## TRAFFIC FORECAST <br> TECHNICAL <br> MEMORANDUM

NCDOT STIP Project R-2721, R-2828 \& R-2829

Wake County \& Johnston County

north carolina Turnpike Authority

PREPARED FOR:
North Carolina Turnpike Authority

PREPARED BY:
HNTB North Carolina, PC
343 East Six Forks Road
Suite 200
Raleigh, NC 27609

## COMPLETE 540

## TRIANGLE EXPRESSWAY SOUTHEAST EXTENSION



## NCDOT STIP PROJECT R-2721, R-2828 \& R-2829

## TRAFFIC FORECAST

## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM



Prepared by:
HNTB North Carolina, PC 343 East Six Forks Road Suite 200
Raleigh, NC 27609 NCBELS License \#: C-1554


April 2014

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report supersedes the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension Final Traffic Forecast Technical Memorandum, dated June 19, 2012, prepared by HNTB. The superseded report includes the 2010, 2012 and 2035 No-Build and 2012 and 2035 Build forecasts for five Detailed Study Alternatives (DSAs 1-5). Since June of 2012, NCDOT has developed 12 additional alternatives (DSAs 6-17). As such, a total of 17 alternatives will be carried forward for detailed study in an Environmental Impact Statement, in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The forecast for all 17 DSAs are included in this report and the previous forecast addressing DSAs 1-5 should not be referenced. The same forecasting methodology, described later in this report, has been consistently applied to all 17 DSAs to compare alternatives. Once a preferred alternative is selected through the NEPA process, an updated traffic forecast will be prepared for that alternative using the most current available model and data.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

HNTB North Carolina, PC has been contracted by the North Carolina Turnpike Authority (NCTA) to develop base, intermediate, and future year traffic forecasts for North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Project R-2721, R2828, and R-2829. The projects combine to form the southern and eastern portions of the Complete 540 - Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension outer loop around Raleigh and surrounding communities in Wake County and Johnston County.

The Complete 540, Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension will complete the Raleigh outer loop. Construction is currently scheduled to be completed in phases. Phase I (southern portion) is between N.C. 55 in Apex and I-40 near the Johnston County line. Phase II (eastern portion) continues the project at I-40 and ends at U.S. 64/U.S. 264 Bypass in Knightdale. The entire project is nearly 30 miles long. The project is located primarily in Wake County with a small portion of the project that extends into Johnston County.

Transportation demands, social and economic demands and mobility considerations are the basis for additional transportation infrastructure in southeastern Wake County. The Southeast Extension will link the towns of Clayton, Garner, Fuquay-Varina, Holly Springs, Apex, Cary, Knightdale, and Raleigh. It will also connect major roadways in southern Raleigh and ease congestion on the Raleigh Beltline (I-440), I-40, NC 42, NC 55, and Ten Ten Road. The project would increase the overall capacity of the existing roadway network and divert traffic from secondary roads in an area that is experiencing substantial growth.

## PROJECT-LEVEL FORECAST OBJECTIVES

This document provides design data (design hourly volumes (K-factors), directional distribution percentages (D-factors), and heavy vehicle percentages (single-unit trucks, tractor-trailer-semitrailers)) as well as average annual daily traffic (AADT) estimates for the study corridor, and describes the methodology and data inputs used in the forecasting process. These forecasts will be used to perform capacity analyses, air quality analyses, noise analyses, and pavement design to aid the final design of the project.

The forecasts for this project are derived primarily from comparisons between existing fieldcounted data/base year calibrated travel demand model data and future year growth trends/model estimates. The forecasts also include a review of previous study area forecasts,
output from the Triangle Regional Model (TRM) TRM V4-2008, TRM V4-2009 and TRM V52010, along with engineering judgment. The TRM V4-2008 includes all fiscally-constrained projects contained in the 2030 Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) and Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization (DCHC MPO) Long Range Transportation Plans (LRTP) dated September 15, 2004. The Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension was listed in the CAMPO 2030 LRTP with a 2030 horizon year. HNTB collected data from the CAMPO 2030 LRTP, relevant traffic forecasts, and NCDOT STIP projects to be included in the traffic forecast. In order to ensure all No-Build and Build DSAs are comparable and based on similar data, TRM V4-2008 was used as the primary modeling tool for all forecast scenarios.

The 2010 Base Year (No-Build) Forecast was developed using a comparison of historic AADT data at 63 study area locations, 2010 travel demand model data interpolated from 2009 and 2012 No-Build model runs, and field-collected traffic counts (peak hour turning movement, 16hour turning movement and 48-hour classification count) completed from 2009 to 2013.

An intermediate year, 2012, was chosen because it is the opening year of the Triangle Expressway. The 2012 future year scenarios include forecasts for a No-Build alternative and seventeen Build alternatives with the projects as toll facilities. All Build alternative forecasts propose the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeways as controlled access, median divided sixlane freeway facilities. The forecasts use extrapolations of historic AADT in the study area, 2012 intermediate year TRM V4-2008 model data developed by HNTB, and comparisons/adjustments from the 2009/2010/2011 base year traffic counts as they applied to the historic and model information. The 2012 No-Build alternative forecast considers all horizon year 2010 projects in the fiscally constrained 2030 CAMPO LRTP, the 2030 DCHC MPO LRTP, and projects scheduled to be completed in 2012. The 2012 Build alternative considers those same projects, as well and the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway as controlled-access facilities.

The 2035 future year scenarios include forecasts for a No-Build alternative and the seventeen Build alternatives with the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway projects as toll facilities. The forecasts use extrapolations of historic AADT data in the study area, 2035 TRM V4 data, and comparisons/adjustments from the field-collected traffic counts as they applied to the historic and model information. The 2035 forecasts consider all fiscally-constrained projects in the CAMPO and DCHC 2030 LRTPs.

Once the preferred alternative is selected through the NEPA process, an updated traffic forecast is anticipated to be prepared for that alternative using the most current available model and data.

## FORECAST DATA COLLECTION/DEVELOPMENT

Development of the 2010 Base Year forecast involved the following activities:

- Existing/Historical Traffic Count Data

HNTB collaborated with the NCDOT Traffic Survey Group (TSG) to obtain existing traffic count data (24 hour directional/classification counts) for study area roadways. NCDOT ATR count data was collected at two (2) locations and 2009 AADT freeway ramp counts were provided at seven (7) existing study area interchanges. Two (2) 12-hour intersection counts were also
provided. Data was analyzed for applicability/relevance to traffic conditions and for inconsistencies between adjacent intersections/interchanges/roadway segments.

- Existing Project-Level Traffic Forecasts

HNTB obtained project-level traffic forecasts for NCDOT STIP projects and/or municipal road projects in the study area from the NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch, TSG, and Feasibility Studies Unit (FSU) during the initial preparation of DSA 1-5 project-level forecasts. This included previous 2009 and 2000 forecasts of the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeways.

- Field Data Collection

After researching the availability of existing traffic count data, HNTB collected and/or received, nine (9) peak hour turning movement counts (TMC), twenty-three (23) 16-hour TMC, and four (4) 48 -hour vehicle classification counts. Some traffic counts were completed when local schools and universities were not in session. However, seasonal factors provided by NCDOT for the Triangle area were used to adjust these counts for school traffic. All tube classification counts were collected for a minimum of 48 hours of an average weekday (Tuesday-Thursday). Detailed traffic count information was collected and reviewed in the completion of this forecast.

- Trend Line Estimates

HNTB reviewed all available NCDOT TSG AADT data from the previous 20 years in the project study area. Sixty-three (63) growth trend line estimates for 2035 for locations throughout the traffic forecast study area were developed using the 1990-2010 AADT travel history.

- Triangle Regional Model

HNTB used the TRM V4-2008 obtained from ITRE on October 14, 2009 in the development of the traffic forecast volumes. The TRM V4-2008, TRM V4-2009 and TRM V5-2010 were reviewed, compared and considered in the methodology and forecast development as related to their respective socioeconomic data, highway network, and model validation in the project corridor.

Due to the project forecast timeframe beginning in 2008, the TRM V4-2008 has previously been used throughout the Southeast Extension project process, including all of the following forecasts and reports:

- Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway Final Traffic Forecast Report (HNTB, February 2009)
- Southern and Eastern Wake Expressway Draft Upgrade Existing and Hybrid Alternatives Report (HNTB, January 2010)
- Southeast Extension - First Tier Screening Traffic Memorandum (HNTB, May 2011)
- Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension Final Traffic Forecast Technical Memorandum, (HNTB, June 2012) [Superseded by this document]

While the TRM V4-2009 and TRM V5-2010 model version releases and corresponding output results were considered in the forecast, the TRM V4-2008 output is specifically referenced in the report tables.

HNTB developed a Triangle Regional Toll Diversion Model in December 2010 and applied these toll diversion curves/model to the TRM V4-2008 in an effort to more accurately assess
tolling behavior in the region. With the Toll Diversion Model, the TRM V4-2008 is a Tollcapable tool that is very useful in projecting future traffic as well as the changes in travel patterns of new facilities. The model was used to evaluate the construction of the project as a Toll facility.

## 2010 BASE YEAR TRAFFIC FORECAST

The 2010 Base Year traffic forecast for the Complete 540 - Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension project was developed through the use of historical traffic growth trends, traffic count data, and interpolated daily traffic assignment data from the 2009 and 2012 TRM V4 No-Build models. Land use information from the TRM V4 was used as one criterion in determining study area growth between the 2010 and future forecast years. The TRM V4 uses specifically delineated Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZs) as areas where trips are generated or attracted based on population and employment data. Appropriate design characteristics (Design Hourly Volume (DHV), Directional Distribution Percentages (D), and Heavy Vehicle Percentage (Duals/TTSTs)) were determined for the 2010 project study area by reviewing relevant historic traffic forecasts, NCDOT historical AADT count station data, and 2009-2013 field collected 48-hour tube count and 16 -hour turning movement count data.

After 2010 Base Year AADTs were estimated, bidirectional flow estimates were made at all project study area intersections. 2010 forecast results show that previous forecast and model predictions for daily traffic varied from field count data due to a quickly changing and developing study area and very low base year volumes, which make it difficult for the regional model to completely account for all existing conditions and recent changes.

## 2012 AND 2035 TRAFFIC FORECASTS

Intermediate and Future Year forecasts were primarily derived from anticipated daily traffic assignment growth from the 2012 and 2035 TRM V4-2008 runs for study area roadways. This data was converted to growth rates along each roadway segment and results were balanced for consistent upstream/downstream traffic flow and consistency with existing 2010 traffic flow patterns and forecast results.

The 2035 TRM V4-2008 model incorporates changes to future land use in the project study area through adjustments to population and employment data in specific TAZs. The model also includes all regional 2030 LRTP (September 15, 2004) projects, as mentioned previously. In certain instances, historical or model growth rates along facilities were averaged, based on engineering judgment, for select segments along individual facilities (i.e. I-40, US 64/264, US 64 Business and $\mathrm{I}-540$ ) to provide consistent growth along each corridor and provide reasonable and balanced mainline and turning movement volumes.

As with the 2010 forecast results, 2012 and 2035 data indicates that the application of model growth rates to 2013 forecast volumes produces results that can vary considerably from raw 2012 and 2035 TRM V4 daily assignment data and forecast data from previous forecasts.
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### 1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Project Request Information

HNTB North Carolina, PC has been contracted by the North Carolina Turnpike Authority (NCTA) to develop base, intermediate, and future year traffic forecasts for the NCDOT STIP Projects R2721, R-2828, and R-2829. The projects combine to form the southern and eastern portions of the Complete 540 - Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension outer loop around Raleigh and surrounding communities in Wake County and Johnston County.

The DSA traffic forecasts for the base, intermediate, and future year No-Build and Build alternatives will be used for the environmental documentation required by NEPA. Seventeen DSAs are being studied in the NEPA process. All alternative routes are displayed in Figure 1. All forecast figures are included in Appendix A. The corridors that combine to form complete alternative routes are illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1. Detailed Study Alternatives

| Detailed Study <br> Alternative | NC 55 Bypass to l-40 | I-40 to US 64/264 Bypass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Orange | Green |
| 2 | Orange | Green-Mint-Green |
| 3 | Orange | Brown-Tan-Green |
| 4 | Orange | Brown-Green |
| 5 | Orange | Green-Teal-Brown-Green |
| 6 | Orange-Red | Green |
| 7 | Orange-Red | Mint-Green |
| 8 | Orange-Purple-Blue-Lilac | Green |
| 9 | Orange-Purple-Blue-Lilac | Green-Mint-Green |
| 10 | Orange-Purple-Blue-Lilac | Brown-Tan-Green |
| 11 | Orange-Purple-Blue-Lilac | Brown-Green |
| 12 | Orange-Purple-Blue-Lilac | Green-Teal-Brown-Green |
| 13 | Orange-Lilac | Green |
| 14 | Orange-Lilac | Green-Mint-Green |
| 15 | Orange-Lilac | Brown-Tan-Green |
| 16 | Orange-Lilac | Brown-Green |
| 17 | Orange-Lilac | Green-Teal-Brown-Green |

Detailed Study Alternative 1 - This alternative features the Orange Corridor for the southern section of the project. The Orange Corridor, also known as the NCDOT Protected Corridor (August 1996, North Carolina Transportation Corridor Official Map Act, N.C.G.S. § 136-44.50), begins at the Triangle Expressway and NC 55 interchange in Holly Springs and travels eastward to the I-40 and US 70 interchange near the border of Wake and Johnston Counties. The Orange Corridor primarily runs to the south of and parallel to SR 1010 (Ten Ten Road) for the majority of its alignment. The Orange Corridor includes planned interchanges at NC 55, SR 1152 (Holly Springs Road), SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road), US 401, SR 1006 (Old Stage Road), NC 50 and I-40.

DSA 1 includes the Green Corridor for the eastern section of the project. The Green Corridor begins at the I-40 and US 70 interchange and heads north/northeast to the existing I-540 and US 64/264 interchange. The Green Corridor has planned interchanges with I-40, SR 2700 (White Oak Road), US 70 Business, SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road), SR 2555 (AuburnKnightdale Road), SR 1007 (Poole Road) and US 64/264.

Detailed Study Alternative 2 - DSA 2 utilizes the Orange Corridor (see description above) for the southern section. The eastern section includes the Green and Mint Corridors. The Mint Corridor has interchanges at the same locations as the Green Corridor. The only difference between the two alignments is that the section of the project between Rock Quarry Road and Auburn Knightdale Road has a proposed alignment slightly further to the east in the Mint Corridor option.

Detailed Study Alternative 3 - The Orange Corridor is used for the southern section. The Green, B, and Tan Corridors are used for the eastern section of DSA 3. DSA 3 has planned eastern section interchanges with I-40, SR 2700 (White Oak Road), US 70 Business, SR 5204 (Old Baucom Road), SR 2555 (Auburn-Knightdale Road), SR 1007 (Poole Road) and US $64 / 264$. The I-40, Poole Road, and US 64/264 interchanges are proposed to be at the same locations as the Green Corridor. The Brown Corridor's White Oak Road interchange is located slightly to the east of the Green Corridor interchange. The Brown Corridor then takes a more eastern turn to it proposed US 70 Business interchange, which is located near the Wake and Johnston County line. Next, the Tan Corridor alignment begins and heads north to the proposed Old Baucom Road interchange, different from the Rock Quarry Road location found in the Green Corridor. The planned Auburn-Knightdale Road interchange is at the same location in the Tan Corridor as it is in the Green Corridor. From that point, the Tan Corridor merges back into the Green Corridor alignment.

Detailed Study Alternative 4 - DSA 4 is a slight variation of DSA 3. The Orange Corridor is used for the southern section. The Green and Brown Corridors are utilized for the eastern section of DSA 4. The Brown and Tan Corridors have interchanges on the same facilities. The only variations between the Brown and Tan are that the proposed Brown Corridor interchange with Old Baucom Road is slightly to the east of the Tan interchange location and the planned Auburn-Knightdale Road interchange location is slightly more to the east than the Green and Tan Corridor location. After the Auburn-Knightdale Road interchange the Brown Corridor merges back into the Green Corridor alignment.

Detailed Study Alternative 5 - DSA 5 is a combination of DSAs 1-4. The Orange Corridor is used for the southern section. The Green, Teal, and Brown Corridors are combined to create the eastern section of the project. DSA 5 has proposed interchanges with I-40, SR 2700 (White Oak Road), US 70 Business, SR 5204 (Old Baucom Road), SR 2555 (Auburn-Knightdale Road), SR 1007 (Poole Road), and US 64/264. The planned I-40, White Oak Road, and US 70 Business interchanges are the same as the Green Corridor. The Teal Corridor then aligns to the east and shares the proposed Old Baucom Road and Auburn-Knightdale Road interchanges with the Brown Corridor. This DSA then rejoins the Green Corridor at the Poole Road and US 64/264 interchange locations.

Detailed Study Alternative 6 - This alternative is a combination of the proposed Orange and Red Corridors for the southern section of the project. DSA 6 follows the Orange Corridor through the planned interchanges at NC 55 Bypass, SR 1152 (Holly Springs Road), and SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road). After the proposed Bells Lake Road interchange, the Red Corridor
continues eastward crossing SR 1010 (Ten Ten Road) and forming an interchange with US 401 north of SR 1010. The Red Corridor then continues northeast, traveling between Lake Wheeler and Lake Benson, featuring planned interchanges with SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) and NC 50 north of Lake Benson. The planned I-40 interchange location for the Red Corridor is located south of the existing I-40 and US 70 Business interchange (l-40 Exit 306) and north of the SR 2700 (White Oak Road) overpass.

Continuing into the eastern section of the project, the Red Corridor does not have an interchange with US 70 Business, but instead has a proposed interchange at SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road) that includes an extension of Rock Quarry Road to the south that forms an intersection with US 70 Business. The proposed Rock Quarry Road interchange on the Red Corridor is in the same location as the Rock Quarry Road interchange on the Green Corridor. After the Rock Quarry Road interchange, DSA 6 follows the remainder of the Green Corridor, with future interchanges at SR 2555 (Auburn-Knightdale Road), SR 1007 (Poole Road) and US 64/264.

Detailed Study Alternative 7 - DSA 7 is a slight variation of DSA 6. DSA 7 utilizes the Orange and then the Red Corridor for the southern section, as described in DSA 6. The eastern section alignment includes the Red Corridor, Mint Corridor (see DSA 2 description above), and Green Corridor.

Detailed Study Alternative 8 - This alternative combines the Orange and then the Purple, Blue, and Lilac Corridors for the southern section of the project. The proposed DSA 8 alignment follows the Orange Corridor through the future interchanges at NC 55 Bypass and SR 1152 (Holly Springs Road). After the Holly Springs Road interchange, the Purple Corridor diverges southward from the Orange Corridor. The proposed Purple Corridor has an interchange with SR 1393 (Hilltop Needmore Road). The Purple Corridor alignment then continues southeast to a planned interchange with US 401. DSA 8 continues eastward on the Blue Corridor alignment, with a proposed interchange at SR 1006 (Old Stage Road). The Blue Corridor then merges into the Lilac Corridor alignment just west of the proposed NC 50 interchange. DSA 8 follows the Lilac Corridor alignment to the I-40 and US 70 interchange.

On the eastern section of the project, the Lilac Corridor alignment merges into the Green Corridor prior to the White Oak Road interchange. After the future White Oak Road interchange location, DSA 6 follows the remainder of the Green Corridor alignment, with future interchanges at SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road), SR 2555 (Auburn-Knightdale Road), SR 1007 (Poole Road) and US 64/264.

Detailed Study Alternative 9 - DSA 9 is a slight variation of DSA 8. DSA 9 utilizes the Orange, Purple, Blue, and Lilac Corridors for the southern section, as described in DSA 8. The eastern section uses the Green and Mint Corridors (see DSA 2 description above).

Detailed Study Alternative 10 - DSA 10 features the Orange, Purple, Blue, and Lilac Corridor alignments (see DSA 9 description above) for the southern section. The eastern section utilizes a combination of the Brown, Tan, and Green Corridors (see DSA 3 description above).

Detailed Study Alternative 11 - DSA 11 features the Orange, Purple, Blue, and Lilac Corridor alignments (see DSA 9 description above) for the southern section. The eastern section proposed alignment features a combination of the Brown, and Green Corridors (see DSA 4 description above).

Detailed Study Alternative 12 - DSA 12 features the Orange, Purple, Blue, and Lilac Corridor alignment (see DSA 9 description above) for the southern section. The Green, Teal, and Brown Corridors are combined to create the eastern section alignment of this project alternative (see DSA 5 description above).

Detailed Study Alternative 13 - This alternative contains the Orange and Lilac Corridor alignments for the southern section of the project. The proposed Lilac Corridor diverges from the Orange Corridor east of the future SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) interchange. The planned Lilac Corridor interchanges with NC 50 and I-40 and US 70 are north of the locations of the proposed NC 50 and I-40 and US 70 interchanges on the Orange Corridor. On the eastern section of the project, the Lilac Corridor alignment merges into the Green Corridor prior to the proposed White Oak Road interchange. After the White Oak Road interchange, DSA 6 follows the remainder of the Green Corridor.

Detailed Study Alternative 14 - DSA 14 is a slight variation of DSA 13. DSA 14 utilizes the Orange and Lilac Corridor alignments for the southern section. The eastern section features the Green and Mint Corridors (see DSA 2 description above).

Detailed Study Alternative 15 - DSA 15 utilizes the Orange and Lilac Corridor alignments (see DSA 13 description above) for the southern section. The eastern section contains a combination of the Brown, Tan, and Green Corridors (see DSA 3 description above).

Detailed Study Alternative 16 - DSA 16 features the Orange and Lilac Corridor alignments (see DSA 13 description above) for the southern section. The eastern section utilizes a combination of the proposed Brown, and Green Corridors (see DSA 4 description above).

Detailed Study Alternative 17 - DSA 17 features the Orange and Lilac Corridor alignments (see DSA 13 description above) for the southern section. The proposed Green, Teal, and Brown Corridors are combined to create the eastern section of this project alternative (see DSA 5 description above).

The 2010 base year forecast includes existing No-Build conditions only and does not include the Triangle Expressway. The 2012 and 2035 No-Build alternatives include the Triangle Expressway constructed as a toll facility but contain no Southern or Eastern Wake Freeway. The 2012 and 2035 Build alternatives include the Triangle Expressway and Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway.

This traffic forecast document provides design data (design hourly volumes (K-factors), directional distribution percentages (D-factors), and heavy vehicle percentages (single-unit trucks, tractor-trailer-semi-trailers) as well as Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) estimates for the DSA traffic forecast study area alternatives and describes the methodology and data inputs used in the forecasting process.

The forecasts for this project are derived primarily from comparisons between existing fieldcounted data/base year calibrated travel demand model data and future year growth trends/model estimates. The forecasts also include a review of previous study area forecasts, output from the Triangle Regional Model (TRM) versions, along with engineering judgment. The TRM V4-2008 includes all fiscally-constrained projects contained in the 2030 Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) and Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan
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Planning Organization (DCHC MPO) Long Range Transportation Plans (LRTP) dated September 15, 2004. In order to ensure all No-Build and Build DSAs are comparable and based on similar data, TRM V4-2008 was used as the primary modeling tool for all forecast scenarios.

Once the preferred detailed study alternative is selected through the NEPA project process, an updated traffic forecast is anticipated to be prepared for that alternative using the most current available model and data.

### 1.2 Project History

The Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension was listed in the CAMPO 2030 LRTP (dated September 15,2004) with a 2030 horizon year, in the CAMPO 2035 LRTP (dated May 20, 2009) with a 2025 horizon year, and is currently listed in the 2040 MTP (dated April 2, 2013) with a 2030 horizon year. HNTB collected data from the CAMPO 2030 LRTP, relevant traffic forecasts, and NCDOT STIP projects to be included in the traffic forecast.

Scoping meetings for this traffic forecasting report, and subsequent decisions agreed upon by NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch (TPB), NCDOT Project Development and Environmental Analysis Unit (PDEA), and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) established the limits of the traffic forecast study area for traffic forecasting and capacity analysis for the 2010 base year and 2012/2035 future years. Figure 2 shows the traffic forecast study area for the base, intermediate and future year forecasts.

Base year (2010) traffic forecasts for a No-Build alternative were developed using a comparison of historic AADT data and field-collected traffic counts. TRM V4-2008 model data was used in development of No-Build and DSA 1-17 forecasts.

An intermediate year, 2012, was selected because it is the opening year of the Triangle Expressway Western Wake Freeway. The 2012 year scenarios include forecasts for a No-Build alternative and a Build alternative with the Southeast Extension as toll facility. All Build alternative forecasts propose the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeways (Southeast Extension) as controlled access, median divided six-lane freeway facilities. The forecasts use extrapolations of historic AADT in the study area, 2012 TRM V4 data, and comparisons/adjustments from traffic counts applied to historic and model information. The 2012 No-Build alternative forecast would include all horizon year 2010 projects in the fiscally constrained 2030 CAMPO LRTP, the 2030 DCHC MPO LRTP, and projects scheduled to be completed in 2012. The 2012 Build alternative considers those same projects, as well and the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway as controlled-access facilities. Figure 3 shows the study area CAMPO long range transportation plan projects for 2010, 2020, and 2030 per the 2030 LRTP (September 15, 2004).

The 2035 future year scenarios include forecasts for a No-Build alternative and the various Build alternatives with the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway projects as toll facilities. The forecasts use extrapolations of historic AADT data in the study area, 2035 TRM V4 data, and comparisons/adjustments from the field-collected traffic counts as they applied to the historic and model information. The 2035 forecasts consider all fiscally-constrained projects in the CAMPO and DCHC 2030 LRTPs. The scenarios are summarized in Table 2.
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Table 2. Forecast Scenarios and Alternatives

| Forecast Scenario | Year | Tolling <br> Assumption | Study Area Network Assumption |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Base Year No-Build | 2010 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Existing Network |
| Intermediate Year No-Build |  | \$0.12 Per Mile <br> User Cost | 2010 LRTP Projects + Triangle <br> Expressway (Toll Facility) |
|  | 2012 | \$0.12 Per Mile <br> User Cost | 2010 LRTP Projects + Triangle <br> Expressway \& Southeast Extension <br> (Toll Facility) |
| Design Year No-Build | 2035 | \$0.12 Per Mile <br> User Cost | Includes all Fiscally-Constrained <br> 2030 LRTP + Southeast Extension <br> (Toll Facility) |
| Design Year Build Toll |  |  |  |

### 1.3 Area Information

The project is located primarily in Wake County with a small portion of the project that extends into Johnston County. The Southern Wake Freeway (STIP's R-2721 and R-2828) extends eastward from the NC 55 Holly Springs Bypass to the junction of I-40 and the US 70 Clayton Bypass in Johnston County. For DSAs 6 and 7 that include the Red Corridor for a portion of the Southern Wake Freeway, the southern portion extends from NC 55 to I-40 at a new interchange location north of the I-40/US 70 Clayton Bypass interchange. The Eastern Wake Freeway (STIP R-2829) begins at the I-40/US 70 Clayton Bypass interchange for DSAs 1-5 and 8-17 or the I-40 interchange for DSAs 6 and 7 and ends at the US 64 Knightdale Bypass, completing the outer loop. The entire project length is nearly 30 miles.

Current land use in the traffic forecast study area is a mixture of urban and suburban commercial/residential development. Some interchanges in the traffic forecast study area feature dense "urban" development, while others have little to no existing development on more than one quadrant of the interchange.

### 1.4 Route Information

## Study Area

In collaboration with HW Lochner, NCDOT, CAMPO, and FHWA, the Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway study area was defined for traffic forecasting related to the development of the project Purpose and Need statement and alternatives development and screening. The traffic forecast study area for traffic forecasting, shown graphically in Figure 2, includes the existing freeway and surface street arterials shown in Table 3.

NCDOT STIP PROJECTS R-2721, R-2828, and R-2829 Complete 540-Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension Traffic Forecast Report (DSA 1-17)

Table 3. Existing Study Area Roadways

| SR Number | Road Name | Functional Class* | Study Area Cross Sections | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \text { AADT } \end{aligned}$ | Speed Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1-40 | Interstate | 4-6 lane divided | 67,000 | 65 |
| - | 1-540 | Interstate | 6 lane divided | 40,000 | 65 |
| - | US 64 Bypass | Freeway | 6 lane divided | 60,000 | 65 |
| - | US 70 Bypass (Clayton Bypass) | Freeway | 4 lane divided | 23,000 | 55 |
| - | US 1 | Freeway / Principal Arterial | 4 lane divided | 18,000 | 65 |
| - | US 401 | Principal Arterial | 4 lane divided | 33,000 | 55 |
| - | NC 50 | Principal Arterial | 2 lane undivided | 15,200 | 55 |
| - | NC 55 | Principal Arterial | 4 lane divided | 28,000 | 55 |
| - | US 70 Business | Principal / Minor Arterial | 4 lane divided | $\begin{array}{r} 25,000- \\ 34,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 55 |
| - | NC 42 | Minor Arterial | 5 lane with TWLTL | 26,000 | 45 |
| - | NC 42 | Minor Arterial | 2 lane undivided | 12,000 | 55 |
| 1007 | Poole Road | Minor Arterial | 2 lane undivided | 8,600 | 45 |
| 1010 | Ten Ten Road | Minor Arterial | 2 lane undivided | $\begin{aligned} & 6,100- \\ & 15,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 45 |
| 1152 | Holly Springs Road | Minor Arterial | 2 lane undivided | 8,900 | 45 |
| 2711 | Vandora Springs Road | Minor Arterial | 2 lane undivided | 7,900 | 35 |
| 2233 | Smithfield Road | Minor Arterial | 2 lane undivided | 17,000 | 45 |
| 2711 | Vandora Springs Road | Minor Arterial | 2 lane undivided | 7,900 | 35 |
| 1006 | Old Stage Road | Minor Arterial / Collector | 2 lane undivided | 9,200 | 45 |
| 1010 | Cleveland School Road | Major Collector | 2 lane undivided | 6,000 | 55 |
| 1393 | Hilltop Needmore Road | Major Collector | 2 lane undivided | 3,300 | 45 |
| 2542 | Rock Quarry Road | Collector | 2 lane undivided | 4,000 | 55 |
| 1386 | Bells Lake Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 10,400 | 45 |
| 2700 | White Oak Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 6,600 | 55 |
| 2555 | Auburn-Knightdale Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 2,600 | 55 |
| 1172 | Old Smithfield Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 1,000 | 35 |
| 1300 | Kildaire Farm Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 10,600 | 45 |
| 1503 | Donny Brook Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 3,000 | 45 |
| 2555 | Raynor Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 3,600 | 45 |
| 2555 | Auburn-Knightdale Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 3,200 | 55 |
| 5204 | Old Baucom Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 1,000 | 55 |
| 2516 | Hodge Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 9,200 | 45 |
| 1153 | Old Holly Springs Apex Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 1,800 | 45 |
| 2779 | Old McCullers Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 4,200 | 35 |
| 1421 | Old Mills Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 600 | 45 |
| 2750 | Norman Blalock Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 1,000 | 45 |
| 2753 | Dwight Rowland Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 2,600 | 45 |
| 5204 | Old Baucom Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 1,000 | 55 |
| 2515 | Old Faison Road | Local | 2 lane undivided | 4,100 | 45 |

The following future roadway facilities were also included in the traffic forecast study area:

- Triangle Expressway Western Wake Freeway from NC 55 (Holly Springs Bypass) to NC 55 near the Research Triangle Park (RTP) [This facility is now open to traffic]
- Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension from NC 55 (Holly Springs Bypass) to US 64 Bypass (Knightdale Bypass)

These forecast locations and roadway facilities were chosen as forecast links primarily based on their proximity to and potential impact by the project. Forecasts for existing or proposed -Y- line intersections and/or interchanges were included in this detailed forecasting effort.

## Study Area Roadways

There are six major access-controlled freeways in the vicinity of the traffic forecast study area: I40, I-540, NC 540, US 64 Bypass (Knightdale Bypass), and US 70 Bypass (Clayton Bypass). The following are descriptions of the major roadways within the traffic forecast study area:

- l-40 is the primary freeway corridor for regional connectivity between Raleigh, RTP, Durham and Chapel Hill in the Triangle. I-40 varies from a four-lane to an eight-lane freeway in the traffic forecast study area. The posted speed limit is 65 miles per hour (mph) through the traffic forecast study area.
- US 1 is an existing controlled access freeway that serves regional traffic in Cary and Apex. US 1 features a four-lane cross section in the traffic forecast study area, with auxiliary lanes near interchanges. The posted speed limit is 65 mph .
- l-540 is an existing loop freeway around the northern portions of Wake County. It currently spans from I-40 on the western side of Wake County to the US 64 Bypass near Knightdale in eastern Wake County. The facility features a six-lane cross section in the study area, with auxiliary lanes at interchanges and a posted speed limit of 70 mph .
- NC540 is an existing freeway facility that is an extension of I-540 in western Wake County from I-40 to NC 55 near RTP. The facility features a six-lane cross section with a posted speed limit of 70 mph . The segment of NC 540 from NC 55 to NC 54 is a toll facility.
- US 64 Bypass (Knightdale Bypass) is an existing controlled access freeway in the traffic forecast study area providing access to areas of east Wake County to I-440 and further to I95. In the traffic forecast study area, US 64 Bypass features a six-lane cross-section, with auxiliary lanes at interchanges and a posted 65 mph speed limit.
- US 70 Bypass (Clayton Bypass) is an existing controlled access freeway in the traffic forecast study area providing access to areas of Johnston County to I-40. In the traffic forecast study area, the Clayton Bypass contains a four-lane cross-section, with auxiliary lanes at interchanges and a posted speed limit of 65 mph .

