GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 33211.1.1 ID: B-3666 COUNTY: Henderson	
DESCRIPTION(1): Bridge No. 53 on SR-1799 over North Branch Hungry River	
NFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES Information obtained from: X field inspection microfilm(Reel: Po other	
COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 53 BRIDGE LENGTH 75 ft. NO. BENTS IN: CHANNEL 4 FLOOD PLAIN	3
FOUNDATION TYPE:Timber piles on footings on rock.	
EVIDENCE OF SCOUR(2):	
ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: End Bent Two: Rip-rap placed behind bent.	
NTERIOR BENTS: None	
CHANNEL BED: None	
CHANNEL BANKS: End Bent Two bank is principal flood plain.	
EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:	
TYPE(3): Rip-rap	
EXTENT(4): Placed along side and behind End Bent Two Wing Walls.	
EFFECTIVENESS(5): Fair	
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): None	
DESIGN INFORMATION	
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders and bedr	ock.
CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Boulders, cobbles, sand, gravel.	
FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL(9): N/A	
CHANNEL BANK COVER(10): Trees, bramble.	
FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH(11): Approximately 500 ft.	
FLOOD PLAIN COVER(12) Trees grass	

9 OF 10

DES	SIGN INFORMATION CONT.		PA	GE 2	
			0)		
STR	REAM ISXDEGRADING AG	GRADING (1	3)		
OTH	HER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS: S	tream is unde	cutting hard rock outcrops upstream		
	C	n End Bent O	ne bank.		
CHA	ANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (14):T	oward End Be	nt One.		
GEO	OTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVAT	ION (15):	Scour is to bedrock visible in		
			channel bed.		
			Gridinio bod.		
			-		
	REPORTED BY: J. W. Mann, TE	G-III I G	DATE: 3/30/2004		
	THE OTTED DT. 3. W. Maint, TE	O-III, L. O.	<u> </u>		
	INSTRUCTIONS				
(1)	GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING R	OUTE NUMBER	AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.		
(2)	NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END	BENTS OR ABUT	MENTS (UNDERMINING,		
	SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.)			
(3)	NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)				
(4)	DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.				
(5)	DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.				
(6)	NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.				
(7)	DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION,				
	ATTACH LAB RESULTS.				
(8)	DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE S	HOULD BE TAKE	'N FOR GRAIN SIZE		
	DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.				
(9)	DESCRIBE THE FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL,	AD NONE ETC			
(10)	DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RA	AP, NONE, ETC.			

(11) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).

BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).

(12) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)

(13) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING
(14) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE

(15) GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING, SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.