PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMENT PARCEL 51, HOUSE OF PRAYER CHURCH OF DELIVERANCE FOR ALL PEOPLE PROPERTY 3595 US HIGHWAY 1 RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA WBS ELEMENT: 34438.1.1; NCDOT PROJECT: R-2502A

Prepared for:
NC Department of Transportation
Geotechnical Engineering Unit
GeoEnvironmental Section
1589 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1589

Prepared by:
Solutions-IES
1101 Nowell Road
Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

Solutions-IES Project No. 3260.06A3.NDOT

September 28, 2006

Brian M. Rebar

Field Services Manager

SEAL 022585

Sheri L. Knox, P.E. Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	BACKGROUND AND SITE DESCRIPTION	1
3.0	FIELD ACTIVITIES	1
4.0	SAMPLING RESULTS	3
5.0	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	3

TABLES

- TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF FIELD SCREENING RESULTS FOR SOIL
- TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

FIGURES

- FIGURE 1 SITE LOCATION MAP
- FIGURE 2 SITE MAP
- FIGURE 3 BORING LOCATIONS AND ESTIMATED EXTENT OF SOIL CONTAMINATION

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPHS
- APPENDIX B GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION
- APPENDIX C BORING LOGS
- APPENDIX D GPS COORDINATES OF BORING LOCATIONS
- APPENDIX E LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) is widening the existing alignment of US Highway 1 near the towns of Marston and Hoffman, located in Richmond County, North Carolina. Acquisition of properties within the right-of-way is necessary prior to road construction. On July 19, 2006, Solutions-IES submitted a proposal (NC06554P) to conduct Preliminary Site Assessments (PSAs) on ten parcels of land located within the proposed right-of-way that are of concern to the NCDOT. This report summarizes the results of field activities conducted during the PSA for a portion of the property identified by NCDOT as Parcel 51, the House of Prayer Church of Deliverance for All People Property (Figure 1). The right-of-way portion of this property (i.e., the Study Area) is more clearly identified on Figure 2. The scope of work executed at the Study Area was performed in general accordance with Solutions-IES proposal NC06554P and was initiated based on a Notice to Proceed issued by the NCDOT Geotechnical Engineering Unit on July 20, 2006 under contract 7000007053, dated June 5, 2006.

2.0 BACKGROUND AND SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject property is located at 3595 US Highway 1, just east of Tilley Street in Richmond County, North Carolina (site). According to field observations, a two-story block building is located on the site. The surface of the site is covered with a mixture of concrete, asphalt, gravel and grass. Numerous utilities including buried storm sewer, water, and telecommunication lines as well as overhead electric lines cross the site. Photographs of the Study Area at the site are presented in **Appendix A**.

According to information provided NCDOT, the site probably operated as a gas station in the past. The remains of a pump island were observed beneath a brick planter in front of the building (**Appendix A**, Photograph 1). Since background information indicated the possible presence of a gas station, petroleum constituents may have been released to the subsurface in the vicinity of the proposed right-of-way.

3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

Prior to mobilizing to the site to conduct subsurface sampling, Solutions-IES contacted North Carolina One Call to locate underground utilities within the proposed right-of-way at the site. Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, P.C. (Pyramid) was contracted to perform an electromagnetic survey of the subsurface in the proposed right-of-way and easement area. Pyramid surveyed the site on July 26 and 28, 2006. The electromagnetic survey equipment (EM61) identified various magnetic anomalies within

the Study Area, likely from buried utility lines or conduits. Results of the surveys indicated the presence of buried metallic objects and miscellaneous debris, but did not indicate the presence of underground storage tanks (USTs). The EM61 images are included in **Appendix B**, Figures 11 and 12.

After reviewing the background information and geophysical data, Solutions-IES elected to analyze soil samples collected at designated locations within the Study Area for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). These activities were conducted on August 22, 2006. A total of six soil borings (borings P51-B1 through P51-B6) were advanced at the site in the locations depicted on **Figure 3** during this field event. After review of the analytical results from this field event with NCDOT, two additional soil borings were advanced (P51-B7 and P51-B8) on September 6, 2006 to further delineate potential impacts just outside the eastern boundary of the Study Area on the adjacent property. All borings were labeled with the prefix "P51" to associate the samples with Parcel 51. Each of these borings was advanced to a depth of between 8 and 12 feet below ground surface (ft bgs) with a truck-mounted Geoprobe[®].

Soil samples were obtained from each boring using a MacroCore® sampler fitted with single-use, disposable polyvinyl chloride (PVC) liners. Each liner was 4 feet in length. Upon retrieval, a portion of each 2-foot interval was placed in separate resealable plastic bags. These bags were sealed and placed at ambient temperature for field screening with a flame ionization detector (FID). The remaining portion of each 2-foot interval was left in the PVC liner, wrapped in plastic and placed on ice for possible laboratory analysis.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were allowed to accumulate in the headspace of each bag for approximately 20 minutes, after which time the headspace of each sealed bag was scanned with the FID. The FID readings were entered on the boring logs along with the soil description and indications of staining or odors, if present. Logs for each boring are presented in **Appendix C.** Soils collected from the borings within the Study Area of Parcel 51 generally consisted of silty sand (SM) and sandy clay (CL). The GPS coordinates for the borings are provided in **Appendix D**.

Headspace screening of the soil samples with the FID revealed the presence of volatile vapors at low concentrations in several of the samples. Concentrations ranged from not detected to 12 parts per million (P51-B1 at 2-4 ft bgs). These measurements are presented in **Table 1**. No distinguishable odors were noted in the samples.

Soil samples for laboratory analysis were obtained from each boring at the sample intervals identified in **Table 1**. These samples were selected for analysis as they presented the highest FID measurements within the borings, or, if no volatile vapors were present, were obtained from deepest depth within the boring. The samples were placed in laboratory-supplied containers and stored on ice pending shipment to Prism Laboratories, Inc. (Prism) in Charlotte, NC. Sample information was recorded on the chain-of-custody and the samples were submitted for chemical analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) gasoline range organics (GRO) by Modified EPA Method 5030/8015 and TPH diesel range organics (DRO) by Modified EPA Method 3545/8015.

4.0 SAMPLING RESULTS

TPH DRO was detected in 3 of 8 soil samples collected within the Study Area at concentrations ranging from 22 mg/kg (P51-B8 (0-2 ft bgs)) to 850 mg/kg (P51-B6 (2-4 ft bgs)). TPH GRO was not detected in the soil samples at concentrations greater than the laboratory reporting limit. These data are summarized in **Table 2**. Laboratory reports associated with these samples are presented in **Appendix E**.

5.0 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The geophysical survey conducted at the site did not reveal buried metallic objects such as USTs within the Study Area. The survey did suggest metallic anomalies in locations consistent with the presence of buried utilities (e.g., fiber optic telephone, buried water lines). The outline of a former pump island was observed on the south side of the building. The area is currently used as a concrete planter.

According to the laboratory analytical results, TPH DRO was detected in the soil samples from borings P51-B1, P51-B6, and P51-B8 at concentrations greater than the action level of 10 mg/kg described for tank closure (*Guidelines for Tank Closure*, *North Carolina Underground Storage Tank Section* (Guidelines), September 2003). The presence of TPH DRO in soil is typically associated with a release of petroleum hydrocarbons.

Based on the analytical results, the location of soil impact identified within the Study Area is likely associated with the former pump island (**Figure 3**) as well as an isolated surficial impact near P51-B8. Based on TPH concentrations detected at concentrations greater than the action level, Solutions-IES estimates the dimensions of the area of impacted soil near the pump island to measure approximately 80 feet by 20 feet. From information obtained on Parcel 61, which was also along this alignment and

assessed separately but as part of this project, the depth to groundwater was assumed to be approximately 11.6 ft bgs. Using this depth in the calculations, the volume of impacted soil is estimated at 690 cubic yards (cy). The area of impact near P51-B8 measures approximately 15 feet in diameter, and based on the surficial depth of 2 feet, the volume of impacted soil is estimated to be approximately 10 cy. Because elevated TPH has been detected in these soils, proper transportation and disposal practices should be used in handling soil that may be excavated in the vicinity of these borings. However, during roadway construction, the NCDOT transportation/disposal contractor may use different criteria for estimating impacted soil.




TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF FIELD SCREENING RESULTS FOR SOIL

Parcel 51, Richmond County, North Carolina WBS Element: 34438.1.1; State Project: R-2502A

Sample Collection Dates: August 22 and September 6, 2006

G 1 D 4 D 1	Soil Borings							
Sample Depth Below Ground Surface	P51-B1	P51-B2	P51-B3	P51-B4	P51-B5	P51-B6	P51-B7	P51-B8
Ground Surface	FID Reading (ppm)							
0 - 2 feet	6.1	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.2	ND	0.2
2 - 4 feet	12	0.1	ND	ND	ND	7.2	0.2	ND
4 - 6 feet	1.1	0.1	0.1	ND	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1
6 - 8 feet	ND	0.1	ND	ND	ND	0.4	0.1	0.1
8 - 10 feet	2.4	NS						
10 - 12 feet	2.8	NS						

Notes:

Samples denoted by shaded cells were submitted for laboratory analysis.

