## GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 33243.1.1 ID: B-3703 COUNTY: Wake					
DESCRIPTION(1): Bridge No. 317 on -L- (SR 1404, Johnson Pond Road) over Middle Creek					
INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGE  Information obtained from:					
BR. NO.: <u>317</u> BR. LENGTH: <u>137.3'</u> NO. BENTS: <u>9</u> NO. BENTS IN: CHANNEL: <u>4</u> FLOODPLAIN: <u>5</u>					
FOUNDATION TYPE: Timber piles.					
EVIDENCE OF SCOUR(2):					
ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: None.					
INTERIOR BENTS: Elongate scour holes, up to 3' deep, around all interior bents except B2.					
CHANNEL BED: Elongate scour holes, up to 3' deep, around all interior bents in channel.					
CHANNEL BANKS: Large, shallow, vegetated scour hole in floodplain, immediately east of B2.					
Approximately 5' of lateral scour of north bank under bridge, and 8' of south bank under bridge.					
EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:					
TYPE(3):Timber head walls at both end bents.					
EXTENT(4): Head walls at both bents extend out from bridge about 5'.					
EFFECTIVENESS(5): No scour around or behind head walls. < 0.5' of scour at base of walls, under bridge.					
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): Tree trunk and large limbs lodged around B5.					
DESIGN INFORMATION					
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7): Silty sand, and gravel.					
CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8): Fine sand with gravel (SS-10).					
CHANNEL BANK COVER(9): Grass, brush, and trees.					
FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH(10): Approximately 500'.					
FLOOD PLAIN COVER(11): Grass, brush, and trees.					

13

				SHEET	
DESIGN INFORMATI	ION CONT.				
STREAM IS: X	DEGRADING	_AGGRADING (12)			
OTHER OBSERVATION	NS AND COMMENTS:	_			
				***************************************	
CHANNEL MIGRATION	N TENDENCY (13):	Low tendency to migrate towa	ards north (tow	ards EB2).	
GEOTECHNICALLY AD	JUSTED SCOUR ELE	EVATIONS(14):			
Bent 1: ele	J	inically adjusted scour elevation ridge Survey and Hydraulic Des	•	he theoretical	
Bent 2:	geotechnica	echnical analysis of scourability verses material strength yields a echnically adjusted scour elevation 1.7' higher than the theoretical tion shown on the Bridge Survey and Hydraulic Design Report.			
REPORTED	DBY: 5.P.	Beomannia S. P. Brown	DATE:	02/18/04	
(2) NOTE ANY EVIDENC SCOUR LOCATIONS (3) NOTE ANY EXISTING (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTI	E OF SCOUR AT THE EXIS B, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.) B SCOUR PROTECTION (R ENT OF ANY EXISTING SC		(UNDERMINING		

- NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL BASED ON OBSERVATION AND/OR SAMPLES.
- DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL BASED ON OBSERVATION AND/OR SAMPLES.
- DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.)
- (10) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (11) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)
- (12) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING.
- (13) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. IF THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION IS DEPENDENT ON SCOUR COUNTER MEASURES, EXPLAIN. (RIPRAP ARMORING ON SLOPES, ETC.) THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY, CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE, PERCENTAGE RQD, DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING, SHEAR STRENGTH, OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES, OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE, AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.