Other roadways that are specifically included in the traffic forecast study area include NC 42, NC 50, NC 55, US 70, US 401, Holly Springs Road, Bells Lake Road, Ten Ten Road, Old Stage Road, Rock Quarry Road, Auburn-Knightdale Road and Poole Road. These existing thoroughfares are primarily multi-lane facilities with 35,45 , or 55 mph speed limits in the traffic forecast study area and provide regional connectivity and access throughout Wake County, with interchange connections to the seven major study area freeway facilities.

### 2.0 SOURCES OF INFORMATION AND DATA

### 2.1 Related Forecasts

HNTB obtained recent project-level traffic forecasts for NCDOT STIP projects and/or municipal road projects in the traffic forecast study area from the NCDOT TPB, TSG, and Feasibility Studies Unit (FSU). Historic traffic forecast information is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Historic Traffic Forecasts in the Study Area

| Project <br> STIP \# | Year <br> Forecast <br> Completed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| R-2721 <br> R-2828 <br> R-2829 | 2009 | Forecast includes previous planning-level forecast of the <br> Southern and Eastern Wake Freeways |
| I-4744 | 2008 | Forecast includes areas along I-40 and US 1/64 from Aviation <br> Parkway to Gorman Street |
| U-4763B | 2007 | Forecast includes areas immediately to the west of the I-4744 <br> 2007 base year forecast along I-40 |
| R-2000 AA- <br> AF | 2008 | Forecast includes portions of I-40, I-540, and NC 540 located <br> within the study area |
| R-2635 | 2007 | Forecast includes Western Wake Freeway |
| R-2721 <br> R-2828 <br> R-2829 | 2000 | Forecast includes previous forecast of the Southern and Eastern <br> Wake Freeways |
| R-2552 | 1998 | Forecast includes Clayton Bypass and parts of I-40 in the study <br> area |
| U-3101 | 1998 | Forecast includes areas of the 2008 I-4744 base year forecast <br> along US 1/64 from I-40 through Cary Parkway |

### 2.2 Historic AADT Data

HNTB reviewed all available NCDOT Traffic Survey Group (TSG) AADT data from the previous 20 years in the project study area. Each data point was evaluated and points that were considered outliers were removed from the data set. Some facilities have experienced fluctuations in AADT and diversions in traffic due to various factors, such as construction of new roadway facilities. For example, AADTs on NC 55 Business, US 70 Business, E. Garner Road, Hodge Road, Poole Road have experienced fluctuation primarily due to construction of NC 55 Bypass, US 70 Bypass and US 264/64 and diversion of traffic. Figure 4 details the study area historic AADT count locations. Sixty-three (63) growth trend line estimates for 2035 for locations throughout the traffic forecast study area were developed using the 1990-2010 AADT travel history. Appendix C contains linear regression graphs based on the historical data points. Table 5 shows the 2002-2009 NCDOT historic AADT volumes for key locations and locations where field traffic data was collected.

### 2.3 Field Data Collection

HNTB collaborated with the NCDOT TSG to obtain existing traffic count data ( 24 hour directional/classification counts) for study area roadways. NCDOT ATR count data was collected at two (2) locations and 2009 AADT freeway ramp counts were provided at seven (7) existing study area interchanges. Two (2) 12-hour intersection counts were also provided. Specific locations where historic traffic data was obtained are shown in Figure 5. Data was analyzed for applicability/relevance to traffic conditions and for inconsistencies between adjacent intersections/interchanges/roadway segments.

After researching the availability of existing traffic count data, HNTB coordinated with NCDOT to determine the locations and times of field traffic data collection activities. HNTB collected and/or received, nine (9) peak hour turning movement counts (TMC), twenty-three (23) 16-hour TMC, and four (4) 48-hour vehicle classification counts. Some traffic counts were completed when local schools and universities were not in session. However, seasonal factors provided by NCDOT for the Triangle area were used to adjust these counts for school traffic.

All tube vehicle classification counts were collected for a minimum of 48 hours of an average weekday (Tuesday-Thursday). Detailed traffic count information was collected and reviewed in the completion of this forecast. Figure 5 shows traffic data collection locations. Table 6 provides a summary of the field data collection completed for this forecast.

The 16 -hour and 48 -hour counts were first converted to daily traffic before conversion to AADT. 16 -hour turning movement counts were adjusted to 24 -hour counts by applying a 0.90 adjustment factor. 48-hour traffic tube/classification counts were analyzed during 16-hour periods and the resulting factors ranged from 0.90 to 0.94 . Supporting information from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) states 16-hour counts generally account for 90 to 95 percent of 24 -hour traffic volumes. Based on this information, a conservative 0.90 adjustment factor was applied to 16 -hour turning movement volumes to convert to 24 -hour counts. Once volumes were adjusted to 24 -hour counts, reciprocal turning movements were added together to estimate bidirectional turns at the intersection or interchange.

HNTB converted the adjusted 24-hour counts to AADT volumes using seasonal adjustment factors provided by the NCDOT Traffic Survey Unit. For data related to interstate and mainline routes (US 264/64,US 70 Bypass, US 1, I-40), ADT volumes were adjusted by the NCDOT Interstate Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) Group 11 weekday average for the respective month traffic counts were collected. For non-interstate higher-volume urban secondary routes, such as US 64 Business, NC 42 and Ten Ten Road, ADT volumes were adjusted by the NCDOT non-interstate ATR Group 4 weekday average for the respective month and day traffic counts were collected. For all other study area roadway counts, non-interstate ATR Group 1 was applied.

Table 5. NCDOT Historic AADT Volumes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NCDOT } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Roadway | Location | NCDOT Historical AADT Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AADT Extrapolated to 2010+ | Project Specific Count Data |  | 2010 NB <br> Traffic <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |  | TMC | Mainline |  |
| 9100872 | 1-40 | from Exit 303 (Jones Sausage Road) to Exit 306 (US 70) | 83,000 | 82,000 | 88,000 | 86,000 | 91,000 | 93,000 | 87,000 | 94,000 | 99,500 |  |  | 99,500 |
| 9103495 | 1-40 | from Exit 306 (US 70) Exit 309 (US 70 Bypass) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68,000 | 75,000 | 82,000 |  |  | 75,100 |
| 9100873 | 1-40 | from US 70/S-E Wake Expwy to Exit 312 (NC 42) | 51,000 | 49,000 | 52,000 | 53,000 | 56,000 | 58,000 | 51,000 | 55,000 | 56,300 |  | 36,800 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 56,300 |
| 5000159 | 1-40 | from Exit 312 (NC 42) to Exit 319 (NC 210) | 42,000 | 38,000 | 41,000 | 43,000 | 44,000 | 46,000 | 42,000 | 44,000 | 50,100 |  |  | 50,100 |
| 9103485 | 1-540 | from US 64/264 to US 64 Business | - | - | - | - | - | 38,000 | 39,000 | 40,000 | 41,000 | - |  | 41,000 |
| 9103484 | I-540 | N of US 64 Business | - | - | - | - | - | 45,000 | 47,000 | 48,000 | 49,700 |  |  | 49,700 |
| 5000185 | NC 42 | E of SR 1628 (Cleveland Crossing Dr) | 13,000 | 13,000 | 20,000 | 14,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 13,000 | 15,000 | 14,800 | 21,4004 |  | 21,000 |
| 5000184 | NC 42 | W of SR 1800 (Technology Drive) | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | 25,000 | 27,000 | 25,000 | 26,000 | 26,400 | $32,200^{4}$ |  | 31,600 |
| 5000147 | NC 42 | N of US 70 Bypass | 12,000 | 12,000 | 13,000 | 12,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | - | 12,000 | 15,000 | 11,700 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 11,700 |
| 9100133 | NC 50 | S of SR 2562 (New Rand Rd) / <br> N of Southern Wake Expressway (Red) | - | 18,000 | - | 17,000 | - | 19,000 | - | 17,000 | 17,900 | 19,600 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 19,500 |
| 9100133 | NC 50 | S of Southern Wake Expressway (Red) | - | 18,000 | - | 17,000 | - | 19,000 | - | 17,000 | 17,900 | 19,600 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 19,500 |
| 9100661 | NC 50 | N of Ten-Ten Rd (SR 1010) | - | 13,000 | - | 12,000 | - | 13,000 | - | 12,000 | 12,100 | 14,000 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 14,300 |
| 9103452 | NC 50 | N of Southern Wake Expwy / S of Ten-Ten Rd (SR 1010) | - | - | - | 13,000 | - | 15,000 | - | - | 18,000 | 15,900 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 15,200 |
| 9100659 | NC 50 | N of SR 1010 (Cleveland School Road) | - | 13,000 | - | 12,000 | - | 11,000 | - | 12,000 | 11,200 | $15,200^{3}$ |  | 15,200 |
| 9102426 | NC 50 | S of SR 1010 (Cleveland School Road) | - | 6,800 | - | 6,100 | - | 5,800 | - | 6,100 | 7,000 | $8,000^{3}$ |  | 8,000 |
| 9103432 | NC 55 | S of SR 1172 (Old Smithfield Road) | - | - | - | 19,000 | - | 23,000 | - | 26,000 | 27,900 | 28,200 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 29,400 |
| 9103427 | NC 55 | from SR 1172 (Old Smithfield Road) to Southern Wake Expressway | - | - | - | 19,000 | - | 25,000 | - | 28,000 | 30,800 | 27,700 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 30,200 |
| 9103427 | NC 55 | from Southern Wake Expwy to NC 55 Business | - | - | - | 19,000 | - | 25,000 | - | 28,000 | 30,800 | $27,700^{2}$ |  | 30,200 |
| 9100145 | SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | N of SR 2711 (Vandora Springs Rd) | - | 11,000 | - | 11,000 | - | 8,700 | - | 10,000 | 9,300 | 10,2004 |  | 9,700 |
| 9100147 | SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | S of SR 2711 (Vandora Springs Rd) | - | 17,000 | - | 16,000 | - | 15,000 | - | 16,000 | 16,900 | 15,800 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 14,800 |
| 9100841 | SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | N of Ten-Ten Rd (SR 1010) | - | 15,000 | - | 14,000 | - | 13,000 | - | 13,000 | 12,800 | $15,800^{4}$ |  | 14,800 |
| 9100843 | SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | S of SR 1010 (Ten-Ten Rd) | - | 10,000 | - | 9,600 |  | 9,100 | - | 9,200 | 10,600 | 10,800 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 7,900 |
| 9100843 | SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | S of S Wake Expressway ( N of SR 2724 Banks Rd) | - | 10,000 | - | 9,600 | - | 9,100 | - | 9,200 | 10,600 | 10,800 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 7,900 |
| 9104172 | SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | N of NC 42 (S of S Wake Expwy) | - | 4,300 | - | 4,400 | - | 4,100 | - | 3,900 | 4,700 | $4,400^{5}$ |  | 3,800 |
| 9104602 | SR 1007 (Poole Road) | E of Eastern Wake Expressway | - | 10,000 | - | 5,400 | - | 4,300 | - | 3,700 | 10,600 | 7,900 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 8,100 |
| 9100712 | SR 1007 (Poole Road) | E of SR 2516 (Hodge Rd) | - | 14,000 | - | 9,100 | - | 9,100 | - | 8,600 | 10,600 | 7,900 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 8,100 |
| 9100714 | SR 1007 (Poole Road) | W of SR 2516 (Hodge Rd) | - | 17,000 | - | 9,500 | - | 8,300 | - | 7,900 | 6,100 | 6,600 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 6,500 |
| 9103453 | SR 1010 (Cleveland School Road) | E of NC 50 | - |  | - | 5,900 | - | 6,100 | - | 6,000 | 6,100 | $7,800^{3}$ |  | 7,800 |
| 9100743 | SR 1010 (Ten-Ten Road) | E of SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road) | - | 11,000 | - | 12,000 | - | 12,000 | - | 12,000 | 16,100 | 12,600 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 13,300 |
| 9100839 | SR 1010 (Ten Ten Rd) | E of US 401 | - | 13,000 | - | 14,000 | - | 16,000 | - | 15,000 | 16,000 | 14,700 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 12,800 |
| 9103440 | SR 1010 (Ten Ten Rd) | W of US 401 | - | - | - | 15,000 | - | 15,000 | - | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,600 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 14,100 |
| 9103451 | SR 1010 (Ten Ten Rd) | W of NC 50 | - | - | - | 5,700 | - | 6,700 | - | 6,100 | 6,500 | 7,500 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 6,700 |
| 9102947 | SR 1153 (Old Holly Springs-Apex Road) | N of Western Wake Freeway | - | 2,400 | - | 920 | - | 1,500 | - | 1,800 | 3,600 | . |  | 3,600 |
| 9102947 | SR 1153 (Old Holly Springs-Apex Road) | S of Western Wake Freeway | - | 2,400 | - | 920 | - | 1,500 | - | 1,800 | 3,600 | - |  | 3,600 |
| 9104085 | SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road) | S of Southern Wake Expressway | - | 3,400 | - | 3,500 | - | 4,100 | - | 4,300 | 4,500 | 6,000 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 4,500 |
| 9100745 | SR 1386 (Graham Newton Road) | N of SR 1010 | - | 5,500 | - | 5,500 |  | 6,200 | - | 6,000 | 6,900 | 7,500 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 6,900 |
| 9103032 | SR 1421 (Old Mills Rd) | S of SR 1393 (Hilltop Needmore Rd) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 600 | 600 | $400^{5}$ |  | 400 |
| 9103475 | SR 2233 (Smithfield Road) | N of US 64/264 | - | - | - | 9,000 | - | 8,000 | - | 8,300 | 7,900 | 6,300 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 6,300 |
| 9103474 | SR 2233 (Smithfield Road) | S of US 64/264 | - | - | - | 13,000 | - | 17,000 | - | 17,000 | 18,700 | 16,600 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 16,600 |
| 9103476 | SR 2516 (Hodge Road) | N of US 64/264 | - |  | - | 6,400 | - | 6,300 | - | 6,600 | 6,600 | 6,200 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 6,200 |
| 9100711 | SR 2516 (Hodge Road) | from US 64/264 to SR 1007 | - | 5,800 | - | 5,700 | - | 8,500 | - | 9,200 | 8,400 | 9,000 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 9,000 |
| 9100713 | SR 2516 (Hodge Road) | S of SR 1007 | - | 1,400 | - | 1,200 | - | 1,200 | - | 1,400 | 1,200 | 1,100 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 1,100 |

## Table 5 (Continued). NCDOT Historic AADT Volumes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NCDOT } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Roadway | Location | NCDOT Historical AADT Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AADTExtrapolatedto $2010+$ | Project Specific Count Data |  | 2010 NB <br> Traffic <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |  | TMC | Mainline |  |
| 9100725 | SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road) | W of Eastern Wake Expressway | - | 5,500 | - | 4,600 | - | 4,600 | - | 4,000 | 3,800 | $4,000^{2}$ |  | 3,900 |
| 9100725 | SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road) | E of Eastern Wake Expressway | - | 5,500 | - | 4,600 | - | 4,600 | - | 4,000 | 3,800 | 4,000 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 3,900 |
| 9100723 | SR 2555 (Auburn Knightdale Road) | N of SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Rd) | - | 3,600 |  | 3,300 | - | 2,800 | - | 2,900 | 2,600 | 2,700 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 2,600 |
| 9100731 | SR 2555 (Auburn Knightdale Road) | S of SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Rd) | - | 4,000 | - | 3,600 | - | 3,400 | - | 3,500 | 3,300 | 3,200 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 3,100 |
| 9100146 | SR 2711 (Vandora Springs Rd) | E of Old Stage Rd (SR 1006) | - | 7,500 | - | 7,800 | - | 8,400 | - | 7,900 | 8,700 | 7,6004 |  | 6,900 |
| 9102330 | US 1 | N of Western Wake Freeway | - | 17,000 | - | - | - |  | - | 18,000 | 20,400 |  | 21,700 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 21,700 |
| 9102330 | US 1 | S of Western Wake Freeway | - | 17,000 | - |  | - |  | - | 18,000 | 20,400 | - | 21,700 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 21,700 |
| 9100737 | US 401 | S of St Patrick Dr (SR 2777) / N of Southern Wake Expressway (Red) | - | 33,000 | - | 31,000 | - | 33,000 | - | 32,000 | 32,200 | 33,100 ${ }^{4}$ | - | 33,300 |
| 9103439 | US 401 | S of Southern Wake Expressway (Red) / N of SR 1010 (Ten Ten Rd) | - | - | - | 31,000 | - | 33,000 | - | 31,000 | 31,700 | 33,100 ${ }^{4}$ | - | 33,300 |
| 9100838 | US 401 | N of Southern Wake Expressway (Orange) | - | 33,000 | - | 32,000 | - | 34,000 | - | 33,000 | 27,800 | $32,300^{2}$ |  | 32,900 |
| 9100838 | US 401 | N of SR 1503 (Donny Brook Rd) | - | 33,000 | - | 32,000 | - | 34,000 | - | 33,000 | 27,800 | 32,300 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 32,900 |
| 9100707 | US 64 Business | W of I-540 |  | 56,000 | - | - | - | 32,000 | - | 30,000 | 37,800 | 31,700 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 31,700 |
| 9103492 | US 64/264 | W of SR 2516 (Hodge Road) | - | - | - | - | - | 59,000 | - | 60,000 | 60,500 |  | 54,300 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 60,500 |
| 9103493 | US 64/264 | from SR 2516 (Hodge Road) to I-540 / Eastern Wake Expressway | - | - | - | - | - | 56,000 | - | 58,000 | 59,000 | - |  | 59,000 |
| 9103472 | US 64/264 | from I-540 / Eastern Wake Expressway to SR 2233 (Smithfield Road) | - | - | - | 41,000 | - | 61,000 | - | 60,000 | 68,300 | - |  | 68,300 |
| 9103473 | US 64/264 | E of SR 2233 (Smithfield Road) | - | - | - | 35,000 | - | 51,000 | - | 49,000 | 55,500 |  | 41,500 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 55,500 |
| 9100733 | US 70 | E of l-40 | - | 49,000 | - | 49,000 | - | 50,000 | - | 34,000 | 48,000 | - |  | 34,000 |
| 9100150 | US 70 | W of l-40 | - | 22,000 | - | 25,000 | - | 29,000 | - | 29,000 | 29,100 | - |  | 29,000 |
| 9100728 | US 70 | E of Guy Rd (SR 2558) / E of Rock Quarry Road Extension (Red) | - | 40,000 | - | 39,000 | - | 40,000 | - | 25,000 | - | $31,700^{3}$ |  | 31,700 |
| 5003514 | US 70 Bypass | E of l-40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22,000 | 23,000 | 24,000 | - | $12,900^{\circ}$ | 23,000 |

+ linear rate used, 1990-2010
-" - Data not available.
- 2008 Project Specific TM Counts, factored to AADT estimates

2-2009 Project Specific TM Counts, factored to AADT estimates
3 - 2010 Proiect Specific TM Counts, factored to AADT estimates
4- 2012 Project Speeific TM Counts, factored to AADT estimates
5 - 2013 Project Specific TM Counts, factored to AADT estimates

- 2008 Project Specific 48 Hour Counts factored to AADT estimates
- 2011 Project Specific 48 Hour Counts factored to AADT estimates

NCDOT STIP PROJECTS R-2721, R-2828, and R-2829 Complete 540-Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension

Traffic Forecast Report (DSA 1-17)
Table 6. Field Data Collection

| Location | Type Count | Date(s) | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC 50 at Ten Ten Road | 16 Hour TMC | 11/03/2009 | Wake |
| NC 50 at Cleveland School Rd | 16 Hour TMC | 03/24/2010 | Wake |
| Holly Springs Road at Kildaire Farm Rd | 16 Hour TMC | 10/22/2009 | Wake |
| Raynor Road at White Oak Road | 16 Hour TMC | 11/04/2009 | Wake |
| Rock Quarry Road at Auburn Knightdale Road | 16 Hour TMC | 11/05/2009 | Wake |
| US 401 at Donny Brook Road | 16 Hour TMC | $\begin{gathered} 11 / 10 / 2009 \& \\ 10 / 05 / 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Wake |
| US 401 at Wake Tech Drive | 16 Hour TMC | 10/05/2011 | Wake |
| NC 55 at Smithfield Road | 16 Hour TMC | 10/28/2009 | Wake |
| Ten Ten Road at Bells Lake Road | 16 Hour TMC | 10/27/2009 | Wake |
|  | Peak Hour TMC | 10/27/2009 | Wake |
| Poole Road at Hodge Road | 16 Hour TMC | 10/29/2009 | Wake |
| Rock Quarry Road at Old Baucom Road | 16 Hour TMC | 11/18/2009 | Wake |
| US 401 at Ten Ten Road | 16 Hour TMC | 09/06/2012 | Wake |
| Rock Quarry Road at E. Garner Road | 16 Hour TMC | 09/06/2012 | Wake |
| NC 50 at Ten Ten Road | 16 Hour TMC | 09/11/2012 | Wake |
| NC 50 at Timber Drive | 16 Hour TMC | 04/04/2012 | Wake |
| Timber Drive at Aversboro Road | 16 Hour TMC | 09/23/2009 | Wake |
| US 70 at White Oak Road | 16 Hour TMC | 04/04/2012 | Wake |
| US 70 at Guy Road (SR 2558) | 16 Hour TMC | 10/19/2010 | Wake |
| NC 50 at Buffaloe Road | 16 Hour TMC | 05/02/2010 | Wake |
| Old Stage Road at Vandora Springs Road | 16 Hour TMC | 09/06/2012 | Wake |
| Old Stage Road at Norman Blalock Road | 16 Hour TMC | 08/06/2013 | Wake |
| Hilltop Needmore Road at Old Mills Road | 16 Hour TMC | 08/06/2013 | Wake |
| US 401 at Dwight Rowland Road | 16 Hour TMC | 08/06/2013 | Wake |
| US 70 Bypass west of Cornwallis Road (SR 1525) | 48 Hour Vehicle Classification Count | 11/17 through 11/19/2009 | Johnston |
| US 70 Business west of Guy Road | 48 Hour Vehicle Classification Count | 11/17 through | Wake |
| Old Stage Road south of Ten Ten Road | 48 Hour Vehicle Classification Count | $11 / 03$ through $11 / 05 / 2009$ | Wake |
| US 1 south of NC 55 | 48 Hour Vehicle Classification Count | 01/04/2011 | Wake |
| US 264 EB Off Ramp at Hodge Road | Peak Hour TMC | 05/12/2010 | Wake |
| US 264 WB Off Ramp at Hodge Road | Peak Hour TMC | 05/12/2010 | Wake |
| US 70 EB On/Off Ramps at NC 42 | Peak Hour TMC | 05/11/2010 | Johnston |
| US 70 WB On/Off Ramps at NC 42 | Peak Hour TMC | 05/11/2010 | Johnston |
| US 64 WB On/Off Ramps at Smithfield Road | Peak Hour TMC | 05/13/2010 | Wake |
| US 64 EB On/Off Ramps at Smithfield Road | Peak Hour TMC | 05/13/2010 | Wake |
| US 64 Business EB at l-540 | Peak Hour TMC | 05/20/2010 | Wake |
| US 64 Business WB at I-540 | Peak Hour TMC | 05/20/2010 | Wake |

### 2.4 Field Investigation

Field investigations have been conducted throughout the project forecasting process (2009 to 2013) to examine various detailed study alternatives, observe project study area facilities and collect traffic data.

### 2.5 Other Sources

HNTB collected information on relevant roadway improvement projects within and around the traffic forecast study area. These include NCDOT STIP projects and the projects listed in the CAMPO 2030 LRTP (dated September 15, 2004). A list of the 2030 LRTP fiscally constrained projects within the traffic forecast study area along with estimated dates of completion (horizon years) and other basic information are detailed in Table 7. The locations of these projects can be seen in Figure 3.

Table 7. CAMPO 2030 LRTP Study Area Projects

| Segment Identifier | Facility Name | Segment From | Segment To | Length (miles) | 2009 No. of Lanes | Future No. of Lanes | STIP \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A49a | Poole Road | Maybrook Dr. | Barwell Road | 1.00 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A91 | Jones Sausage Rd. | Rock Quarry Rd | 1-40 | 1.46 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A95 | NC 55 Widening | Holly Springs Bypass | SR 1108 (Wake Chapel Road) | 3.30 | 2 | 4 | R-2907 |
| F9 | US 1-64 | US 64 | Walnut Street | 2.60 | 4 | 6 | U-3101 |
| A4b | Rogers Lane Extension (NL) | End of Existing Rogers Lane | Rogers Lane/New Hope | 0.27 | 0 | 4 |  |
| F1a | I-540 (North \& East Segment) | Triangle Town Blvd. | US 64 (Knightdale) | 7.00 | 0 | 6 | R-2000 |
| F2 | I-540 (East Segment) | US 64 | US 64 Bypass | 2.12 | 0 | 6 | R-2641 |
| F4a | I-540 (Western Segment) | 140 | NC 55 (Morrisville/Cary) | 4.01 | 0 | 6 | R-2000 |
| F8 | US 70 (Clayton) Bypass | I-40 (South) | US 70 Business | 9.50 | 0 | 4 | R-2552 |
| F41 | I-40 HOV/HOT Project | I-440/ US 1-64 | Johnston County | 17.29 | 8 | 8 | - |
| F41 | I-40 HOV/HOT Project | I-440/ US 1-64 | Johnston County | 17.29 | 8 | 8 | - |
| A114 | Ten Ten Road | Holly Springs Rd | US 1 | 3.47 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A138a | Timber Dr./Jones Sausage Road Connector | US 70 | Timber Drive Extension | 0.65 | 0 | 4 | - |
| A138b | Timber Dr./Jones Sausage Road Connector | Jones Sausage Road | US 70 | 0.28 | 0 | 4 | - |
| A142b | Timber Drive East | White Oak Road | New Rand Road | 1.27 | 0 | 4 | U-4703 |
| A163a | Holly Springs Road | Sunset Lake Rd | Old Holly Springs Apex | 3.58 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A166 | Center Street/1010 | US 1 | Apex Peakway | 1.04 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A217 | Sunset Lake Road Connector | NC 55 | Optimist Farm Road | 3.40 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A40 | Kildaire Farm Road | Swift Creek | Ten Ten Road | 2.00 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A480 | US 401 (South) | US 70 | East Pkwy (FV) | 9.85 | 4 | 6 | - |
| A51 | Smithfield Road | Carrington Drive | Forestville Road | 1.17 | 2 | 4 | U-3441 |
| A96a | NC 55 | Olive Chapel Road | US 64 | 1.16 | 2 | 4 | R-2906 |
| A96b | NC 55 | Apex Peakway (south) | Olive Chapel Road | 1.67 | 2 | 3 | U-2901 |
| A120 | Tryon Road Extension | Garner Road | Rock Quarry Road | 2.90 | 0 | 4 | U-3111 |
| A207a | Judd Parkway NE (part NL) | Existing Judd Parkway | NC 55 (Broad Street) | 1.70 | 0 | 3 | - |
| F4b | I-540 (Western Wake Expressway) | NC 55 (Morrisville/Cary) | US 1 | 101.00 | 0 | 6 | R-2635 |
| F4c | I-540 (Western Wake Expressway) | US 1 | NC 55 Bypass | 2.30 | 0 | 6 | R-2635 |
| A112 | Smithfield Rd. | Poole Road | US 64 Bypass | 1.90 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A113 | Ten-Ten Rd. | Holly Springs Rd | Bells Lake Road | 1.14 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A117 | New Hope Road | Old Poole Road | Rock Quarry Road | 1.80 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A118 | NC 55 | NC 42 | Harnett County | 4.40 | 2 | 4 | R-2540 |
| A122 | Holly Springs Road | Sunset Lake Rd. | Kildaire Farm Road | 0.91 | 2 | 6 | - |
| A137a | Old Stage Road | US 401 | Ten Ten Road | 4.19 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A138c | Timber Dr./Jones Sausage Road Connector | White Oak Road | I-40 (South) | 1.59 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A140a | Vandora Springs Road \& Vandora Springs Road Ext. | Timber Drive | Old Stage Road | 1.01 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A142a | Timber Drive | US 70 | White Oak Road | 2.05 | 0 | 4 | - |
| A143 | White Oak Road | US 70 | NC 42 (Johnston Co.) | 7.32 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A149a | Poole Road | I-540 | Knightdale-Eagle Rock Rd. | 7.64 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A158 | Hilltop-Needmore Extension (Part NL) | NC 55 (Broad Street) | US 401 | 5.70 | 0 | 3 | - |
| A16 | Rock Quarry Rd. | Old Birch Road | New Hope Road | 2.00 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A172 | Kelly Road | Jenks Rd. | Old US 1 | 5.23 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A178a | Olive Chapel Road | Kelly Road | NC 55 | 1.93 | 2 | 4 |  |

Table 7 (Continued). CAMPO 2030 LRTP Study Area Projects

| Segment Identifier | Facility Name | Segment From | Segment To | Length (miles) | 2009 No. of Lanes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Future } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { of Lanes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | STIP \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A187 | Apex Peakway | NC 55 | NC 55 | 6.19 | 0 | 4 |  |
| A192 | Bells Lake Road | Ten Ten Road | Johnson Pond Road | 2.66 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A193a | Sunset Lake Road | US 401 | Hilltop-Needmore Road | 2.58 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A193b | Sunset Lake Road | Hilltop-Needmore Road | Optimist Farm Road | 2.69 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A201a | Rock Quarry Road | New Hope Road | Battle Bridge Road | 1.40 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A202 | East Garner Road | Rock Quarry Rd | Shotwell Road | 3.22 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A204 | Bethlehem Road | Smithfield Road | Grasshopper Road | 3.44 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A214 | Garner Road | Tryon Road | Rock Quarry Road | 7.16 | 2 | 3 | - |
| A218a | Old Holly Springs Apex Road | Holly Springs Road | Jessie Drive | 2.52 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A218b | Jessie Dr. (part NL) | Ten Ten Road | Old Holly Springs Road | 3.50 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A224 | Johnson Pond Road | US 401 North | Bells Lake Road | 3.52 | 2 | 3 | - |
| A403a | Hodge Road | Poole Road | US 64 | 3.15 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A41 | Kildaire Farm Road | Ten Ten Road | Kildaire Farm Connector | 1.67 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A410 | Lake Pine Drive/Old Raleigh Road | Cary Parkway | Apex Peakway | 1.70 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A42 | Penny Road | Ten Ten Road | Holly Springs Rd. | 3.05 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A426 | NC 55 (Main Street) | Holly Springs Road | Bobbitt Road | 2.96 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A427a | Avent Ferry Road | NC 55 Bypass | Cass Holt | 1.03 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A49b | Poole Road | Barwell Road | I-540 | 1.57 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A4c | Rogers Lane | US 64 | Rogers Lane NL | 1.13 | 3 | 4 | - |
| A52 | Smithfield Road | US 64 Bypass | Carrington Drive | 2.21 | 2 | 4 | - |
| A69 | Holly Springs Road | Cary Parkway | Penny Road | 2.17 | 2 | 6 | - |
| A70 | Holly Springs Road | Penny Road | Ten Ten Road | 1.14 | 2 | 6 | - |
| A71 | Holly Springs Road | Ten Ten Road | Kildaire Farm Road Connec | 1.59 | 2 | 6 | - |
| A88 | New Rand Road | NC 50 | Old Garner Road | 1.63 | 2 | 3 | U-3607 |
| F44a | I-40 (East) | 1-440 | US 70 Business (Garner) | 4.40 | 4 | 8 | l-5111 |
| F44b | 1-40 (East) | US 70 Business (Garner) | NC 42 | 6.30 | 4 | 8 | I-5111 |
| A157 | Eastern Parkway | US 401 | US 401 | 7.39 | 0 | 4 | - |
| A159 | Western Parkway (Fuquay Varina) | NC 55 | US 401 | 5.56 | 0 | 4 | - |
| A200 | Creech/Jones Sausage Connector | Creech Road | Jones Sausage Rd | 1.09 | 0 | 4 | - |
| A403b | Hodge Road Extension | US 64 | Old Milburnie Road | 1.30 | 0 | 4 | - |
| F3 | I-540 (Eastern Wake Expressway) | 1-40 (South) | US 64 Bypass | 10.80 | 0 | 6 | - |
| F5 | I-540 (Southern Wake Expressway) | NC 55 Bypass | US 401 (South) | 7.80 | 0 | 6 | - |
| F6 | I-540 (Southern Wake Expressway) | US 401 (South) | 1-40 (South) | 8.70 | 0 | 6 | - |

- Data not available.


### 3.0 2010 BASE YEAR NO-BUILD TRAFFIC FORECAST

### 3.1 Assumptions

The 2010 Base Year scenario includes a forecast of existing study area conditions using actual field collected traffic counts from 2010 and historical NCDOT AADT data. The 2010 Base Year (No Build) scenario does not include NC 540 (Triangle Expressway / Western Wake Freeway).