NS = not sampled

FID readings were obtained with a Photovac MicroFID Flame Ionization Detector.

ND = not detected

FID = Flame Ionization Detector

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Parcel 51, Richmond County, North Carolina WBS Element: 34438.1.1; State Project: R-2502A

Sample Collection Dates: August 22 and September 6, 2006

Sample I	nformation	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		
Boring Number	Depth (ft bgs)	Gasoline Range ¹ (mg/kg)	Diesel Range ² (mg/kg)	
P51-B1	2 - 4	< 7.4	380	
P51-B2	6 - 8	< 8.1	< 8.1	
P51-B3	4 - 6	< 7.9	< 7.9	
P51-B4	6 - 8	< 7.8	< 7.8	
P51-B5	4 - 6	< 7.7	< 7.7	
P51-B6	2 - 4	< 7.4	850	
P51-B7	2 - 4	< 7.9	< 7.9	
P51-B8	0 - 2	< 8.1	22	

Notes:

- $1. \ \, Total\ Petroleum\ Hydrocarbons\ (TPH)\ Method\ 5030/8015MOD\ -\ Gasoline\ Range\ Hydrocarbons$
- $2. \ \, Total\ Petroleum\ Hydrocarbons\ (TPH)\ Method\ 3545/8015MOD\ -\ Diesel\ Range\ Hydrocarbons$


Bold values indicate detected concentrations

Shaded values indicate values that exceed the action limit of 10 mg/kg for TPH-DRO and TPH-GRO provided from "Guidelines for Tank Closure", North Carolina Underground Storage Tank Section, State of North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources [NCDENR] Division of Waste Management, September, 2003.

 $mg/kg = milligram \; per \; kilogram$

ft bgs = feet below ground surface







SITE LOCATION MAP PARCEL 51

HOUSE OF PRAYER CHURCH PROPERTY RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA STATE PROJECT NO. R-2502 A, WBS ELEMENT# 34438.1.1



	1101 Phon	Nowell Road, Ralei e (919) 873-1060, F	gh, NC 27609 ax (919) 873-10	74
	Cre atled by: RT Checked by: SK File: Figure 1.mxd Software: ESRI ArcMap 9.1	Project: 3260.06A3.NDOT Date: SEPTEMBER 2006		
		FIGURE	1	





APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph 1 – Looking west beyond Parcel 51. Former pump island located near brick planter/sign in middle of photograph.



Photograph 2 – View of Parcel 51 from Tilley Street (west of Parcel 51).

APPENDIX B GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION

GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS FOR THE DETECTION OF METALLIC USTS

US 1 from SR 1001 to the Richmond County Line Richmond, North Carolina State Project Number U-3459

September 1, 2006

Report prepared for:

Sheri Knox, PE Solutions IES

1101 Nowell Rd. Raleigh, NC 27607

Prepared by:

Douglas Canavello, PG

Reviewed by:

Tim Leatherman, PG

PYRAMID ENVIRONMENTAL & ENGINEERING, P.C. 700 NORTH EUGENE ST. GREENSBORO, NC 27401 (336) 335-3174

Solutions IES

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS FOR THE DETECTION OF METALLIC USTS US 1 from SR 1001 to the Richmond County Line State Project Number U-3456

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Λ	IN	$\Gamma \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}$	ΔD	TI	OT:	\mathbf{r}	N 1	
	()	IIN	I K (.))				IIN	

2.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY

3.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

- 3.1 Parcel 6 Hillary McKay Property
- 3.2 Parcel 9 K.J. Lewis Property
- 3.3 Parcel 21 James Brigman Property
- 3.4 Parcel 48 Roy Barry Bostick Property
- 3.5 Parcel 50 Pansy Ernest Property
- 3.6 Parcel 51 Church of Deliverance Property
- 3.7 Parcel 61 Cooper & Brown Inc. Property
- 3.8 Parcel 70 Delia Lassiter Property
- 3.9 Parcel 22 Ivey Little Property
- 3.10 Parcel 68 James Pugh Property

4.0 SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

5.0 LIMITATIONS

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site & Geophysical Equipment Photos
Figure 2	Parcel 6 – Hillary McKay Property – EM61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 3	Parcel 6 – Hillary McKay Property – EM61 Differential Results
Figure 4	Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property – EM61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 5	Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property – EM61 Differential Results
Figure 6	Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property – Photo & GPR Image of UST Locations
Figure 7	Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 8	Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property – EM 61 Differential Results

Figure 9	Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property – Photo & GPR Image of UST Locations
Figure 10	Parcel 48 – Roy Barry Bostick Property – EM61 Metal Detection Results
Figure 11	Parcels 50 & 51 – Earnest & Church Properties – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results

FIGURES (continued)

Figure 12	Parcels 50 & 51 – Earnest & Church Properties – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 13	Parcels 50 & 51 – Earnest & Church Properties – Photo & GPR Image of UST
	Locations
Figure 14	Parcel 61 – Cooper & Brown Inc. Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 15	Parcel 61 – Cooper & Brown Inc. Property – EM 61 Differential Results
Figure 16	Parcel 70 – Delia Lassiter Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 17	Parcel 70 – Delia Lassiter Property – EM 61 Differential Results
Figure 18	Parcel 22 – Ivey Little Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 19	Parcel 22 – Ivey Little Property – EM 61 Differential Results
Figure 20	Parcel 68 – James Pugh Property – EM 61 Metal Detection Results

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, PC conducted geophysical investigations for Solutions IES during the period of July 26 through August 28, 2006, within the proposed Right-of-Way (ROW) areas at 10 sites located in Richmond County, North Carolina. The work was done as part of the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) road-widening project under State Project number U-3459. The sites are located along the northern or western sides of US 1 from SR 1001 to the Richmond County Line. The geophysical surveys were conducted to determine if unknown metallic underground storage tanks (UST's) were present beneath the proposed ROW area of each site.

Solutions IES representative Ms. Sheri Knox, PE provided maps during the week of July 24, 2006 that outlined the geophysical survey area of each site. Ms. Knox also provided project management during the geophysical investigation of the sites. Geophysical surveys were conducted within the proposed ROW areas at the following 10 sites that are listed from the southern-most site to the northern-most site.

	Property Owner	<u>Parcel</u>	<u>Present Use of Property</u>
	Hillary McKay Property	(Parcel 6)	Grass-covered lot with garage
	K.J. Lewis Property	(Parcel 9)	Vacant, wooded lot
	James Brigman Property	(Parcel 21)	Vacant, grass-covered Lot
	Roy Barry Bostick Property	y (Parcel 48)	Grass-covered lot and
garage			
	Pansy Ernest Property	(Parcel 50)	Grass-covered lot with vacant store
	Church of Deliverance Prop	. (Parcel 51)	Asphalt lot with active church
	Cooper & Brown Inc. Prop.	(Parcel 61)	Vacant lot and
commerci	al building		

Delia Lassiter Property	(Parcel 70)	Vacant lot and building
Ivey Little Property	(Parcel 22)	Vacant lot and building
James Pugh Property	(Parcel 68)	Vacant, wooded lot

Photographs of the above sites along with photographs of the geophysical equipment used for this project are presented in **Figure 1**.

2.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY

Prior to conducting the geophysical investigations, a 10-foot by 10-foot or 10-foot by 20-foot survey grid was established across the proposed ROW areas of the 10 sites using water-based marking paint or pin flags. These marks were used as X-Y coordinates for location control when collecting the geophysical data and establishing base maps for the geophysical results.

The geophysical investigations consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection surveys and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. The EM surveys were performed using a Geonics EM61-MK1 metal detection instrument. According to the instrument specifications, the EM61 can detect a metal drum down to a maximum depth of approximately 8 feet. The EM61 data were digitally collected at each site along parallel northerly-southerly or easterly-westerly trending survey lines spaced five feet apart. The data were downloaded to a computer and reviewed in the filed and office using the Geonics DAT61W and Surfer for Windows Version 7.0 software programs.

Contour plots of the EM61 bottom coil results and the EM61 differential results for each site are included in this report. The bottom coil results represent the most sensitive component of the EM61 instrument and detect metal objects regardless of size. The bottom coil response can be used to

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

09/01/06

delineate metal conduits or utility lines, small, isolated metal objects, and areas containing insignificant metal debris.

The differential results are obtained from the difference between the top and bottom coils of the EM61 instrument. The differential results focus on the larger metal objects such as drums and USTs and ignore the smaller insignificant metal objects.

GPR surveys were conducted across selected EM61 differential anomalies and steel-reinforced concrete using a GSSI SIR-2000 unit equipped with a 400 MHz antenna. Surveys were also performed across several areas where parked vehicles that obstructed the EM61 survey had since been removed. GPR data were digitally collected in a continuous mode along X and/or Y survey lines, spaced two to five feet apart using a vertical scan of 512 samples, at a rate of 48 scans per second. An 80 MHz high pass filter and an 800 MHz low pass filter were used during data acquisition with the 400 MHz antenna. GPR data were collected down to a maximum depth of approximately five feet, based on an estimated two-way travel time of 9 nanoseconds per foot.

