Job No.: NCD	OT-001			Sample Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push		
Apex Rep: Tr	oy Holzsch	uh		Drilling Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push		
Drilling Comp	any: Carol	ina Soil In	vestigations	Driller Name/Cert #: Danny Summers/2579		
Remarks:						
Depth (ft BLS)	FID Reading (ppm)	PID Reading (ppm)	Lab Sample ID	Soil/Lithologic Description		
1				0-2' Grass-Tan fine <b>SAND</b> .		
2	<0.1	2.4				
				2'-5' Yellow clayey sandy <b>SILT</b> saturated at 4'.		
3	< 0.1	1.2				
4						
5	0.5	1.7				
				Boring terminated at 5 feet		
6						
/						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
11			l			
14						
		14/		TION DETAILS (If Applicable)		
Well Type/Diame	eter:			Outer Casing Interval:		
Total Depth:				Outer Casing Interval.		
Screen Interval				Bentonite Interval:		
Sand Interval:				Slot Size:		
Grout Interval				Static Water Level:		

>				Apex Companies, LLC
API	ΞX			Boring Log
Boring/Well No	o.: P209-S	B4		Site Name: Parcel 20
Date: 6/6/2018				Location: Whiteville, Columbus County, NC
Job No.: NCD	OT-001			Sample Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push
Apex Rep: Tro	oy Holzsch	uh		Drilling Method: Hand Auger and Direct Push
Drilling Compa	any: Carol	ina Soil Inv	vestigations	Driller Name/Cert #: Danny Summers/2579
Remarks:				
Depth (ft BLS)	FID Reading (ppm)	PID Reading (ppm)	Lab Sample ID	Soil/Lithologic Description
1				0-2' Tan <b>SAND</b> .
2	<0.1	0.3		
				2'-4' Tan clayey <b>SILT</b> .
3	2	1.3		
4				
5	1.3	3.2		4'-5.5' Orange and white marbled clayey <b>SILT</b> saturated at 4'.
6				Boring terminated at 5.5 feet
7				
8				
9				
10				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
14				
	l	۱ ۱	FUL CONSTRUC	I TION DETAILS (If Applicable)
Well Type/Diame	ter:	VV		
Total Depth:				Outer Casing Diameter:
Screen Interval				Bentonite Interval:
Sand Interval:				Slot Size:
Grout Interval:				Static Water Level:
Croat interval.				
DocuSign Envelope ID: 86AB8CDF-4211-4CF4-94E3-C615FEFADA96

## APPENDIX D GEOPHYSICAL REPORTS



GEOPHYSI

PYRAMID GEOPHYSICAL SERVICES (PROJECT 2018-139)

# **GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY**

# METALLIC UST INVESTIGATION: PARCEL 20 NCDOT PROJECT R-5020B (41499.1.3)

307 W. LEWIS ST., WHITEVILLE, NC

JUNE 20, 2018

Report prepared for:

Katie Lippard Apex Companies, LLC 1071 Pemberton Hill Rd., Suite 203 Apex, NC 27502

Prepared by:

Eric C. Cross, P.G. NC License #2181

Doug Canavello

Reviewed by:

Douglas A. Canavello, P.G. NC License #1066

503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE, GREENSBORO, NC 27406 P: 336.335.3174 F: 336.691.0648 C257: GEOLOGY C1251: ENGINEERING

#### GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT Parcel 20 – 307 W. Lewis St. Whiteville, Columbus County, North Carolina

### **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	.1
Introduction	.2
Field Methodology	.2
Discussion of Results	.4
Discussion of EM Results	.4
Discussion of GPR Results	.4
Summary & Conclusions	.5
Limitations	.5

## **Figures**

Figure 1 – Parcel 20 Geophysical Survey Boundaries and Site Photographs
Figure 2 – Parcel 20 EM61 Results Contour Map
Figure 3 – Parcel 20 GPR Transect Locations and Images
Figure 4 – Overlay of Geophysical Survey Boundaries on NCDOT Engineering Plans

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS

Computer Assisted Drafting and Design
Dual Frequency
Electromagnetic
Ground Penetrating Radar
Global Positioning System
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Right-of-Way
Underground Storage Tank

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Project Description:** Pyramid Environmental conducted a geophysical investigation for Apex Companies, LLC at Parcel 20, located at 307 W. Lewis St., in Whiteville, NC. The survey was part of a North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) Right-of-Way (ROW) investigation (NCDOT Project R-5020B). The survey was designed to extend from the existing edge of pavement into the proposed ROW and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. Conducted from May 30 – June 4, 2018, the geophysical investigation was performed to determine if unknown, metallic underground storage tanks (USTs) were present beneath the survey area.