A 2010 Base Year Build traffic forecast is not included in this report because during the scoping process it was determined that the Intermediate Year (2012) Build forecast, which does include NC 540 (Western Wake Freeway), would be included instead of the 2010 Base Year Build scenario.

### 3.2 2010 Base Year (No-Build) Forecast Methodology

A review was conducted for all available previous traffic forecasts and recent daily and peak hour traffic counts developed for the traffic forecast study area. 16-hour and 48-hour traffic counts were converted to AADT volumes using seasonal adjustment factors provided by the NCDOT TSG. Peak hour and 16 -hour counts were used to supplement the forecasting process to help determine distributional splits at interchanges and aid in determining traffic factors where no additional information was available.

To determine interchange and intersection forecasts, AADT volumes, Directional Flow (D), and Design Hourly Volume (DHV) were input into adjustable and non-adjustable peak hour breakout spreadsheets provided by NCDOT TPB. For the 2010 Base Year forecast, balanced volumes were developed at interchanges and intersections and in between roadway segments. Forecast break lines were included along roadways where intervening roadways, developments or large distances did not allow volumes to balance between intersections.

The 2009 TRM V4 model run data was extrapolated to 2010 and shows daily assignment volumes varying (some higher and some lower) from existing count data along study area roadways. This can be attributed to a quickly changing and developing study area and very low base year volumes, which make it difficult for the regional model to completely account for all existing conditions and recent changes.

### 3.3 Determination of Base Year No-Build Design Factors

Appropriate design characteristics (Design Hourly Volume (DHV), Directional Flow (D), and Truck Percentages) were determined for the study area by reviewing relevant previous traffic forecasts, NCDOT historical AADT count station data, and existing 16-hour and 48 -hour traffic count data. Using this data, the base year ( 2010 No-Build) forecast was developed. Detailed information on how these values were calculated can be found in Table 8. Additional details in the forecast development are listed below.

Peak Hour Directional (D) factors for this forecast were determined by comparing AM and PM peak hour approach/departure volumes to daily approach departure volumes for a particular roadway segment or corridor. The forecast attempted to provide a consistent D factor along a roadway corridor.

The directional split (D) provides information on the direction of traffic flow in the peak period. Generally D is in the $55 \%$ to $65 \%$ range for most previous project forecasts. Given that Raleigh
and Research Triangle Park (RTP) are centers of gravity for regional trip-making in this region, most roadway facilities, such as I-40, I-540, US 1, US 264 and US 64, act as radials. Based on existing traffic patterns, a D of $55 \%$ to $65 \%$ was used for most facilities.

Design Hourly Volume (DHV) factors were determined by comparing approach and departure highest peak hour volumes for a particular segment to the 24 hour average AADT to approximate $\mathrm{K}_{30}$. Traffic forecasts normally report the K -value, which is the percentage of traffic that occurs during the peak period so that the DHV can in turn be estimated by multiplying K times the AADT (Pline, 1999). Typical values of $K$ fall in the 8 to 12 percent range. Since ATR stations are not available throughout the study area, the highest hourly volume from the 16-hour and 48 -hour ADT counts were used to determine the K -values. The K -values were estimated to be between 8 and 12, with lower K values generally occurring on primary routes and higher Kvalues occurring on secondary routes. DHV values are generally consistent with the fieldcollected 48 -hour and 16 -hour traffic counts, which were the raw data source from which the K estimates were made. Total inflow and outflow from intersection legs (or in both directions along a mainline) was calculated for AM and PM peak hours and then the highest value was divided by the raw daily traffic volume estimate (or actual field count) for that segment. The forecast attempted to provide a consistent DHV along a roadway corridor. In some instances, the DHV changed along the corridor to account for a change in traffic characteristics.

Truck Percentage (Duals/TTST) estimates were made for this project with the consideration that the traffic stream is not uniform in its makeup. In addition to passenger vehicles, trucks and buses are generally present on all roadways. Heavier vehicles have a disproportionately large effect on both facility capacity and pavement design. While traffic along the urban I-40 corridor in the traffic forecast study area is dominated by commuters and does not include a single large truck destination point, the I-40, US 1/64, I-440, US 64 Bypass, and US 70 corridors provide primary regional routes for heavy truck traffic. Daily truck percentages in the study area were estimated to be between 3 and 18 percent, with lower truck percentages generally occurring on lower volume secondary routes and higher truck percentages occurring on higher volume primary routes. These values are generally consistent with the field collected 16-hour and 48hour traffic counts.

Truck percentages were determined by examining 16-hour counts, 48 -hour classification data, NCDOT historic truck data from 2002 and 2005, and previous traffic forecasts mentioned in Table 4. From this data, overall truck percentages were separated into two standard classifications - Duals (single-unit trucks with at least one dual-axled tire) and TTSTs (multi-unit trucks with single and twin trailers) - and were forecasted. An attempt was made to maintain consistent truck percentages along roadway corridors except where system interchanges, roadway facility types, special roadway attractors, minor side-streets or other circumstances warranted a change in truck percentages.

Table 8 provides design hourly volume, directional split information and truck percentages.

NCDOT STIP PROJECTS R-2721, R-2828, and R-2829 Complete 540-Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension

Traffic Forecast Report (DSA 1-17)
Table 8. Design Data Information

| Roadway | Location | D - Directional Distribution \% |  |  | K - Peak Hour Factor \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A - Past Project | B Existing Count | Selected 2010 Value | A - Past Project | B Existing Count | Selected 2010 Value |
| Eastern Wake Fwy | I-40/US 70 Bypass to 264/64 Bypass | $65^{1}, 60^{6}$ | - | 55 | $10^{1}, 10^{6}$ | - | 10 |
| 1-40 | I-440 to NC 42 | $55^{1}, 65^{2}, 65^{5}$ | 60 | 60 | $9^{1}, 13^{2}, 13^{5}$ | 8 | 9 |
| NC 42 | US 401/NC 55 to US 70 Bypass | $65^{1}$ | 55-65 | 65 / 60 | $10^{1}$ | 8-10 | 10, 7 |
| NC 50 | US 70 to Cleveland School Road | $70^{1}$ | 65-75 | 65 | $10^{1}$ | 10,12 | 10 |
| NC 55/Bypass | US 1 to NC 42 | $65^{1}$ | 65 | 65 | $10^{1}$ | 10 | 10 |
| Southern Wake Fwy | NC 55 to NC 50 | $60^{1}, 60^{6}$ | - | 65 | $11^{1}, 10^{6}$ | - | 10 |
| Southern Wake Fwy | NC 50 to l-40/US 70 Bypass | $60^{1}, 60^{6}$ | - | 65 | $11^{1}, 10^{6}$ | - | 10 |
| Old Stage Rd | N / S of Southern Wake Expressway | - | 60-65 | 60 / 65 | - | 9-11 | 10 |
| Poole Road | Hodge Rd | - | 65 | 65 | - | 12 | 12 |
| Ten Ten Road | US 1 to NC 50 | $55^{1}$ | 50-60 | 60 | $10^{1}$ | 9,10 | 11 |
| Holly Springs Road | N of Kildaire Farm Road | - | 60 | 60 | - | 9 | 9 |
| OHS-Apex Road | N of Western Wake Freeway | 60 | - | 60 | 10 | - | 10 |
| Bells Lake Road | Ten Ten Rd | - | 65 | 65 | - | 12 | 12 |
| Hilltop Needmore Rd | Sunset Lake Rd to US 401 | - | 55 | 55 | - | 12 | 12 |
| Rock Quarry Road | W of Eastern Wake Expressway | - | 65 | 65 | - | 12 | 12 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd | Rock Quarry Rd | - | 55 | 55 | - | 10 | 10 |
| White Oak Road | Auburn Knightdale Rd/ White Oak Rd | - | 65 | 65 | - | 12 | 12 |
| Vandora Springs Rd | Old Stage Rd | - | 65 | 65 | - | 10 | 10 |
| US 1 | N of Triangle Expressway | 60 | - | 60 | 8 | - | 8 |
| US 64 Business | E of I-540 | - | - | 60 | - | - | 9 |
| US 64 Business | W of I-540 | ${ }^{-}$ | - | 60 | - | - | 9 |
| US 264/64 Bypass | I-440 to l-540/Eastern Wake Fwy | $65^{1}$ | 65 | 65 | $10^{1}$ | 10 | 10 |
| US 264/64 Bypass | I-540/Eastern Wake Fwy to US 64 | $65^{1}$ | 55 | 65 | $10^{1}$ | 9 | 10 |
| US 70 | 1-40/440 to I-40 | $60^{1}$ | 60 | 60 | $9^{1}$ | 9 | 9 |
| US 70 | I-40 to Guy Road | $55^{1}$ | 55 | 55 | $9^{1}$ | 9 | 9 |
| US 70 Bypass | I-40 to NC 42 | $65^{1} / 65^{4}$ | 65 | 65 | $9^{1} / 10^{4}$ | 9 | 9 |
| US 401 | N of Ten Ten Rd to NC 42/55 |  | 65 | 65 | - | 9 | 9 |
| Triangle Expressway | US 1 to NC 55 | $60^{1} / 60^{5}$ | - | 65 | $11^{1} / 9^{5}$ | - | 10 |

"-" - Data not available.

*     - Forecast design data for referenced forecasts may vary along freeway segments at interchanges and intersections. Only one design data point was referenced for the above table for the noted forecast year.
- R-2721, R-2828, R-2829 - Forecast Design Data (HNTB, 2009)

2 - I-4744 - Forecast Design Data (HNTB, 2008)
5 - R-2635 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2001)
3 - R-2000AA-AF - Using 2012 Build Toll Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2008)
5 - R-2635 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2001
6 - R-2721, R-2828, R-2829 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2000)
7 - U-3101 - Using 1998 Build Forecast Design Data (NCDOT 2000)
8 - U-4763B - Using 2030 Build Toll with McCrimmon Parkway Connector (MAB, 2007)

NCDOT STIP PROJECTS R-2721, R-2828, and R-2829 Complete 540 - Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension

Traffic Forecast Report (DSA 1-17)
Table 8 (Continued). Design Data Information

| Roadway | Location | Truck Percentage (Dual / TTST) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A - Past Project | B - Existing Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Selected } \\ 2010 \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Eastern Wake Freeway | I-40/US 70 Bypass to 264/64 Bypass | (6/9) ${ }^{1}, 16^{6}$ | - | (6/9) |
| 1-40 | I-440 to NC 42 | $(6 / 12)^{1}, 10^{2}, 10^{5}$ | (6/7) | (6/12) |
| NC 42 | US 401/NC 55 to US 70 Bypass | (4/2) ${ }^{1}$ | $(3 / 1)^{\wedge},(3 / 2)^{\wedge},(4 / 2)^{\wedge}$ | (4/3) |
| NC 50 | US 70 to Cleveland School Road | $(4 / 2)^{1}$ | $(2 / 1)^{\wedge},(3 / 1)^{\wedge}$ | (3/1) |
| NC 55/Bypass | US 1 to NC 42 | (4/7) ${ }^{1}$ | $(3 / 4)^{\wedge}$ | (4/7) |
| Southern Wake Freeway | NC 55 to NC 50 | (6/12) ${ }^{1}, 18^{6}$ | - | (6/12) |
| Southern Wake Freeway | NC 50 to I-40/US 70 Bypass | $(6 / 12)^{1}, 18^{6}$ | - | (6/12) |
| Old Stage Rd | N / S of Southern Wake Expressway | - | (1/1),(3/1),(3/2) | (2/1) |
| Poole Road | Hodge Rd | - | (3/1)^ | (3/1) |
| Ten Ten Road | US 1 to NC 50 | $(2 / 1)^{1}$ | (1/1),(3/1),(3/2) | (2/1) |
| Holly Springs Road | N of Kildaire Farm Road | - | $(2 / 1)^{\wedge}$ | (2/1) |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road | N of Western Wake Freeway | (2/1) | - | (2/1) |
| Bells Lake Road | Ten Ten Rd | - | (1/1)^ | (2/1) |
| Hilltop Needmore Rd | Sunset Lake Rd to US 401 | - | $(6,4)^{\wedge}$ | (3/2) |
| Rock Quarry Road | W of Eastern Wake Expressway | - | (2/1)^ | (3/1) |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd | Rock Quarry Rd | - | (4/2)^, (3/1) | (4/2) |
| White Oak Road | Auburn Knightdale Rd/ White Oak Rd | - | (2/1)^ | (2/1) |
| Vandora Springs Rd | Old Stage Rd | - | (3/1)^ | (3/1) |
| US 1 | N of Triangle Expressway | (6/10) | - | (6/10) |
| US 64 Business | E of I-540 | - | (5/3)^ | (5/3) |
| US 64 Business | W of I-540 | - | $(5 / 3)^{\wedge}$ | (5/3) |
| US 264/64 Bypass | I-440 to l-540/Eastern Wake Freeway | (6/9) ${ }^{1}$ | (6/9) | (6/9) |
| US 264/64 Bypass | I-540/Eastern Wake Freeway to US 64 | $(6 / 10)^{1}$ | (11/5) | (6/10) |
| US 70 | I-40/440 to I-40 | (5/2) ${ }^{1}$ | - | (5/2) |
| US 70 | I-40 to Guy Road | (5/3) ${ }^{1}$ | (7/6) | (5/3) |
| US 70 Bypass | I-40 to NC 42 | $(4 / 3)^{1}, 8^{4}$ | (4/6) | (4/3) |
| US 401 | N of Ten Ten Rd to NC 42/55 | - | $(3 / 1)^{\wedge},(3 / 2)^{\wedge}$ | (3/2) |
| Triangle Expressway | US 1 to NC 55 | (6\%/12\%) ${ }^{1}, 18 \%{ }^{5}$ | (3/1), | (6/12) |

"-" - Data not available.

*     - Forecast design data for referenced forecasts may vary along freeway segments at interchanges and intersections. Only one design data point was referenced for the above table for the noted forecast year.
- Based on 16-hr, 12-hr or peak hour TMC

1 - R-2721, R-2828, R-2829 - Forecast Design Data (HNTB, 2009)
-
3 - R-2000AA-AF - Using 2012 Build Toll Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2008)
5 - R-2635 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2001)

4 - R-2552 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 1999)

5 - R-2635 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2001)
6 - R-2721, R-2828, R-2829 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2000)
6 - R-2721, R-2828, R-2829 - Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2000)
7 - U-3101 - Using 1998 Build Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2000)
7 - U-3101 - Using 1998 Build Forecast Design Data (NCDOT, 2000)
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### 3.4 2010 Base Year (No-Build) Forecast Results

Based on the methodology described in the previous section, a 2010 Base Year (No-Build) forecast was completed.

The 2010 Base Year (No-Build) traffic forecast is shown in Figures 11-1 through 11-7. Table 9 provides 2010 TRM V4 volumes, adjusted count data, 2010 NCDOT historic AADT trend line estimates, and 2010 No Build forecast volumes. Due to the large size of the study area, Table 9 shows only selected locations of interest.

Table 9. 2010 Base Year No-Build Forecast Traffic Volumes

| Roadway | Location | 2010 <br> TRM V4 | Count Data | 2010 NCDOT Linear Regression* | $2010$ <br> Forecast Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-40 | from Exit 306 (US 70) to US 70 Bypass/Southeast Extension | 94,900 | - | 82,000 | 75,100 |
| NC 42 | E of I-40 | 20,800 | - | 14,800 | 25,900 |
| NC 50 | S of Timber Dr | 19,800 | - | 17,900 | 19,500 |
| NC 50 | S of Ten-Ten Road | 19,200 | 15,200 ${ }^{2}$ | 18,000 | 15,200 |
| NC 55 | from Old Smithfield Road to Triangle Expressway | 31,700 | 28,200 ${ }^{1}$ | 30,800 | 29,900 |
| Old Stage Road | S of Vandora Springs Rd | 15,200 | 15,800 ${ }^{3}$ | 16,900 | 14,800 |
| Old Stage Road | N of Banks Rd | 10,900 | 10,800 ${ }^{1}$ | 10,600 | 7,900 |
| Old Stage Road | S of Norman Blalock Rd | 6,200 | 4,400 ${ }^{4}$ | - | 4,000 |
| Poole Road | E of Hodge Rd | 13,600 | 7,900 ${ }^{1}$ | 10,500 | 7,900 |
| Ten-Ten Road | E of Bells Lake Road | 7,800 | 12,600 ${ }^{1}$ | 13,300 | 13,300 |
| Ten-Ten Road | E of US 401 | 18,700 | 14,700 ${ }^{3}$ | 16,000 | 12,800 |
| Ten-Ten Road | W of NC 50 | 11,100 | 7,500 ${ }^{3}$ | 6,500 | 6,700 |
| Holly Springs Road | N of Kildaire Farm Road | 10,600 | 8,900 ${ }^{1}$ | - | 9,000 |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road | N of Triangle Expressway | 10,200 | - | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| Bells Lake Road | S of Ten-Ten Rd | 8,500 | - | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| Hilltop Needmore Road | E of Old Mills Rd | 6,500 | 4,200 ${ }^{4}$ | - | 3,500 |
| Rock Quarry Road | W of Southeast Extension | 11,800 | 4,000 ${ }^{1}$ | 3,800 | 3,700 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd | N of Rock Quarry Rd | 9,700 | 2,700 ${ }^{1}$ | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| White Oak Rd | E of Raynor Rd | 13,900 | 7,600 ${ }^{1}$ | - | 7,600 |
| Vandora Springs Rd | E of Old Stage Rd | 8,400 | 7,600 ${ }^{3}$ | 8,700 | 6,900 |
| US 1 | N of Triangle Expressway | 32,800 | 21,700 ${ }^{2}$ | 20,400 | 21,700 |
| US 401 | N of Ten-Ten Rd | 35,100 | $33,100{ }^{3}$ | 31,700 | 33,300 |
| US 401 | N of Donny Brook Rd | 34,800 | 32,300 ${ }^{1}$ | 34,900 | 33,000 |
| US 401 | S of Dwight Rowland Rd | 26,900 | 22,800 ${ }^{4}$ | - | 21,200 |
| US 64 Business | E of I-540 | 32,900 | 34,900 ${ }^{2}$ | - | 34,900 |
| US 64 Business | W of I-540 | 21,700 | 31,700 ${ }^{2}$ | 37,800 | 31,800 |
| US 64/264 | from Hodge Road to I-540 / SE Extension | 81,100 | - | 59,000 | 59,000 |
| US 64/264 | from l-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Rd | 79,000 | ${ }^{-}$ | 68,300 | 68,300 |
| US 70 | W of SE Extension | 35,500 | 30,800 ${ }^{1}$ | - | 30,800 |
| US 70 | E of l-40 | 42,600 | - | 48,000 | 34,000 |
| US 70 Bypass | E of I-40 | 29,000 | - | 24,000 | 23,000 |

$1-2009$ count data, $2-2010$ count data, $3-2012$ count data, $4-2013$ count data, "-" - Data not available.

* 2010 values obtained from AADT Historic Line Extrapolation using linear regression based on historical NCDOT AADTs from 1991-2009.


### 4.0 GENERAL MODEL DATA

The TRM is used by CAMPO and DCHC-MPO for evaluation of travel demand and air quality modeling for air conformity in the Triangle Region. The model has been developed in collaboration with NCDOT, the North Carolina State University Institute for Transportation Research and Education (ITRE), and the MPOs.

### 4.1 Model Information

The TRM V4-2008 was the official approved travel demand model used by the MPOs, Triangle Transit, and NCDOT in all plan evaluations and studies in the Triangle area at the beginning of this forecasting document and previous project forecasting efforts. Travel demand models are continually updated over time for various reasons. After the beginning of this project forecasting process, newer versions of the TRM (TRM Version 4-2009 and Version 5-2010) were officially adopted.

Due to the project forecast timeframe beginning in 2008, the TRM V4-2008 has previously been used throughout the Southeast Extension project process, including all of the following forecasts and reports:

- Southern and Eastern Wake Freeway Final Traffic Forecast Report (HNTB, February 2009)
- Southern and Eastern Wake Expressway Draft Upgrade Existing and Hybrid Alternatives Report (HNTB, January 2010)
- Southeast Extension - First Tier Screening Traffic Memorandum (HNTB, May 2011)
- Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension Final Traffic Forecast Technical Memorandum, (HNTB, June 2012) [Superseded by this document]

HNTB used the TRM V4-2008 obtained from ITRE on October 14, 2009 in the development of the traffic forecast volumes in this report. The TRM V4-2009 and TRM V5-2010 were reviewed, compared and considered in the methodology and forecast development as related to socioeconomic data, highway network, and model validation in the project corridor. While the TRM V4-2009 and TRM V5-2010 model version releases and corresponding output results were considered in the forecast, the TRM V4-2008 output is specifically referenced in the report tables.

The TRM V4-2008 has defined 2005 base and 2035 future year networks that were analyzed for the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension traffic forecasts. The 2035 future network considers all fiscally constrained projects contained in the CAMPO and DCHC MPO 2030 LRTPs (dated September 15, 2004). Figure 3 shows the location of all fiscally constrained projects in the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension traffic forecast study area. Appendix B includes plots of the TRM V4-2008 networks used in the development of the 2010, 2012, and 2035 forecasts.

HNTB developed a Triangle Regional Toll Diversion Model in December 2010 and applied these toll diversion curves/model to the TRM V4-2008 in an effort to more accurately assess tolling behavior in the region. With the Toll Diversion Model, the TRM V4-2008 is a toll-capable tool that is useful in projecting future traffic as well as the changes in travel patterns of new facilities. The model was used to evaluate the construction of the project as a toll facility. Documentation of the toll diversion modeling procedures can be found in Appendix $\boldsymbol{D}$.

## Land Use Assumptions

Current land use in the traffic forecast study area is a mixture of urban and suburban commercial/residential development. Some interchanges in the traffic forecast study area feature dense "urban" development, while others have little to no existing development on more than one quadrant of the interchange. Land use information from the TRM V4 was used as one criterion in determining study area growth between the 2010, 2012 and 2035 forecast years. The TRM uses specifically delineated TAZs as areas where trips are generated or attracted, based on existing and projected population and employment data. Figure 6 shows locations of TAZs from the TRM V4 in the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension traffic forecast study area and Table 10, below, shows 2005 base year population and employment data from these TAZs and the entire TRM V4 region.

Table 10. TRM V4 2005 Base Year TAZ Data

| TAZ Statistic | Study Area | TRM V4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Employment | 10,753 | 591,389 |
| Special Generator Employment | 400 | 87,824 |
| Households | 18,693 | 505,857 |
| Population | 51,797 | $1,149,114$ |
| Dwelling Units | 20,513 | 498,562 |

Source: TRM V4-2008 Socio-Economic Data

### 4.2 Model Validation

Table 11 provides a listing of key study area segments that are included in the TRM V4 and comparable recent AADT information that provide validation for the use of the TRM V4 in project forecasting methodologies used in this report. The TRM V4 uses a 2010 base year for model calibration. The 2010 base year was used as the calibration year because major roadway network changes have occurred in the study area since the 2005 model year. Using 2005 as the calibration year would not provide as accurate a representation of existing and future year model calibration.
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Table 11. Model Validation

| Roadway | Key Location | Model Calibration 2010 |  | Forecast Volume | Historic Growth Rate+ | 2012 No-Build Volumes |  |  | 2035 No-Build Volumes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AADT* | Model | 2010 |  | Extrapolate | Model | Forecast** | Extrapolate | Model | Forecast ** |
| I-40 | from Exit 306 (US 70) <br> to US 70 <br> Bypass/Southeast Extension | 75,000 | 94,900 | 75,100 | 10.3\% | 96,000 | 95,000 | 75,800 | 257,000 | 138,600 | 108,900 |
| NC 42 | E of I-40 | 15,000 | 20,800 | 25,900 | -- | 16,400 | 22,700 | 26,400 | 14,800 | 26,300 | 31,000 |
| NC 50 | S of Timber Dr | -- | 19,800 | 19,500 | -- | 18,800 | 21,100 | 20,800 | 19,100 | 29,100 | 28,700 |
| NC 50 | S of Ten-Ten Road | -- | 19,200 | 15,200 | 7.4\% | 20,000 | 21,600 | 17,100 | 43,000 | 25,400 | 19,600 |
| NC 55 | from Old Smithfield Road to Triangle Expressway | 26,000 | 31,700 | 29,900 | -- | 35,300 | 40,700 | 39,600 | 87,000 | 50,600 | 51,300 |
| Old Stage Road | S of Vandora Springs Road | 16,000 | 15,200 | 14,800 | 3.9\% | 17,400 | 16,200 | 15,800 | 23,700 | 42,500 | 41,400 |
| Old Stage Road | N of Banks Road | -- | 10,900 | 7,900 | -- | 11,100 | 11,800 | 8,500 | 16,700 | 25,200 | 18,200 |
| Old Stage Road | S of Norman Blalock Road | -- | 6,200 | 4,000 | -- | -- | 6,600 | 4,300 | -- | 13,900 | 9,100 |
| Poole Road | E of Hodge Road | 8,600 | 13,600 | 7,900 | 0.3\% | 10,600 | 15,500 | 9,000 | 11,500 | 46,600 | 27,100 |
| Ten-Ten Road | E of Bells Lake Road | 12,000 | 7,800 | 13,300 | -- | 14,000 | 10,100 | 17,200 | 22,400 | 19,200 | 32,700 |
| Ten-Ten Road | E of US 401 | 15,000 | 18,700 | 12,800 | 5.6\% | 16,700 | 21,500 | 14,700 | 24,700 | 27,700 | 18,900 |
| Ten-Ten Road | W of NC 50 | 6,100 | 11,100 | 6,700 | 1.7\% | 6,700 | 12,500 | 7,500 | 9,000 | 17,500 | 10,500 |
| Holly Springs Road | N of Kildaire Farm Road | -- | 10,600 | 9,000 | -- | -- | 10,800 | 9,100 | -- | 28,300 | 23,800 |
| Old Holly SpringsApex Road | N of Triangle Expressway | 1,800 | 10,200 | 1,900 | -- | 2,100 | 10,100 | 10,100 | 4,600 | 29,800 | 29,800 |
| Bells Lake Road | S of Ten-Ten Road | 4,300 | 8,500 | 4,500 | -- | 4,700 | 9,200 | 4,900 | 8,000 | 30,400 | 13,200 |
| Hilltop Needmore Road | E of Old Mills Road | -- | 6,500 | 3,500 | -- | -- | 7,400 | 3,900 | -- | 13,800 | 7,300 |
| Rock Quarry Road | W of Southeast Extension | 4,000 | 11,800 | 3,700 | -- | 3,300 | 13,700 | 4,300 | -1,900 | 30,800 | 10,000 |
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Table 11 (Continued). Model Validation

| Roadway | Key Location | ModelCalibration2010 |  | Forecast Volume | Historic Growth Rate+ | 2012 No-Build Volumes |  |  | 2035 No-Build Volumes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AADT* | Model | BY 2010 |  | Extrapolate | Model | Forecast** | Extrapolate | Model | Forecast ** |
| Auburn Knightdale Road | N of Rock Quarry Road | 2,900 | 9,700 | 2,600 | -3.5\% | 2,400 | 12,600 | 3,400 | -600 | 28,000 | 7,600 |
| White Oak Road | E of Raynor Road | -- | 13,900 | 7,600 | -- | -- | 15,700 | 8,600 | -- | 31,400 | 17,200 |
| Vandora Springs Road | E of Old Stage Road | 7,900 | 8,400 | 6,900 | 4.3\% | 9,200 | 9,300 | 7,600 | 14,600 | 27,400 | 22,400 |
| US 1 | N of Triangle Expressway | 18,000 | 32,800 | 21,700 | -- | 21,800 | 37,300 | 24,700 | 38,000 | 66,600 | 44,100 |
| US 401 | N of Ten-Ten Road | 31,000 | 35,100 | 33,300 | 0.0\% | 31,700 | 37,100 | 35,200 | 31,700 | 62,300 | 59,600 |
| US 401 | N of Donny Brook Road | 33,000 | 34,800 | 33,000 | -- | 36,400 | 38,100 | 36,100 | 54,500 | 64,200 | 60,800 |
| US 401 | S of Dwight Rowland Road | -- | 26,900 | 21,200 | -- | -- | 28,200 | 22,300 | -- | 45,200 | 35,700 |
| US 64 Business | E of I-540 | -- | 32,900 | 34,900 | -- | -- | 36,600 | 38,600 | -- | 48,900 | 56,300 |
| US 64 Business | W of I-540 | 30,000 | 21,700 | 31,800 | -- | 36,700 | 23,900 | 35,100 | 24,000 | 37,600 | 50,600 |
| US 64/264 | from Hodge Road to I540 / SE Extension | 58,000 | 81,100 | 59,000 | 1.8\% | 61,000 | 88,500 | 65,700 | 84,000 | 130,700 | 98,200 |
| US 64/264 | from l-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Road | 60,000 | 79,000 | 68,300 | 10.0\% | 77,800 | 88,600 | 76,100 | 187,000 | 137,400 | 116,900 |
| US 70 | W of SE Extension | 34,000 | 35,500 | 30,800 | -- | -- | 36,900 | 32,000 | -- | 50,200 | 43,500 |
| US 70 | E of l-40 | 34,000 | 42,600 | 34,000 | -- | 49,400 | 44,700 | 35,700 | 64,900 | 54,000 | 53,000 |
| US 70 Bypass | E of l-40 | 23,000 | 29,000 | 23,000 | -- | 26,000 | 28,800 | 25,400 | 49,000 | 45,600 | 40,200 |
| Triangle Expressway | From Old Holly Springs to NC 55 | -- | 18,500 | -- | -- | -- | 19,800 | 19,800 | -- | 34,800 | 34,800 |

*     - 2010 AADT Data Not Available - Results are for 2009 AADT
** - IY and FY Forecast Results Taken from Data in Sections 5.0 Through 8.0
+     - Historic Growth Rate = Average Annual Growth Rate From Available 1990-2010 AADT Data
"--" - Data not available


### 5.02012 INTERMEDIATE YEAR NO-BUILD TRAFFIC FORECAST

The 2012 Intermediate Year No-Build forecast uses extrapolations of historic AADT data in the study area, 2012 intermediate year TRM V4 model data developed by HNTB, and comparisons/adjustments from the 2010 Base Year field data counts as they applied to the historic and model information.

### 5.1 Assumptions

Future land use in the traffic forecast study area is projected to remain a mixture of rural and suburban commercial/residential development, with higher development intensities in many of the TAZs employed in the TRM V4. Table 12 shows population and employment data from study area TAZs and the entire regional model from the 2005 base year and 2012 model and the percentage change in socio-economic data expected between those years. This information was compared to the growth in traffic assignments for the traffic forecast study area to check for consistency. TRM V4-2008 TAZ socio-economic data can be found in Appendix E.

Table 12. 2005-2012 TRM TAZ Data Comparison

| TAZ Statistic | 2005 |  | 2012 * |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Increase } \\ & \text { 2005-2012 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Study Area | TRM | Study Area | TRM | Study Area | TRM |
| Employment | 10.8 | 591.4 | 21.1 | 809.8 | 96.6\% | 36.9\% |
| Special Generator Employment | 0.4 | 87.8 | 0.4 | 94.1 | 6.0\% | 7.2\% |
| Households | 18.7 | 505.9 | 29.5 | 551.7 | 57.6\% | 9.0\% |
| Population | 51.8 | 1,149.1 | 81.3 | 1,306.1 | 57.0\% | 13.7\% |
| Dwelling Units | 20.5 | 498.6 | 32.1 | 609.2 | 56.6\% | 22.2\% |

All Study Area and TRM values shown in thousands (1000s)
*- 2012 TAZ data interpolated from 2005 to 2035

### 5.2 Fiscal Constraint

The 2012 Intermediate Year No Build forecast considers all fiscally-constrained projects scheduled for completion by 2012 in the CAMPO / DCHC MPO 2030 LRTP (dated September 15, 2004). The roadway projects listed in the NCDOT's 2009-2015 STIP and CAMPO / DCHC MPO 2030 LRTPs were included in the model used to develop the 2012 traffic forecasts and is reflected in changes to travel patterns/daily traffic assignments in the traffic forecast study area. In addition to changes in the TAZ socio-economic data between the 2005 and 2012 models, all fiscally constrained projects from the 2030 LRTPs were added from the base network. The laneage used in the 2012 TRM V4 for major roadways within the study area is listed in Table 13.
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Table 13. 2012 TRM V4 Major Model Transportation Network Laneage

| Study Area Roadway | 2012 Model |
| :--- | :---: |
| Triangle Expressway | Six-Lane Freeway |
| Triangle Expressway <br> Southeast Extension | N/A |
| I-40 | Four/Six-Lane Freeway |
| I-540 | Six-Lane Freeway |
| US 64/264 | Six-Lane Freeway |
| US 1 | Four-Lane Freeway |
| US 401 | Four-Lane Divided Arterial |
| US 70 | Four-Lane Freeway |

### 5.3 Development Activity

No specific major anticipated developments in the project study area were included in the development of the 2012 Intermediate Year No-Build forecast. Changes in land use intensity are reflected in interpolated changes in the study area (and regional) TAZ socio-economic data found in the TRM V4.