The GPR data were downloaded to a field computer and later reviewed in the office using Radprint and Radan 5.0 software programs. The locations of GPR survey areas or individual GPR survey lines are shown as solid, purple polygons or solid purple lines, respectively, on the EM 61 differential contour plots. The approximate perimeters of probable or possible USTs, based on the geophysical results, were marked and labeled in the field using orange, water-based marking paint and pin flags (when possible). The approximate locations of probable or possible USTs are shown as magentacolored rectangles on the EM 61 bottom coil and differential contour plots.

During the weeks of August 7, August 14, and August 28, preliminary contour plots of the EM61 bottom coil and the differential results were emailed to Ms. Knox.

3.0 <u>DISCUSSION OF RESULTS</u>

3.1 Parcel 6 – Hillary McKay Property

The Hillary McKay Property (Parcel 6) contains a former auto repair garage and a vacant wooden building. The ROW area consists of a flat-lying grass surface. The bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 2 and 3**, respectively. GPR surveys conducted around the perimeter of the garage and wooden building, suggest that the EM 61 anomalies surrounding the two buildings are in response to the structures and perhaps buried miscellaneous metal debris. The remaining EM 61 anomalies are probably in response to buried miscellaneous metal debris. The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at Parcel 6 does not contain metallic UST's.

3.2 Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property

The K.J. Lewis property (Parcel 9) is located immediately north of the Mercer Road and US 1 intersection, approximately 200 feet northeast of Parcel 6. The property consists of an abandoned building along the edge of US 1, which is surrounded by dense wooded terrain. A former pump island area is located in front of the building. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 4 and 5**, respectively. Due to limited access to the site, the geophysical investigation was limited to the front portion of the property that is located along US 1.

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

09/01/06

The geophysical investigation detected the probable presence of two USTs located adjacent to the pump island area. The first UST is centered near grid coordinates X=84 Y=27, and buried approximately 1.5 feet below surface. The second UST is centered near grid coordinates X=103 Y=27, and is buried approximately 2.0 feet below surface. This latter UST appears to be lie partially beneath the former pump island area. The approximate locations of the USTs are shown as magentacolored rectangles in Figures 4 and 5. Based on the GPR results, the probable USTs are approximately 10 feet long and 4 feet wide. A photograph showing the approximate locations of the two probable USTs and the image of GPR survey lines Y=27.5, which intersects the probable USTs, are presented in **Figure 6**.

The EM61differential anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=118 Y=29, may possibly be in response to a UST or large metal object. However, GPR surveys could not be conducted across this EM anomaly due to the limited access caused by the dense wooded terrain. The approximate location of this possible UST is shown as a dashed, magenta-colored rectangle in Figures 4 and 5, and in the site photograph that is presented in Figure 6.

The remaining portion of the geophysical survey area does not appear to contain significant, buried, metal objects.

3.3 Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property

The James Brigman property (Parcel 21) consists of an open, grass and asphalt-covered lot located along the western side of US 1. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 7 and 8**, respectively.

GPR surveys conducted across the linear, EM61 bottom coil anomalies that intersect grid coordinates X=62 Y=70, X=66 Y=94, X=84 Y=94, and X=87.5 Y=75, suggest the anomalies are probably in response to buried utility lines or conduits. GPR data also suggest that the high amplitude anomalies centered near grid coordinates X=77 Y=84, and X=93 Y=66, are probably in response to buried miscellaneous metal objects or junction areas for the conduits or utility lines.

GPR surveys conducted across the large, high amplitude anomaly centered near X=45 Y=75, detected the probable presence of four metallic USTs. The four probable USTs are centered near grid coordinates X=43 Y=80, X=50 Y=80, X=42 Y=73, and X=48 Y=73. Based on the GPR data, the USTs appear to be approximately 9 feet long and 3.5 to 4 feet wide and buried approximately 1.5 to 2.0 feet below surface. The approximate locations of the probable USTs are shown as magenta-colored rectangles in Figures 7 and 8. A photograph showing the approximate locations of the four probable USTs and the image of GPR survey lines Y=80, which intersects the two probable USTs centered near X=43 Y=80, and X=50 Y=80, are presented in **Figure 9**.

The remaining EM 61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area are probably in response to miscellaneous metal debris.

3.4 Parcel 48 – Roy Barry Bostick Property

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

09/01/06

The Roy Barry Bostick property (Parcel 48) consists of a red, brick building surrounded by flat-lying grass-covered terrain. The parcel is located along the northwestern side of US 1 approximately 300 feet southwest of the US 1 and Tilley Street intersection. The EM 61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figure 10**.

GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=295 Y=60, suggest that the anomaly is probably in response to one or more large diameter (12 or more inches) conduits buried approximately 1.0 feet below surface. There is a possibility (although unlikely) that the anomaly may be in response to a very small UST centered near grid coordinates X=290 Y=59. The location of the possible, but unlikely UST is shown as a magenta-colored square in Figure 10.

GPR surveys conducted along the edge of the brick building suggest that the EM61 anomalies recorded in this area are probably in response to the building and/or buried miscellaneous debris. The remaining EM61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area at Parcel 48 are probably in response to known cultural features and/or buried miscellaneous debris.

3.5 Parcel 50 – Pansy Ernest Property

The Pansy Ernest property (Parcel 50) is located on the western corner of the Tilley Street and US1 intersection. The parcel contains the former Little Grace's Variety store surrounded by a flay-lying grass-covered, terrain. An occupied house is located immediately west of the property. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 11 and 12**, respectively. Please note that Figures 11 and 12 also contain the EM61 results for Church of Deliverance property (Parcel 51).

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites 09/01/06

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, PC

GPR surveys conducted across the backyard of Parcel 50 suggest the linear EM61 bottom coil anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X=570 Y=115, X=570 Y=126, X=580 Y=90, and X=586 Y=125, are probable in response to buried conduits or lines. Similarly, the locations of the linear EM61 anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X= 622 Y=80, X=622 Y=120, and X=640 Y=35, suggest these anomalies are probably in response to buried utility lines.

GPR surveys conduct across the high amplitude anomalies centered near grid coordinates X=575 Y=105, and X=590 Y=113, suggest the anomalies are probably in response to the "junction" of conduits and/or other miscellaneous objects. Although not confirmed by the GPR results, the EM61 anomaly located at X=575 Y=105, may be in response to a possible septic tank.

GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=567 Y=55, detected the probably presence of two USTs buried approximately 0.75 feet below surface. The approximate locations of the probably USTs are shown as magenta-colored rectangles in Figures 11 and 12 and each UST appears to be approximately eight feet long and three feet wide. A photograph showing the approximate locations of the two probable USTs and the image of GPR survey line Y=55, which intersects the probable USTs, are presented in **Figure 13**.

The remaining EM 61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area at Parcel 50 are probably in response to known cultural features or buried miscellaneous metal debris.

3.6 Parcel 51 – Church of Deliverance Property

The Church of Deliverance property (Parcel 51) contains an active church building surrounded by a grass, gravel or asphalt-covered parking area. The property is located on the northern corner of the Tilley Street and US 1 intersection immediately across the street from the Pansy Ernest property (Parcel 50). The EM 61 bottom coil results and the differential results for Parcel 51 are presented in **Figures 11 and 12**, respectively along with the EM 61 results for Parcel 50.

The linear EM 61 bottom coil anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X=670 Y=50, X=700 Y=30, and X=700 Y=65, are probably in response to buried utility lines or conduits. GPR surveys conducted across the EM 61 differential anomaly centered near X=705 Y=105, and along the front edge of the church building suggest the anomalies are probably in response to miscellaneous debris and the building respectively.

The remaining EM 61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area at Parcel 51 are probably in response to know cultural features or miscellaneous buried debris. The geophysical results also suggest that the proposed ROW area does not contain metallic USTs.

3.7 Parcel 61 – Cooper & Brown Inc. Property

The Cooper & Brown Inc. property (Parcel 61) is located on the western side of the US 1 and Little Road intersection. The proposed ROW area of Parcel 61 contains a vacant business building surrounded by flat-lying, grass or asphalt surfaces. A concrete pad is located in front of the building and probably identifies the former pump island area. An occupied house lies to the northwest of the proposed ROW area.

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

09/01/06

The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 14 and 15**, respectively. The linear EM61 bottom coil anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X=130 Y=34, X=142 Y=105, X=186 Y=100, X=210 Y=42, and X=213 Y=83, are probably in response to buried utility lines or conduits. The high amplitude anomalies centered near grid coordinates X=75 Y=67, and X=80 Y=50, are probably in response to steel reinforced concrete. GPR surveys conducted across these two areas did not detect the presence of USTs.

GPR surveys conducted across the high amplitude anomaly centered near X=226 Y=116, suggest the anomaly is probably in response to steel reinforced concrete and/or to the metal conduits that are visible at the surface. GPR surveys conducted along the perimeter of the building suggest that the EM61 anomalies are probably in response to the building and/or to miscellaneous debris. The remaining EM61 anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features and/or to buried miscellaneous metal debris.

