

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 8.2752101 ID: B-3926 COUNTY: WATAUGA

DESCRIPTION(1): BRIDGE NO. 36 ON SR-1340 OVER MEAT CAMP CREEK

**INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES** Information obtained from:  field inspection  
 microfilm(Reel: \_\_\_\_\_ Pos: \_\_\_\_\_)  
 other \_\_\_\_\_

COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 36 BRIDGE LENGTH 26 FT NO. BENTS IN: CHANNEL \_\_\_\_\_ FLOOD PLAIN 2

FOUNDATION TYPE: \_\_\_\_\_

**EVIDENCE OF SCOUR(2):**

ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: HARD FLOW AGAINST EBI-B AND EB2-A CAUSING SCOUR

INTERIOR BENTS: N/A

CHANNEL YES \_\_\_\_\_

CHANNEL BANKS: NONE

**EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:**

TYPE(3): NONE

EXTENT(4): \_\_\_\_\_

EFFECTIVENESS(5): \_\_\_\_\_

OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): NONE

**DESIGN INFORMATION**

CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): GRAVEL AND BOULDERS

CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): SAND, GRAVEL AND BOULDERS

FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL(9): SAPROLITE AND ROCK

CHANNEL BANK COVER(10) TREES AND BRUSH

FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH(11): 100 FEET

FLOOD PLAIN COVER(12): ROADWAY, GRASSY YARD, LIGHT BRUSH

**DESIGN INFORMATION CONT.**

STREAM IS X DEGRADING \_\_\_\_\_ AGGRADING (13)

OTHER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_

CHANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (14): EAST AGAINST EB1

GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION (15): \_\_\_\_\_

EBI-A	3522.0 FT
EB1-B	3525.0 FT
EB2-A	3522.0 FT
EB2-B	3510.0 FT

REPORTED BY: L. L. ACKER DATE: 6/20/03

**INSTRUCTIONS**

- (1) GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.
- (2) NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING, SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.)
- (3) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)
- (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.
- (5) DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
- (6) NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- (7) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (8) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (9) DESCRIBE THE FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL,
- (10) DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.)
- (11) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (12) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)
- (13) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING
- (14) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE Laterally DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- (15) GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING, SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.