### 5.4 Methodology

The methodology used to develop the 2012 No-Build forecast is based on the TRM V4 and comparisons with model results, model growth rates on specific network links, historic traffic data extrapolations and comparisons with existing traffic count data. The 2012 No-Build forecast volumes were developed by applying historical AADT growth rates or 2010 to 2012 TRM V4 annual growth rates to 2010 Base Year forecast volumes. 2012 intermediate year TRM V4 model data was developed from the 2010 model by straight-line interpolating socioeconomic data inputs to year 2012, modifying the network as necessary, processing the model and extracting raw model output for use in the forecast development. Bidirectional turning volumes were grown at appropriate rates to reach intermediate year volumes. They were then adjusted to balance with mainline volumes.

In certain instances, historical or model growth rates along facilities were averaged, based on engineering judgment, for select segments along individual facilities (i.e. I-40, US 64/264, US 64 Business and I-540) to provide consistent growth along each corridor and provide reasonable and balanced mainline and turning movement volumes. The 2012 No-Build forecast volumes were adjusted as necessary based on a review of all available data, the study area roadway network and engineering judgment.

The 2012 TRM V4 volumes and those derived from linear regression are very similar in most locations throughout the forecast. Many of the discrepancies can be attributed to changes in the highway network that would have a large impact on travel patterns (i.e., opening of the Triangle Expressway and I-40 widening from Wade Avenue to US 1/64). Most discrepancies occur on lesser facilities that have development potential along them.

## Model Growth Rates

One of the primary functions of the 2012 model for this forecasting effort was to serve as a basis for determining model growth rates between 2010 Base Year and 2012 Intermediate Year daily forecast estimates. Data from the 2010 and 2012 No-Build models were compared and annual
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growth rates were calculated for each link in the study area network using an exponential growth rate method (Future = Base $\left.(1+r a t e)^{n}\right)$. The resulting growth rates were applied to the 2010 Base Year forecast AADTs to calculate 2012 unadjusted AADTs. This data was checked for reasonable growth assumptions. Several adjacent links are not expected to have similar growth patterns if 2012 year data a) did not match a relatively constant growth rate between the 2010 and 2012 model volumes, or b) was likely to increase by a different rate due to changes between the 2010 and 2012 models due to construction of new facilities in the traffic forecast study area.

Due to the changing nature of the study area, certain roadways produced growth rate data that resulted in inconsistent projections using this method. Inconsistencies in growth rate projections were addressed in these areas by using assignment data from the TRM V4 model or adjusted by engineering judgment. Model growth rates on select study area roadways are shown in Table 14. Table 14 also provides a comparison of 2012 TRM V4 daily model assignment data to 2010 Base Year and 2012 Intermediate Year No-Build forecast data.

### 5.5 Design Factors

Forecast design characteristics (D, DHV, truck percentages) were determined to remain unchanged from the 2010 Base Year, based on a review of relevant TRM data, roadway network changes, future land use growth and engineering judgment. No data collected for this forecast suggests that major changes are expected in the study area for peak hour directional flow changes, changes in percentage of daily traffic expected in the peak hour, or changes to truck percentages along freeway facilities (Triangle Expressway, I-40, US 1, US 64/264, US 70 Bypass) or surface street facilities.

### 5.6 2012 No-Build Forecast Results

The 2012 No-Build traffic forecast is shown in Figures 12-1 through 12-7. Table 14 provides 2012 TRM V4 No-Build volumes, historic, model and applied growth rates and 2012 Intermediate Year No-Build forecast volumes for selected locations.

The applied growth rate along Old Holly Springs-Apex Road is much greater than the historic and model growth rates because the 2010 No-Build forecast volume was based on historic AADT volumes for Old Holly Springs-Apex Road (see Table 9), while the 2012 No-Build forecast volume is primarily based on 2012 TRM V4 volumes. The 2012 TRM V4 volumes were used for the 2012 No-Build forecast at this location because they better reflect traffic assignment changes due to an interchange on the Triangle Expressway at Old Holly SpringsApex Road and future development near the interchange.

Linear regression data does not provide close correlation to the selected 2012 forecast AADTs for all roadways. The regression data in inconsistent in many locations, since historic data is limited in the area recently opened roadway networks will alter future traffic volumes on existing facilities.
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Table 14. 2012 No-Build Forecast Traffic Volumes

| Location | Forecast (2010 NB) Volume | Historic Growth Rate | Model Growth Rate | Applied Rate | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { AADT* } \end{gathered}$ | 2012 NB Volumes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1990-2010 | 2010-2012 |  |  | Model | Forecast |
| I-40 from Exit 306 (US 70) to US 70 Byp/SE Ext | 75,100 | 8.2\% | 0.05\% | 0.47\% | 77,000^ | 95,000 | 75,800 |
| NC 42 - E of I-40 | 25,900 | 5.3\% | 4.47\% | 0.96\% | 19,000^ | 22,700 | 26,400 |
| NC $50-\mathrm{S}$ of Timber Drive | 19,500 | 0.3\% | 3.23\% | 3.28\% | 16,000 | 21,100 | 20,800 |
| NC $50-\mathrm{S}$ of Ten-Ten Road | 15,200 | 5.4\% | 6.07\% | 6.07\% | 14,000 | 21,600 | 17,100 |
| NC 55 - from Old Smithfield Road to Triangle Expressway | 29,900 | 6.1\% | 13.31\% | 13.33\% | 25,000 | 40,700 | 39,600 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Vandora Springs Rd | 14,800 | 1.5\% | 3.24\% | 3.32\% | 16,000 | 16,200 | 15,800 |
| Old Stage Road - N of Banks Rd | 7,900 | 2.3\% | 4.05\% | 3.73\% | 9,400 | 11,800 | 8,500 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Norman Blalock Rd | 4,000 | - | 3.18\% | 3.68\% | 3,700 | 6,600 | 4,300 |
| Poole Road - E of Hodge Rd | 7,900 | 0.5\% | 6.76\% | 6.74\% | 7,100 | 15,500 | 9,000 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of Bells Lake Road | 13,300 | 2.6\% | 13.79\% | 13.72\% | 12,000 | 10,100 | 17,200 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of US 401 | 12,800 | - | 7.23\% | 7.17\% | 15,000 | 21,500 | 14,700 |
| Ten-Ten Road - W of NC 50 | 6,700 | - | 6.12\% | 5.80\% | 6,400 | 12,500 | 7,500 |
| Holly Springs Road - N of Kildaire Farm Road | 9,000 | - | 0.94\% | 1.12\% | - | 10,800 | 9,100 |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road - N of Triangle Expressway | 1,900 | 5.1\% | -0.49\% | 130.56\% | 2,500 | 10,100 | 10,100 |
| Bells Lake Road - S of Ten-Ten Rd | 4,500 | 2.2\% | 4.04\% | 4.35\% | - | 9,200 | 4,900 |
| Hilltop Needmore Road - E of Old Mills Rd | 3,500 | - | 6.70\% | 5.56\% | - | 7,400 | 4,000 |
| Rock Quarry Road - W of Southeast Extension | 3,700 | -1.4\% | 7.75\% | 7.42\% | 3,900 | 13,700 | 4,300 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd - N of Rock Quarry Rd | 2,600 | -3.9\% | 13.97\% | 14.35\% | 2,900 | 12,600 | 3,400 |
| White Oak Road - E of Raynor Rd | 7,600 | - | 6.28\% | 6.38\% | - | 15,700 | 8,600 |
| Vandora Springs Rd - E of Old Stage Rd | 6,900 | 2.8\% | 5.22\% | 4.95\% | 7,800 | 9,300 | 7,600 |
| US 1-N of Triangle Expressway | 21,700 | 3.4\% | 6.64\% | 6.69\% | 17,000 | 37,300 | 24,700 |
| US 401-N of Ten-Ten Rd | 33,300 | 0.0\% | 2.81\% | 2.83\% | 31,000 | 37,100 | 35,200 |
| US 401-N of Donny Brook Rd | 33,000 | 2.1\% | 4.63\% | 4.59\% | 32,000 | 38,100 | 36,100 |
| US 401-S of Dwight Rowland Rd | 21,200 | - | 2.39\% | 2.56\% | - | 28,200 | 22,400 |
| US 64 Business - E of I-540 | 34,900 | - | 5.47\% | 5.17\% | - | 36,600 | 38,600 |
| US 64 Business - W of I-540 | 31,800 | -1.5\% | 4.95\% | 5.23\% | 28,000 | 23,900 | 35,100 |
| US 64/264 - from Hodge Road to I-540 / SE Extension | 59,000 | 1.7\% | 4.46\% | 5.53\% | 62,000 | 88,500 | 65,700 |
| US 64/264 - from I-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Rd | 68,300 | 6.7\% | 5.90\% | 5.56\% | 63,000 | 88,600 | 76,100 |
| US $70-\mathrm{W}$ of SE Extension | 30,800 | - | 1.95\% | 1.93\% | 35,000 | 36,900 | 32,000 |
| US $70-\mathrm{E}$ of I-40 | 34,000 | 1.4\% | 2.44\% | 2.47\% | 35,000 | 44,700 | 35,700 |
| US 70 Bypass - E of I-40 | 23,000 | 4.1\% | 4.38\% | 4.47\% | 25,000^ | 28,800 | 25,400 |
| Triangle Expressway - From Old Holly Springs to NC 55 | - | - | 3.45\% | 3.45\% | - | 19,800 | 19,800 |
| "-" - Data not available. <br> GR Methodology $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{B}(1+r)^{n}$ <br> 2012 AADT's not available at most locations ${ }^{\wedge} 2012$ AADT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.02012 INTERMEDIATE YEAR BUILD TRAFFIC FORECAST

### 6.1 Assumptions

The land use and transportation network assumptions, fiscal constraints, and development activity for the 2012 Intermediate Year Build forecast are consistent with those stated in the 2012 Intermediate Year No-Build forecast (Section 5.0). The 2012 Intermediate Year Build forecast includes the completion of the Southeast Extension, in addition to the Triangle Expressway (assumed complete in the 2012 No-Build forecast.

For all DSAs, some existing roadways are proposed for relocation. The following facilities were relocated in the 2012 Build scenarios and forecast volumes were adjusted accordingly to account for the redistribution in future traffic volumes:

- Kildaire Farm Road; relocated north of Southeast Extension on Holly Springs Road opposite Sancroft Drive.
- Donny Brook Road; relocated south on US 401 opposite Wake Tech Main Entrance.
- Old McCullers Road; relocated south to connect to Wake Tech internal roadway.
- Raynor Road and Cascade Drive; relocated west on White Oak Road to align opposite each other.
- Old Baucom Road; realigned east on Rock Quarry Road.


### 6.2 Methodology

For the 2012 Build forecast, models including the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension were developed for 2012 DSAs 1 - 17 Build conditions. The 2012 Build model runs for each DSA were then compared to the 2012 No-Build model run results to determine 2012 NoBuild/2012 Build growth rates. These growth rates were then applied to the 2012 No-Build forecast data to produce estimates of 2012 Build forecast daily traffic for facilities existing in the No-Build model network.

Five different model runs (DSA 1, 2, 13 \& 14, DSA 3, 4, 15, \& 16, DSA 5 \& 17, DSA 6 \& 7, and DSA $8 \& 9$ ) were performed to account for the DSAs in the 2012 build scenario. Minimal model volume differences between the DSA 1, 2, 13 \& 14 model run and the DSA 3, 4, $15 \& 16$ and DSA 5 \& 17 model runs led HNTB to use the same traffic forecast volumes for all facilities west of I-40 for these DSAs. Similarly, DSA 10-12 volumes for facilities west of l-40 were set equal to the DSA $8-9$ volumes. Certain interchange and intersection locations at and east of I-40 have the same forecast volumes in all DSAs. Different forecast volumes were assigned at interchange and intersection locations in the eastern portion of the DSAs where model assignment volume differences warranted.

As in the 2012 No-Build forecast, adjustments to the model growth rate methodology were necessary in certain areas of the network to produce reasonable and balanced daily traffic volume estimates. Any adjustments made for the 2012 No-Build traffic forecasts with regard to incorporating actual 2012 model data were applied consistently to the 2012 Build DSA forecasts. Once the growth rates and adjustments were applied to 2012 Build segments, bidirectional turning movement volumes were then adjusted throughout the study area to account for change in traffic volumes and patterns between the 2012 No-Build and Build forecasts.

Daily directional traffic assignments indicate some traffic reassignment patterns from the 2012 NoBuild, due to constructing the Southeast Extension. These patterns generally indicate slight

NCDOT STIP PROJECTS R-2721, R-2828, and R-2829
Complete 540 - Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension
Traffic Forecast Report (DSA 1-17)
increases in -Y- line traffic volumes at Southeast Extension interchanges and a reduction in traffic along parallel facilities. The Southeast Extension also provides some traffic reduction for major arterials such as I-40 and US 264. The project also redistributes local traffic at each interchange. Local traffic shifts represented in the 2012 Build model are characterized by traffic shifting onto Southeast Extension and a corresponding slight decrease in traffic on parallel facilities. Both system-wide and local traffic shifts additively exhibit large-scale changes in traffic patterns in the 2012 model network. The largest percent volume changes in daily assignments generally occur along I-40, Ten Ten Road and Auburn-Knightdale Road, which will be parallel facilities to the Southeast Extension.

## TRM V4 Model Assignments

The approved model used by the CAMPO and DHCHMPs provided a basis for the development of growth rates on study area roadways. On certain links in the study area, the TRM V4 daily traffic assignments do not provide daily assignment data that is consistent with existing or anticipated travel patterns. For the DSA corridors, TRM V4 daily traffic assignments are generally very similar for most roadway segments. These areas and relevant descriptions of observations are described in Table 15.

Table 15. 2012 TRM V4 Model Assignment Discrepancies

| Area in the TRM | Discussion |
| :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Extension from NC 55 to Holly Springs Road | Daily link volume assignments show a large amount of traffic exiting the Southeast Extension and taking Sunset Lake Road and NC 55 as toll-free shortcuts before rejoining the Southeast Extension west of NC 55 and east of Holly Springs Road. This was an unrealistic travel pattern, based on engineering judgment, and volumes in the traffic forecast were adjusted to account for the TRM's assignment behavior in this area. |
| DSAs 1, 2, 13 and 14 highway network coding | The Orange and Green Corridors (DSA 1) are the alignments coded into the official highway network of the TRM. It was determined through the evaluation of TRM volumes that this network would also apply to the Mint Corridor used in DSAs 2 and 14 and the Lilac Corridor used in DSAs 13 and 14, due to no interchange relocations and very similar TRM output. |
| DSAs 3, 4, 15, and 16 highway network coding | DSAs 3 and 4 required edits to the TRM highway network on the eastern section of the Southeast Extension. The project's interchanges with White Oak Road and Auburn-Knightdale Road were left unchanged for modeling purposes. The US 70 Business interchange was relocated east of Guy Road. The Old Baucom Road interchange was relocated from its original location on Rock Quarry Road in the official TRM network. All network attributes remained unchanged from the official highway network. It was determined through the evaluation of TRM volumes that this network would also apply to the Lilac Corridor used in DSAs 15 and 16 due to no interchange relocations and very similar TRM output. |
| DSA 5 and 17 highway network coding | DSA 5 required edits to the TRM highway network on the eastern section of the Southeast Extension. The project's interchanges with White Oak Road, US 70 Business, and Auburn-Knightdale Road were left unchanged for modeling purposes. The Old Baucom Road interchange was relocated from its original location on Rock Quarry Road in the official TRM network. All network attributes remained unchanged from the official highway network. It was determined through the evaluation of TRM volumes that this network would also apply to the Lilac Corridor used in DSA 17 due to no interchange relocations and very similar TRM output. |

Table 15 (Continued). 2012 TRM V4 Model Assignment Discrepancies

| Area in the TRM | Discussion |
| :---: | :---: |
| DSAs 6 and 7 highway network coding | DSA 6 (Red Corridor) required edits to the TRM highway network on both the southern and eastern section of the Southeast Extension. The project's interchanges with Holly Springs Road, Bells Lake Road and Auburn-Knightdale Road were left unchanged for modeling purposes. The US 401 interchange was relocated north of Ten Ten Road. The Old Stage Road interchange was relocated south of Vandora Springs Road. The NC 50 interchange was relocated south of Timber Drive. The interchange with l-40 was moved between Exit 306 (US 70 Business) and Exit 309 (US 70 Bypass) on I-40. The interchanges with White Oak Road and US 70 Business were removed. The Rock Quarry Road interchange remained in the same location, but Rock Quarry Road was extended south to intersect with US 70 Business. All network attributes remained unchanged from the official highway network. It was determined through the evaluation of TRM volumes that this network would also apply to the Mint Corridor used in DSA 7 due to no interchange relocations and very similar TRM output. |
| DSAs 8-9 highway network coding | DSA 8 (Purple-Blue-Lilac Corridor) required edits to the TRM network on the southern section of the Southeast Extension. The project's interchanges with Holly Springs Road and NC 50 were left unchanged for modeling purposes. The Hilltop-Needmore Road interchange was relocated from its original location on Bells Lake Road in the official TRM network. The US 401 interchange was relocated south of Dwight Rowland Road. The Old Stage Road interchange was relocated south of Norman Blalock Road. All network attributes remained unchanged from the official highway network. It was determined through the evaluation of TRM volumes that this network would also apply to the Mint Corridor used in DSA 9 due to no interchange relocations and very similar TRM output. |

Appendix Fincludes a chart of 2012 DSA Southeast Extension forecast volumes, a summary table of data used to aid in determining 2012 study area forecast volumes, and raw model output comparisons of the TRM V4-2008 and TRM V4-2009.

### 6.3 Design Factors

All available information was evaluated, along with engineering judgment, to determine the 2012 Build forecast. All other forecast characteristics (D, DHV, truck percentages) were determined to remain unchanged based on a review of relevant TRM data, roadway network changes, future land use growth and engineering judgment.

The proposed Western Wake Freeway and Southeast Extension are new location roadway facilities that require design data not produced in the 2010 Base Year and/or 2012 Intermediate Year No-Build scenarios. The design data for these roadway segments were generated by comparing previous traffic forecasts, comparing traffic characteristics of similar nearby/parallel facilities, examining existing $1-540$ and NC 540 traffic data, reviewing the TRM data and producing traffic factors reasonable to the study area characteristics based on engineering judgment. The design factors for the new location roadways are included in Table 8.

### 6.4 2012 Build Forecast Results

Table 16 provides 2012 TRM V4 and forecast volumes for selected DSAs at particular locations of interest, along with the model diversion percentage and the applied diversion percentage for facilities existing in the 2012 No-Build scenario. Table 17 provides 2012 Intermediate Year Build
forecast volumes for all DSAs and 2012 Intermediate Year No-Build forecast volumes for comparison.

## DSA 1, 2, 13 and 14

DSA 1 \& 2 forecast volumes range from 17,600 to 33,300 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 3, 4 and 5, and 20,900 to 48,600 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 13.1 through 13.6 show 2012 forecast volumes for DSA 1, 2, 13 \& 14.

## DSA 3, 4, 15 and 16

DSA 3 \& 4 forecast volumes range from 17,600 to 33,300 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 1, 2 and 5, and 18,900 to 51,800 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 14.1 through $\mathbf{1 4 . 6}$ show 2012 forecast volumes for DSA 3, 4, 15 \& 16.

## DSA 5 and 17

DSA 5 forecast volumes range from 17,600 to 33,300 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 1, 2, 3 and 4, and 20,900 to 46,000 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 15.1 through 15.6 show 2012 forecast volumes for DSA 5 \& 17.

## DSA 6 and 7

DSA 6 \& 7 forecast volumes range from 15,200 to 25,300 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway and 23,000 to 43,800 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 16.1 through 16.5 show 2012 forecast volumes for DSA $6 \& 7$.

## DSA 8 and 9

DSA 8 \& 9 forecast volumes range from 17,100 to 29,000 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway and 18,800 to 48,500 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 17.1 through 17.6 show 2012 forecast volumes for DSA 8 \& 9 .

## DSA 10 and 11

DSA 10 \& 11 forecast volumes range from 17,100 to 29,000 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 8 \& 9, and 17,000 to 51,700 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 18.1 through 18.6 show 2012 forecast volumes for DSA 10 \& 11 .

## DSA 12

DSA 12 forecast volumes range from 17,100 to 29,000 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 8, 9, 10 \& 11, and 18,800 to 45,900 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 19.1 through 19.6 show 2012 forecast volumes for DSA 12.

Table 16. 2012 Build Traffic Forecast Methodology

| Location | 2012 No Build |  | 2012 Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DSA 1,2,13 \& 14 |  |  |  | DSA 3,4,15 \& 16 |  |  |  | DSA 6 \& 7 |  |  |  | DSA 8 \& 9 |  |  |  |
|  | Model | Forecast | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 55 |  |  | 17,600 |  |  | 17,600 | 17,100 | - | - | 17,600 | 15,200 | - |  | 15,200 | 16,500 |  |  | 23,600 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Holly Springs Road |  |  | 21,800 |  |  | 21,800 | 21,100 | - | - | 21,800 | 19,800 | - |  | 19,000 | 29,000 |  |  | 29,000 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Bells Lake Rd / Hilltop Needmore Rd |  | - | 30,500 |  |  | 30,500 | 30,000 |  | - | 30,500 | 22,500 | - |  | 22,500 | 28,100 |  |  | 28,100 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of US 401 |  |  | 33,300 |  |  | 33,300 | 33,000 |  |  | 33,300 | 22,100 |  |  | 22,100 | 20,400 |  |  | 20,400 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Old Stage Road |  | - | 26,100 |  | - | 26,100 | 25,800 | - | - | 26,100 | 21,800 |  |  | 21,800 | 17,100 |  | - | 17,100 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 50 | - | - | 24,200 | - | - | 24,200 | 24,300 | - | - | 24,200 | 25,300 | - |  | 25,300 | 21,200 | - | - | 21,200 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of 1-40 |  | - | 20,900 | - |  | 20,900 | 18,900 | - | - | 18,900 | 23,000 |  |  | 23,000 | 18,800 |  |  | 18,800 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of White Oak Road | - | - | 27,200 | - | - | 27,200 | 20,600 | - | - | 20,600 | - | - |  | - | 25,700 |  | - | 25,700 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of US 70 Business |  |  | 34,700 |  |  | 34,700 | 37,800 | . |  | 37,800 |  |  |  |  | 32,200 |  |  | 34,000 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Rock Quarry Rd / Old Baucom Rd |  | - | 37,800 |  |  | 37,800 |  | , |  |  | 33,400 | - |  | 33,400 | 37,900 |  |  | 37,900 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Auburn Knightdale Road |  |  | 37,700 |  |  | 37,700 | 41,200 |  |  | 41,100 | 34,100 |  |  | 34,100 | 37,600 |  |  | 37,600 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Poole Road |  |  | 48,600 |  |  | 48,600 | 51,900 |  |  | 51,800 | 43,800 |  | - | 43,800 | 47,300 |  | - | 48,500 |
| 1-40 from Exit 306 (US 70) to US 70 Byp/SE Ext | 95,000 | 75,800 | 87,300 | -8.11 | -8.18 | 69,600 | 85,900 | -9.58 | -8.18 | 69,600 | 92,500 | -2.63 | -2.77 | 73,700 | 86,300 | -9.16 | -9.23 | 68,800 |
| NC $42-\mathrm{E}$ of 1-40 | 22,700 | 26,400 | 16,900 | -25.55 | -25.38 | 19,700 | 16,500 | -27.31 | -25.38 | 19,700 |  |  | - |  | 16,100 | -29.07 | -29.17 | 18,700 |
| NC $50-\mathrm{S}$ of Timber Drive | 21,100 | 20,800 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 23,800 | 12.80 | 12.98 | 23,500 | - | - | - | - |
| NC $50-$ S of Ten-Ten Road | 21,600 | 17,100 | 15,600 | -27.78 | -26.90 | 12,500 | 15,600 | -27.78 | -26.90 | 12,500 | - |  | - |  | 21,600 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17,100 |
| NC 55 - from Old Smithfield Road to Triangle Expressway | 40,700 | 39,600 | 40,300 | -0.98 | 0.51 | 39,800 | 41,200 | 1.23 | 0.51 | 39,800 | 37,400 | -8.11 | -6.31 | 37,100 | 33,900 | -16.71 | -14.65 | 33,800 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Vandora Springs Rd | 16,200 | 15,800 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 21,800 | 34.57 | 35.44 | 21,400 | - | - | - | - |
| Old Stage Road - N of Banks Rd | 11,800 | 8,500 | 14,000 | 18.64 | 45.88 | 12,400 | 14,000 | 18.64 | 45.88 | 12,400 |  |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Old Stage Road - S of Norman Blalock Rd | 6,600 | 4,300 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 5,900 | -10.61 | -11.63 | 3,800 |
| Poole Road - E of Hodge Rd | 15,500 | 9,000 | 14,800 | 4.52 | 3.33 | 8,700 | 14,100 | -9.03 | -3.33 | 8,700 | 13,900 | -10.32 | -7.78 | 8,300 | 14,300 | -7.74 | -7.78 | 8,300 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of Bells Lake Road | 10,100 | 17,200 | 2,300 | -77.23 | -22.09 | 13,400 | 2,300 | -77.23 | -22.09 | 13,400 | 3,800 | -62.38 | -29.65 | 12,100 | - | - | - | - |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of US 401 | 21,500 | 14,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,000 | -20.93 | -21.09 | 11,600 |  |  |  |  |
| Ten-Ten Road - W of NC 50 | 12,500 | 7,500 | 5,800 | -53.60 | 17.33 | 8,800 | 5,800 | -53.60 | 17.33 | 8,800 | - | - | - | - | 11,000 | -12.00 | -12.00 | 6,600 |
| Holly Springs Road - N of Kildaire Farm Road | 10,800 | 9,100 | 11,000 | 1.85 | 2.20 | 9,300 | 11,000 | 1.85 | 2.20 | 9,300 | 10,300 | -4.63 | -3.30 | 8,800 | 25,500 | 136.11 | 51.65 | 13,800 |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road - N of Triangle Expressway | 10,100 | 10,100 | 10,600 | 4.95 | 4.95 | 10,600 | 10,500 | 3.96 | 4.95 | 10,600 | 9,700 | -3.96 | -3.96 | 9,700 | 9,800 | -2.97 | -2.97 | 9,800 |
| Bells Lake Road - S of Ten-Ten Rd | 9,200 | 4,900 | 16,400 | 78.26 | 142.86 | 11,900 | 16,300 | 77.17 | 142.86 | 11,900 | 10,900 | 18.48 | 61.22 | 7,900 |  | - | - |  |
| Hilltop Needmore Road - E of Old Mills Rd | 7,400 | 4,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,000 | 62.16 | 57.50 | 6,300 |
| Rock Quarry Road - W of Southeast Extension | 13,700 | 4,300 | 11,800 | -13.87 | -13.95 | 3,700 | 6,800 | -50.36 | -51.16 | 2,100 | 11,600 | -15.33 | -9.30 | 3,900 | 12,100 | -11.68 | -6.98 | 4,000 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd - N of Rock Quarry Rd | 12,600 | 3,400 | 3,600 | -71.43 | -70.59 | 1,000 | 8,000 | -36.51 | -35.29 | 2,200 | 4,600 | -63.49 | -64.71 | 1,200 | 3,200 | -74.60 | -73.53 | 900 |
| White Oak Road - E of Raynor Rd | 15,700 | 8,600 | 15,200 | -3.18 | -3.49 | 8,300 | 18,800 | 19.75 | 19.77 | 10,300 | - | - | - |  | 15,200 | -3.18 | -3.49 | 8,300 |
| Vandora Springs Rd - E of Old Stage Rd | 9,300 | 7,600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10,400 | 11.83 | 22.37 | 9,300 | - | - | - | - |
| US 1-N of Triangle Expressway | 37,300 | 24,700 | 36,100 | -3.22 | -3.24 | 23,900 | 36,300 | -2.68 | -3.24 | 23,900 | 36,400 | -2.41 | -2.02 | 24,200 | 36,700 | -1.61 | -2.02 | 24,200 |
| US 401 - N of Ten-Ten Rd | 37,100 | 35,200 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38,100 | 2.70 | 9.38 | 38,500 | - | - | - | - |
| US 401 - N of Donny Brook Rd | 38,100 | 36,100 | 45,900 | 20.47 | 20.50 | 43,500 | 45,800 | 20.21 | 27.15 | 45,900 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| US 401 - S of Dwight Rowland Rd | 28,200 | 22,400 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25,800 | -8.51 | -8.93 | 20,400 |
| US 64 Business - E of l-540 | 36,600 | 38,600 | 37,600 | 2.73 | 2.85 | 39,700 | 38,300 | 4.64 | 2.85 | 39,700 | 36,600 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 38,600 | 37,200 | 1.64 | 1.55 | 39,200 |
| US 64 Business - W of l-540 | 23,900 | 35,100 | 26,400 | 10.46 | 10.54 | 38,800 | 26,800 | 12.13 | 10.54 | 38,800 | 26,000 | 8.79 | 8.83 | 38,200 | 26,500 | 10.88 | 10.83 | 38,900 |
| US 64/264 - from Hodge Road to l-540 / SE Extension | 88,500 | 65,700 | 87,900 | -0.68 | -0.61 | 65,300 | 91,500 | 3.39 | -0.61 | 65,300 | 85,300 | -3.62 | -3.65 | 63,300 | 87,600 | -1.02 | -1.07 | 65,000 |
| US 64/264-from l-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Rd | 88,600 | 76,100 | 86,000 | -2.93 | -2.76 | 74,000 | 85,600 | -3.39 | -2.76 | 74,000 | 86,300 | -2.60 | -2.63 | 74,100 | 86,100 | -2.82 | -2.76 | 74,000 |
| US $70-\mathrm{W}$ of SE Extension | 36,900 | 32,000 | 36,100 | -2.17 | -1.88 | 31,400 | 29,500 | -20.05 | -19.69 | 25,700 | - | - | - |  | 35,900 | -2.71 | -2.81 | 31,100 |
| US $70-\mathrm{E}$ of $\mathrm{I}-40$ | 44,700 | 35,700 | 37,200 | -16.78 | -16.81 | 29,700 | 35,300 | -21.03 | -20.73 | 28,300 | 37,900 | -15.21 | -15.13 | 30,300 | 36,800 | -17.67 | -17.37 | 29,500 |
| US 70 Bypass - E of 1-40 | 28,800 | 25,400 | 37,700 | 30.90 | 30.71 | 33,200 | 33,600 | 16.67 | 16.54 | 29,600 | 28,500 | -1.04 | -2.36 | 24,800 | 32,400 | 12.50 | 11.02 | 28,200 |
| Triangle Expressway - From Old Holly Springs to NC 55 | 19,800 | 19,800 | 23,200 | 17.17 | 17.17 | 23,200 | 23,500 | 18.69 | 17.17 | 23,200 | 17,700 | -10.61 | 13.64 | 22,500 | 18,300 | -7.58 | 17.68 | 23,300 |

N- - Data not available. 11 , and 12 were forecasted using similar methodology as discussed in Section 62 All 2012 DSA forecast volumes are presented in Table 17.