The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at Parcel 61 does not contain metallic USTs.

3.8 Parcel 70 – Delia Lassiter Property

The Delia Lassiter Property (Parcel 70) contains a vacant building surrounded primarily by grass yard and an asphalt driveway. An occupied house lies immediately north of the proposed ROW area. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 16 and 17**, respectively.

The linear EM61 anomaly intersecting grid coordinates X=90 Y=110, is probably in response to a buried utility line or conduit. The remaining EM anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features or to buried miscellaneous debris. The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at the Delia Lassiter property does not contain metallic USTs.

3.9 Parcel 22 – Ivey Little Property

The Ivey Little property (Parcel 22) is located along the northwest side of US 1 and consists of a vacant building surrounded by a gravel-covered driveway and grass-covered fields. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 18 and 19**, respectively.

The linear EM61 anomaly intersecting grid coordinates X=354 Y=35, is probably in response to a buried utility line or conduit. The remaining EM anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features or to buried miscellaneous debris. The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at the Ivey Little property does not contain metallic USTs.

3.10 Parcel 68 – James Pugh Property

The James Pugh Property (Parcel 68) is a former gas station site located on the northern side of US 1, approximately 0.25 miles west of the US 1 and Special Forces Way intersection. The site consists primarily of grass, trees and brush with a former pump island pad located near the edge of US 1. The EM 61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figure 20**.

GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomalies centered grid coordinates X=305 Y=35, and X=321 Y=37, suggest the anomalies are probably in response to the pump island pad and to the

buried pump island-related equipment. GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=534 Y=92, suggest the anomaly is probably in response to buried miscellaneous debris or object. The remaining EM61 anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features and miscellaneous metal debris.

The geophysical investigation conducted at Parcel 68 suggests that the proposed ROW areadoes not contain metallic USTs.

4.0 <u>SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS</u>

Our evaluation of the EM61 and GPR data collected across the proposed ROW areas at the 10 sites along US1 in Richmond County, North Carolina provides the following summary and conclusions:

- The EM61 and GPR surveys provided reliable results for the detection of metallic USTs within the surveyed portions of the proposed ROW areas of each site.
- GPR surveys were conducted across selected EM61 differential anomalies and across areas containing steel reinforced concrete.
- Linear EM 61 anomalies at the 10 sites are probably in response to buried utility lines and/or conduits. The majority of non-linear anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features or miscellaneous metal objects.

• The geophysical results suggest the proposed ROW areas at the following properties do not contain metallic USTs:

Hillary McKay Property	(Parcel 6)	
Church of Deliverance Property	(Parcel 51)	
Cooper & Brown Inc. Property	(Parcel 61)	
Delia Lassiter Property	(Parcel 70)	
Ivey Little Property	(Parcel 22	2)
James Pugh Property	(Parcel 68)	


- W.J. Lewis Property (Parcel 9): Geophysical results suggest the probable presence of two USTs located adjacent to the pump island area. The first UST is centered near grid coordinates X=84 Y=27, and buried approximately 1.5 feet below surface. The second UST is centered near grid coordinates X=103 Y=27, and is buried approximately 2.0 feet below surface. The EM61 differential anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=118 Y=29, may possibly be in response to a UST or large metal object. However, GPR surveys could not be conducted across this EM anomaly due to the limited access caused by the dense wooded terrain.
- James Brigman Property (Parcel 21): Geophysical results detected the probable presence of four metallic USTs centered near grid coordinates X=43 Y=80, X=50 Y=80, X=42 Y=73, and X=48 Y=73. Based on the GPR data, the USTs appear to be approximately 9 feet longand 3.5 to 4 feet wide and buried approximately 1.5 to 2.0 feet below surface.


- Roy Barry Bostick Property (Parcel 48): GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=295 Y=60, suggest that the anomaly is probably in response to one or more large diameter (12 or more inches) conduits buried approximately 1.0 feet below surface. There is a possibility (although unlikely) that the anomaly may be in response to a very small UST centered near grid coordinates X=290 Y=59.
- Pansy Ernest Property (Parcel 50): Geophysical results suggest the probable presence of two USTs centered near grid coordinates X=567 Y=55, and buried approximately 0.75 feet below surface. The USTs appear to be approximately eight feet long and three feet wide.

5.0 <u>LIMITATIONS</u>


EM61 and GPR surveys have been performed and this report prepared for Solutions IES in accordance with generally accepted guidelines for EM61 and GPR surveys. It is generally recognized that the results of the EM61 and GPR are non-unique and may not represent actual subsurface conditions. The EM61 and GPR results obtained for this project do not conclusively define the locations of all metallic USTs but only suggest where some of the metallic USTs may be present. The EM61 and GPR anomalies, interpreted as probable or possible USTs or tanks, may be attributed to other surface or subsurface conditions or cultural interference.


09/01/06





FIGURES







Parcel 6 - Hillary McKay Property



Parcel 9 - K.J. Lewis Property



Parcel 21 - James Brigman Property



Parcel 48 - Roy Barry Bostick Property



Parcel 50 - Pansy Earnest Property



Parcel 51 - Church of Deliverance Property



Parcel 61 - Cooper & Brown Property



Parcel 70 - Delia Lassiter Property



Parcel 22 - Ivey Little Property



Parcel 68 - James Pugh Property

GEOPHYSICAL EQUIPMENT



The photo shows the Geonics EM61 metal detector that was used to conduct the metal detection survey at the sites in Richmond County, North Carolina.




The photos show the SIR-2000 GPR system equipped with a 400 MHz antenna that was used to conduct the ground penetrating radar investigation at the sites in Richmond County, North Carolina.





SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

This figure shows the photographs of the ten sites located near Marston and Hoffman, North Carolina where geophysical investigations were conducted within the ROW areas for the detection of metallic USTs.










Note: The contour plot shows the bottom coil (most sensitive) response of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The bottom coil response shows buried metallic objects regardless of size. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.


[양] 2006-200 [)




EM61 BOTTOM COIL RESULTS










Note: The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 metal detection survey in millivolts (mV). The differential response focuses on larger, buried metallic objects such as drums and USTs and ignores smaller miscellaneous, buried, metal debris. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.


The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.



	CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	08/01/06 MJD		
	SITE	PARCEL 6 - HILLARY MCKAY PROPERTY	CHKD	ALE IN FEE	
	QŢŢ	MARSTON	DWG	VPHIC SC	
Э.	ПТСЕ	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	(S) 2006-200 WH 100	GR/	


EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESULTS








The photograph shows the locations of two probable USTs and one possible UST buried 1.5 to 2.0 feet below surface, as suggested by the geophysical results at Parcel 9.




The GPR image obtained along a portion of survey line Y=27.5, shows the anomalies that are probably in response to USTs near X=84 and X=103, and buried approximately 1.5 and 2.0 feet below surface, respectively. The location of this GPR image is shown with a solid purple line in the above photograph.




CLE	SOLUTIONS IES	08/26/05	l
SITE	PARCEL 9 - K. J. LEWIS PROPERTY	CHKO CHKO LAY	l
CITY	MARSTON	DWG APHC SC	l
TITLE	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	2006-200	












Note: The contour plot shows the bottom coil (most sensitive) response of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The bottom coil response shows buried metallic objects regardless of size. The EM metal detection data were collected on August 15, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

GPR surveys suggest that the large, high amplitude, EM61 anomaly in the southwest portion of the survey area is probably in response to four metallic USTs.




EM61 BOTTOM COIL RESULTS









Note: The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 metal detection survey in millivolts (mV). The differential response focuses on larger, buried metallic objects such as drums and USTs and ignores smaller miscellaneous, buried, metal debris. The EM metal detection data were collected on August 15, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

GPR surveys suggest that the large, high amplitude, EM61 anomaly in the southwest portion of the survey area is probably in response to four metallic USTs.




	CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	08/17/06 MJD	
	SITE	PARCEL 21 - JAMES BRIGMAN PROPERTY	CHKD CHKD	
	VII	MARSTON	DWG	
C.	TITLE	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	<u>S</u> 2006-200	

EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESULTS




The photograph shows the location of four probable USTs buried 1.75 to 2.0 feet below surface, as suggested by the geophysical results at Parcel 21.





The GPR image obtained along a portion of survey line Y=80, shows the anomalies that are probably in response to USTs near X=43 and X=50, and buried approximately 2.0 and 1.5 feet below surface, respectively. The location of this GPR image is shown with a solid purple line in the above photograph.



CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	E
SITE	PARCEL 21 - JAMES BRIGMAN PROPERTY	LE IN FEE
CITY	1	PHIC SCA
THE	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	GRA











The photograph shows the location of two probable USTs buried approx. 0.75 feet below surface, as suggested by the geophysical results at Parcel 50.





The GPR image obtained along survey line Y=55 shows the anomalies that are probably in response to USTs near X=566 and X=570, and buried approximately 0.75 feet below surface. The location of this GPR image is shown with a solid purple line in the above photograph.





CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	08/26/05	ь
SITE	PARCEL 50 (PANSY ERNEST PROPERTY)	OH'KD CH'KD	ALE IN PE
СПТ	MARSTON NORTH CAROLINA	DMG	APHIC SC
тте	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	2006-200	g S






















Note: The contour plot shows the bottom coil (most sensitive) response of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The bottom coil response shows buried metallic objects regardless of size. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on July 28, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.




EM61 BOTTOM COIL RESULTS











Note: The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 metal detection survey in millivolts (mV). The differential response focuses on larger, buried metallic objects such as drums and USTs and ignores smaller miscellaneous, buried, metal debris. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on July 28, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.



EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESULTS



APPENDIX C
BORING LOGS

Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 1

Client: NCDOT WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A

Drilling Method: Direct Push

Sampler Type: Macro Core

Boring Date: 08/22/06

Site: Parcel 51

County: Richmond

Initial Water Level: NA Stabilized Water Level: NA

Cave In Depth: 5.5' bgs

Total Depth of Boring: 12' bgs

Logge	d By:	K.B Checked B	y: (1)		Total Depth of B	oring:	12' bgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SAM	PLE		£	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	PID Field Screen	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0-		Ground Surface					
_		Asphalt			6		
1		SM Dark brown, fine silty sand		100	•		
3-		SM Damp, brown and tan, fine silty sand		100	12		
4		SM Damp, tan and orange, fine silty sand	\mathbb{H}			811-14	
5-		SM Wet, tan, fine silty sand		100	İ		
6- 7-		CL Moist, grey, sandy clay		100	0		
8-		CL Moist, tan and orange, sandy clay	Ш				
9			Ш	100	2		
10-		SC	-III	100	3		
12-		Moist, orange, medium clayey sand					
13-							
15							
16-							



Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 2

Client: NCDOT WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A

Drilling Method: Direct Push

Sampler Type: Macro Core

Logged By: K.B

County: Richmond

Boring Date: 08/22/06

Site: Parcel 51

Checked By: W

Initial Water Level: NA

Stabilized Water Level: NA

Cave In Depth: 4.5' bgs

Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Loggo				DLE	Total Deptil of B		5 5g5
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Sample Interval	% Recovery	PID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750 FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0-		Ground Surface					
1-	2001 2001 2001	SP Dry, tan and brown, fine sand with gravel		100	0		52 52 52 52
3-		SM Moist, tan and brown, fine silty sand		100	0		
5-		SM Damp, tan and brown, fine silty sand		100	0		, 20
7-		SM Wet, brown, fine silty sand CL Moist, grey and orange, sandy clay		100 '	0		
9- 10- 11- 12-							
13- 14- 15-							



Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 3

Initial Water Level: NA

Client: NCDOT WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A Drilling Method: Direct Push

Sampler Type: Macro Core Logged By: K.B.

Boring Date: 08/22/06

County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA Cave In Depth: 4.8' bgs

Site: Parcel 51

Checked By: VD

Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	а ву:	K.B Checked By: 3			l otal Depth of B	oring:	o bgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SAM	PLE	DID FIGH 0	t E	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	PID Field Screen	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0-		Ground Surface					
1-		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand		100	0		
3-		SM Moist, brown and orange, fine silty sand		100	0		
5-		SM Damp to wet, tan, fine to medium silty sand		100	o		
7-		CL Moist, grey, sandy clay CL Moist, grey, red and orange, sandy clay		100	0		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15							



Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 4

Client: NCDOT WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A

Drilling Method: Direct Push

Sampler Type: Macro Core

Logged By: K.B

County: Richmond

Boring Date: 08/22/06

Site: Parcel 51 Checked By: Q Initial Water Level: NA Stabilized Water Level: NA

Cave In Depth: 7.8' bgs

Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logged B		8		rotal Depth of B	oring: (bgs
	SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SAM	PLE	PID Field Screen	pth	
Depth ft. bgs	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	• ppm • 250 500 750 FID Field Screen • ppm • 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0	Ground Surface					
1-11	SM Dry, tan and brown, fine silty sand		100	0		2 - 148 2 - 149 2 - 149
3-1-1-1	SM Moist, dark brown, fine silty sand		100	o		
5-	Damp, tan and brown, fine silty sand SC Damp, orange and tan, medium clayey sand		100	0		
7-	CL Moist, orange, grey and tan, sandy clay		100	0		
10- 11- 12- 13- 14- 15-						



Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 5

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A

Drilling Method: Direct Push Sampler Type: Macro Core

Logged By: K.B

County: Richmond

Boring Date: 08/22/06

Site: Parcel 51
Checked Bv:

Initial Water Level: NA

Stabilized Water Level: NA

Cave In Depth: 4.6' bgs

Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	а ву:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Total Depth of B	oring:	g, pgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SAM	PLE	PID Field Screen	pth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	• ppm • 250 500 750 FID Field Screen • ppm • 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0-		Ground Surface					
1-		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand		100	o		
3-		SM Moist, brown, orange and black, fine silty sand		100	o		
4- 5-		SM Damp, tan, fine silty sand	\parallel	100	0		
6- 7-		SM Wet, tan, fine silty sand CL Moist, grey and orange, sandy clay		100	o		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15							



Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 6

Client: NCDOT WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A

Drilling Method: Direct Push Sampler Type: Macro Core

Logged By: K.B

County: Richmond

Boring Date: 08/22/06

Site: Parcel 51
Checked By:

Initial Water Level: NA

Stabilized Water Level: NA

Cave In Depth: 4.8' bgs

Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	и Бу.				rotal Depth of B	oning.	o bys
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SAM	PLE	DID Field Corres	£	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	PID Field Screen	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0-		Ground Surface					
1-		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand		100	0		
3-		SC Moist, brown and tan, fine clayey sand SM Moist, tan, brown and black, fine silty sand		100	7		12
5-		SM Moist, tan, fine silty sand SC Moist to damp, brown, medium clayey sand	\parallel	100	0		
7-		SM Wet, brown, medium silty sand CL	\parallel	100	0		
9-		Moist, orange and grey, medium sandy clay					
11-							
13- 14-							
15- 16-							



Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 7

Client: NCDOT WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A

Drilling Method: Direct Push Sampler Type: Macro Core

Logged By: B.R.

County: Richmond Boring Date: 09/06/06

Site: Parcel 51
Checked By: \(\)

Initial Water Level: NA Stabilized Water Level: NA

Cave In Depth: 4.5' bgs

Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	и Бу.		70		Total Depth of B	orning.	o uga
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SAM	PLE	PID Field Screen	pth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	• ppm • 250 500 750 FID Field Screen • ppm • 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0-		Ground Surface					
1-		Asphalt / Gravel SM Dry, black, fine silty sand	\parallel	100	0		
3-		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand		100	0		
5		SM Wet, brown, fine silty sand		100	0		
7-		CL Grey and orange, silty clay		100	o		
8- 9- 10- 11- 12- 13-			•				
14 15 16							



Project: Richmond County PSA's

Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT

Boring Number: 8

Client: NCDOT WBS # 34438.1.1

State Project # R-2502A

Drilling Method: Direct Push

Sampler Type: Macro Core

Logged By: B.R.

County: Richmond Boring Date: 09/06/06

Site: Parcel 51

Checked By:

Initial Water Level: NA

Stabilized Water Level: NA

Cave In Depth: 4.5' bgs

Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	а ву.				rotal Depth of B	oring.	o bgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SAM	PLE	PID Field Screen	pth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	ppm 250 500 750 FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
0-		Ground Surface					
1-		GP Dry, brown, sand and gravel SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand	\parallel	100	0		
3-		SM Dry, black, fine silty sand SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand		100	0		
5-		SM Wet, brown, fine silty sand SC Dry, grey and orange, silty clay		100	o		
7-		Dry, groy and orango, only only		100	o		
9-							
11-							
13- 14-							
15- 16-							



APPENDIX D GPS COORDINATES OF BORING LOCATIONS

Appendix D

GPS Coordinates of Boring Locations Parcel 51, House of Prayer Church for All People Property 3595 US Highway 1

Richmond County, North Carolina WBS Element: 34438.1.1; NCDOT Project R-2502A

Boring Identification	Northing	Easting
P51-B1	35.03106737	-79.55036237
P51-B2	35.03108614	-79.55033245
P51-B3	35.0310936	-79.55042004
P51-B4	35.03116367	-79.55050813
P51-B5	35.03098874	-79.55046823
P51-B6	35.03106569	-79.55035717
P51-B7	35.03113	-79.550216
P51-B8	35.03116	-79.55025

Notes:

APPENDIX E LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

Case Narrative



Date:

08/30/06

Company: N. C. Department of Transportation

Contact:

Sheri Knox

Address: c/o Solution - IES

1101 Nowell Road

Raleigh, NC 27607

Client Project ID:

NCDOT Parcel 51

Prism COC Group No:

G0806704

Collection Date(s):

08/22/06

Lab Submittal Date(s):

08/23/06

Client Project Name Or No: Richmond Co. WBS# 34438.1.1

This data package contains the analytical results for the project identified above and includes a Case Narrative. Laboratory Report and Quality Control Data totaling 9 pages. A chain-of-custody is also attached for the samples submitted to Prism for this project.

