Rev. 5/91

GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 8.2221601 ID: B-3685 COUNTY: Pitt
DESCRIPTION (1): Bridge No. 30 on SR 1703 over Green Mill Run
INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES Information obtained from X field inspection microfilm (Reel: Position: other other
COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 30 BRIDGE LENGTH 86' NO. BENTS 6 NO. BENTS IN CHANNEL 2 FLOOD PLAIN 4
FOUNDATION TYPE: Timber pile
EVIDENCE OF SCOUR (2):
ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: None noted
INTERIOR BENTS: None noted
CHANNEL BED: None noted
CHANNEL BANKS: None noted
EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:
TYPE (3): Timber wing walls
EXTENT (4): Width of embankment
EFFECTIVENESS (5): Appears satisfactory
OBSTRUCTIONS (6) (DAMS, DEBRIS, ETC.): None Noted
DESIGN INFORMATION
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL (7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Slightly organic fine to coarse sand
CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL (8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Slightly organic fine to coarse sand and fine
sandy silt (SS-13, SS-20)
CHANNEL BANK COVER (9): Wooded to partially wooded with grass

(13)

DESIGN INFORMATION CONT.
FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (10): 150 feet
FLOOD PLAIN COVER (11): wooded to partially wooded with grass
STREAM ISDEGRADINGAGGRADINGX _EQUILIBRIUM (12) OTHER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS: None noted
CHANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (13): None likely
GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION (14): Geotechnical analysis agrees with the Hydraulics Unit's
5 year estimate of scour potential to an elevation of 16.5± feet at Bent 1.
REPORTED BY: DATE: 314104
<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>
 GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED. NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING, SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)

- (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.
- (5) DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
- (6) NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- (7) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION. ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (8) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION. ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (9) DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.)
- (10) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (11) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)
- (12) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING, AGGRADING, OR EQUILIBRIUM.
- (13) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- (14) GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING; SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.