Table 17. 2012 Build Traffic Forecast Volumes

| Location | 2012 NB Forecast | 2012 Build Forecast Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DSA 1,2,13 \& 14 | DSA 3,4,15 \& 16 | DSA 5 \& 17 | DSA 6 \& 7 | DSA 8 \& 9 | DSA 10 \& 11 | DSA 12 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 55 |  | 17,600 | 17,600 | 17,600 | 15,200 | 23,600 | 23,600 | 23,600 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Holly Springs Road |  | 21,800 | 21,800 | 21,800 | 19,000 | 29,000 | 29,000 | 29,000 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Bells Lake Rd/ Hilltop Needmore Rd |  | 30,500 | 30,500 | 30,500 | 22,500 | 28,100 | 28,100 | 28,100 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of US 401 |  | 33,300 | 33,300 | 33,300 | 22,100 | 20,400 | 20,400 | 20,400 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Old Stage Road |  | 26,100 | 26,100 | 26,100 | 21,800 | 17,100 | 17,100 | 17,100 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 50 |  | 24,200 | 24,200 | 24,200 | 25,300 | 21,200 | 21,200 | 21,200 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of I-40 | - | 20,900 | 18,900 | 20,900 | 23,000 | 18,800 | 17,000 | 18,800 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of White Oak Road |  | 27,200 | 20,600 | 25,800 |  | 25,700 | 19,500 | 24,400 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of US 70 Business | - | 34,700 | 37,800 | 27,500 | - | 34,000 | 37,000 | 26,900 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Rock Quarry Rd / Old Baucom Rd |  | 37,800 |  |  | 33,400 | 37,900 | 37,100 | 33,100 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Auburn Knightdale Road | - | 37,700 | 41,100 | 35,300 | 34,100 | 37,600 | 41,000 | 35,200 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Poole Road |  | 48,600 | 51,800 | 46,000 | 43,800 | 48,500 | 51,700 | 45,900 |
| 1-40 from Exit 306 (US 70) to US 70 Byp/SE Ext | 75,800 | 69,600 | 69,600 | 69,600 | 73,700 | 68,800 | 68,800 | 68,800 |
| NC 42 - E of l-40 | 26,400 | 19,700 | 19,700 | 19,700 | - | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 |
| NC 50-S of Timber Drive | 20,800 |  |  | - | 23,500 | - |  |  |
| NC $50-$ S of Ten-Ten Road | 17,100 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | - | 17,100 | 17,100 | 17,100 |
| NC 55 - from Old Smithfield Road to Triangle Expressway | 39,600 | 39,800 | 39,800 | 39,800 | 37,100 | 33,800 | 33,800 | 33,800 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Vandora Springs Rd | 15,800 | - | - | - | 21,400 | - |  |  |
| Old Stage Road - N of Banks Rd | 8,500 | 12,400 | 12,400 | 12,400 | - | - | - | - |
| Old Stage Road - S of Norman Blalock Rd | 4,300 |  |  |  |  | 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| Poole Road - E of Hodge Rd | 9,000 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 8,700 | 8,300 | 8,300 | 8,300 | 8,300 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of Bells Lake Road | 17,200 | 13,400 | 13,400 | 13,400 | 12,100 | - |  |  |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of US 401 | 14,700 | - | - | - | 11,600 | - | - | - |
| Ten-Ten Road - W of NC 50 | 7,500 | 8,800 | 8,800 | 8,800 | - | 6,600 | 6,600 | 6,600 |
| Holly Springs Road - N of Kildaire Farm Road | 9,100 | 9,300 | 9,300 | 9,300 | 8,800 | 13,800 | 13,800 | 13,800 |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road - N of Triangle Expressway | 10,100 | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 | 9,700 | 9,800 | 9,800 | 9,800 |
| Bells Lake Road - S of Ten-Ten Rd | 4,900 | 11,900 | 11,900 | 11,900 | 7,900 |  |  |  |
| Hilltop Needmore Road - E of Old Mills Rd | 4,000 | - | - | - | - | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| Rock Quarry Road - W of Southeast Extension | 4,300 | 3,700 | 2,100 | 3,500 | 3,900 | 4,000 | 2,200 | 3,600 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd - N of Rock Quarry Rd | 3,400 | 1,000 | 2,200 | 1,500 | 1,200 | 900 | 2,100 | 1,400 |
| White Oak Road - E of Raynor Rd | 8,600 | 8,300 | 10,300 | 8,500 | - | 8,300 | 10,300 | 8,500 |
| Vandora Springs Rd - E of Old Stage Rd | 7,600 |  |  | - | 9,300 |  | - | - |
| US 1-N of Triangle Expressway | 24,700 | 23,900 | 23,900 | 23,900 | 24,200 | 24,200 | 24,200 | 24,200 |
| US 401 - N of Ten-Ten Rd | 35,200 |  |  | - | 38,500 | - | - | - |
| US 401 - N of Donny Brook Rd | 36,100 | 43,500 | 45,900 | 43,400 | - | - | - | - |
| US 401 - S of Dwight Rowland Rd | 22,400 | - | - | - | - | 20,400 | 20,400 | 20,400 |
| US 64 Business - E of l-540 | 38,600 | 39,700 | 39,700 | 39,700 | 38,600 | 39,200 | 39,200 | 39,200 |
| US 64 Business - W of I-540 | 35,100 | 38,800 | 38,800 | 38,800 | 38,200 | 38,900 | 38,900 | 38,900 |
| US 64/264- from Hodge Road to l-540 / SE Extension | 65,700 | 65,300 | 65,300 | 65,300 | 63,300 | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 |
| US 64/264- from l-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Rd | 76,100 | 74,000 | 74,000 | 74,000 | 74,100 | 74,000 | 74,000 | 74,000 |
| US $70-\mathrm{W}$ of SE Extension | 32,000 | 31,400 | 25,700 | 33,900 |  | 31,100 | 25,600 | 33,700 |
| US $70-\mathrm{E}$ of l-40 | 35,700 | 29,700 | 28,300 | 29,700 | 30,300 | 29,500 | 28,100 | 29,500 |
| US 70 Bypass - E of I-40 | 25,400 | 33,200 | 29,600 | 33,200 | 24,800 | 28,200 | 25,400 | 28,600 |
| Triangle Expressway - From Old Holly Springs to NC 55 | 19,800 | 23,200 | 23,200 | 23,400 | 22,500 | 23,300 | 23,300 | 23,300 |

[^0]
### 7.02035 FUTURE YEAR NO-BUILD TRAFFIC FORECAST

The 2035 Future Year No-Build forecast uses extrapolations of historic AADT data in the study area, 2035 model data, and comparisons/adjustments from the 2010 Base Year and 2012 Intermediate Year volumes as they applied to the historic and model information.

### 7.1 Assumptions

## Land Use

Future land use in the traffic forecast study area is projected to remain a mixture of rural and suburban commercial/residential development, with higher development intensities in many of the TAZs employed in the TRM V4. It is likely that several interchanges with limited existing development could see higher density development by 2035. Table 18 shows population and employment data from study area TAZs and the entire regional model from the 2005 base year and 2035 model and the percentage change in socio-economic data expected between those years. This information was compared to the growth in traffic assignments for the traffic forecast study area to check for consistency. TRM V4 TAZ socio-economic data can be found in Appendix E.

Table 18. 2005-2035 TRM V4 TAZ Data Comparison

| TAZ <br> Statistic | 2005 |  | 2035 |  | \% Increase <br> 2005-2035 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Study <br> Area | TRM | Study <br> Area | TRM | Study <br> Area | TRM |
| Employment | 10.8 | 591.4 | 55.2 | $1,244.2$ | 413.7 | 110.4 |
| Special <br> Generator <br> Employment | 0.4 | 87.8 | 0.5 | 113.8 | 25.0 | 29.6 |
| Households | 18.7 | 505.9 | 64.8 | 973.2 | 247.0 | 92.4 |
| Population | 51.8 | $1,149.1$ | 178.3 | $2,264.0$ | 244.2 | 97.0 |
| Dwelling <br> Units | 20.5 | 498.6 | 70.3 | 986.7 | 242.6 | 97.9 |

All Study Area and TRM values shown in thousands (1000s)

## Study Area Transportation Network

The roadway projects listed in the NCDOT's 2009-2015 STIP and CAMPO and DCHC MPO 2030 LRTPs (dated September 15, 2004) were included in the TRM V4-2008 model used to develop the 2035 traffic forecasts and is reflected in changes to travel patterns/daily traffic assignments in the traffic forecast study area. Besides the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension, many other important projects are anticipated to open in 2035. Scheduled major roadway network changes in the TRM V4 are listed below in Table 19.

NCDOT STIP PROJECTS R-2721, R-2828, and R-2829
Complete 540-Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension
Traffic Forecast Report (DSA 1-17)
Table 19. 2035 TRM V4 Major Model Transportation Network Laneage

| Study Area Roadway | 2035 Model |
| :--- | :---: |
| Triangle Expressway | Six-Lane Freeway |
| Triangle Expressway <br> Southeast Extension | Six-Lane Freeway |
| I-40 | Eight-Lane Freeway |
| I-540 | Six-Lane Freeway |
| US 64/264 | Six-Lane Freeway |
| US 1 | Four-Lane Freeway |
| US 401 | Six-Lane Divided Arterial |
| US 70 | Four-Lane Freeway |

### 7.2 Fiscal Constraint

The 2035 Future Year No Build forecast considers all fiscally-constrained projects scheduled for completion by 2035 in the CAMPO / DCHC MPO 2030 LRTP (dated September 15, 2004).

### 7.3 Development Activity

As with the 2012 Intermediate Year forecast assumptions, development activity in the project study area was accounted for by changes in socio-economic data for study area TAZs in the TRM V4. No specific traffic generators were analyzed beyond changes in TAZ data between the 2012 and 2035 forecast years.

### 7.4 Methodology

The methodology used to develop the 2035 No-Build forecast is based on the TRM V4 and comparisons with model results, model growth rates on specific network links, historic traffic data extrapolations and comparisons with existing traffic count data.

The 2035 Future Year No-Build scenario was completed based on a review and comparison of 2010-2035 and 2012-2035 TRM V4 model growth rates, 2035 TRM V4 data, and historical trend line estimates. Model runs were completed for the 2035 No-Build forecast by removing the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension from the 2035 model network. The model 2012 NoBuild/2035 No-Build growth rate was applied to the 2012 No-Build forecast to determine 2035 NoBuild forecast volumes. Adjustments were made to produce consistent daily traffic flow patterns upstream and downstream along study area freeways and surface streets. Bidirectional turning movements were forecasted at interchanges to calibrate, as closely as possible, with 2012 and 2035 TRM V4 daily turning movement volumes while accounting for roadway network changes and traffic flow pattern shifts.

As discussed in Section 3.2, the differences in 2010 TRM V4 and Base Year forecast volumes translated into 2035 volume differences, based on a similar proportion or ratio. For instance, I40, US 1, and US 64/264 forecast volumes are much lower than the TRM V4 volumes and US 64 Business volumes are higher due to differences in 2010 TRM V4 and forecast volumes.

## Model Growth Rates

One of the primary functions of the 2035 model for this forecasting effort was to serve as a basis for determining annual growth rates between the 2012 Intermediate Year and 2035 Future Year daily forecast estimates. Data from the 2012 and 2035 models for both Build and No-Build
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alternatives was compared and annual growth rates were calculated for each link in the study area network. The resulting growth rates were applied to the 2012 Intermediate Year forecast AADTs to calculate 2035 unadjusted AADTs. This data was checked for reasonable growth assumptions. Several adjacent links are not expected to have similar growth patterns if 2035 year data a) did not match a relatively constant growth rate between the 2012 and 2035 model volumes, or b) was likely to increase by a different rate due to changes between the 2012 and 2035 models due to construction of new facilities or major TAZ differences in the traffic forecast study area.

In most instances, 2012 Intermediate Year forecast volumes are less than 2012 TRM V4 daily assignments. Therefore, based on model growth rates, 2035 forecast volumes are generally less than 2035 TRM V4 daily assignments on major network facilities such as I-40, US 1, US 64/264, NC 42, NC 50 and NC 55.

Due to the changing nature of the study area, certain roadways produced growth rate data that resulted in inconsistent projections using this method. Inconsistencies in growth rate projections were addressed in these areas by using assignment data from the TRM V4 model or adjusted based on engineering judgment. Model growth rates on select study area roadways are shown on Table 20. Table 20 also provides a comparison of 2035 TRM V4 daily No-Build model assignment data to 2012 Intermediate Year and 2035 Future Year No-Build forecast data.

### 7.5 Design Factors

Forecast design characteristics (D, DHV, truck percentages) were determined to remain unchanged from the Intermediate Year based on a review of relevant TRM data, roadway network changes, future land use growth and engineering judgment. No data collected for this forecast suggests that major changes are expected in the study area for peak hour directional flow changes, changes in percentage of daily traffic expected in the peak hour, or changes to truck percentages along freeway facilities (Triangle Expressway, I-40, US 1, US 64/264, US 70 Bypass) or surface street facilities.

### 7.6 2035 No-Build Forecast Results

The 2035 No-Build traffic forecast is shown on Figures 8-1 through 8-6. Table 20 provides 2035 No-Build AADT estimates through interpolation/extrapolation of NCDOT historic count linear regression data, 2035 TRM V4 volumes and the proposed 2035 No-Build forecast volumes.
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Table 20. 2035 No-Build Traffic Forecast Data

| Location | Forecast (2012 NB) Volume | Historic Growth Rate (\%) | Model Growth Rate (\%) | Applied Rate (\%) | 2035 NB Volumes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1990-2010 | 2012-2035 |  | Model | Forecast |
| I-40 from Exit 306 (US 70) to US 70 Byp/SE Ext | 75,800 | 8.2 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 138,600 | 108,900 |
| NC 42 - E of I-40 | 26,400 | 5.3 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 26,300 | 30,900 |
| NC $50-\mathrm{S}$ of Timber Drive | 20,800 | 0.3 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 29,100 | 28,700 |
| NC 50-S of Ten-Ten Road | 17,100 | 5.4 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 25,400 | 20,100 |
| NC 55 - from Old Smithfield Road to Tri Expwy | 39,600 | 6.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 50,600 | 47,700 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Vandora Springs Rd | 15,800 | 1.5 | 4.28 | 4.29 | 42,500 | 41,500 |
| Old Stage Road - N of Banks Rd | 8,500 | 2.3 | 3.35 | 3.37 | 25,200 | 18,200 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Norman Blalock Rd | 4,300 | - | 3.29 | 3.31 | 13,900 | 9,100 |
| Poole Road - E of Hodge Rd | 9,000 | 0.5 | 4.90 | 4.91 | 46,600 | 27,100 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of Bells Lake Road | 17,200 | 2.6 | 2.83 | 2.83 | 19,200 | 32,700 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of US 401 | 14,700 | - | 1.11 | 1.10 | 27,700 | 18,900 |
| Ten-Ten Road - W of NC 50 | 7,500 | - | 1.47 | 1.47 | 17,500 | 10,500 |
| Holly Springs Road - N of Kildaire Farm Road | 9,100 | - | 4.28 | 4.27 | 28,300 | 23,800 |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road - N of Tri Expwy | 10,100 | 5.1 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 29,800 | 29,800 |
| Bells Lake Road - S of Ten-Ten Rd | 4,900 | 2.2 | 5.33 | 4.40 | 30,400 | 13,200 |
| Hilltop Needmore Road - E of Old Mills Rd | 4,000 | - | 2.75 | 2.76 | 13,800 | 7,300 |
| Rock Quarry Road - W of Southeast Extension | 4,300 | -1.4 | 3.73 | 3.71 | 31,800 | 10,400 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd - N of Rock Quarry Rd | 3,400 | -3.9 | 3.53 | 3.56 | 28,000 | 7,600 |
| White Oak Road - E of Raynor Rd | 8,600 | - | 3.06 | 3.06 | 31,400 | 17,200 |
| Vandora Springs Rd - E of Old Stage Rd | 7,600 | 2.8 | 4.81 | 4.81 | 27,400 | 22,400 |
| US 1-N of Triangle Expressway | 24,700 | 3.4 | 2.55 | 2.55 | 66,600 | 44,100 |
| US 401-N of Ten-Ten Rd | 35,200 | 0.0 | 2.28 | 2.28 | 62,300 | 58,800 |
| US 401-N of Donny Brook Rd | 36,100 | 2.1 | 2.29 | 2.29 | 64,200 | 60,800 |
| US 401-S of Dwight Rowland Rd | 22,400 | - | 2.07 | 2.07 | 45,200 | 35,700 |
| US 64 Business - E of I-540 | 38,600 | - | 1.27 | 1.63 | 48,900 | 56,000 |
| US 64 Business - W of I-540 | 35,100 | -1.5 | 1.99 | 1.63 | 37,600 | 50,900 |
| US 64/264 - from Hodge Road to l-540 / SE Ext. | 65,700 | 1.7 | 1.71 | 1.85 | 130,700 | 100,100 |
| US 64/264 - from l-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Rd | 76,100 | 6.7 | 1.93 | 1.85 | 137,400 | 116,000 |
| US $70-\mathrm{W}$ of SE Extension | 32,000 | - | 1.35 | 1.34 | 50,200 | 43,500 |
| US $70-\mathrm{E}$ of I-40 | 35,700 | 1.4 | 0.83 | 1.73 | 54,000 | 53,000 |
| US 70 Bypass - E of I-40 | 25,400 | 4.1 | 2.02 | 2.01 | 45,600 | 39,700 |
| Tri Expwy - From Old Holly Springs to NC 55 | 19,800 | - | 2.48 | 2.48 | 34,800 | 34,800 |

"-" - Data not available.

### 8.02035 FUTURE YEAR BUILD TRAFFIC FORECAST

### 8.1 Assumptions

The land use and transportation network assumptions, fiscal constraints, and development activity for the 2035 Future Year Build forecast are consistent with those stated in the 2035 Future Year No-Build forecast (Section 7.0).

For all DSA corridors, some existing roadways are proposed for relocation. The following facilities were relocated in the 2035 Build DSA scenarios and forecast volumes were adjusted accordingly to account for the redistribution in future traffic volumes.

- Kildaire Farm Road; relocated north of Southeast Extension on Holly Springs Road opposite Sancroft Drive.
- Donny Brook Road; relocated south on US 401 opposite Wake Tech Main Entrance.
- Old McCullers Road; relocated south to connect to Wake Tech internal roadway.
- Raynor Road and Cascade Drive; relocated west on White Oak Road to align opposite each other.
- Old Baucom Road; realigned east on Rock Quarry Road.


### 8.2 Methodology

For the 2035 Build forecast, models including the Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension were developed for 2035 DSAs 1 - 17 Build conditions. Seven different model runs (DSA 1, 2, 13 \& 14, DSA 3, 4,15 \& 16, DSA 5 \& 17, DSA $6 \& 7$, DSA $8 \& 9$, DSA 10 \& 11, and DSA 12) were performed to account for the DSAs in the 2035 build scenarios. Minimal model volume differences led HNTB to use the same traffic forecast volumes for all facilities west of I-40. Certain interchange and intersection locations at and east of I-40 have the same forecast volumes in all DSAs. Different forecast volumes were assigned at interchange and intersection locations in the eastern portion of the DSAs where model assignment volume differences warranted. TRM V4 model assignment discrepancies and differences between DSAs are described in Table 15.

The 2035 Build model runs for each DSA were then compared to the 2035 No-Build model run results to determine 2035 No-Build/2035 Build growth rates. These growth rates were then applied to the 2035 No-Build forecast data to produce estimates of 2035 Build forecast daily traffic for each DSA. As in the 2035 No-Build forecast, adjustments to the model growth rate methodology were necessary in certain areas of the network to produce reasonable and balanced daily traffic volume estimates. Any adjustments made for the 2035 No-Build traffic forecasts with regard to incorporating actual 2035 model data were applied consistently to the 2035 Build forecast. Once the growth rates and adjustments were applied to 2035 Build segments, bidirectional turning movement volumes were then adjusted throughout the study area to account for change in traffic volumes and patterns between the 2035 No-Build and 2035 Build forecasts.

Daily directional traffic assignments indicate some traffic reassignment patterns from the 2035 NoBuild, due to constructing the Southeast Extension. These patterns show shifts to the Southeast Extension from I-40/l-440 traffic otherwise going through Raleigh and from traffic previously on parallel facilities such as NC 42 and Ten-Ten Road. The Southeast Extension reduces traffic on the eastern side of Raleigh/Wake County, particularly on freeways such as I-440 and the US 64 Bypass. These patterns generally indicate slight increases in -Y- line traffic volumes at Southeast Extension interchanges and a reduction in traffic along parallel facilities. Local traffic shifts at
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interchanges are characterized by traffic shifting onto Southeast Extension and a corresponding slight decrease in traffic on parallel facilities. Both system-wide and local traffic shifts additively exhibit large-scale changes in traffic patterns in the 2035 model network. The largest percent volume changes in the study area generally occur along I-40, Ten Ten Road and AuburnKnightdale Road, which are parallel facilities to Southeast Extension. All available information was evaluated, along with engineering judgment, to determine the 2035 Build forecast.

Appendix Fincludes a chart of 2035 DSA Southeast Extension forecast volumes, a summary table of data used to aid in determining all study area forecast volumes, and raw model output comparisons of the TRM V4-2008 and TRM V4-2009.

### 8.3 Design Factors

All available information was evaluated, along with engineering judgment, to determine the 2035 Future Year Build forecast. All other forecast characteristics (D, DHV, truck percentages) were determined to remain unchanged based on a review of relevant TRM data, roadway network changes, future land use growth and engineering judgment. The 2035 Future Year Build scenario design data for Southeast Extension is the same as the 2012 Intermediate Year Build scenario design factors. The design factors for Southeast Extension are included in Table 8.

### 8.4 2035 Build Forecast Results

Table 21 provides 2035 TRM V4 and forecast volumes for selected DSAs at particular locations of interest, along with the model diversion percentage and the applied diversion percentage for facilities existing in the 2035 No-Build scenario. Table 22 provides 2035 Future Year Build forecast volumes for all DSAs and 2035 Future Year No-Build forecast volumes for comparison.

## DSA 1, 2, 13 and 14

DSA 1 \& 2 forecast volumes range from 47,400 to 71,600 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 3, 4 and 5, and 45,900 to 91,900 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 21.1 through 21.6 show 2035 forecast volumes for DSA 1, 2, 13 \& 14.

## DSA 3, 4, 15 and 16

DSA 3 \& 4 forecast volumes range from 47,400 to 71,600 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 1, 2 and 5, and 43,900 to 95,300 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 22.1 through 22.6 show 2035 forecast volumes for DSA 3, 4, 15 \& 16 .

## DSA 5 and 17

DSA 5 forecast volumes range from 47,400 to 71,600 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 1, 2, 3 and 4, and 44,300 to 89,100 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 23.1 through 23.6 show 2035 forecast volumes for DSA 5 \& 17.

## DSA 6 and 7

DSA 6 \& 7 forecast volumes range from 48,800 to 64,800 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway and 64,800 to 94,000 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 24.1 through 24.5 show 2035 forecast volumes for DSA 6 \& 7 .

## DSA 8 and 9

DSA 8 \& 9 forecast volumes range from 42,000 to 68,300 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway and 37,900 to 91,400 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 25.1 through 25.6 show 2035 forecast volumes for DSA 8 \& 9 .
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DSA 10 and 11
DSA 10 \& 11 forecast volumes range from 42,000 to 68,300 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 8 \& 9, and 41,000 to 94,800 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 26.1 through 26.6 show 2035 forecast volumes for DSA 10 \& 11 .

DSA 12
DSA 12 forecast volumes range from 42,000 to 68,300 AADT along the Southern Wake Freeway, similar to DSA 8, 9, 10 \& 11, and 41,400 to 88,600 AADT east of I-40 along the Eastern Wake Freeway. Figures 27.1 through 27.6 show 2035 forecast volumes for DSA 12.

## Discussion

There are some discrepancies between NCDOT AADT linear regression estimates, raw TRM V4 model volumes and selected forecast volumes. The following points highlight some of causes of variation and impacts on the selected forecast values:

2035 Historic Forecast Extrapolations - The previous forecasts for STIP R-2635 (Western Wake Freeway) do not replicate with 2010 Base Year, 2012 Build or 2035 Build forecast results, due to a lack of a Base Year scenario and no scenarios with the Old Holly Springs/Apex Road interchange. STIP R-2635 forecasted 2011 and 2030 Build Toll scenarios. Based on direct comparison of data and extrapolations to 2012 and 2035, STIP R-2635 volumes are generally lower in 2012, except along US 1, and are generally higher in 2035, except along NC 55 and Old Holly Springs Apex Road. The planning-level STIP R-2721, R-2828, and R-2829 2011 and 2035 No-Build and Build Toll forecasts are largely based on TRM V4 output and do not include certain TRM V4 model updates, such as the toll diversion module. Therefore, while the volumes presented in this forecast are different, they are generally within a reasonable range. While some discrepancies exist, the variation in forecast volumes can be attributed to the toll diversion module, model growth factors, and an updated TRM V4, which has adjustments to socioeconomic data, 2030 LRTP (dated September 15, 2004) projects, and various other inputs, based on information used in this forecast.

2012 and 2035 TRM V4 Raw Daily Assignment - In the study area and surrounding areas, there are parallel east-west and north-south facilities (I-40, US 264, NC 42, Ten Ten Road, Sunset Lake Road and Auburn-Knightdale Road). Few existing east-west parallel facilities will compete for traffic with Southeast Extension. However, in the 2035 TRM V4, the Kildaire Farm Road Connector appears to attract traffic from Southeast Extension by providing a non-toll parallel facility for a short distance. While this parallel route serves as an attractive option for local and commuter routes, the model appears to over-assign traffic on this connector and under-assign traffic on the segment of Southeast Extension between NC 55 Bypass and Holly Springs Road. While this facility may remain an attractive non-toll route during off-peak periods, the Southeast Extension corridor may be more attractive during the heavier peak hour periods when signalized corridors become congested and travel times increase. TRM V4 raw daily assignment volumes were adjusted along the Southeast Extension to account for this assignment adjustment. This traffic assignment approach was based on a review of appropriate roadway growth rates, facility operating capacities, previously approved forecasts and engineering judgment.

2012 and 2035 Linear Regression from Historic Count Data - Linear regression results do not provide a useful correlation between the selected 2012 and 2035 forecast AADTs for all roadways. The regression data is unreasonable in many locations, since historic data is limited in the area and new roadway networks would alter future traffic volumes on existing facilities.

Table 21. 2035 Build Traffic Forecast Methodology

| Location | 2035 No Build |  | 2035 Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DSA 1,2,13 \& 14 |  |  |  | DSA 3,4,15 \& 16 |  |  |  | DSA 6 \& 7 |  |  |  | DSA 8 \& 9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast | Model | Diversion \% |  | Forecast |
|  | Model | Forecast |  | Model | Applied |  |  | Model | Applied |  |  | Model | Applied |  |  | Model | Applied |  |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 55 | - | - | 41,400 | - |  | 47,400 | 40,300 | - | - | 47,400 | 42,800 | - |  | 48,800 | 45,100 |  |  | 51,100 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Holly Springs Road | - | - | 57,800 | - | - | 57,800 | 57,200 | - | - | 57,800 | 57,500 | - | - | 57,500 | 67,500 | - | - | 67,500 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Bells Lake Rd / Hilltop Needmore Rd | - | - | 70,300 | - | - | 70,300 | 70,200 | - | - | 70,300 | 61,300 | - | - | 61,300 | 68,300 | - | - | 68,300 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of US 401 | - | - | 71,600 | - | - | 71,600 | 71,300 | - |  | 71,600 | 59,100 |  |  | 59,100 | 50,800 |  |  | 50,800 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Old Stage Road | - |  | 57,300 | - | - | 57,300 | 56,700 | - |  | 57,300 | 64,800 |  |  | 64,800 | 42,000 |  |  | 42,000 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 50 |  |  | 51,800 | - |  | 51,800 | 51,800 |  |  | 51,800 | 58,200 |  |  | 58,200 | 49,300 |  |  | 49,300 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of I-40 | - |  | 45,900 | - |  | 45,900 | 43,800 | - | - | 43,900 | 64,800 | - |  | 64,800 | 37,900 |  | - | 42,900 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of White Oak Road | - | - | 54,000 | - |  | 54,000 | 46,700 | - | - | 46,700 |  |  |  |  | 51,500 |  |  | 51,500 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of US 70 Business |  |  | 64,000 | - |  | 64,000 | 66,400 | - |  | 66,400 |  |  |  |  | 66,900 |  | - | 62,500 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Rock Quarry Rd / Old Baucom Rd | - |  | 69,300 | - |  | 69,400 | 67,200 |  |  | 67,200 | 73,700 | - | - | 73,700 | 73,800 | - | - | 69,400 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Auburn Knightdale Road | - | - | 72,200 | - |  | 72,200 | 75,700 | - | - | 75,800 | 75,500 | - | - | 75,500 | 76,400 |  | - | 72,000 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Poole Road | - | - | 91,900 | - | - | 91,900 | 95,300 | - | - | 95,300 | 94,000 | - | - | 94,000 | 95,800 | - | - | 91,400 |
| 1-40 from Exit 306 (US 70) to US 70 Byp/SE Ext | 138,600 | 108,900 | 120,700 | -12.91 | -12.95 | 94,800 | 122,300 | -11.76 | -12.95 | 94,800 | 140,200 | 1.15 | 1.29 | 110,300 | 126,100 | -9.02 | -9.00 | 99,100 |
| NC 42 - E of l-40 | 26,300 | 30,900 | 23,700 | -9.89 | -10.03 | 27,800 | 23,200 | -11.79 | -10.03 | 27,800 | - | - | - | - | 22,800 | -13.31 | -13.27 | 26,800 |
| NC $50-\mathrm{S}$ of Timber Drive | 29,100 | 28,700 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | 35,300 | 21.31 | 21.25 | 34,800 | - |  | - |  |
| NC $50-$ S of Ten-Ten Road | 25,400 | 20,100 | 18,600 | -26.77 | -26.37 | 14,800 | 18,800 | -25.98 | -26.37 | 14,800 |  |  | - | - | 26,200 | 3.15 | 3.48 | 20,800 |
| NC 55 - from Old Smithfield Road to Triangle Expressway | 50,600 | 47,700 | 49,700 | -1.78 | 3.77 | 49,500 | 49,300 | -2.57 | 3.77 | 49,500 | 50,500 | -0.20 | 5.24 | 50,200 | 49,700 | -1.78 | 3.77 | 49,500 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Vandora Springs Rd | 42,500 | 41,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57,200 | 34.59 | 34.94 | 56,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Old Stage Road - N of Banks Rd | 25,200 | 18,200 | 26,300 | 4.37 | 15.93 | 21,100 | 26,600 | 5.56 | 15.93 | 21,100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Old Stage Road - S of Norman Blalock Rd | 13,900 | 9,100 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 11,800 | -15.11 | -14.29 | 7,800 |
| Poole Road - E of Hodge Rd | 46,600 | 27,100 | 39,600 | -15.02 | -15.13 | 23,000 | 41,200 | -11.59 | -15.13 | 23,000 | 39,500 | -15.24 | -13.28 | 23,500 | 40,000 | -14.16 | -14.02 | 23,300 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of Bells Lake Road | 19,200 | 32,700 | 6,200 | -67.71 | -21.71 | 25,600 | 6,100 | -68.23 | -21.71 | 25,600 | 8,500 | -55.73 | -21.10 | 25,800 | - | - | - | - |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of US 401 | 27,700 | 18,900 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 24,800 | -10.47 | -10.05 | 17,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Ten-Ten Road - W of NC 50 | 17,500 | 10,500 | 8,800 | -49.71 | -49.52 | 5,300 | 8,800 | -49.71 | -49.52 | 5,300 |  |  |  |  | 14,000 | -20.00 | -18.10 | 8,600 |
| Holly Springs Road - N of Kildaire Farm Road | 28,300 | 23,800 | 27,400 | -3.18 | -3.36 | 23,000 | 27,800 | -1.77 | -3.36 | 23,000 | 29,500 | 4.24 | 4.20 | 24,800 | 68,600 | 142.40 | 15.13 | 27,400 |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road - N of Triangle Expressway | 29,800 | 29,800 | 32,900 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 32,900 | 32,100 | 7.72 | 10.40 | 32,900 | 43,000 | 44.30 | 10.40 | 32,900 | 43,300 | 45.30 | 10.40 | 32,900 |
| Bells Lake Road - S of Ten-Ten Rd | 30,400 | 13,200 | 38,200 | 25.66 | 63.64 | 21,600 | 38,600 | 26.97 | 63.64 | 21,600 | 34,600 | 13.82 | 48.48 | 19,600 | - |  |  |  |
| Hilltop Needmore Road - E of Old Mills Rd | 13,800 | 7,300 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21,000 | 52.17 | 52.05 | 11,100 |
| Rock Quarry Road - W of Southeast Extension | 31,800 | 10,400 | 29,600 | -6.92 | -10.58 | 9,300 | 22,100 | -30.50 | -33.65 | 6,900 | 27,200 | -14.47 | -14.4\% | 8,900 | 30,100 | -5.35 | -9.62 | 9,400 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd - N of Rock Quarry Rd | 28,000 | 7,600 | 13,700 | -51.07 | -51.32 | 3,700 | 19,800 | -29.29 | -27.63 | 5,500 | 13,600 | -51.43 | -51.32 | 3,700 | 12,700 | -54.64 | -55.26 | 3,400 |
| White Oak Road - E of Raynor Rd | 31,400 | 17,200 | 33,200 | 5.73 | 5.81 | 18,200 | 38,000 | 21.02 | 20.93 | 20,800 | - | - | - | - | 33,400 | 6.37 | 6.40 | 18,300 |
| Vandora Springs Rd - E of Old Stage Rd | 27,400 | 22,400 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,600 | -17.52 | -17.41 | 18,500 |  |  |  |  |
| US 1 - N of Triangle Expressway | 66,600 | 44,100 | 65,800 | -1.20 | -1.13 | 43,600 | 65,500 | -1.65 | -1.13 | 43,600 | 68,900 | 3.45 | 3.40 | 45,600 | 70,400 | 5.71 | 5.67 | 46,600 |
| US 401 - N of Ten-Ten Rd | 62,300 | 58,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70,900 | 13.80 | 9.01 | 64,100 |  |  |  | - |
| US 401 - N of Donny Brook Rd | 64,200 | 60,800 | 78,700 | 22.59 | 22.70 | 74,600 | 78,800 | 22.74 | 22.70 | 74,600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| US 401 - S of Dwight Rowland Rd | 45,200 | 35,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 50,600 | 11.95 | -3.92 | 34,300 |
| US 64 Business - E of l-540 | 48,900 | 56,000 | 49,800 | 1.84 | 1.79 | 57,000 | 50,800 | 3.89 | 1.79 | 57,000 | 50,500 | 3.27 | 3.21 | 57,800 | 50,200 | 2.66 | 2.68 | 57,500 |
| US 64 Business - W of l-540 | 37,600 | 50,900 | 40,900 | 8.78 | 8.84 | 55,400 | 40,700 | 8.24 | 8.84 | 55,400 | 41,400 | 10.11 | 10.22 | 56,100 | 40,700 | 8.24 | 8.45 | 55,200 |
| US 64/264 - from Hodge Road to I-540 / SE Extension | 130,700 | 100,100 | 126,300 | -3.37 | -3.40 | 96,700 | 128,400 | -1.76 | -3.40 | 96,700 | 126,200 | -3.44 | -3.40 | 96,700 | 129,900 | -0.61 | -0.60 | 99,500 |
| US 64/264-from l-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Rd | 137,400 | 116,000 | 133,800 | -2.62 | -2.59 | 113,000 | 133,000 | -3.20 | -2.59 | 113,000 | 133,100 | -3.13 | -3.10 | 112,400 | 132,500 | -3.57 | -3.53 | 111,900 |
| US $70-\mathrm{W}$ of SE Extension | 50,200 | 43,500 | 51,300 | 2.19 | 2.53 | 44,600 | 49,200 | -1.99 | -2.07 | 42,600 |  |  |  |  | 50,900 | 1.39 | 1.38 | 44,100 |
| US $70-\mathrm{E}$ of l-40 | 54,000 | 53,000 | 48,100 | -10.93 | -10.94 | 47,200 | 45,800 | -15.19 | -15.09 | 45,000 | 50,700 | -6.11 | -6.04 | 49,800 | 48,200 | -10.74 | -10.75 | 47,300 |
| US 70 Bypass - E of 1-40 | 45,600 | 39,700 | 56,000 | 22.81 | 24.69 | 49,500 | 53,500 | 17.32 | 19.14 | 47,300 | 44,200 | -3.07 | -2.77 | 38,600 | 47,700 | 4.61 | 17.38 | 46,600 |
| Triangle Expressway - From Old Holly Springs to NC 55 | 34,800 | 34,800 | 51,900 | 49.14 | 49.14 | 51,900 | 51,500 | 47.99 | 49.14 | 51,900 | 55,700 | 60.06 | 47.70 | 51,400 | 57,100 | 64.08 | 51.15 | 52,600 |

$$
\frac{\text { Inrangle Expressway }-1}{\text { " }}
$$

Note: DSA 5 \& 17, 10 \& 11, and 12 were forecasted using similar methodology as discussed in Section 8.2. All 2035 DSA forecast volumes are presented in Table 22