Data qualifiers are flagged individually on each sample. A key reference for the data qualifiers appears at the end of this case narrative. Quality control statements and/or sample specific remarks are included in the sample comments section of the laboratory report for each sample affected.

Semi Volatile Analysis

Analysis Note for Q17317 MS Diesel Range Organics (DRO); Recovery was outside of the control limits.

Analysis Note for Q17317 MSD Diesel Range Organics (DRO): Recovery was outside of the control limits.

Volatile Analysis

No Anomalies Reported

Metals Analysis

N/A

Wet Lab and Micro Analysis

N/A

Please	call if	you hav	e any	questions	relating to	this anal	ytical report.	

Date Reviewed by:

Paula A. Gilleland

Project Manager:

Signature:

Signature:

Review Date:

Approval Date:

08/30/06

Data Qualifiers Key Reference:

- B: Compound also detected in the method blank.
- #: Result outside of the QC limits.
- DO: Compound diluted out.
 - E: Estimated concentration, calibration range exceeded.
 - J: The analyte was positively identified but the value is estimated below the reporting limit.
- H: Estimated concentration with a high bias.
- L: Estimated concentration with a low bias.
- M: A matrix effect is present.

Notes: This report should not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written consent of Prism Laboratories, Inc. The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis.



Laboratory Report

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51
Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51.B1 2-4 Prism Sample ID: 159221

COC Group: G0806704

Time Collected: 08/22/06 14:15 Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	94.6	0/			1	SM2540 G	08/24/06 14:10	lbrown	
Percent Solids	94.6	%			1	SIM2540 G	06/24/06 14:10	IDIOWII	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID				•				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	380	mg/kg	37	11	5	8015B	08/27/06 12:04	jvogel	Q17317
Sample Preparation:			25.15	g /	1 mL	3545	08/25/06 13:00	wconder	P16198
					Surrogate	•	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terpher	yl	89		1 9 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.72	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Weight 2	5.12	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID						,		
Gasoline Range Organics (GR	BRL	mg/kg	7.4	2.9	50	8015B	08/26/06 2:04	grappaccioli	Q17278
					Surrogate)	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		110		55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Laboratory Report

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51
Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51.B2 6-8
Prism Sample ID: 159222
COC Group: G0806704

Time Collected: 08/22/06 14:30 Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	86.1	%			1	SM2540 G	08/24/06 14:10	Ibrown	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G			0.4		á	00.450	00/07/00 40 00		0 4 m a a a
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	8.1	2.0	1	8015B	08/25/06 16:28	jvogei	Q17323
Sample Preparation:			49.72	g /	2 mL	3550B	08/25/06 10:00	Jvogel	P16206
					Surrogate)	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
e.					o-Terphen	yl 	102	4	l8 - 130
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	6.32	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	6.18	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	v GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GR	BRL	mg/kg	8.1	3.2	50	8015B	08/26/06 2:44	grappaccioli	Q17278
					Surrogate	.	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		111	ŗ	55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Laboratory Report

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51
Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51.B3 4-6 Prism Sample ID: 159223

COC Group: G0806704

Time Collected: 08/22/06 14:40 Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	89.0	%			1	SM2540 G	08/24/06 14:10	Ibrown	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by GO	C-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.9	1.9	1	8015B	08/25/06 17:05	jvogel	Q17323
Sample Preparation:			49.6	g /	2 mL	3550B	08/25/06 10:00	Jvogel	P16206
					Surrogate	•	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	98		18 - 130
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.57	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Weight 2	5.68	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GR	BRL	mg/kg	7.9	3.1	50	8015B	08/26/06 3:23	grappaccioli	Q17278
					Surrogate		% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
•					aaa-TFT		98		55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Laboratory Report

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51
Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51.B4 6-8
Prism Sample ID: 159224

COC Group: G0806704

Time Collected: 08/22/06 14:45 Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination									
Percent Solids	89.3	%			1	SM2540 G	08/24/06 14:10	Ibrown	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID						•		
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	1.9	1	8015B	08/25/06 17:42	jvogel	Q17323
Sample Preparation:			50.23	g /	2 mL	3550B	08/25/06 10:00	Jvogel	P16206
					Surrogate	•	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	108	4	48 - 130
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	6.45	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	6.54	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GR	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	3.0	50	8015B	08/28/06 21:09	grappaccioli	Q17340
					Surrogate	.	% Recovery	Cont	trol Limits
					aaa-TFT		110		55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Laboratory Report

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51
Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51.B5 4-6 Prism Sample ID: 159225

COC Group: G0806704

Time Collected: 08/22/06 14:50 Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	91.2	%			1	SM2540 G	08/24/06 14:10	lbrown	
rercent solids	91.2	70			ı	31VI2040 G	00/24/00 14.10	IDIOWIE	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.7	1.9	1	8015B	08/25/06 18:19	jvogel	Q17323
Sample Preparation:			50.44	g /	2 mL	3550B	08/25/06 10:00	Jvogel	P16206
					Surrogate	•	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	99		18 - 130
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.95	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Weight 2	5.54	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GR	BRL	mg/kg	7.7	3.0	50	8015B	08/28/06 21:48	grappaccioli	Q17340
					Surrogate)	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		112		55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Laboratory Report

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51
Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51.B6 2-4 Prism Sample ID: 159226

COC Group: G0806704

Time Collected: 08/22/06 15:00 Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination	04.0	n/			4	CMOE 40 C	00/04/00 44-4) lbrown	
Percent Solids	94.0	%			1	SM2540 G	08/24/06 14:1	JUIOWII	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	850	mg/kg	370	90	50	8015B	08/27/06 11:5) jvogel	Q17323
Sample Preparation:			49.81	g /	2 mL	3550B	08/25/06 10:0) Jvogel	P16206
					Surrogate	•	% Recover	y Con	trol Limits
					o-Terphen	nyl	DO	#	48 - 130
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.16	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	3.75	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	y GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GR	BRL	mg/kg	7.4	2.9	50	8015B	08/29/06 12:0	grappacciol	i Q17340
					Surrogate)	% Recover	v Con	trol Limits
,					aaa-TFT		100	•	55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Level II QC Report

8/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607

Project Name: Richmond Co.

NCDOT Parcel 51

Project ID: Project No.:

WBS# 34438.1.1

COC Group Number: G0806704

Date/Time Submitted: 8/23/06

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by GC-FID, method 8015B

Method Bla	ank	Result	RL	Control Limit	Units				QC Batch ID
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	7	<3.5	mg/kg				Q17278
Laboratory	Control Sample	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch ID
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	45.85	50	mg/kg	92	67 - 116			Q17278
Matrix Spil	(e	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch
159204	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	55.65	50	mg/kg	111	57 - 113			Q17278
Matrix Spil	ke Duplicate	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %	RPD %	RPD Range %	QC Batch
159204	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	56.1	50	mg/kg	112	57 - 113	1	0 - 23	Q17278
Method Bla	ank	Result	RL.	Control Limit	Units				QC Batch ID
	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	7	<3.5	mg/kg				Q17317
Laboratory	Control Sample	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch ID
	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	54.73	80	mg/kg	68	55 - 109			Q17317
Matrix Spil	(e	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch
159204	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	66.96	80	mg/kg	27 #	50 - 117			Q17317
Matrix Spil	re Duplicate	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %	RPD %	RPD Range %	QC Batch
159204	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	73.85		mg/kg	36 #	50 - 117	10	0 - 24	Q17317



Level II QC Report

8/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607

Project Name: Richmond Co.

COC Group Number: G0806704

Method Blank

Project ID: Project No.: **NCDOT Parcel 51** WBS# 34438.1.1

Date/Time Submitted: 8/23/06

Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by GC-FID, method 8015B

Method BI	ank	Result	RL	Control Limit	Units				QC Batch ID
	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	7	<3.5	mg/kg				Q17323
Laboratory	y Control Sample	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch ID
	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	40.41	40	mg/kg	101	53 - 118			Q17323
Matrix Spi	ke		Spike			Recovery			00 B-4-b
Sample ID:		Result	Amount	Units	Recovery %	Range %			QC Batch ID
159234	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	35.86	40	mg/kg	90	52 - 119			Q17323
Matrix Spil	ke Duplicate	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %	RPD %	RPD Range %	QC Batch
159234	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	31.83	40		80	52 - 119	12	0 - 25	Q17323
Method Bla	ank	Result	RL	Control Limit	Units				QC Batch ID
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	7	<3.5	mg/kg				Q17340
Laboratory	/ Control Sample					Recovery			
-		Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Range %			QC Batch ID
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	48.4	50	mg/kg	97	67 - 116			Q17340
Matrix Spil	ke.		Spike		D	Recovery Range			QC Batch
Sample ID:		Result	Amount	Units	Recovery %	%			ID
159233	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	50.4	50	mg/kg	101	57 - 113			Q17340
Matrix Spil	ke Duplicate		Spike			Recovery Range		RPD Range	OC Botol:
Sample ID:		Result	Amount	Units	Recovery %	range %	RPD %	range %	QC Batch ID
159233	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	50.65	50	mg/kg	101	57 - 113	0	0 - 23	Q17340