Parcel 20 consists of a grass lot used for vehicle storage, which is associated with the business on the adjacent parcel to the south (Parcel 19). Parcel 19 includes a groundwater remediation system, which is composed of a series of interconnected wells joined by PVC pipes that extend into and throughout Parcel 20. The trailer housing the primary remediation system components is located outside of the survey area on Parcel 19.

**Geophysical Results:** The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. Several EM anomalies were identified. The majority of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface. Some EM anomalies were caused by interference from vehicles parked on the southern portion of the site. These areas were investigated further with GPR to verify that no larger substructures were obscured by the interference. Additionally, the series of well covers suggested that a potential groundwater remediation system was in operation at the property. GPR verified the presence of the remediation system and/or utilities underneath the vehicles parked on the site. No evidence of larger substructures, such as USTs, was observed. Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of metallic USTs at Parcel 20</u>.

#### INTRODUCTION

Pyramid Environmental conducted a geophysical investigation for Apex Companies, LLC at Parcel 20, located at 307 W. Lewis St., in Whiteville, NC. The survey was part of a North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) Right-of-Way (ROW) investigation (NCDOT Project R-5020B). The survey was designed to extend from the existing edge of pavement into the proposed ROW and/or easements, whichever distance was greater. Conducted from May 30 – June 4, 2018, the geophysical investigation was performed to determine if unknown, metallic underground storage tanks (USTs) were present beneath the survey area.

Parcel 20 consists of a grass lot used for vehicle storage, which is associated with the business on the adjacent parcel to the south (Parcel 20), and portions of a sidewalk. Parcel 20 consists of a grass lot used for vehicle storage, which is associated with the business on the adjacent parcel to the south (Parcel 19). Parcel 19 includes a groundwater remediation system, which is composed of a series of interconnected wells joined by PVC pipes that extend into and throughout Parcel 20. The trailer housing the primary remediation system components is located outside of the survey area on Parcel 19. An aerial photograph showing the survey area boundaries and ground-level photographs are shown in **Figure 1**.

#### FIELD METHODOLOGY

The geophysical investigation consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. Pyramid collected the EM data using a Geonics EM61 metal detector integrated with a Trimble AG-114 GPS antenna. The integrated GPS system allows the location of the instrument to be recorded in real-time during data collection, resulting in an EM data set that is geo-referenced and can be overlain on aerial photographs and CADD drawings. A boundary grid was established around the perimeter of the site with marks every 10 feet to maintain orientation of the instrument throughout the survey and assure complete coverage of the area.

According to the instrument specifications, the EM61 can detect a metal drum down to a maximum depth of approximately 8 feet. Smaller objects (1-foot or less in size) can be detected to a maximum depth of 4 to 5 feet. The EM61 data were digitally collected at approximately 0.8-foot intervals along north-south trending or east-west trending, generally parallel survey lines, spaced five feet apart. The data were downloaded to a computer and reviewed in the field and office using the Geonics NAV61 and Surfer for Windows Version 15.0 software programs.

GPR data were acquired across select EM anomalies on June 4, 2018, using a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) UtilityScan DF unit equipped with a dual frequency 300/800 MHz antenna. Data were collected both in reconnaissance fashion as well as along formal transect lines across EM features. The GPR data were viewed in real-time using a vertical scan of 512 samples, at a rate of 48 scans per second. GPR data were viewed down to a maximum depth of approximately 6 feet, based on dielectric constants calculated by the DF unit in the field during the reconnaissance scans. GPR transects across specific anomalies were saved to the hard drive of the DF unit for post-processing and figure generation.

Pyramid's classifications of USTs for the purposes of this report are based directly on the geophysical UST ratings provided by the NCDOT. These ratings are as follows:

	Geophysical Surveys for on NCI	Underground Stora	ge Tanks
High Confidence	Intermediate Confidence	Low Confidence	No Confidence
Known UST Active tank - spatial location, orientation, and approximate depth determined by geophysics.	Probable UST Sufficient geophysical data from both magnetic and radar surveys that is characteristic of a tank. Interpretation may be supported by physical evidence such as fill/vent pipe, metal cover plate, asphalt/concrete patch, etc.	<b>Possible UST</b> Sufficient geophysical data from either magnetic or radar surveys that is characteristic of a tank. Additional data is not sufficient enough to confirm or deny the presence of a UST.	Anomaly noted but not characteristic of a UST. Should be noted in the text and may be called out in the figures at the geophysicist's discretion.