Table 22. 2035 Build Traffic Forecast Volumes

| Location | 2035 NB <br> Forecast | 2035 Build Forecast Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DSA 1,2,13 \& 14 | DSA 3,4,15 \& 16 | DSA 5 \& 17 | DSA 6 \& 7 | DSA \& \& 9 | DSA 10 \& 11 | DSA 12 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 55 | - | 47,400 | 47,400 | 47,400 | 48,800 | 51,100 | 51,100 | 51,100 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Holly Springs Road | - | 57,800 | 57,800 | 57,800 | 57,500 | 67,500 | 67,500 | 67,500 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Bells Lake Rd/ Hilltop Needmore Rd | - | 70,300 | 70,300 | 70,300 | 61,300 | 68,300 | 68,300 | 68,300 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of US 401 | - | 71,600 | 71,600 | 71,600 | 59,100 | 50,800 | 50,800 | 50,800 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of Old Stage Road |  | 57,300 | 57,300 | 57,300 | 64,800 | 42,000 | 42,000 | 42,000 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of NC 50 | - | 51,800 | 51,800 | 51,800 | 58,200 | 49,300 | 49,300 | 49,300 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - E of 1-40 |  | 45,900 | 43,900 | 44,300 | 64,800 | 42,900 | 41,000 | 41,400 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of White Oak Road | - | 54,000 | 46,700 | 50,200 |  | 51,500 | 44,500 | 47,900 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of US 70 Business | - | 64,000 | 66,400 | 56,100 |  | 62,500 | 64,800 | 54,800 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Rock Quarry Rd / Old Baucom Rd | - | 69,400 | 67,200 | 63,700 | 73,700 | 69,400 | 67,300 | 63,800 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Auburn Knightdale Road | - | 72,200 | 75,800 | 69,800 | 75,500 | 72,000 | 75,600 | 69,600 |
| SE Ext (NC 540) - N of Poole Road | - | 91,900 | 95,300 | 89,100 | 94,000 | 91,400 | 94,800 | 88,600 |
| 1-40 from Exit 306 (US 70) to US 70 Byp/SE Ext | 108,900 | 94,800 | 94,800 | 94,800 | 110,300 | 99,100 | 99,100 | 99,100 |
| NC 42 - E of l-40 | 30,900 | 27,800 | 27,800 | 27,800 |  | 26,800 | 26,800 | 26,800 |
| NC $50-\mathrm{S}$ of Timber Drive | 28,700 | - | - | - | 34,800 | - | - | - |
| NC $50-$ S of Ten-Ten Road | 20,100 | 14,800 | 14,800 | 14,800 | - | 20,800 | 20,800 | 20,800 |
| NC 55 - from Old Smithfield Road to Triangle Expressway | 47,700 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 50,200 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 |
| Old Stage Road - S of Vandora Springs Rd | 41,500 | - | - | - | 56,000 | - | - | - |
| Old Stage Road - N of Banks Rd | 18,200 | 21,100 | 21,100 | 21,100 |  |  |  |  |
| Old Stage Road - S of Norman Blalock Rd | 9,100 | - | - | - | - | 7,800 | 7,800 | 7,800 |
| Poole Road - E of Hodge Rd | 27,100 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,500 | 23,300 | 23,300 | 23,300 |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of Bells Lake Road | 32,700 | 25,600 | 25,600 | 25,600 | 25,800 | - | - | - |
| Ten-Ten Road - E of US 401 | 18,900 | - | - | - | 17,000 | - | - | - |
| Ten-Ten Road - W of NC 50 | 10,500 | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 |  | 8,600 | 8,600 | 8,600 |
| Holly Springs Road - N of Kildaire Farm Road | 23,800 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 24,800 | 27,400 | 27,400 | 27,400 |
| Old Holly Springs-Apex Road - N of Triangle Expressway | 29,800 | 32,900 | 32,900 | 32,900 | 32,900 | 32,900 | 32,900 | 32,900 |
| Bells Lake Road - S of Ten-Ten Rd | 13,200 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 19,600 | - | - | - |
| Hilltop Needmore Road - E of Old Mills Rd | 7,300 | - | - |  |  | 11,100 | 11,100 | 11,100 |
| Rock Quarry Road - W of Southeast Extension | 10,400 | 9,300 | 6,900 | 6,000 | 8,900 | 9,400 | 6,700 | 5,800 |
| Auburn Knightdale Rd - N of Rock Quarry Rd | 7,600 | 3,700 | 5,500 | 4,800 | 3,700 | 3,400 | 5,100 | 4,400 |
| White Oak Road - E of Raynor Rd | 17,200 | 18,200 | 20,800 | 18,300 |  | 18,300 | 20,900 | 18,300 |
| Vandora Springs Rd - E of Old Stage Rd | 22,400 | - | - | - | 18,500 | - | - | - |
| US 1-N of Triangle Expressway | 44,100 | 43,600 | 43,600 | 43,600 | 45,600 | 46,600 | 46,600 | 46,600 |
| US 401 - N of Ten-Ten Rd | 58,800 | - | - | - | 64,100 | - |  | - |
| US 401 - N of Donny Brook Rd | 60,800 | 74,600 | 74,600 | 74,600 | - | - | - | - |
| US 401 - S of Dwight Rowland Rd | 35,700 |  |  |  |  | 34,300 | 34,300 | 34,300 |
| US 64 Business - E of l-540 | 56,000 | 57,000 | 57,000 | 57,000 | 57,800 | 57,500 | 57,500 | 57,500 |
| US 64 Business - W of l-540 | 50,900 | 55,400 | 55,400 | 55,400 | 56,100 | 55,200 | 55,200 | 55,200 |
| US 64/264 - from Hodge Road to l-540 / SE Extension | 100,100 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 99,500 | 99,500 | 99,500 |
| US 64/264-from l-540 / SE Extension to Smithfield Rd | 116,000 | 113,000 | 113,000 | 113,000 | 112,400 | 111,900 | 111,900 | 111,900 |
| US $70-\mathrm{W}$ of SE Extension | 43,500 | 44,600 | 42,600 | 47,300 | - | 44,100 | 42,200 | 47,000 |
| US $70-\mathrm{E}$ of 1-40 | 53,000 | 47,200 | 45,000 | 47,200 | 49,800 | 47,300 | 45,100 | 47,300 |
| US 70 Bypass - E of l-40 | 39,700 | 49,500 | 47,300 | 48,900 | 38,600 | 46,600 | 45,300 | 46,700 |
| Triangle Expressway - From Old Holly Springs to NC 55 | 34,800 | 51,900 | 51,900 | 51,900 | 51,400 | 52,600 | 52,600 | 52,600 |

### 9.02012 / 2035 FUTURE YEAR OVER/UNDERPASS TRAFFIC FORECAST

Thirty-five (35) overpass and underpass locations were identified based on the preliminary roadway designs of Southeast Extension alternatives. AADT forecast volumes and traffic factors (design hourly volume, directional split information and truck percentages) were developed for -Y- line facilities using the similar forecasting methodology as the other study area roadways. 48hour traffic counts, model data, historical AADT's, previous forecasts, comparing traffic factors from parallel facilities, preparing daily trip generation volumes for residential neighborhoods, and engineering judgment, where applicable, were considered. The forecast volumes shown in Table 23 relate to the numerically lowest DSA corridor number that applies for that location. Appendix $F$ includes additional $-Y$ - line forecasting data.

NCDOT STIP PROJECTS R－2721，R－2828，and R－2829 Complete 540－Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension

Traffic Forecast Report（DSA 1－17）
Table 23．Over／Underpass Traffic Forecast

| Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension Crossing Locations |  |  |  | Design Factors （\％） |  |  |  |  | No－Build Forecast AADT |  |  | Build Forecast AADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DSA |  | Y Line | Crossing Type | DHV | D | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { D } \\ \text { Dir } \end{array}$ | Duals | TTST | 2010 | 2012 | 2035 | 2012 | 2035 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Gamma}$ |  | Old NC 55 （Main St．） | UP | 10 | 65 | SB | 4 | 1 | 17，300 | 20，000 | 33，900 | 17，100 | 26，500 |
|  |  | Sunset Lake Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 10，200 | 13，200 | 23，900 | 8，500 | 17，500 |
|  |  | Sunset Lake Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 7，900 | 10，200 | 22，900 | 6，800 | 15，900 |
|  |  | Pierce－Olive Road | OP | 10 | 60 | SB | 2 | 1 | 3，300 | 3，600 | 9，400 | 3，600 | 9，400 |
|  |  | West Lake Road | OP | 10 | 55 | SB | 5 | 1 | 7，300 | 8，000 | 16，800 | 8，000 | 16，800 |
|  |  | Rhodes Road | OP | 11 | 60 | SB | 7 | 1 | 1，000 | 1，100 | 2，200 | 1，200 | 2，200 |
|  |  | Deer Meadow Road | OP | 10 | 60 | SB | 2 | 1 | 1100＊ | 1，100 | 1，400 | 1，100 | 1，400 |
|  |  | Johnson Pond Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 2，500 | 2，800 | 4，300 | 2，100 | 3，100 |
|  |  | Lake Wheeler Road | UP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 7，000 | 8，200 | 14，800 | 6，500 | 11，000 |
|  | $\underset{\infty}{\sim}$ | Optimist Farm Road | OP | 10 | 65 | EB | 2 | 1 | 7，200 | 9，400 | 16，500 | 5，500 | 11，300 |
|  |  | Johnson Pond Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 3，800 | 3，900 | 19，200 | 2，100 | 11，500 |
|  |  | Hilltop Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 4，200 | 4，800 | 8，400 | 3，000 | 5，100 |
|  |  | Norman Blalock Road | OP | 10 | 65 | WB | 3 | 2 | 1，100 | 1，100 | 1，800 | 1，100 | 1，800 |
|  |  | Barber Bridge Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 700 | 800 | 1，300 | 800 | 1，300 |
|  |  | Rock Service Station Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 3 | 1 | 2，700 | 3，300 | 10，300 | 2，200 | 7，100 |
|  |  | Mal Weathers Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 800 | 900 | 1，500 | 900 | 1，500 |
|  |  | Sauls Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 5 | 1 | 1，700 | 1，900 | 3，900 | 1，500 | 4，200 |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | Ten－Ten Road | UP | 9 | 55 | EB | 3 | 2 | 15，000 | 15，600 | 20，500 | 10，300 | 14，700 |
|  |  | Buffaloe Road | OP | 11 | 65 | EB | 3 | 1 | 3，200 | 3，300 | 7，500 | 3，200 | 5，900 |
|  |  | Thompson Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 1，300 | 1，300 | 1，700 | 1，300 | 1，700 |
|  |  | Aversboro Road | OP | 10 | 60 | SB | 3 | 1 | 7，300 | 8，100 | 13，200 | 8，300 | 14，000 |
|  |  | Bryan Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 1，000 | 1，100 | 1，800 | 1，100 | 1，800 |
|  |  | White Oak Road | OP | 12 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 10，400 | 12，100 | 27，700 | 11，300 | 25，800 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { ले } \\ & \text { مَ } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Old McCullers Road | UP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 1100＊＊ | 1，200 | 1，900 | 1，200 | 1，900 |
|  |  | Fanny Brown Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 4，700 | 4，900 | 7，500 | 3，900 | 7，500 |
|  |  | Holland Church Road | OP | 10 | 70 | SB | 6 | 1 | 3，300 | 3，500 | 6，500 | 3，500 | 6，500 |
|  |  | Sauls Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 5 | 1 | 3，400 | 3，600 | 6，800 | 3，800 | 9，200 |
|  |  | Jordan Road | OP | 10 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 2，000 | 2，200 | 4，800 | 2，200 | 4，800 |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ | New Bethel Church Road | OP | 10 | 65 | EB | 2 | 1 | 400 | 500 | 900 | 300 | 600 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 드む } \\ & \text { む̀ } \\ & \text { 山̈ } \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | Waterfield Dr | UP | 10 | 65 | EB | 3 | 1 | 3，000 | 3，300 | 6，500 | 3，500 | 6，500 |
|  |  | Raynor Road | UP | 12 | 65 | SB | 2 | 1 | 5，900 | 7，200 | 12，300 | 6，500 | 11，400 |
|  |  | E．Garner Road | UP | 16 | 75 | EB | 2 | 1 | 3，100 | 3，700 | 21，800 | 3，200 | 19，900 |
|  |  | Guy Road | OP | 8 | 55 | SB | 2 | 1 | 7，500 | 8，700 | 17，200 | 9，500 | 19，000 |
|  |  | E．Garner Road | UP | 15 | 75 | EB | 3 | 1 | 6，400 | 6，000 | 19，400 | 6，000 | 20，400 |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text {－}}$ | Battle Bridge Road | OP | 15 | 55 | EB | 12 | 4 | 1，100 | 1，500 | 3，900 | 1，400 | 4，800 |

＂OP＂－overpass；＂UP＂－underpass
＊ 2010 No－Build AADT for Deer Meadow Road determined using ITE Trip Generation rates．The 2010 No－Build AADT forecast was then grown at model rates
＊＊Old McCullers Rd AADT（near the underpass location）calculated as $25 \%$ of Old McCullers Rd forecasted AADT at intersection with US 401.

## Appendix A - Figures



















































































































## Appendix B - Triangle Regional Model Study Area Network















## Appendix C - NCDOT Historic AADT Linear Regression Charts
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| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 44 |
| 1991 | 1800 | AVG ANN RATE: | $2.1 \%$ |
| 1993 | 2700 | LINEAR REG: | 18 |
| 1995 | 2200 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $0.9 \%$ |
| 1998 | 2600 |  |  |
| 2001 | 2600 | R-SQUARED | 0.1672 |
| 2003 | 2600 | LINEAR: | 0.1925 |
| 2005 | 2300 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |
| 2007 | 2400 |  |  |
| 2009 | 2600 |  |  |


| $\square$ |
| :--- |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |



| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg Ann Inc |  |  |  |  |
| Avg Ann Rate |  |  |  |  |
| 2778 |  |  |  |  |
| 2821 |  |  |  |  |
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## AADT TREND ANALYSIS

\#17 -- NC 42 E OF CLEVELAND RD (SR 1010)


[^16]
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## AADT TREND ANALYSI S



| SHOW HII STORI C DATA: | SHOW FUTURE DATA: | SHOW STATI ON \#. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AVG ANN I NC | AVG ANN I NC | 21- NC 55 BUS N OF FELDER AVE (SR 1301) |  |
| AVG ANN RATE | $\checkmark$ AVG anN RATE | FUT YRS: | 2013 |
| LINEAR REGRESSI ON | $\checkmark$ LI NEAR REGRESSI ON | \#1 | 2012 |
| EXPPONENTI AL REGRESSI ON | $\checkmark$ EXPONENTI AL REGRESSI ON | \#2 | 2020 |
|  | USER- DEFI NED (FUT PRO, | \#3 | 2025 |
| $\checkmark$ HISTORI C DATA | USER- DEFI NED ( A. G. R.) | \#4 | 2030 |
| NORTH CAROLI NA DEPARTMENT OF | ATI ON / TRANSP. PLANNI NG brand | \#5 | 2035 |

[^19]
## AADT TREND ANALYSI S

\#21B - NC 55 BUS N OF FELDER AVE (SR 1301)


[^20]


[^21]


[^22]

| HISTORIC |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA | STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 111 |
| 1991 | 2100 | AVG ANN RATE: | $3.8 \%$ |
| 1993 | 2700 | LINEAR REG: | 101 |
| 1995 | 2800 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $3.3 \%$ |
| 1998 | 3200 |  |  |
| 2001 | 3600 | R-SQUARED |  |
| 2003 | 3400 | LINEAR: | 0.9252 |
| 2009 | 4100 | EXPONENTIAL: | 0.8779 |

NUMBER OF DATA POINTS
$\square$
$\qquad$
$\longrightarrow$
$\square$

| SHOW HISTORIC DATA: | SHOW FUTURE DATA: |  | SHOW STATION \# : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AVG ANN INC |  | AVG ANN INC | 24- OLD FAISON RD (SR 2515) E OF HODGE RD (SR |  |
| AVG ANN RATE | $\checkmark$ | avg ann Rate | FUT YRS: | 2013 |
| LINEAR REGRESSION | $\checkmark$ | LINEAR REGRESSION | \#1 | 2012 |
| EXPONENTIAL REGRESSION | $\checkmark$ | EXPONENTIAL REGRESSION | \#2 | 2020 |
|  |  | USER-DEFINED (FUT PROJ) | \#3 | 2025 |
| $\checkmark$ HISTORIC DATA |  | USER-DEFINED (A.G.R.) | \#4 | 2030 |
| NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF | TA | / TRANSP. PLANNING BRANCH | \#5 | 2035 |


| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 4544 | 4757 | 4585 | 4928 |  |
| 4433 | 4584 | 4484 | 4769 |  |
| 5322 | 6171 | 5291 | 6204 |  |
| 5878 | 7431 | 5795 | 7313 |  |
| 6433 | 8949 | 6300 | 8620 |  |
| 6989 | 10777 | 6805 | 10161 |  |

[^23]

[^24]


[^25]

| HISTORIC | DATA | STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 28 |  |
| 1991 | 8100 | AVG ANN RATE: | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| 1993 | 8900 | LINEAR REG: | 41 |  |
| 1995 | 10000 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $0.4 \%$ |  |
| 1998 | 11000 |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 12000 | R-SQUARED | 0.0184 |  |
| 2003 | 14000 | LINEAR: | 0.0186 |  |
| 2005 | 9100 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |  |
| 2007 | 9100 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 8600 |  |  |  |

$\longrightarrow$


| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 8711 | 8715 | 10611 | 10439 |  |
| 8683 | 8686 | 10570 | 10399 |  |
| 8906 | 8921 | 10897 | 10722 |  |
| 9044 | 9070 | 11101 | 10929 |  |
| 9183 | 9222 | 11306 | 11139 |  |
| 9322 | 9377 | 11510 | 11354 |  |

[^26]

| HISTORIC DATA |  |  | STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | -44 |  |  |
| 1991 | 4500 | AVG ANN RATE: | $-1.1 \%$ |  |  |
| 1993 | 5000 | LINEAR REG: | 10 |  |  |
| 1995 | 5700 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $-0.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 1997 | 5500 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 6000 | R-SQUARED | 0.0008 |  |  |
| 2001 | 9400 | LINEAR: | 0.0024 |  |  |
| 2003 | 10000 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 5400 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 4300 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 3700 | NUMBER OF DATA POINTS: |  |  |  |
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[^28]
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[^30]

STATISTICAL RESULTS

| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 244 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1991 | 2100 | AVG ANN RATE: | $6.5 \%$ |
| 1993 | 2200 | LINEAR REG: | 289 |
| 1995 | 2500 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $7.5 \%$ |
| 1998 | 4000 |  |  |
| 2001 | 5900 | R-SQUARED |  |
| 2003 | 5300 | LINEAR: | 0.9280 |
| 2005 | 6200 | EXPONENTIAL: | 0.9087 |
| 2007 | 6600 |  |  |
| 2009 | 6500 |  |  |

NUMBER OF DATA POINTS:
$\square$

| SHOW HISTORIC DATA: | SHOW FUTURE DATA: |  | SHOW STATION \# : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AVG ANN INC |  | AVG ANN INC | 32- LAKE WHEELER RD (SR 1375) N OF OPTIMIST F |  |
| AVG ANN RATE | $\checkmark$ | avg ann Rate | FUT YRS: | 2013 |
| LINEAR REGRESSION | $\checkmark$ | LINEAR REGRESSION | \#1 | 2012 |
| EXPONENTIAL REGRESSION | $\checkmark$ | EXPONENTIAL REGRESSION | \#2 | 2020 |
|  |  | USER-DEFINED (FUT PROJ) | \#3 | 2025 |
| $\checkmark$ HISTORIC DATA |  | USER-DEFINED (A.G.R.) | \#4 | 2030 |
| NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF | TAT | / TRANSP. PLANNING BRANCH | \#5 | 2035 |


| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 7478 | 8355 | 8282 | 10578 |  |
| 7233 | 7847 | 7993 | 9835 |  |
| 9189 | 12965 | 10306 | 17605 |  |
| 10411 | 17745 | 11751 | 25333 |  |
| 11633 | 24288 | 13196 | 36452 |  |
| 12856 | 33242 | 14641 | 52452 |  |

[^31]
## AADT TREND ANALYSIS

\#33 -- SUNSET LAKE RD (SR 1301) E OF NC 55 BUS



[^32]

| HISTORIC |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA | STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 356 |
| 1991 | 5600 | AVG ANN RATE: | $4.3 \%$ |
| 1993 | 7200 | LINEAR REG: | 364 |
| 1995 | 8000 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $4.2 \%$ |
| 1998 | 9000 |  |  |
| 2000 | 9200 | R-SQUARED |  |
| 2001 | 11000 | LINEAR: | 0.9335 |
| 2003 | 11000 | EXPONENTIAL: | 0.8996 |
| 2005 | 12000 |  |  |
| 2007 | 12000 |  |  |
| 2009 | 12000 | NUMBER OF DATA POINTS: |  |
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## AADT TREND ANALYSIS

\#37 -- US 64 BYP FROM EXIT 423 TO EXIT 425



[^36]
## AADT TREND ANALYSIS

\#38 -- US 64/264 FROM EXIT 420 TO EXIT 422


[^37]
## AADT TREND ANALYSIS

\#39 -- US 64/264 FROM EXIT 422 TO EXIT 423



[^38]AADT TREND ANALYSIS
\#40 -- US 70 BYP E OF CORNWALLIS RD (SR 1525)


[^39]

[^40]AADT TREND ANALYSIS
\#42 -- US 70 E OF GREENFIELD PKWY (SR 4142)

| HISTORIC |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 111 |
| 1991 | 32000 | AVG ANN RATE: | $0.3 \%$ |
| 1993 | 33000 | LINEAR REG: | 674 |
| 1995 | 38000 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $1.7 \%$ |
| 1998 | 42000 |  |  |
| 2001 | 46000 | R-SQUARED |  |
| 2003 | 49000 | LINEAR: | 0.3371 |
| 2005 | 49000 | EXPONENTIAL: | 0.3307 |
| 2007 | 50000 |  |  |
| 2009 | 34000 |  |  |

$\square 9$
$\square$
$\qquad$ $\longrightarrow$
$\square$

| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 34444 | 34461 | 50058 | 50424 |  |
| 34333 | 34345 | 49384 | 49599 |  |
| 35222 | 35283 | 54777 | 56593 |  |
| 35778 | 35882 | 58147 | 61456 |  |
| 36333 | 36492 | 61518 | 66737 |  |
| 36889 | 37112 | 64888 | 72471 |  |

[^41]

[^42]


[^43]

| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | AADT | STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |
| 1991 | 160 | AVG ANN INC: | 152 |
| 1993 | 280 | LINEAR REG: | $17.5 \%$ |
| 1995 | 450 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | 161 |
| 1997 | 520 |  | $17.5 \%$ |
| 1999 | 920 | R-SQUARED |  |
| 2001 | 1700 | LINEAR: | 0.9458 |
| 2003 | 1500 | EXPONENTIAL: | 0.9519 |
| 2005 | 2000 |  |  |
| 2007 | 2700 |  |  |
| 2009 | 2900 | NUMBER OF DATA POINTS: |  |



[^44]

| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 59 |
| 1992 | 300 | AVG ANN RATE: | $9.0 \%$ |
| 1994 | 190 | LINEAR REG: | 69 |
| 1996 | 270 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $12.1 \%$ |
| 1998 | 270 |  |  |
| 2001 | 340 | R-SQUARED | 0.8024 |
| 2003 | 540 | LINEAR: | 0.8477 |
| 2005 | 980 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |
| 2007 | 1300 |  |  |
| 2009 | 1300 |  |  |

$\longrightarrow$


| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 1535 | 1836 | 1468 | 1982 |  |
| 1476 | 1684 | 1399 | 1767 |  |
| 1947 | 3357 | 1950 | 4419 |  |
| 2241 | 5168 | 2295 | 7835 |  |
| 2535 | 7954 | 2639 | 13893 |  |
| 2829 | 12244 | 2984 | 24635 |  |

[^45]

[^46]
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| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 294 |
| 1991 | 6700 | AVG ANN RATE: | $3.3 \%$ |
| 1993 | 6700 | LINEAR REG: | 359 |
| 1995 | 8800 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $3.8 \%$ |
| 1998 | 10000 |  |  |
| 2001 | 9600 | R-SQUARED | 0.8484 |
| 2003 | 13000 | LINEAR: | 0.8484 |
| 2005 | 12000 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |
| 2007 | 13000 |  |  |
| 2009 | 12000 |  |  |




[^48]

| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 444 |
| 1991 | 8000 | AVG ANN RATE: | $3.9 \%$ |
| 1993 | 9300 | LINEAR REG: | 487 |
| 1995 | 10000 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $4.1 \%$ |
| 1998 | 12000 |  |  |
| 2001 | 15000 | R-SQUARED | 0.8483 |
| 2003 | 17000 | LINEAR: | 0.8561 |
| 2005 | 16000 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |
| 2007 | 15000 |  |  |
| 2009 | 16000 |  |  |

$\longrightarrow$


| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 17778 | 18664 | 19369 | 21333 |  |
| 17333 | 17959 | 18882 | 20488 |  |
| 20889 | 24439 | 22779 | 28314 |  |
| 23111 | 29628 | 25214 | 34660 |  |
| 25333 | 35919 | 27650 | 42427 |  |
| 27556 | 43545 | 30085 | 51936 |  |

[^49]

| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 328 |
| 1991 | 7100 | AVG ANN RATE: | $3.4 \%$ |
| 1993 | 8200 | LINEAR REG: | 378 |
| 1995 | 9600 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $3.7 \%$ |
| 1998 | 11000 |  |  |
| 2001 | 14000 | R-SQUARED | 0.7332 |
| 2003 | 15000 | LINEAR: | 0.7552 |
| 2005 | 14000 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |
| 2007 | 13000 |  |  |
| 2009 | 13000 |  |  |

$\longrightarrow$
$\square$
$\square$
$\square$
$\square$
$\square$


| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 14311 | 14870 | 16489 | 17949 |  |
| 13983 | 14379 | 16111 | 17313 |  |
| 16606 | 18814 | 19137 | 23104 |  |
| 18244 | 22256 | 21028 | 27670 |  |
| 19883 | 26327 | 22919 | 33138 |  |
| 21522 | 31144 | 24811 | 39687 |  |

[^50]AADT TREND ANALYSIS
\#53 -- SR 1010 (TEN TEN RD) E of US 401

| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 522 |
| 1991 | 5600 | AVG ANN RATE: | $5.6 \%$ |
| 1993 | 6600 | LINEAR REG: | 590 |
| 1995 | 8000 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $6.0 \%$ |
| 1998 | 9600 |  |  |
| 2000 | 11000 | R-SQUARED |  |
| 2001 | 13000 | LINEAR: |  |
| 2003 | 13000 | EXPONENTIAL: | 0.9607 |
| 2005 | 14000 |  | 0.9393 |
| 2007 | 16000 |  |  |
| 2009 | 15000 | NUMBER OF DATA POINTS: |  |
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[^52]
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| HISTORIC |  |  | DATA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATISTICAL RESULTS |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | AVG ANN INC: | 233 |
| 1991 | 3700 | AVG ANN RATE: | $4.3 \%$ |
| 1993 | 3800 | LINEAR REG: | 291 |
| 1995 | 4100 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $5.3 \%$ |
| 1998 | 5300 |  |  |
| 2001 | 6000 | R-SQUARED | 0.9455 |
| 2003 | 7500 | LINEAR: | 0.9485 |
| 2005 | 7800 | EXPONENTIAL: |  |
| 2007 | 8400 |  |  |
| 2009 | 7900 |  |  |

$\square$
$\square$
$\square$
$\longrightarrow$


| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 8833 | 9350 | 9779 | 11115 |  |
| 8600 | 8965 | 9488 | 10559 |  |
| 10467 | 12559 | 11819 | 15914 |  |
| 11633 | 15504 | 13276 | 20564 |  |
| 12800 | 19141 | 14733 | 26574 |  |
| 13967 | 23630 | 16190 | 34339 |  |

[^55]
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| $l$      <br> HISTORIC   DATA STATISTICAL RESULTS  <br> Year      |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A A D T}$ | AVG ANN INC: | 667 |  |
| 1991 | 21000 | AVG ANN RATE: | $2.5 \%$ |
| 1993 | 22000 | LINEAR REG: | 784 |
| 1995 | 25000 | EXPONENTIAL REG: | $2.9 \%$ |
| 1998 | 22000 |  |  |
| 2000 | 24000 | R-SQUARED |  |
| 2001 | 26000 | LINEAR: | 0.8092 |
| 2003 | 33000 | EXPONENTIAL: | 0.8173 |
| 2005 | 32000 |  |  |
| 2007 | 34000 |  |  |
| 2009 | 33000 | NUMBER OF DATA POINTS: |  |

10

| FUTURE PROJECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg Ann Inc | Avg Ann Rate | Linear Reg | Exp Reg |  |
| 35667 | 36487 | 37231 | 38713 |  |
| 35000 | 35582 | 36447 | 37612 |  |
| 40333 | 43498 | 42717 | 47383 |  |
| 43667 | 49317 | 46635 | 54740 |  |
| 47000 | 55914 | 50554 | 63240 |  |
| 50333 | 63394 | 54472 | 73059 |  |

[^58]
## AADT TREND ANALYSI S

\#61A -- US 70 E OF GUY RD (SR 2558) / E of ROCK QUARRY ROAD EXTENSI ON


[^59]| 10000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 - 0 - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19902000 |  | 2010 |  | 2020 | 20302040 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHOW HISTORIC DATA: SHOW FUTURE DATA: |  |  |  |  | SHOW STATION \#: |  |
| AVG ANN INC |  | AVG ANN INC |  |  | 62-SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) N of NC 42 (S of S Wak |  |
| $\square$ AVG | ANN RATE | $\checkmark$ AVG ANN RATE |  |  | FUT YRS: | 2013 |
| $\square$ LIN | EAR REGRESSION | $\checkmark$ LINEAR REGRESSION |  |  | \#1 | 2012 |
| $\square$ EXPONENTIAL REGRESSION |  | ( $)$ EXPONENTIAL REGRESSION |  |  | \#2 | 2020 |
|  |  | USER-DEFINED (FUT PROJ) |  |  | \#3 | 2025 |
| $\checkmark$ HISTORIC DATA |  | USER-DEFINED (A.G.R.) |  |  | \#4 | 2030 |
| NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION / TRANSP. PLANNING BRANCH |  |  |  |  | \#5 | 2035 |



[^60]
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## Appendix D - Toll Diversion Model

# Triangle Regional Toll Diversion Model Development 

## Technical Memorandum

## December 2010

## Background

The proposed Southeast Extension in the Triangle area is one of several candidate toll facility projects under consideration by the North Carolina Turnpike Authority (NCTA). The Southeast Extension will extend the Triangle Expressway and complete the Raleigh Outer Loop. It will link the towns of Clayton, Garner, Fuquay-Varina, Holly Springs, Apex, Cary and Raleigh. It will also connect major roadways in southern Raleigh and ease congestion on the Raleigh Beltine ( $1-440$ ), I-40, NC 42, NC 55, and Ten Ten Road. According to the NCTA, the project would increase the overall capacity of the existing roadway network and divert traffic from secondary roads in an area that is experiencing substantial growth.