Page 2 of 2



Full Service Analytical & Environmental Solutions

Site Location Name: NCDOT PARLEC Phone: 9/9873 Reporting Address: Report To/Contact Name: Shaw Client Company Name: Socurrous - 163 EDD Type: PDF_ Email (Yes) (No) Email Address SKNOX SOLUTIONS -103 9 30 SA ONC OSC ONC OSC ☐ Fed Ex ☐ UPS ☐ Hand-delivered ☐ Prism Field Service NPDES: 449 Springbrook Road • P.O. Box 240543 • Charlotte, NC 28224-0543 Phone: 704/529-6364 • Fax: 704/525-0409 shed By: UST: SAMPLES ARE NOT ACCE Exce ONC OSC GROUNDWATER: Fax (Yes) (No): 9/98. Nove Other NC 27607 HS SHOOLD BE TAPED SHUT WITH CUSTODY SEALS FOR TRANSPORTATION TO THE LABORATORY. 0 N O DRINKING WATER: Other 13/074 Short Hold Analysis: Samples received after 15:00 will be processed next business day Requested Due Date Q 1 Day Q 2 Days Q 3 Days Q 4 Days Purchase Order No./Billing Reference 3260, 06A3, Invoice To: "Working Days" 0 N SOLID WASTE: OSC

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PAGE ___OF __C QUOTE # TO ENSURE PROPER BILLING:

Project Name: NCDOT PARCEL 51- RICHMOND CO provisions and/or QC Requirements *Please ATTACH any project specific reporting (QC LEVEL I II III IV) (Yes) (No) PRAJECT # 2502 WBS# 34438, UST Project: (Yes) (No) ABR

	200	N 25	100	- 33	- 652	100	6.
PROPER CONTAINERS used?	(O)	USTODY SEALS	D. 💥	PROPER PRE	939	u .	į.
See And	No. with the	CONS	2	Na lieb	Down.	No William	Ň
200	100	50.3	D XX		$\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{z}}}$	183.55	
在版人上 (MANAGE LO		10111	294		1000	
253 TT	100		D	18	<u> </u>	O. A.	ż
AS SIL	Sept. 225640	1250.00	1.003	பில்	ō.	3616100	2
85	SITE OF		N 105	****	ores.	2000	ò
žO.	200	300	- XX	TI 33	O.	Z.	ŝ
35 X	10.32	(D. 2	126	ज }ंदी	1300	A 40 M	ŝ
36 A	1.0		200	189	100	17.74	3
100	a Pik	- Z-10-K 8	لوائين ا	2 30	34	17.00	ć
W	$e_{Q_{i_{1}}}$		- XX	の変	14	100	9
349	300 do	-P19000	2 40V	1123	Æ.	化数数数	ő
1	90 640	CO ·	44, 466	1139	40	10-3-1-10	6
200	8000	$\Delta z_{ij} = 0$	E 85.8	- 27	4	9.92	i.
(M)	6 × 6 ×	(Teach) (St.	K 1989	200	1715		l
192	ഭവം		- 100	100	200	H5/65	ě
(All July	War Day	SON MADE	W 25.4	抽締	وبالبه	746098	Ė
34.7	ILES rec'd W/QUT HEA	P. (1	3 Gr.	SERVATIV	VET ICE? Tem	OI.	ź
420	\$ 19-50	(•) × /•	166	0.233	X 25%	THE WAY	J
120 8		2 × 2	4.525	Ì	190	-	ż
60 × 1	4 - 40	120.00	1 Carri	1,123	12.2	33.26	ã
633	ama:	25.00	Contract to the		=390	<u> </u>	Š
32		10 m	0 600	- YX	150	25	ķ
2400 62		58 V A	- 88	三 维粉	116	STATE OF	ž.
100 m		200	5 Q	三岛	7.7	20.00	ř
330 G	200	Side March	14 22 3	Qife	XXX	355.00	ž.
等等的	SPACE	100	TIMESO	=-123	ソシス	(C) 7 (y)	3
23211	3 Pag.	Cod: 10	5 320	D.S	0.00	152	
234117.60	10 To 15	200	12 XX	- 289	200	100	ġ
			(V)	100	1000	100	ž.
500	1000	229184N	14 male	A VA	100	1435.954	Ď.
24.34	英 多 學		1750		× 1	265	3
200	De with	S. 100	Sept.	4.20		22.	5
100	o) 11(6.50	1250	2.5		ŗ
252.00	1.30		35.35	A.	X	155.00	2
6	E (MEMO: 1	32.484E	35.10	2.0	NAMES N	45000	Ŕ
201	C 886	200	58 SSE	133	CAR.	18% N	Ĕ,
75 A.		SISTY'S	MS WA	A 81	3.5	Œ	i.
25 3		22	N	Y 24		3.0	ž
20 X	226	\$30	3.3	0.050	Bro.	200	ă
S. 100	N. N. S.	6.00	200		100	Operand	ĕ,
30 G	3 10 50	75 X X	3300	3.45		AC. 15	S
est a	1.4	are to	0.00	2.0	30.00	CSV 22	٤
75		388	3			NO	č
35,148	Mario M	Decouple of	10	100	0.50	100	ć
55.0 S.23	100	200	600	2.25	100	200	4
200	F1.6833	859×	Same	放送	4182	Sec. 25	4
4	7.355	12.00	130	12.3	KY GS		ı
3 J. 2001	2.169	(120×65)	130000	3.324	4.0	Sec. 35	2
3 26	CALL ON	345 B		50.00	40.50	250	ď
	31×18		1	110	1825	350	H
EAST OF THE	1	V82813	والحف	ny Y	233	水を行った	9
35	3.02	A	A	2499275	1.50	100	'n
30	Year St.	27.7	- v	ುವ ಓ	্ৰন্	100 m	ľ
3	\$2.52	40.00	27.57	die fi	1	Acres 6	ı
							м

TO BE FILLED IN BY CLIENT/SAMPLING PERSONNEL

6	Site Arrival Time	Additional Comments:	Additions	Military/Hours	N N	Date	llalizeu.	es lave been iii	allyr alyalys	changes	margues for arry	ere will be c	x manager. In	me Prism Projec	Omitted in writing to the Prism Project Manager. There will be charges to any changes after adalyses have been initialized. De Prism Project Manager. There will be charges to any changes after adalyses have been initialized. De Prism Project Manager. There will be charges to any changes after adalyses have been initialized.
SE ONLY	PRISM USE ONLY			be	changes must be	y chang	bove. Ar	as requested a	he analyses	ed with	Prism to proce	orization for	dy is your auth	Chain of Custo	on relinquishing, this
3 COPIES	'N FIRMLY -	PRESS DOWN FIRMLY - 3 COPIES		Saumons-145	MOUL		Affiliation	ANAM	J Buch	Keur	Sampled By (Print Name) Keurs Buckstown	Sampled B	(X N	mpler's Signature
	•	- -												1	
															-
										-					
					-			-							
154226						X	×	<	←	W	q	4	1500	8/22/06	1.B6 . 2. 4 8/22/05
Syaas						×	×			w	9		1950	Strilos	.85 ,4.6
HEELSI					ļ	×	×			W	G		1445	8/2c/oc	1.84 .6.8
54823						×	乄			W	9		1440	8/22/06	1.83 .4.6
159AZZ						×	×			S	9		1430	8/22/06	1.82.6-8
159221						×	×	Messamol	20.8 1WPB	U)	Ġ	5016	1415	8/22/06	1.81.2.4
ID NO.	RKS	REMARKS				200	C. C.	TIVES	SIZE	Ö	*TYPE	WATER OR SLUDGE)	MILITARY HOURS	COLLECTED	MPLE DESCRIPTION
PRISM			`B	ANALÝSES REQUESTED	NALÝSE	,	/	-AVRESERVA	NER	SAMPLE CONTAINER	SAMPL	MATRIX (SOIL,	TIME	DATE	CLIENT
	NO NA	Water Chlorinated: YES NO Sample Iced Upon Collection: YES	SC nated: Y Upon Co	Water Chlorinated: YES Sample Iced Upon Collec		d holidays.	re-Appross day. cends and services	Samples received after 15:00 will be processed next business day. Turnaround time is based on business days, excluding weekends and he (SEE REVERSE FOR TERMS & CONDITIONS REGARDING SERVICES RENDERED BY PRISM LABORATORIES, INC. TO CLIENT)	00 will be proc n business da RMS & CONDII LABORATORIE	d after 15:0 is based o SE FOR TE BY PRISM	Samples received Turnaround time (SEE REVER RENDERED	1 1 1	HAMBN'A C.	Address: Ric	1
NC \		- 1		Certification:		5 Days U	usin ≱ e	Requested Due Date □ 1 Day □ 2 Days □ 3 Days □ 4 Da{s ⊅ 6 Days □ 3 Working Days □ 4 Da{s ⊅ 6 Days □ 3 Days □ 5 Days □ 5 Days □ 5 Days □ 5 Days □ 6 Days □ 6 Days □ 7	Day □2 Day Davs □ Sta	àte □ 1:00 -:40	Requested Due I "Working Days"		16 30001V	celOther_	il (Yes) (No) Email Address ***/// *****************************

Villeage:

Field Tech Fee

Site Arrival Time: Site Departure Time:

RCRA: ONC DSC

ONC OSC CERCLA

DNC DSC DNC DSC

0

LANDFILL

OTHER:

F48845

Case Narrative



Date:

09/18/06

Company: N. C. Department of Transportation

Contact: Sheri Knox

Address: c/o Solution - IES

1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 **Client Project ID:**

NCDOT Parcel 51

Prism COC Group No:

G0906179 09/06/06

Collection Date(s): Lab Submittal Date(s):

09/11/06

Client Project Name Or No: Richmond Co. WBS# 34438.1.1

This data package contains the analytical results for the project identified above and includes a Case Narrative, Laboratory Report and Quality Control Data totaling 4 pages. A chain-of-custody is also attached for the samples submitted to Prism for this project.

