GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 8.2572301 ID: B-3504 COUNTY: RANDOLPH					
DESCRIPTION(1): BRIDGE 363 OVER CARAWAY CREEK ON SR 1331					
INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES Information obtained from: X field inspection microfilm(Reel:Pos:) other					
COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 363 BRIDGE LENGTH 75' NO. BENTS IN: CHANNEL 0 FLOOD PLAIN 4					
FOUNDATION TYPE: END BENTS : TIMBER PILES, INTERIOR BENTS: SPREAD FOOTINGS					
EVIDENCE OF SCOUR(2):					
ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: NONE					
INTERIOR BENTS: NONE					
CHANNEL BED: NONE					
CHANNEL BANKS: NONE					
EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:					
TYPE(3): RIP RAP AT WEST END BENT AND BOTH INTERIOR BENTS					
EXTENT(4): WIDTH OF BRIDGE					
EFFECTIVENESS(5): GOOD					
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): NONE					
DESIGN INFORMATION					
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Silty Cse to Fine SAND (A-2-4), Silty Cse					
to F SAND w/ Gravel (A-1-a)					
CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED):Fine Sandy SILT (A-4); Some w/ Rock Frags					
CHANNEL BANK COVER(9): GRASS, UNDERBRUSH, TREES					
FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH(10): 300'					
FLOOD PLAIN COVER(11):GRASS, UNDERBRUSH, TREES					

20

 -	SON INFORMATION	CONT	PAGE 2	,	
<u>JES</u>	IGN INFORMATION	JOINT.	IAGE	•	
STR	EAM ISDE	GRADING X	AGGRADING (12)		
TL	IED ODSEDVATIONS	AND COMMENTS:	NONE		
JIF	IER OBSERVATIONS	AND COMMENTS.	NONE	_	
				_	
CHA	NNEL MIGRATION T	ENDENCY (13):	EAST		
1	REPORTED BY:	i Ha GEØSCIEN	DATE: 12/30/03		
GEC	TECHNICALLY ADJ	USTED SCOUR ELEV	ATION (14):	_	
	Boring	Elevation			
	B1-A	427.2 FT		_	
	B1-B	425.8 FT			
	B2-A	430.5 FT			
	DZ-/A	700.011		_	
	B2-B	427.7 FT		_	
	REPORTED BY: (The m Welly NCDOT GEO	DATE: <u>/~30~04</u>		
		NCDØT GEO)TECHNICAL UNIT		
		INSTRUCTION			
(1)			G ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.		
(2)			ID BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING,		
		ATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, E			
(3)		UR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, E			
(4)	DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.				
(5)	DESCRIBE WHETHER OR	NOT THE SCOUR PROTECT	ION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.		
(6)	NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN	TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS,	ETC.		
(7)	DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL	BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE	SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION,		
` '	ATTACH LAB RESULTS.				
(8)	DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL	BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPL	E SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE		
\- <i>)</i>	DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH L				
(9)	THE TANK AND THE CONTROL THE CONTROL THE TANK TH				
(9) (10)	THE APPROXIMATE ELOOP DI AIN WIDTH (ECTIMATE)				
(10)		_AIN COVERING (GRASS, TR			
(11)			THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING		
(12)			TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE		
(13)	BRIDGE (APPROXIMATEL		TO MILOTOTIC ENTERVIEW DOMINO THE ENTERVIEW		

(14) GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING, SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.