#### **DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

#### Discussion of EM Results

A contour plot of the EM61 results obtained across the survey area at the property is presented in **Figure 2**. Each EM anomaly is numbered for reference in the figure. The following table presents the list of EM anomalies and the cause of the metallic response, if known:

Metallic Anomaly #	Cause of Anomaly	Investigated with GPR
1	Well Covers	
2	Well Covers/Vehicles/Utilities	Ø

#### LIST OF METALLIC ANOMALIES IDENTIFIED BY EM SURVEY

The majority of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface, which included well covers and vehicles. EM Anomaly 2 was caused by interference from vehicles parked on the southern portion of the site, well covers, and potential utilities. The areas surrounding EM Anomaly 2 were investigated further with GPR to verify that no larger substructures were obscured by the interference. Additionally, the series of well covers suggested that a potential groundwater remediation system was in operation at the property, and GPR was used to verify its presence.

#### Discussion of GPR Results

**Figure 3** presents the locations of the formal GPR transects performed at the property, as well as the transect images. A total of five GPR transects were performed at the site. GPR Transects 1-5 were performed across EM Anomaly 2. These transects recorded evidence of isolated hyperbolic reflectors associated with the remediation system and/or utilities. No evidence of larger structures such as USTs was observed.

Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of metallic USTs at Parcel</u> <u>20</u>. **Figure 4** provides an overlay of the geophysical survey onto the NCDOT MicroStation engineering plans for reference.

#### **SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS**

Pyramid's evaluation of the EM61 and GPR data collected at Parcel 20 in Whiteville, North Carolina, provides the following summary and conclusions:

- The EM61 and GPR surveys provided reliable results for the detection of metallic USTs within the accessible portions of the geophysical survey area.
- The majority of the EM anomalies were directly attributed to visible cultural features at the ground surface.
- Some EM anomalies were caused by interference from vehicles parked on the southern portion of the site. These areas were investigated further with GPR to verify that no larger substructures were obscured by the interference.
- Additionally, the series of well covers suggested that a potential groundwater remediation system was in operation at the property.
- GPR verified the presence of the remediation system and/or utilities underneath the vehicles parked on the site. No evidence of larger substructures, such as USTs, was observed.
- Collectively, the geophysical data <u>did not record any evidence of metallic USTs at</u> <u>Parcel 20</u>.

#### LIMITATIONS

Geophysical surveys have been performed and this report was prepared for Apex Companies, LLC in accordance with generally accepted guidelines for EM61 and GPR surveys. It is generally recognized that the results of the EM61 and GPR surveys are non-unique and may not represent actual subsurface conditions. The EM61 and GPR results obtained for this project have not conclusively determined the definitive presence or absence of metallic USTs, but the evidence collected is sufficient to result in the conclusions made in this report. Additionally, it should be understood that areas containing extensive vegetation, reinforced concrete, or other restrictions to the accessibility of the geophysical instruments could not be fully investigated.





View of Survey Area (Facing Approximately West)



View of Survey Area (Facing Approximately North)

Ν	1

DATE	5/30/2018	CLIENT	Apex Companies, LLC
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2018-139		FIGURE 1



#### NO EVIDENCE OF UNKNOWN METALLIC USTs OBSERVED.

The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The differential results focus on larger metallic objects such as USTs and drums. The EM61 data were collected on May 30, 2018, using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Verification GPR data were collected using a GSSI UtilityScan DF instrument with a dual frequency 300/800 MHz antenna on June 4, 2018.

EM61 Metal Detection Response (millivolts)

1000	750	500	400	300	200	150	100	75	60	50	40	30	-90	-100	-200	-400	-5000

DATE	5/30/2018	CLIENT	Apex Companies,
PYRAMID PROJECT #:	2018-139		FIGURE 2

LLC







## LEGEND

	EXISTING ROW
	EXISTING PROPERTY BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED ROW LINE
	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT
PDE	PROPOSED PERMANENT DRAINAGE
PUE	PROPOSED PERMANENT UTILITY
	PROPOSED SS CUT LINE
	PROPOSED SS FILL LINE
	GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY AREA

0 25 FEET	50
OVERLAY OF GEOPHYSI ON NCDOT ENG	ICAL SURVEY BOUNDARIES GINEERING PLANS
PROJECT PARC WHITEVILLE, NC NCDOT PROJ.	EEL 20 DRTH CAROLINA ECT W-5020B
GEOPHYSICS 33 Licer	503 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE GREENSBORO, NC 27406 66.335.3174 (p) 336.691.0648 (f) nse # C1251 Eng. / #C257 Geology
DATE: 06-26-2018	REVISION NO. 0
PYRAMID PROJECT NO. 2018-139	FIGURE NO. 4



## APPENDIX E UVF HYDROCARBON ANALYSIS RESULTS

DocuSign Envelope ID: 86AB8CDF-4211-4CF4-94E3-C615FEFADA96



#### QED Hydrocarbon Fingerprints

DocuSign Envelope ID: 86AB8CDF-4211-4CF4-94E3-C615FEFADA96