Data qualifiers are flagged individually on each sample. A key reference for the data qualifiers appears at the end of this case narrative. Quality control statements and/or sample specific remarks are included in the sample comments section of the laboratory report for each sample affected.

Semi Volatile Analysis

No Anomalies Reported

Volatile Analysis

No Anomalies Reported

Metals Analysis

N/A

Wet Lab and Micro Analysis

N/A

Please call if you have	e any questions relating to this analytical re	port.	
Date Reviewed by:	Paula A. Gilleland	Project Manager:	Angela D. Overcash
Signature:	Paule J. Dilleland	Signature:	Paule J. Dilliland for Angel Overcant
Review Date:	09/18/06	Approval Date:	09/18/06

Data Qualifiers Kev Reference:

- B: Compound also detected in the method blank.
- #: Result outside of the QC limits.
- DO: Compound diluted out.
- E: Estimated concentration, calibration range exceeded.
- J: The analyte was positively identified but the value is estimated below the reporting limit.
- H: Estimated concentration with a high bias.
- L: Estimated concentration with a low bias.
- M: A matrix effect is present.

Notes: This report should not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written consent of Prism Laboratories, Inc. The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis.



Laboratory Report

09/18/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID:

NCDOT Parcel 51

Project No.:

WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51-B7-2-4 Prism Sample ID: 160588

COC Group:

G0906179

Time Collected:

09/06/06

16:00

Time Submitted: 09/11/06 16:15

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	88.1	%			1	SM2540 G	09/13/06 11:	35 lthao	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.9	2.3	1	8015B	09/13/06 19:	04 jvogel	Q17762
Sample Preparation:			25.09	g /	1 mL	3545	09/12/06 16	10 wconder	P16335
					Surrogate		% Recov	ery Cor	trol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	97		49 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	6.41	g			1	GRO	09/18/06 0:0	0 lbrown	
Weight 2	6.56	g			1	GRO	09/18/06 0:0	0 lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) b	y GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.9	3.1	50	8015B	09/13/06 15:	32 grappaccio	li Q17701
					Surrogate	1	% Recov	егу Сог	itrol Limits
					aaa-TFT		99		55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Laboratory Report

19/18/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.
Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51

Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P51-B8-0-2
Prism Sample ID: 160589
COC Group: G0906179

Time Collected: 09/06/06 16:30 Time Submitted: 09/11/06 16:15

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	85.9	%			1	SM2540 G	09/13/06 11:35	Ithao	
reicent solids	03.9	70			•	01V12040 0	03/10/00 11:00	пис	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	22	mg/kg	8.1	2.3	1	8015B	09/13/06 21:30	jvogel	Q17762
Sample Preparation:			25.24	g /	1 mL	3545	09/12/06 16:10	wconder	P16335
					Surrogate	•	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terpher	ıyİ	112	-	19 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	6.26	g			1	GRO	09/18/06 0:00	ibrown	
Weight 2	5.98	g			1	GRO	09/18/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) b	y GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	8.1	3.2	50	8015B	09/13/06 16:10	grappaccioli	Q17701
					Surrogate	3	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		118		55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



Level II QC Report

9/18/2006

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607

#-See Case Narrative

Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: NCDOT Parcel 51
Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

COC Group Number: G0906179

Date/Time Submitted: 9/11/2006 16:15

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by GC-FID, method 8015B

Method Bla	ank	Result	RL	Control Limit	Units				QC Batch ID
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	7	<3.5	mg/kg				Q17701
Laboratory	Control Sample	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	43.15	50 1	mg/kg	86	67 - 116			Q17701
Matrix Spil	ce	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch ID
160594	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	40.5	50	mg/kg	81	57 - 113			Q17701
Matrix Spil	ce Duplicate	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %	RPD %	RPD Range %	QC Batch ID
160594	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	40.15	50	mg/kg	80	57 - 113	1	0 - 23	Q17701
Method Bla	ank	Result	RL	Control Limit	Units				QC Batch ID
	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	Result	RL 	⊔mit <3.5	Units mg/kg				Q17762
	Diesel Hange Organies (DINO)		·	-0.0					QTITOL
Laboratory	Control Sample	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch ID
	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	57.15	80	mg/kg	71	55 - 109			Q17762
Matrix Spil	Ke	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %			QC Batch
160597	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	49.80	80	mg/kg	62	50 - 117			Q17762
Matrix Spil	ke Duplicate	Result	Spike Amount	Units	Recovery %	Recovery Range %	RPD %	RPD Range %	QC Batch ID
160597	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	54.61	80	mg/kg	68	50 - 117	9	0 - 24	Q17762



Full Service Analytical & Environmental Solutions

449 Springbrook Road • P.O. Box 240543 • Charlotte, NC 28224-0543 Phone: 704/529-6364 • Fax: 704/525-0409 5010+1045

Koad IDWe Report To/Contact Name: Client Company Name: _ Reporting Address;

Address:

Email (Yes) (No) Email Address 5 Khe X @ 30 trags - 125 cm Phone: [919] 973-1060 Fax (Yes) (No): (919) 873-1074 S (0, Kichmond X arce Z Z Site Location Physical Address: __ Site Location Name: NCD0 Excel Raleish PDF EDD Type:_

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

UST Project: (Yes) (No) *Please ATTACH any project specific reporting (QC LEVEL I II III IV) 46B 51 - Richmond QUOTE # TO ENSURE PROPER BILLING provisions and/or QC Requirements Invoice To: NCD0T WB5 #34438 # rovect Project Name: NCDOT Parce Short Hold Analysis: (Yes) ((No State PAGE OF Invoice To: ...

Requested Due Date Turnaround time is ba Samples received aff Purchase Order (SEE REVERSE RENDERED BY "Working Days"

¥			14	
YES NO NIA			IJ	
}	17	7		>
Samples INTACT upon arrival?	PROPER PRESERVATIVES indicated?	Received WITHIN HOLDING TIMES?	VOLATILES rec'd W/OUT HEADSPACE?	PROPER CONTAINERS used?

LAB USE ONLY

402. 1655 methons X X (60.2. 1655) methons X X (60.2. 1655) methons X X (60.2. 1655) methons (60.2. 1655) methons (60.2. 1655)
40-Linis methanol X X

SEE BELOW

WATER OR SLUDGE)

MATRIX

(SOIL,

COLLECTED MILITARY

DATE COLLECTED

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

CLIENT

3 5

28,7 <u>٦</u> چ

009

90/9/6 90/9/16

1630

P51-88-0-2 P51-B7-2-4

ŢYFE

	Ш
	ь
	п
	r
	U
	L
	Ŀ
н	7
н	ú
	_
1	
	۰,
П	
	•

PRESS DOWN FIRMLY - 3 COPIES

31-18-18-18

Affillation

アプラスをプラン

Upon relinquishing, this Chain of Custody is your authorization for Prism to proceed with the analyses as requested above. Any changes must be submitted in writing to the Prism Project Manager. There will be charges for any changes after analyses have been initialized.

Sampled By (Print Name)

Sampler's Signature

Site Departure Time: Site Arrival Time: Field Tech Fee:

Additional Comments:

Mileage:

1915

ORIGINAL

ONC OSC

ONC OSC

CERCLA

RCRA:

SOLID WASTE: ONC OSC

DRINKING WATER:

Other

☐ Prism Field Service

delivered

O Hand

C) Fed Ex C) UPS

Method of Shipment:

UST:

NPDES:

NOTE ALL SAMPLE VIOLENS SHOULD BE TAPED SHUT WITH CUSTODI SEALS FOR TRANSPORTATION TO THE LABORATORY.
SAMPLES ARE NOT ACCEPTED AND VERIFIED AGAINST COC UNTIL RECEIVED AT THE LABORATORY.

LANDFILL OTHER: いたるとける

SEE REVERSE FOR TERMS & CONDITIONS

A = Amber C = Clear G = Glass P = Plastic; TL = Teflon-Lined Cap VOA = Volatile Organics Analysis (Zero Head Space) ONC OSC ø GROUNDWATER: D NC D SC

*CONTAINER TYPE CODES:

ONCOSCONCOSCO