

Rev. 5/91

**GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT**

PROJECT: 8.2161001 ID: B-3626 COUNTY: Carteret

DESCRIPTION (1): Bridge No. 26 on SR 1154 over Deep Creek

**INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES** Information obtained from  field inspection  
 microfilm (Reel: \_\_\_\_\_ Position: \_\_\_\_\_)  
 other \_\_\_\_\_

COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 26 BRIDGE LENGTH 52' NO. BENTS 4 NO. BENTS IN CHANNEL 2 FLOOD PLAIN 2

FOUNDATION TYPE: Wooden pile

EVIDENCE OF SCOUR (2):

ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: None noted

INTERIOR BENTS: None noted

CHANNEL BED: None noted

CHANNEL BANKS: None noted

**EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:**

TYPE (3): Wooden end walls

EXTENT (4): 15' from bridge

EFFECTIVENESS (5): Appears satisfactory

OBSTRUCTIONS (6) (DAMS, DEBRIS, ETC.): None noted

**DESIGN INFORMATION**

CHANNEL BED MATERIAL (7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Brown muck (SS-23)

CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL (8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Brown muck (SS-3, SS-15)

FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL (9): Fine to coarse sand

CHANNEL BANK COVER (10): Wooded

**DESIGN INFORMATION CONT.**

FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (11): 900± feet

FLOOD PLAIN COVER (12): Wooded

STREAM IS  DEGRADING  AGGRADING  EQUILIBRIUM (13)

OTHER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_

CHANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (14): Toward End Bent 2

GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION (15): Geotechnical analysis agrees with the Hydraulics Unit's estimate of scour potential to a maximum elevation of -17± feet.

REPORTED BY: JM Wasz III DATE: 1-17-03

**INSTRUCTIONS**

- (1) GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.
- (2) NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING, SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.)
- (3) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)
- (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.
- (5) DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
- (6) NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- (7) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION. ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (8) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION. ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (9) DESCRIBE THE FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL.
- (10) DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.)
- (11) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (12) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)
- (13) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING, AGGRADING, OR EQUILIBRIUM.
- (14) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE Laterally DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- (15) GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING; SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.