The primary objection of this task is to develop a new toll diversion modeling procedure for the Triangle Regional TransCAD Travel Demand Model to help better estimate the traffic and revenue of the proposed tolled Southeast Extension.

MPO toll modeling procedures range from simple time penalties applied in the assignment process to a complex set of interactions between multiple model components including auto ownership, mode choice (toll / non-toll nests), distribution (logsum composite impedance) and time-of-day choice.

Currently, toll facilities in the Triangle regional model are evaluated using simple time penalties based on the charged toll rates and Value of Time (VOT). The VOT for Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) was assumed to be $\$ 12 /$ hour; the VOT for High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) and Commercial Vehicle (CV) was assumed to be $\$ 18 /$ hour. The new procedure applies the toll diversion modeling in the traffic assignment for the Triangle Regional model. It calculates the toll diversion for each origin-destination pair based on Willingness-To-Pay (WTP) diversion curves and travel time savings that a toll facility can provide. It then estimates toll diversion within traffic assignments by assuming trips (autos, commercial vehicles and external-external trips) that can use either a toll or a non-toll path during each iteration of the assignment, with final toll volumes being the equilibrium weighted average of the iterations. With WTP diversion curves, trips are split into toll and non-toll trips prior to being assigned permitting the trips to be assigned to appropriate toll or non-toll paths for each iteration. This new toll diversion modeling process has been designed to provide a greater degree of user flexibility. It provides an improved level of evaluation of the intermediate results. This should result in improved estimation of traffic and revenue by time of day and by vehicle type (auto vs. commercial vehicles).

## Recommended Methodology

The Willingness-To-Pay methodology was chosen and applied in the Toll Diversion Modeling process to assess the traffic and toll revenue for the following reasons:

- It is relatively easy to understand and apply
- The value of time and WTP curve can be developed from the stated preference surveys conducted in North Carolina
- It allows the flexibility to apply different WTP curves to individual trip type (autos, commercial vehicles and external-external trips)
- It produces meaningful and intuitive traffic and revenue results ranging from low per mile toll rates to high per mile toll rates


## Toll Diversion Curve Development

As part of the development of the auto and truck toll diversion curves for use in the Triangle Regional travel demand model, HNTB reviewed a number of surveys conducted within North Carolina and in other states. These stated preference surveys provided information on drivers' value of time through systematic evaluation of their willingness to pay for travel time savings. Raw data from three studies within North Carolina was analyzed to develop example willingness to pay curves for comparison purposes. These studies included the Metrolina Region Stated Preference Travel Study (2010), the Monroe Connector/Bypass Stated Preference Travel Study (2009), and the Triangle Expressway Stated Preference Travel Study (2008). In addition, summary information was gathered from a number of studies conducted in other states in order to gain national perspective and establish a range with which to compare the results from the North Carolina studies. A more detailed review of each study is contained in the Appendix.

After a thorough review, three sets of auto and commercial vehicle willingness to pay curves were developed to be incorporated into the Triangle Regional's toll diversion travel demand model set.

## Toll Diversion Curve Set \#1

The auto WTP diversion curve in the first set was developed based on the Triangle Expressway Stated Preference (SP) Survey, which was conducted in January and February 2007. This was the most extensive of the three North Carolina surveys reviewed as part of this study, with 4,725 respondents. The relatively large number of responses led to the most complete picture of willingness to pay and smoothest diversion curve from the North Carolina surveys. Each of the respondents in the SP survey was presented with several scenarios designed to understand willingness to pay. The approach involved a series of detailed trade-offs between travel time and tolls, and respondents would state whether they would take the tolled or free route for each scenario. This survey provided the detailed information to allow an analysis of toll sensitivity by trip type in the route diversion modeling. Average values of time (VOT) were also calculated (in \$2010) for the respondents from the Triangle Expressway SP Survey. The calculations took into account only those who responded that they would be willing to pay under at least one of the scenarios presented to them. The average VOT for Triangle Expressway is $\$ 10.72 / \mathrm{hr}$, which is well within the range of comparable studies across the country (the majority of estimates for value of time nationwide fall within a range of $\$ 10-\$ 15$ per hour).

The three North Carolina studies did not address the value of time for trucks, however there is an extensive body of national research available for use in truck VOT estimation. Truck usage is often a key determinant in the total revenue generation of a new toll facility, so it is crucial to establish an accurate estimate for use in traffic forecasting tools, like the Triangle Regional travel demand model. A national literature review shows a broad range for truck value of time from \$14 per hour up to \$200 per hour or higher. Despite this broad range, it appears that in surveys including analysis of both auto and truck drivers, the value of time for trucks is approximately three times that of autos. Using the typical range for autos, $\$ 10-\$ 15$ per hour, this equates to an average truck value of time of $\$ 30-\$ 45$ per hour.

As part of this analysis, a truck diversion curve was developed based on a ratio of 2.5 times the auto diversion curve. Trucks and autos generally have different responses to toll rates and toll rate increases, and this curve reflects these facts. The primary assumptions used to develop this curve were that a larger share of trucks are willing to pay a toll to save time and that those trucks that are willing to pay a toll are less sensitive to toll rate increases.

Figure 1 displays the first set of willingness to pay curves for autos and trucks. As the cost of travel time savings increases from zero, there is a significant drop-off of auto users willing to pay. Only about $20 \%$ of these users would be willing to pay $\$ 15$ or more per hour of time savings. Trucks are generally less sensitive to price, and subsequently the drop-off in the curve for trucks is less drastic than that for autos. $30 \%$ of trucks are willing to pay $\$ 30$ or more per hour of time savings. Relative to national averages, these curves are toward the low end of the willingness to pay spectrum. In addition, the shape of the curves is not precisely in line with national norms, because they begin to fall quickly at even small changes in value of time. For these reasons, additional curves were developed to test the sensitivity of the toll diversion model and the subsequent impact on forecast revenues.

Figure 1 - WTP Diversion Curves (Auto and Commercial Vehicle)


## Toll Diversion Curve Set \#2

The second set of toll diversion curves was developed to reflect a higher value of time and willingness to pay for both cars and commercial vehicles. Under this set of curves, approximately $50 \%$ of autos would be willing to pay $\$ 15$ or more for an hour of travel time savings, and over $70 \%$ of trucks would be willing to pay $\$ 30$ or more. This reflects an average value of time for autos of between $\$ 20-25$ per hour, and an average value for trucks of over $\$ 45$ per hour. In addition, the shapes of these curves are different from the previous set. The curves in this set indicate that a large percentage of users are willing to pay small amounts for travel time savings. Then as the price for travel time savings increases beyond a nominal amount, willingness to pay begins to rapidly decline, as seen in the previous curve set.

Figure 2 - WTP Diversion Curves \#2 (Auto and Commercial Vehicle)


## Toll Diversion Curve Set \#3

The third set of toll diversion curves was developed to reflect an aggressive assumption of willingness to pay. Under this set of curves, approximately $50 \%$ of autos would be willing to pay $\$ 22$ or more for an hour of travel time savings, and over $70 \%$ of trucks would be willing to pay $\$ 45$ or more. This set of curves was helpful for evaluation and comparison purposes, as the subsequent revenue estimates serve as an upper bound on the forecasts and provide improved understanding regarding the sensitivity of the toll diversion model incorporated into the MPO's
travel demand model stream. However, it should be noted that these curves are associated with high levels of willingness to pay that are outside of the range determined through a nationwide literature review.

Figure 3 - WTP Diversion Curves \#3 (Auto and Commercial Vehicle)


## Process Overview

It should be noted that the model execution process requires user intervention. Users must set up folders and input files for subsequent steps in the process. The following is a summary of the recommended toll diversion modeling process:

1. Set up a toll assignment folder for the specific horizon year
2. Prepare data inputs
i) Required network input file
ii) Required input trip tables
iii) Required toll rate DBF table
3. Run script to perform toll sensitivity assignments
i) Multi-Modal Multi-Class Assignment (MMA) Assignment
ii) Summarize sensitivity assignment results (traffic and revenue by time-of-day and by vehicle type)

The following section describes the details of each step.

## Step 1: Set up a toll assignment folder for the specific horizon year

Specific file structures are recommended for the toll diversion modeling. A new folder is recommended for addition to the standard Triangle Regional travel demand model folder system. This special application can be placed in a new folder named "Toll Diversion Model" under the project main folder and specific horizon year. Within the "Toll Diversion Model" folder, subfolders for different toll diversion curve can be created.

```
C:\TRM Model\2035\
C:\TRM Model\2035\Toll Diversion Model\
C:\TRM Model\2035\Toll Diversion Model\Toll Diversion Curve 1\
C:\TRM Model\2035\Toll Diversion Model\Toll Diversion Curve 2\
C:\TRM Model\2035\Toll Diversion Model\Toll Diversion Curve 3\
```


## Step 2: Prepare data inputs

## - Required network input file

Since TollID and additional special coding are required for the tolled facility, it is expected that the input network will be manually edited and placed in the Toll Diversion Curve folder. A network with special coding, speed and capacities is required for input to the toll diversion model assignment GISDK codes. It recommended that the user copy the "Highway_Line.dbd" file under \Input\Highway\ folder to the Toll Diversion Model\and edits the network named for toll diversion assignment.

To prepare the input network for the toll diversion assignment process, Tollid for the tolled facility corridor must be added. In TransCAD, a new attribute (TollID) can be added to a network using the Dataview-Modify Table... menu option as shown in Figure 4 on the next page.


For this study, ten unique ID were assignment to the study corridor/segments. Table 1 on the next page lists the Toll ID and associated segments.

| Toll ID | Description | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Segment 1: Toll Route 147 from I-40 to Toll Route 540 | Counterclockwise (SB) |
| 12 |  | Clockwise (NB) |
| 21 | Segment 2: Toll Route 540 from Toll Route 540 to Bypass 55 | Counterclockwise (SB) |
| 22 |  | Clockwise (NB) |
| 31 | Segment 3: Toll Route 540 (proposed Southern Wake Expressway) from Bypass 55 to I-40 | Counterclockwise (SB/EB) |
| 32 |  | Clockwise (NB/WB) |
| 41 | Segment 4: Toll Route 540 (proposed Eastern Wake Expressway) from I-40 to US 264/US 64 | Counterclockwise (NB) |
| 42 |  | Clockwise (SB) |
| 51 | Existing l-540 from US 264/US 64 to I-40 | Counterclockwise (NB/WB) |
| 52 |  | Clockwise (SB/EB) |

To prepare the input network for the toll diversion assignment process, special code for the tolled facility corridor must be updated. The following table lists the existing special codes utilized in the Triangle Regional model and four additional special codes included as part of toll diversion model. The additional special coding will allow the toll diversion model to estimate traffic and revenue for HOT lanes (HOV Free, SOV pay, Trucks prohibited), Toll Lanes (Trucks prohibited), Truck Only Lanes and Truck Only Toll Lanes.

Table 1 -Special Code List

| Special Code | Transit | SOVs | HOVs | Trucks | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | V | $\checkmark$ | Interstate/Freeway |
| 2 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Suburban Freeway |
| 3 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Urban Freeway |
| 4 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | V | $\checkmark$ | Rural Highway |
| 5 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $V$ | $\checkmark$ | Suburban Freeway / Expressway |
| 6 | $\varnothing$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Collector / Distributor |
| 21 | $\varnothing$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Freeway to freeway ramps |
| 22 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Freeway to freeway loop ramp with weave |
| 23 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Freeway to freeway loop ramp |
| 24 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Freeway to arterial ramp/loop |
| 25 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $V$ | $\checkmark$ | Arterial to freeway ramp/loop |
| 26 | $\varnothing$ | V | V | $V$ | Arterial to arterial ramp/loop |
| 31 | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $V$ | $\checkmark$ | Centroid connector |
| 41 | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | $\emptyset$ | Hov Lanes |
| 42 | $\varnothing$ | \$ | V | \$ | HOT Lanes (HOV Free, SOV pay, Trucks pay) |
| 43 | $\emptyset$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | Mixed Toll Lanes (for all vehicles) |
| 44* | $\emptyset$ | \$ | $\checkmark$ | $\varnothing$ | HOT Lanes (HOV Free, SOV pay, Trucks prohibited) |
| 45* | $\emptyset$ | \$ | \$ | $\emptyset$ | Toll Lanes (Trucks prohibited) |
| 46* | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\emptyset$ | $\checkmark$ | Truck Only Lanes |
| 47* | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | \$ | Truck Only Toll Lanes |
| 55 | $\checkmark$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | Transit Only Links |

*: Additional special coding included in Toll Diversion Model
v: Allowed $\quad$ : Prohibited \$: Priced

## - Required input trip tables

The following three trip tables are required inputs for the toll diversion model process.

- TOTAM_OD.mtx
- Total AM vehicle trips matrix
- TOTPM_OD.mtx
- Total PM trips matrix
- TOTOP_OD.mtx
- Total Off-Peak trips matrix

The Time-of-day AM, PM and Off-peak are defined as follows:

- Four hour AM peak period: 6:00 am to 10:00 am
- Four hour PM peak period: 3:30 pm to 7:30 pm
- Off-peak (the remaining time of the day)

These three trip tables can be found in the "Trip Distribution" folder under different horizon years. The user will need to copy and paste these trip tables into the in the \Toll Diversion Model $\backslash$ folder under different horizon years. No other special preparations are required for the trip tables. Under each original trip TOD matrix, there are three matrices (SOV, HOV and CV) and six additional trip matrices will be generated automatically by the resource file:

| 【TotalAM.mtx, TotalMD.mtx, TotalPM.mtx, TotalOP.mtx |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Matrix Name(s) | Description |
| SOV | Total Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Trip Matrix (by TOD) |
| HOV | Total High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Matrix (by TOD) |
| CV | Total Commercial Vehicle (CV) Trip Matrix (by TOD) |
| SOV_T* | Total Tolled SOV Trip Matrix (by TOD) |
| HOV_T* $^{\text {CV_T* }}$ | Total Tolled HOV Trip Matrix (by TOD) |
| SOV_NT* | Total Tolled CV Trip Matrix (by TOD) |
| HOV_NT* $^{\text {CV_NT* }}$ | Total Non-Tolled SOV Trip Matrix (by TOD) |
| Total Non-Tolled HOV Trip Matrix (by TOD) |  |

*: these matrices will be generated automatically by the resource file.

## - Required Toll Rate DBF Table

For the toll diversion assignment process, a toll rate dbf table is required. Table 2 lists the range of toll rate by vehicle type (SOV, HOV, and CV) and by Tollid used in this study. This allows the toll diversion model to estimate traffic and revenue by different toll rates by vehicle type and corridor segments.

Table 2 -Toll Rate DBF Table

| Tollid | Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV)/ High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) |  |  |  |  | Commercial Vehicle (CV) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Toll Rate 1 | Toll Rate 2 | Toll Rate 3 | Toll Rate 4 | Toll Rate 5 | Toll Rate 1 | Toll Rate 2 | Toll Rate 3 | Toll <br> Rate 4 | Toll Rate 5 |
| 11 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 12 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 21 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 22 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 31 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 32 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 41 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 42 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 51 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| 52 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.60 |

## Step 3: Run RSC file to perform toll sensitivity assignments

Once the highway network, time-of-day trips tables and toll rate dbf table have been saved and modified in the toll diversion folder, toll assignments can be performed by running the RSC file called "TOLLDiversionModel_TDnumber.rsc". It needs to be noted that all trips tables, revised network of "Highway_Line.dbd" with coding of special and TollID attributes, toll rate DBF table and "TOLLDiversionModel_TDnumber.rsc" need to be saved under the same project folder.

The "TOLLDiversionModel_TDnumber.rsc" automatically calculates link capacity (AB direction and BA direction) and free-flow travel time (AB direction and BA direction) for different time-ofday (AM, PM and OP) and consists of three time-of-day assignments using the same per mile toll for every tolled link/facility. A step-by-step description of how this approach will be applied within the model framework is presented below:

- Travel time skims are run for SOV, HOV and commercial vehicles with and without use of the tolled facilities
- The appropriate willingness-to-pay curves are then used to determine the percentage of travelers who are willing to pay to use the tolled facilities for the predetermined price. This percent willing to pay is determine by: value of time, per mile toll rate, and the travel time saving offered by the tolled facilities.
- A combined auto willingness-to-pay curve that reflects the composition of the trip purpose in the study area are applied to the total SOV and HOV trip table
- A Commercial vehicle willingness-to-pay curve are applied to CV trip tables
- Once the fraction of travelers who are willing to pay is determined, the corresponding trip tables (SOV, HOV and CV) are separated resulting in two trip tables for each vehicle/trip type - those willing to pay (SOV_T, HOV_T, CV_T), and those not willing to pay (SOV_NT, HOV_NT, CV_NT).
- A Multi-Modal Multi-Class Assignment (MMA) with stochastic user equilibrium process is then applied with eligibility restrictions lifted on the tolled facilities for the "willing to pay" travelers. The willing to pay trip table represents the universe of those eligible to use the tolled facilities for a price and does not reflect actual usage. Actual usage is determined through the MMA assignment process.
- The entire process is performed iteratively for each analysis period until the prescribed equilibrium tolerance ( $<1 \%$ ) is achieved.

A screenshot of "TOLLDiversionModel_TDnumber.rsc" is provided as follows:


In the "TOLLDiversionModel_TDnumber.rsc", the user will only need to revise the folder path to reflect the right folder location. Once "TOLLDiversionModel_TDnumber.rsc" runs successfully, the following bin files will be generated under each toll rate scenario:

| \MMA_LinkFlow_T1AM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1PM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1OP.bin |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \MMA_LinkFlow_T2AM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1PM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1OP.bin |  |
| \MMA_LinkFlow_T3AM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1PM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1OP.bin |  |
| \MMA_LinkFlow_T4AM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1PM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1OP.bin |  |
| \MMA_LinkFlow_T5AM.bin; \MMA_LinkFlow_T1PM.bin; \ MMA_LinkFlow_T1OP.bin |  |
| Field Name(s) | Description |
| AB_Time | Congested Travel Time in TOD (AM/PM/OP): AB Direction |
| BA_Time | Congested Travel Time in TOD (AM/PM/OP): BA Direction |
| Max_Time | Maximum Value of Congested Travel Time in TOD: Total AB + BA |
| AB_voc | Volume Capacity Ratio in TOD (AM/PM/OP): AB Direction |
| BA_voc | Volume Capacity Ratio in TOD (AM/PM/OP): BA Direction |
| MAX_voc | Maximum Value of Volume Capacity Ratio in TOD: Total AB + BA |
| AB_vmt | Vehicle Miles Traveled in TOD (AM/PM/OP): AB Direction |
| BA_vmt | Vehicle Miles Traveled in TOD (AM/PM/OP): BA Direction |
| TOT_vmt | Total Vehicle Miles Traveled in TOD: Total AB + BA |
| AB_vht | Vehicle Hours Traveled in TOD (AM/PM/OP): AB Direction |
| BA_vht | Vehicle Hours Traveled in TOD (AM/PM/OP): BA Direction |
| TOT_vht | Total Vehicle Hours Traveled in TOD: Total AB + BA |
| AB_speed | Vehicle Speed in TOD (AM/PM/OP): AB Direction |
| BA_speed | Vehicle Speed in TOD (AM/PM/OP): BA Direction |
| AB_VDF | Volume Delay Function in TOD (AM/PM/OP): AB Direction |
| BA_VDF | Volume Delay Function in TOD (AM/PM/OP): BA Direction |
| MAX_VDF | Maximum Value of Volume Delay Function in TOD: Total AB+BA |
| AB_Flow_SOV_T | Volume of Tolled Single Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: AB Direction |
| BA_Flow_SOV_T | Volume of Tolled Single Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: BA Direction |
| AB_Flow_HOV_T | Volume of Tolled High Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: AB Direction |
| BA_Flow_HOV_T | Volume of Tolled High Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: BA Direction |
| AB_Flow_CV_T | Volume of Tolled Commercial Vehicles in TOD: AB Direction |
| BA_Flow_CV_T | Volume of Tolled Commercial Vehicles in TOD: BA Direction |
| AB_Flow_SOV_NT | Volume of Non-Tolled Single Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: AB Direction |
| BA_Flow_SOV_NT | Volume of Non-Tolled Single Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: BA Direction |
| AB_Flow_HOV_NT | Volume of Non-Tolled High Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: AB Direction |
| BA_Flow_HOV_NT | Volume of Non-Tolled High Occupancy Vehicles in TOD: BA Direction |
| AB_Flow_CV_NT | Volume of Non-Tolled Commercial Vehicles in TOD: AB Direction |
| BA_Flow_CV_NT | Volume of Non-Tolled Commercial Vehicles in TOD: BA Direction |
| AB_Flow | All Vehicle Volume in TOD: AB Direction |
| BA_Flow | All Vehicle Volume in TOD: AB Direction |
| Tot_Flow | Total Vehicle Volumes in TOD: Total AB + BA |

The resource file will also generate new loaded network files under each toll rates under the same project folder. The fields included in those loaded network files are summarized as follows:

| \Highway_Line_T1_loaded.dbd |
| :--- |
| \Highway_Line_T2_loaded.dbd |
| \Highway_Line_T3_loaded.dbd |
| \Highway_Line_T4_loaded.dbd |
| \Highway_Line_T5_loaded.dbd  <br> SOVTRate Toll Rate for Single Occupancy Vehicle (\$/mile) <br> HOVTRate Toll Rate for High Occupancy Vehicle (\$/mile) <br> CVTRate Toll Rate for Commercial Vehicle (\$/mile) <br> SOVToll Tolls for Single Occupancy Vehicles (\$): SOVTRate*Length <br> HOVToll Tolls for High Occupancy Vehicles (\$): HOVTRate*Length <br> CVToll Tolls for Commercial Vehicles (\$): CVTRate*Length <br> AB_Flow_AM All Vehicle Volume during AM Peak Period: AB Direction <br> BA_Flow_AM All Vehicle Volume during AM Peak Period: BA Direction <br> Tot_Flow_AM All Vehicle Volume during AM Peak Period: Total AB+BA <br> AB_Flow_OP All Vehicle Volume during Off-Peak Period: AB Direction <br> BA_Flow_OP All Vehicle Volume during Off-Peak Period: BA Direction <br> Tot_Flow_OP All Vehicle Volume during Off-Peak Period: Total AB+BA <br> AB_Flow_PM All Vehicle Volume during PM Peak Period: AB Direction <br> BA_Flow_PM All Vehicle Volume during PM Peak Period: BA Direction <br> Tot_Flow_PM All Vehicle Volume during PM Peak Period: Total AB+BA <br> AB_Flow_Daily All Vehicle Daily Volume: AB Direction <br> BA_Flow_Daily All Vehicle Daily Volume: BA Direction <br> Tot_Flow_Daily All Vehicle Daily Volume: Total AB+BA <br> AB_Rev_AM Revenue During AM Peak Period: AB Direction <br> BA_Rev_AM Revenue During AM Peak Period: BA Direction <br> Tot_Rev_AM Revenue During AM Peak Period: Total AB+BA <br> AB_Rev_OP Revenue During Off-Peak Period: AB Direction <br> BA_Rev_OP Revenue During Off-Peak Period: BA Direction <br> Tot_Rev_OP Revenue During Off-Peak Period: Total AB +BA <br> AB_Rev_PM Revenue During PM Peak Period: AB Direction <br> BA_Rev_PM Revenue During PM Peak Period: BA Direction <br> Tot_Rev_PM Revenue During PM Peak Period: Total AB+BA <br> AB_Rev_Daily All Daily Revenue: AB direction <br> BA_Rev_Daily All Daily Revenue: BA direction <br> Tot_Rev_Daily All Daily Revenue: Total AB+BA |

## Appendix E - Detailed TAZ Information



## TAZ_2002XP_SE_2012

| TAZ | ATYPE | HH | HH_STUD |  | MEANINC | DWELLUN UBEDS |  |  | RET | HWY | OFF | SER | SPUNIV | SPSC |  | SPAIR |  | SPHOSP | INDPERC | RETPERC | RC | C | ERC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1507 |  | 3884 | 0 | 1085 | 63977 | 402 | 0 | 17 | 43 | 0 | 47 | 115 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1508 |  | 2599 | 14 | 1696 | 73351 | 652 | 0 | 36 | 210 | 94 | 15 | 376 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1737 |  | 21661 | 0 | 4715 | 63727 | 1751 | 0 | 1502 | 363 | 164 | 520 | 1060 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1818 |  | 2761 | 1 | 2161 | 63110 | 802 | 0 | 309 | 48 | 17 | 104 | 135 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1538 |  | 21045 | 1 | 2958 | 63784 | 1106 | 0 | 602 | 69 | 38 | 393 | 236 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1817 |  | 2290 | 10 | 821 | 65998 | 315 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1509 |  | 21008 | 21 | 2813 | 70324 | 1111 | 0 | 7 | 70 | 13 | 1 | 71 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1510 |  | 2876 | 8 | 2445 | 63964 | 955 | 0 | 11 | 92 | 59 | 66 | 130 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1739 |  | 2394 | 9 | 1116 | 66879 | 464 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 25 | 0 | 49 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1741 |  | 2242 | 3 | 673 | 65149 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 16 | 10 | 107 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1389 |  | 259 | 0 | 165 | 84683 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1390 |  | 2224 | 6 | 626 | 148453 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1382 |  | 2538 | 0 | 1502 | 64010 | 586 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 185 | 495 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1736 |  | 2414 | 4 | 1154 | 82133 | 452 | 0 | 27 | 46 | 0 | 52 | 565 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1512 |  | 2427 | 17 | 1190 | 88034 | 475 | 0 | 59 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 69 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1383 |  | 2482 | 15 | 1363 | 110091 | 522 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 21 | 12 | 180 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1511 |  | 2461 | 1 | 1305 | 78813 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 959 |  | 2394 | 23 | 1157 | 114759 | 430 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 55 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1436 |  | 2382 | 14 | 1093 | 116217 | 432 | 0 | 38 | 358 | 15 | 1 | 37 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1735 |  | 2173 | 2 | 491 | 86808 | 184 | 0 | 20 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1731 |  | 2336 | 8 | 988 | 103674 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 46 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1729 |  | 2394 | 11 | 1158 | 113252 | 421 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1727 |  | 2319 | 19 | 796 | 108197 | 356 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 35 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1733 |  | 2175 | 3 | 495 | 69018 | 201 | 0 | 29 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1506 |  | 2339 | 4 | 961 | 72351 | 389 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1332 |  | 2645 | 24 | 1826 | 71554 | 751 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1331 |  | 2474 | 10 | 1324 | 74334 | 523 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 23 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 957 |  | 3204 | 6 | 512 | 100573 | 223 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 16 | 4 | 41 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1313 |  | 2316 | 9 | 791 | 64380 | 367 | 0 | 29 | 126 | 118 | 27 | 90 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1716 |  | 266 | 1 | 186 | 65822 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 65 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1732 |  | 2105 | 4 | 297 | 118020 | 123 | 0 | 10 | 34 | 6 | 10 | 160 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1715 |  | 2444 | 8 | 1255 | 65692 | 510 | 0 | 106 | 60 | 9 | 75 | 184 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1717 |  | 221 | 1 | 61 | 65536 | 26 | 0 | 69 | 58 | 14 | 48 | 165 | 423 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 1719 |  | 2649 | 15 | 1837 | 62887 | 748 | 0 | 518 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 66 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1503 |  | 2138 | 0 | 391 | 62504 | 156 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 6 | 7 | 137 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1315 |  | 2266 | 5 | 667 | 72048 | 288 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1499 |  | 2724 | 14 | 2049 | 71242 | 780 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 27 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1698 |  | 2217 | 2 | 614 | 60799 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 |  | 2361 | 10 | 902 | 56024 | 415 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 93 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1316 |  | 2305 | 7 | 863 | 74113 | 330 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1318 |  | 2791 | 8 | 2239 | 65247 | 865 | 0 | 27 | 16 | 18 | 6 | 78 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1498 |  | 2361 | 7 | 1020 | 64090 | 409 | 0 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 41 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1695 |  | 2428 | 7 | 1210 | 73835 | 491 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 6 | 8 | 38 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1696 |  | 2292 | 5 | 827 | 60001 | 313 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1697 |  | 2412 | 4 | 1166 | 64596 | 442 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1320 |  | $3 \quad 97$ | 5 | 274 | 64562 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1319 |  | 253 | 0 | 149 | 149194 | 56 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1502 |  | 3108 | 9 | 307 | 64884 | 118 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1711 |  | 3392 | 0 | 1069 | 63243 | 404 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 30 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2184 |  | 2390 | 4 | 1004 | 65154 | 408 | 0 | 43 | 101 | 61 | 22 | 117 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 325 | 0 |  | 55370 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 2174 | 2 | 160 | 0 | 428 | 55193 | 161 | 0 | 790 | 226 | 364 | 88 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2183 | 3 | 199 | 0 | 551 | 61843 | 208 | 0 | 14 | 62 | 38 | 11 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1710 | 3 | 738 | 1 | 1999 | 63588 | 772 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2251 | 2 | 267 | 1 | 729 | 76355 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1709 | 3 | 112 | 9 | 304 | 63294 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1501 | 2 | 294 | 1 | 795 | 58290 | 308 | 0 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1707 | 3 | 95 | 2 | 257 | 60922 | 101 | 0 | 45 | 4 | 39 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2164 | 3 | 403 | 1 | 1082 | 57015 | 426 | 0 | 10 | 25 | 9 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2204 | 3 | 156 | 9 | 418 | 59445 | 170 | 0 | 5 | 35 | 7 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2217 | 2 | 222 | 4 | 610 | 57290 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 376 | 96 | 24 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2218 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 54 | 60791 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 57 | 143 | 3 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2160 | 2 | 453 | 6 | 1081 | 63233 | 510 | 0 | 116 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 871 | 3 | 637 | 14 | 1664 | 67255 | 687 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1708 | 2 | 83 | 0 | 216 | 61002 | 90 | 0 | 29 | 55 | 7 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 873 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 26 | 27778 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 10 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 872 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 79 | 57695 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1704 | 3 | 51 | 2 | 134 | 80863 | 57 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 694 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1705 | 3 | 34 | 7 | 90 | 64646 | 39 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 143 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1677 | 3 | 243 | 12 | 653 | 65004 | 261 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1676 | 3 | 146 | 3 | 390 | 63051 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1491 | 3 | 467 | 19 | 1251 | 63309 | 498 | 0 | 20 | 66 | 5 | 18 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 868 | 3 | 201 | 18 | 521 | 63973 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 70 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 867 | 2 | 1430 | 12 | 3732 | 61191 | 1581 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1679 | 3 | 480 | 0 | 1309 | 63391 | 523 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 28 | 8 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1492 | 2 | 604 | 6 | 1648 | 61086 | 647 | 0 | 6 | 73 | 40 | 51 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1678 | 3 | 714 | 20 | 1948 | 63066 | 769 | 0 | 29 | 23 | 7 | 18 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1496 | 3 | 299 | 7 | 803 | 64152 | 319 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1687 | 3 | 263 | 8 | 706 | 62770 | 281 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 111 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## TAZ_2002XP_SE_2035

| 2174 | 2 | 620 | 0 | 1655 | 55193 | 620 | 0 | 806 | 297 | 410 | 102 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2183 | 2 | 480 | 0 | 1349 | 61843 | 505 | 0 | 58 | 254 | 161 | 49 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1710 | 2 | 1999 | 0 | 5417 | 63588 | 2089 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2251 | 2 | 372 | 0 | 1019 | 76355 | 443 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1709 | 2 | 403 | 32 | 1096 | 63294 | 419 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1501 | 2 | 391 | 0 | 1059 | 58290 | 409 | 0 | 70 | 53 | 81 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1707 | 2 | 146 | 3 | 397 | 60922 | 153 | 0 | 172 | 4 | 86 | 115 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2164 | 2 | 996 | 0 | 2629 | 57015 | 1060 | 0 | 24 | 108 | 38 | 10 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2204 | 2 | 320 | 17 | 851 | 59445 | 326 | 0 | 16 | 94 | 31 | 12 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2217 | 2 | 370 | 7 | 1093 | 57290 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 493 | 163 | 35 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2218 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 104 | 60791 | 34 | 0 | 20 | 104 | 148 | 3 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2160 | 2 | 826 | 9 | 1710 | 63233 | 972 | 0 | 121 | 43 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 871 | 2 | 1807 | 36 | 4718 | 67255 | 1932 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1708 | 2 | 236 | 0 | 612 | 61002 | 255 | 0 | 125 | 90 | 28 | 9 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 873 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 26 | 27778 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 43 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 872 | 2 | 79 | 0 | 214 | 57695 | 85 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 18 | 49 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1704 | 2 | 55 | 2 | 144 | 80863 | 59 | 0 | 619 | 0 | 0 | 2707 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1705 | 1 | 121 | 24 | 315 | 64646 | 133 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 614 | 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1677 | 2 | 722 | 36 | 1939 | 65004 | 765 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1676 | 2 | 429 | 9 | 1146 | 63051 | 457 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1491 | 2 | 1681 | 67 | 4499 | 63309 | 1780 | 0 | 25 | 246 | 23 | 62 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 868 | 2 | 718 | 65 | 1864 | 63973 | 764 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 301 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 867 | 2 | 2351 | 24 | 6135 | 61191 | 2588 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1679 | 2 | 1984 | 0 | 5411 | 63391 | 2164 | 0 | 27 | 192 | 119 | 31 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1492 | 2 | 1581 | 16 | 4318 | 61086 | 1688 | 0 | 6 | 247 | 173 | 217 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1678 | 2 | 1918 | 58 | 5237 | 63066 | 2047 | 0 | 56 | 41 | 29 | 79 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1496 | 2 | 879 | 18 | 2358 | 64152 | 928 | 0 | 7 | 51 | 0 | 5 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1687 | 2 | 806 | 24 | 2163 | 62770 | 854 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 474 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix F - Forecasting Summary Data

## Southeast Extension 2012 Build DSA Forecast Volumes

| Facility | Location | DSA 1-2,13-14 | DSA 3-4, 15-16 | DSA 5, 17 | DSA 6-7 | DSA 8-9 | DSA 10-11 | DSA 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Extension | from NC 55 to SR 1152 (Holly Springs Road) | 17,600 | 17,600 | 17,600 | 15,200 | 23,600 | 23,600 | 23,600 |
|  | from SR 1152 (Holly Springs Road) to SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road) | 21,800 | 21,800 | 21,800 | 19,800 | 29,000 | 29,000 | 29,000 |
|  | from SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road) to US 401 | 30,500 | 30,500 | 30,500 | 22,500 | 28,100 | 28,100 | 28,100 |
|  | from US 401 to SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | 33,300 | 33,300 | 33,300 | 22,100 | 20,400 | 20,400 | 20,400 |
|  | from SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) to NC 50 | 26,100 | 26,100 | 26,100 | 21,800 | 17,100 | 17,100 | 17,100 |
|  | from NC 50 to I-40 / US 70 Bypass | 24,200 | 24,200 | 24,200 | 25,300 | 21,200 | 21,200 | 21,200 |
|  | from l-40 / US 70 Bypass to (SR 2700) White Oak Road | 20,900 | 18,900 | 20,900 | 23,000 | 18,800 | 17,000 | 18,800 |
|  | from (SR 2700) White Oak Road to US 70 | 27,200 | 20,600 | 25,800 | 23,000 | 25,700 | 19,500 | 24,400 |
|  | from US 70 to SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road) | 34,700 | 37,800 | 27,500 | 23,000 | 34,000 | 37,000 | 26,900 |
|  | from SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road) to SR 2555 (Auburn Knightdale Road) | 37,800 | 37,000 | 33,000 | 33,400 | 37,900 | 37,100 | 33,100 |
|  | from SR 2555 (Auburn Knightdale Road) to SR 1007 (Poole Road) | 37,700 | 41,100 | 35,300 | 34,100 | 37,600 | 41,000 | 35,200 |
|  | from SR 1007 (Poole Road) to US 64/264 | 48,600 | 51,800 | 46,000 | 43,800 | 48,500 | 51,700 | 45,900 |



Southeast Extension 2035 Build DSA Forecast Volumes

| Facility | Location | DSA 1-2,13-14 | DSA 3-4, 15-16 | DSA 5, 17 | DSA 6-7 | DSA 8-9 | DSA 10-11 | DSA 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Extension | from NC 55 to SR 1152 (Holly Springs Road) | 47,400 | 47,400 | 47,400 | 42,800 | 51,100 | 51,100 | 51,100 |
|  | from SR 1152 (Holly Springs Road) to SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road) | 57,800 | 57,800 | 57,800 | 57,500 | 67,500 | 67,500 | 67,500 |
|  | from SR 1386 (Bells Lake Road) to US 401 | 70,300 | 70,300 | 70,300 | 61,300 | 68,300 | 68,300 | 68,300 |
|  | from US 401 to SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) | 71,600 | 71,600 | 71,600 | 59,100 | 50,800 | 50,800 | 50,800 |
|  | from SR 1006 (Old Stage Road) to NC 50 | 57,300 | 57,300 | 57,300 | 64,800 | 42,000 | 42,000 | 42,000 |
|  | from NC 50 to l-40 / US 70 Bypass | 51,800 | 51,800 | 51,800 | 58,200 | 49,300 | 49,300 | 49,300 |
|  | from I-40 / US 70 Bypass to (SR 2700) White Oak Road | 45,900 | 43,900 | 44,300 | 64,800 | 42,900 | 41,000 | 41,400 |
|  | from (SR 2700) White Oak Road to US 70 | 54,000 | 46,700 | 50,200 | 64,800 | 51,500 | 44,500 | 47,900 |
|  | from US 70 to SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road) | 64,000 | 66,400 | 56,100 | 64,800 | 62,500 | 64,800 | 54,800 |
|  | from SR 2542 (Rock Quarry Road) to SR 2555 (Auburn Knightdale Road) | 69,400 | 67,200 | 63,700 | 73,700 | 69,400 | 67,300 | 63,800 |
|  | from SR 2555 (Auburn Knightdale Road) to SR 1007 (Poole Road) | 72,200 | 75,800 | 69,800 | 75,500 | 72,000 | 75,600 | 69,600 |
|  | from SR 1007 (Poole Road) to US 64/264 | 91,900 | 95,300 | 89,100 | 94,000 | 91,400 | 94,800 | 88,600 |



$\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0.6 & 1.2 & 1.8 & 2.4 & 3 \\ & & & & & \\ \text { *For representative purposes, only DSA } 1 \text { (Orange), DSA } 6 \text { (Red), }\end{array}$ and DSA 8 (Purple) to Green mainline volumes are shown. HNTB, North Carolina, PC
343 East Six Forks Road, Suite 200 Raleigh, NC 27609

|  |  |  |  | No－Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Build 1 －Orange to Green |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | M Raw Moce |  |  |  | Rate | Rate | Rate | final |  |  | TRM Factors |  |  | Rate | Raw Model |  | Rate | FiNaL |  | Rate |
|  | HNTB ID | Facility | Location | 2009 | 2012 | 2035 | 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2035 } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { folt } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{2010.2012 \\ \text { Modol } \\ \text { Gorwth } \\ \text { Fate }}}{\substack{\text { Pate }}}$ |  | 2010 | 2012 | 2035 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \text { TRM } \\ \text { Factor } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \text { TRM } \\ & \text { Factor } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2012 \\ 2222021 \\ \text { nodel } \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 2035 \\ \text { Nowe } \\ \text { Nouel } \\ 1132011 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 2012 | 2035 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Final } \\ \substack{\text { Annual } \\ \text { Grouth } \\ \text { Rate }} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Easem Waxe Exprossay |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4．38\％ | 2．25\％ |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 20．900 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{2}{3}$ | Easem Wexe Expessway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2.25}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 1.00 | ＋1．00 |  | 27，200 <br> $3+7,00$ | 54．4000 64.000 | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3.03 \%}} \mathbf{2 . 7 0 \%}$ | 27，200 <br> 34,700 | 54，000 64,000 | 年．03\％ |
| Res | ${ }_{1}^{1.3}$ | Easem Wate Exposessway |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{4.88 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.25 \%}$ |  |  |  | 1.00 | $\stackrel{1}{1.00}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | Eastern Waxte Expossway |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4．38\％ | 225\％ |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 37，800 | 0，300 | 2．67\％ | 37，800 | 69，300 | 2．67\％ |
|  | 5 | Easeen Waxe Expossway |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38\％ | 2．25\％ |  |  |  | ．00 | 1.00 | ．00 |  | 37，700 | 200 | 7\％ | 700 | 20 | 2．87\％ |
|  | $\frac{6}{7}$ | ${ }^{\text {Easter Wate Expossway }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{4.38^{4}}$ | ${ }_{1.45}$ |  |  |  |  | （1．00 | －1.00 <br> 0.83 |  | ${ }^{48.600}$ | ${ }_{\text {91，900 }}^{154,600}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{2.818 \%}$ |  | ${ }^{911,900}$ | ${ }^{1.54}$ |
| Reod | 8a | ${ }^{1.140}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1224,500}$ | ${ }_{\text {，}}^{1.46}$ | ${ }_{\text {0，}}^{0.55 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{1.496 \%}$ |  |  | ${ }^{12449900} 1$ | －0．819 | 0．80 | 0.83 <br> 0.7 | ${ }_{\text {1．5620 }}^{1.5020}$ | ${ }^{111,700}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\frac{88}{88}}$ | ${ }^{1+40}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 94，900 } \\ \hline 94.90 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {95，000 }}^{95000}$ | ${ }_{\substack{138,600 \\ 138.60}}$ | 949900 <br> 94,900 | ${ }^{1.46} 1.4$ | 0．05\％ 0 | ${ }^{1.66 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {75，000 }}^{75.000}$ | $\xrightarrow{75,7700}$ | 108，900 <br> 108,90 | 0.79 0.79 | 0.80 0.80 | 0.79 0.79 |  | $\frac{0}{87,300}$ |  |  | 69.600 |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | （tas | \％6．500 |  | ${ }_{\text {101，000 }}^{69000}$ | 66.400 <br> 48700 | ${ }_{1}^{1.52}$ | ${ }^{0.75 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.777^{2}} 1$ | 56，300 | ${ }_{\text {56，700 }}^{50.400}$ | $\frac{82.000}{72,000}$ | 0.85 <br> ${ }_{\text {O }}^{1.05}$ | －0.84 <br> 1.02 | 0.81 <br> 1.06 | ${ }_{\text {1．6220 }} 1.6$ | ${ }_{\text {72，}}^{\substack{7200}}$ | 100．600 <br> $\substack{70100}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.4489}$ |  | ${ }_{81,700}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{10} 12$ | ${ }^{1.590}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}48,400 \\ 24,700 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{4.85,50}$ | ${ }^{\text {80，300 }}$ |  | ${ }_{2}{ }^{2.74}$ |  | ${ }^{1.425 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {50，}}^{59,700}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 1．03 }}{1.70}$ | ${ }^{1.027}$ | $\stackrel{1.09}{1.09}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {ci．50 }}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{11}$ | S | tom US 64286410 US 68 Businss | －15，600 | ${ }^{25,700}$ | 57，900 |  | ${ }^{3.05}$ | 16．30\％ | 2．25\％ |  | 43.000 | －71，000 | 2.16 | ${ }_{1.37}$ | 1.09 | ${ }^{2,2}$ | 40.300 | ${ }^{86,50}$ |  | 55，200 | 94，700 |  |
|  | ${ }^{138}{ }^{138}$ | ${ }^{\text {NNC } 42}$ |  | 19.800 <br> 34.00 | 22，700 <br> 36.00 | ${ }_{\text {26，}}^{42,100}$ | ${ }^{20.8 .800} 35$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.26}$ | ${ }^{4.4796 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.648 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {25，900 }}^{37,100}$ | ${ }_{\substack{26,400 \\ 37.800}}$ | ${ }^{30.900} 4$ | ${ }_{\text {\％}}^{1.25}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.05}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.05}$ | ${ }^{0.698} 0$ | 16.900 30.800 | ${ }_{\text {23，}}^{23,40}$ | ${ }_{\text {c，}}^{\substack{1.48 \\ 0.73}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{19,700}}^{19,00}$ | ${ }^{38,2}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{\text {NC } 42}$ | Not US70 5ppass |  |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{19.500}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {3，}}^{4.43^{\circ}}$ |  |  |  |  | －0．91 |  | 09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {new }}$ | ， |  | 19，200 | ${ }_{\text {24，400 }}^{\text {2，}}$ | － | ¢ | ${ }_{1.15}^{1.5}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{1.53 \%}$ | 13,700 19.500 190 | $\xrightarrow{15,000} 2$ |  | －0.61 <br> 0.98 | －${ }_{0}^{0.61}$ | －0．62 | 1.544 | ${ }^{17,700}$ | ${ }^{29,100}$ | 2．19\％ | 13，50 | 22，200 |  |
| Rea | new | No 50 | ${ }^{\text {Sors Wate Eppuy }}$ | （19，200 $\begin{gathered}1300 \\ 1.300\end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{21,100}$ | 29，100 | 19.800 13,700 | ，${ }_{\text {li．4，}}^{1.29}$ |  | ${ }^{1.419 \%}$ | 19.50 <br> 1400 <br> 140 | $\frac{20.800}{1.500}$ | 28，700 | － 0.98 | ${ }_{0}^{0.98}$ | 0．99 |  | $\stackrel{0}{12300}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －new | NC 50 |  | 18.500 <br> 18.00 |  | 25，400 | lig．200 | ${ }_{1.32}^{1.2}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {ckis．}}^{\substack{14.500}}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}$ |  | －1．79 | －1．79 | －1．79 | $0.77 \%$ | ${ }_{12.500}^{12.500}$ | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{18.60}$ | ${ }^{0.7696}$ | 1．2．400 | 14．700 | 0.748 |
|  | －${ }^{18}$ | Na 50 |  | － 18.000 | ${ }_{\text {21，}}^{\text {21，000 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{25.400 \\ 13.800}}$ | ${ }^{\frac{19,200}{10,100}}$ | 1.32 1.37 | 㐌．078\％ | ${ }^{0.790^{\circ}} 0$ | 15,20 <br> 8.00 <br> 8 | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{17,100}$ | 20，100 | 0．79 | 0.79 0.79 | 0.79 0.9 | 0．791920 | ${ }^{23.000} 1$ | 34，200 19.800 | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{1.748 \%}$ | 18,200 10,900 1 | 27， 1.100 15.60 |  |
|  | ${ }^{20}$ | NC 5 Stypass |  | 27，20 | ${ }_{\text {4，}}^{40,700}$ | ${ }^{50.600}$ | ${ }^{31,700}$ | 1.60 | ${ }_{\text {13，}}^{1336}$ | 0．95\％ | ${ }^{29,70}$ |  | 47.400 | 0．94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.9 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{38.1 \\ 38.1}}$ | 47,400 |  |
|  | ${ }_{22}^{22}$ | NC 55 preass | lom | $\stackrel{\substack{27,200}}{ }$ |  | ${ }^{50,00}$ | $\xrightarrow{31,760}$ | ${ }_{1.29}^{1.60}$ | 4．95\％ | ${ }^{0.968 \%}$ | ${ }^{29,900}$ | ${ }^{38,400}$ | ${ }^{\text {4，}} 38.000$ | 0.94 | ${ }_{1}^{0.04}$ | 0.94 <br> 1.04 | 0．967\％ | ${ }^{40,300} 3$ | ${ }_{\text {4，} 4 \text { 4，300 }}$ | 0．656\％ | － | ${ }_{46,20}^{46,00}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{23}^{23}$ | Southen Whake Eppossway | tom |  |  |  |  |  | 4．38\％ | ${ }_{2}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1.00}{1.00}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | ${ }^{17,600}$ | 41，400 | ${ }^{\text {3．7．9\％}}$ | ${ }^{\text {ci，600 }}$ | 441．40 | （e．ta\％ |
| Orange | 24 | Sounter Wake Eppossway |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38\％ | 2．25\％ |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | ${ }^{21.800}$ | 57，800 | 4．33\％ | 21，800 | 57，80 | 4．33\％ |
| Puppl | 24a | Sounter Waxe Eppessway |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4．38\％ | 2．25\％ |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | Soutem Ware Exprossway |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4．38\％ | 2．25\％ |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 30.500 | 70.300 | 3．70\％ | 30.500 | 70，300 | 3．70\％ |
|  |  | Sounem W |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4．38\％ | 2．25\％ |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| upp | ${ }^{26}$ | Southen Wate Eprossuay |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{4.388 \%}$ | 2．25\％ |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 |  | ${ }_{\substack{33,300 \\ 26,100}}$ | ${ }_{71}^{77}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3.38 \% \\ 3.482}}$ | （ 38.30 | ${ }_{\text {71，}}^{77.6}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3.388 \% \\ 3880}}$ |
|  | ${ }_{28}^{28}$ | Semen | Home |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1.00}$ | － 1.00 | $\stackrel{1}{1.00}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { 24，200 }}{ }$ | ${ }^{55.800}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3.56 \%}{}}$ | $\stackrel{20,20}{24.20}$ | ${ }_{51,80}$ | ${ }^{\text {c．3．36\％}}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Reed }}^{\text {Reed }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Sh }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{9.500 \\ 14.700}}$ | ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{\substack{10.200 \\ 16.200}}$ | ${ }^{36,800} 48$ | ${ }_{\substack{9.700 \\ 15.200}}$ | ${ }^{3.780}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.548 \\ 3,246}}$ | ${ }^{5.748 \%} 4$ | ${ }^{\text {9，7．800 }}$ |  | ${ }^{36,800} 4$ | ${ }^{1.00} 0$ | 1.08 0.98 | ${ }^{1.00} 0$ | ${ }^{5.749 \%}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reor |  |  | Nor st 1010 （Tent Ten Rod | $\begin{array}{r}14,700 \\ 10.40 \\ 1000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {16，200 }}^{11.800}$ | ${ }_{\text {42，500 }}$ | ${ }^{\frac{15}{15.200}} 1$ | 2．280 |  | $\frac{4.28 \%}{3,35 \%}$ | 14，800 | （15．800 | 41.500 <br> 18.200 | －0．97 | 0．988 | 0．988 | ${ }^{4.239 \%}$ | $\frac{0}{15,100}$ |  | ${ }^{3.16 \%}$ | 10．900 | ${ }^{22,30}$ |  |
| drang | 30 |  |  | 10.4 | ${ }^{11.800}$ | ${ }^{25,200}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{4.05 \%}$ | ${ }^{3.355 \%}$ |  |  | ${ }^{18,200}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.72}$ | 0．72 | 0.72 | 3．37\％ |  |  |  | ${ }_{10,10}$ | ${ }_{19,0}$ |  |
| Luac |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Somor }}$ |  | ¢，${ }_{\text {9，200 }}$ | ${ }^{22,900}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {2．48 }}$ | ， | ${ }^{4.894 \%}$ | 8．900 | ${ }_{\text {9．100 }}^{\text {9．100 }}$ | $\xrightarrow{20.7800}$ | 1.06 | 1.15 | ． 1.90 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{23,200}$ | ${ }^{3.89 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {c，}}^{\substack{12,40 \\ 5,10}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{21.0 \\ 10.8}}^{\text {2，}}$ |  |
| ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\text {Bue }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{6.000}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6.600}$ | ${ }_{\substack{13.900 \\ 13.900}}$ |  | ${ }^{2.24}$ | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{3.188 \%}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3} 299 \%}$ | 4.4000 | 4.4 .300 | $\xrightarrow{9,700}$ | －0．69 | ${ }^{0.705}$ | 0．70 | ${ }_{\substack{3.30 \% \\ 3.36 \%}}$ | ${ }^{6.200} 4$ | ${ }_{\substack{13.100}}^{13.100}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{4.36 \%}} 4$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{81}^{81}$ |  |  |  | －${ }_{\text {4，800 }}^{12}$ | ${ }^{4} 4.900$ | 10，200 | ${ }_{\text {4，}}^{4.800}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,13 \\ 343}}$ | ${ }^{1.049 \%}$ | $\frac{3.248}{490 \%}$ | 良．8000 | 3．900 | ${ }^{8.100}$ | －0．73 | ${ }_{0}^{0.80}$ | 0.95 | ${ }^{3.23}$ | ${ }^{4.400}$ | 9，40 | ${ }^{\frac{3}{5} .36 \%}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{32}$ | SR 1007 P Pooid haod |  |  | ${ }^{1.5,500}$ | 46.600 | ${ }_{\text {13，600 }}^{11000}$ |  | 6．786\％ | ${ }^{4.909 \%}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.58}$ | 0.58 | 0.58 | 4.919 | ${ }^{14.8500}$ | 39，60 |  |  | ${ }_{23,000}^{2300}$ |  |
| Prange | －${ }^{33}$ |  | Worsh 316 |  | $\frac{13,200}{10.800}$ | 39,200 <br> 13,00 | ${ }_{\text {IT，}}^{1,500}$ | 3．3． <br> 1.3 | ${ }^{9.0 .65 \%} 6$ | ${ }^{4.85 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{6.500}$ |  | 22．900 | 0．592 | 0．98 | 0．98 | ${ }^{4.855^{\circ}}$ | ${ }_{\text {col }}^{\substack{17.500 \\ 8.300}}$ |  | $\frac{4.122^{2}}{1.836}$ | 7，900 | ${ }_{\text {20，000 }}^{10.40}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{35}^{35}$ |  |  | ${ }_{6,700}$ | 10.100 <br> 1.200 | $\xrightarrow{19,200}$ | 7，800 | ${ }^{2.46}$ | ${ }^{13,799 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.883 \%}$ | 13.300 <br> 15700 |  | ${ }^{327700}$ | $\frac{1.71}{1.73}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.72}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.70}$ | ${ }^{2,888 \%}$ | 2.300 <br> 10300 <br> 1 | $\stackrel{6}{6200}$ | $\frac{4.4196}{4710}$ | ${ }_{1}^{13,400}$ | ${ }_{\text {25，600 }}^{28000}$ |  |
| Reof |  | SR 1010 （Tron．Ten foad | Eofus 401 | ${ }_{17} 17.300$ | ${ }^{21,500}$ | ${ }_{\text {27，700 }}$ | 18，700 | ${ }_{1.48}$ | ${ }^{7} 7.236$ | 1．12\％ | 12.800 | 14，700 | 18，900 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { 9．000 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{15.400}$ | 238\％\％ | 6，200 | ${ }^{20.000}$ | ${ }^{2.32 \%}$ |
| Ree |  |  | Woivsal | （17．600 | ${ }_{\text {20，600 }}^{12500}$ | ${ }^{277,100}$ | （18．600 | ${ }^{1.468}$ |  | 1．20\％ | －$\frac{14.100}{6.700}$ | （5．600 | （20．500 | 0．760 | ${ }_{0}^{0.76}$ | 0．76 |  | 11.800 <br> 5.800 | （17，000 | ， $1.60 \%$ | 8，900 | 12．900 |  |
|  | 37 | SR 1152（Holly Perings Roas） |  | 10，500 | 10，800 | 28，300 | 10，600 | 2.67 | 0．94\％ | 4．28\％ | 8.900 | 9.100 | 23，800 | 0.84 | ${ }^{0.84}$ | 0.84 | 4．27\％ | 11，000 | 27，400 | 4．05\％ | 9，300 |  | 22\％ |
|  | 1 | SR 1152 HHOlly Spings Road） | Noi Soutem Waxe Eppossway | 10．500 | 10，800 | 28,300 | 10.600 | 2.67 | 0．94\％ | 4．28\％ | 8.900 | 9.100 | 23，800 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 4.278 | 25．300 | 27，400 | 0．35\％ | 21.300 |  | ${ }^{0.33}$ |
|  | 39 | SR 1152 （Hally Spings Road） |  | 23，40 | 23，800 | 47，100 | 23，500 | 2.00 | $0.64 \%$ | 3．01\％ | 19，000 | 19，20 | 38，00 | 0．81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 3．01\％ | 27，200 | 60，300 | 3．52\％ | 21，900 | 48，60 | \％ |
|  | 40 |  | Not Trangle Eprossway NC 5 540］ | $\xrightarrow{10,20}$ | $\xrightarrow{10,100}$ | 29.800 | $\frac{10,200}{1+300}$ | ${ }_{2.92}^{2.92}$ | －0．49\％ | 4．82\％ | ${ }_{1}^{1.90}$ | 10．100 | ${ }^{29,800}$ | ${ }^{0.19}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | ${ }_{4}^{4.820}$ | ${ }^{10.600}$ | 32.900 <br> 4300 | ${ }_{5}^{5.050}$ | $\xrightarrow{10.60}$ | ${ }_{32,900}^{3.300}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{4}^{41}$ |  |  | 10，200 | 15.000 | 41.600 | 11，800 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.16 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 14.500 | 43.00 |  | （14，50 | ${ }_{\text {43，000 }}^{1.800}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{43}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{4388 \%}{4386}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {2，} 2.000}^{1.00}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{2.302 \%}$ |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{1.500}$ |  |  |
| Pum | 44 |  | WoisR 1152 2 Holly Spring S Road） | 12.900 | 13.100 | 25.900 | 13.000 | 1.99 | ${ }^{4.358 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.050 \%}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {L，} 1,200}$ | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 3．02\％ | ${ }^{15.200}$ | 34，600 | 3．64\％ | ${ }_{\text {12，40 }}^{12.4}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{46}^{45}$ |  | Soith |  | 9，200 | 30，400 |  | ${ }^{3.58}$ | $\frac{4.046}{4046}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5.33 \%}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.500}$ | 4．900 | 13,200 <br> 13.300 | －0．53 | ${ }_{0}^{0.53}$ | 0.43 |  |  | 38，20 | ${ }^{3.794}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{21,6 \\ 12 \\ 12}}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{47}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{4,700}$ |  | 12.300 | 5.00 | ${ }^{2.46}$ | 5．83\％ |  | 6，900 | 7，700 | ${ }^{16,900}$ | ${ }^{1.3}$ | $\stackrel{1.38}{1.54}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.35}$ | ${ }^{34889}$ | ${ }^{8.5000}$ | ${ }^{13,9}$ | 126 | ${ }^{\text {11，70 }}$ | ${ }_{19,10}$ | ${ }^{2.15 \%}$ |
| Puple |  | ${ }^{\text {SR }}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,100}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7,400}$ | $\underset{\substack{13,800 \\ 13,800}}{ }$ | ${ }_{6}^{6.550}$ | ${ }_{2,12}^{2,12}$ | $\frac{6.70 \%}{6.70 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.75 \%}$ | 3,500 <br> 3.50 | $\xrightarrow{4.000} 3$ | ${ }^{7.300} 7$ | 0.54 <br> 0.54 | 0.54 0.53 | ${ }^{0.54}$ | ${ }^{2.776 \%}$ | ${ }^{6.6 .600}$ | 11，00 11.00 | ${ }^{2.255}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Puppe }}$ |  | SR 1333 Hutuo Neodmere Ro） |  | ${ }_{6,10}$ | 7.400 | 13，800 | ${ }_{6}^{6.5}$ | 2.12 | ${ }^{6.708}$ | ${ }^{2,75 \%}$ | ${ }^{3.5}$ | 3，900 | 7．300 | 0.5 | － 0.53 | 0.53 | ${ }_{2,7}^{2,7}$ | 6，600 |  | ${ }^{2.25}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 48 |  | Wotustol | 7.80 | 10,400 | 21，500 | 8，700 | ${ }^{2.47}$ | ${ }_{9}^{4.38 \%}$ | 3．21\％ | 3.000 | 3.600 | ${ }^{7,400}$ | ${ }_{0} 0.34$ | 0.35 | 0.34 | ${ }_{\text {3．1882 }}$ | ${ }^{7,900}$ | ${ }^{13,900}$ | 2．49\％ | 2，700 | 4．800 | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {22，700 }}^{26,800}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 | SR 2316 Hedogo Read） | Notolid faiso Rd | 4，100 | 5.600 | ${ }^{13,800}$ |  | ${ }_{3} .00$ | $10.34 \%$ | 4．00\％ | ${ }^{6,200}$ | 7.500 | ${ }^{12,500}$ | 1．3 | ${ }_{1}^{1.34}$ | 0.91 | ${ }^{2.259}$ | 4.100 | ${ }^{11,40}$ |  |  | ${ }^{10,30}$ |  |
|  |  | Ss 216 Hedideg Roal |  |  | $\frac{18,100}{11,900}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{23,700}$ |  |  | ${ }^{0.71}$ | ${ }^{2.6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{54}$ | SR 342 （ Roco Oouary foad |  |  | 11.880 | 30.800 | ${ }_{0}^{6.900}$ | ${ }_{3.11}$ | 9．88\％ | 4．26\％ | 4.40 |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | $4.27 \%$ | 9，400 |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |
|  | 565 |  | Eor |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {3，73\％}}^{\text {3，}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 57 | SR 2 St2 R Rock ouary Road |  | 8.600 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{7,76}$ |  | ${ }_{3,20}$ | ${ }^{4.700}$ | 6，900 | $\stackrel{0.34}{ }$ | ${ }_{0.34}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.35}$ |  | ${ }^{9.500}$ | ＋19，30 | ${ }^{3.13^{\circ}}$ | ${ }^{\text {i．30 }}$ | ${ }_{6,70}$ | 3．13\％ |
| Reo |  |  | Eot hack Ouary foade exesion |  | （10．800 | 20.000 28000 |  | ${ }_{2}^{281}$ | （7，6\％ | 352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{58}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{8.300}$ | $\xrightarrow{12,600}$ | ${ }_{\substack{28,000}}^{22,10}$ | ${ }_{\text {9，900 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.82 \\ 3,20}}$ | ${ }^{15.477 \%}$ | ${ }^{3.588 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{2.600} 3$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{4,400}} 4$ | ${ }^{7,8000}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.24} 0$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.45}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.44}$ | ${ }^{3.568 \%}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{2,600}}$ | $\xrightarrow{13,700} 10.500$ | ${ }^{5.928 \%}$ | ${ }^{1 ., 000} 1$ | ${ }^{3,7700} 4$ | ${ }^{5.855^{2} 2}$ |
|  | 60 | SR 2555 （Aubum Knightale Roas） | Sois | ${ }_{8} 8.00$ | 13，200 | 29，500 | ，00 | ${ }^{3.04}$ | 16．65\％ | 3．56\％ | 2，600 | 50 | 7．800 | 0.27 | 0.65 | 0.43 | 3．55\％ | 500 | 100 | 4．99\％ | 3．800 | ．800 |  |
|  | 61 | SR 2 S5s（ habum K Kightalal Road） | Wot Esasem Wate Epressway | 8．000 | 13.200 | 29.500 | 9，700 | ${ }^{3.04}$ | ${ }^{16.55 \%}$ | ${ }^{3.56 \%}$ | 2．600 | 3.500 | 7.800 | ${ }_{0}^{0.27}$ | 0.65 | 0.43 | ${ }^{3.55 \%}$ | 5.400 | ${ }^{19,700}$ | 5．79\％ | 3.500 | 8.500 |  |
|  | 62 <br> 63 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\frac{10.000}{15,700}}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {27，1．400 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{7.900 \\ 13.900}}^{\text {cose }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.16}$ | －${ }_{\text {12．25\％}}^{6.28 \%}$ |  | 退， |  | （7，900 | ${ }^{0.46} 0$ | 0．46 | ${ }_{0}^{0.45}$ | ${ }^{2.388}$ | ${ }^{3.000} 15$ |  | ${ }^{3.462}$ | ${ }_{8,3}$ | 18.2 |  |
|  | ${ }_{6}^{64}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.50 7 7 |  |  |
|  | － | SR 2711 Nandoras Springs Roax） |  | ${ }_{8}^{8.000}$ | 9，300 | ${ }^{27,400}$ |  |  |  |  | 6．900 |  |  |  | 0.85 |  |  | ， 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Llac |  | 2274 Banks foad |  | 8.300 | 10，200 | 18，700 | 8.900 | ${ }^{2.10}$ | ${ }^{7.05 \%}$ | $2.67 \%$ | ${ }^{3,10}$ | 3.600 | 6.600 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 |  | 5．900 | 9，900 | 228\％ | ${ }_{3.800}$ | 6.400 |  |
| Lilac |  |  | Eots | 4.800 | 6.200 | 15.600 | 5.300 | 2.94 | 8．3．16\％ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{4.295 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {4，800 }}^{1.100}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{14,100}$ | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.90 | ${ }_{2,16}$ | 5.600 | ${ }^{11,800}$ | 3．29\％ | 5.100 | 10，700 |  |
| вие |  | SR2750（Nomman Blaco R Ro） | Eois R 1008 （Oid Stage Road） |  |  |  |  |  | $4.38{ }^{4}$ |  |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eane |  |  | Soius 400 | ${ }^{1.600}$ | 1.900 | ${ }^{9.300}$ | 1.700 | ${ }_{5.40}$ | ${ }^{5.72 \%}$ | $\frac{7.159}{6,260}$ | $\frac{.2 .700}{4.20}$ | 3，000 | ${ }^{14.700}$ | 1．59 | ${ }_{1.58}^{1.58}$ | ${ }^{1.58}$ | ${ }_{\text {7．1．2 }}^{6}$ | 1.900 | 10，228 | 7．59\％ |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{66}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{3}{3} 700$ | ${ }^{6.500}$ | ${ }_{15,300}$ | 3.000 | ${ }_{5.1}^{60.1}$ | 8．019 |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 6.0 | ${ }_{\text {2，} 4.800}$ | ＋0．30 | 0．31 | ${ }_{0.31}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6.1 .300}$ | ${ }_{4.50}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{68}$ | us 1 | Not Trangle Expossway Mo ${ }^{\text {540）}}$ | ${ }^{30,60}$ | 37.300 | 66.600 | 32.800 | ${ }_{2}^{2}, 03$ | $6.644^{6}$ | ${ }^{2} 2.55$ | ${ }^{21,70}$ | ${ }^{24,70}$ | 44，100 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | ${ }^{2.55 \%}$ | 36，100 | 65.800 | 2.649 | ${ }^{23,900}$ | ${ }_{4}^{43.60}$ |  |
|  | 69 | us | Sot Trange Eprosway（ NC 500 | ${ }^{30.60}$ | 36．200 | ${ }^{78,700}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{2.42}$ | ${ }_{\text {5 }}^{5} 5$ |  |  | ${ }^{24.200}$ |  | 0．67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 3．43\％ | ${ }^{36,300}$ | ${ }^{78.60}$ | 3，42\％ | ${ }^{24,30}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Rea }}^{\text {Rea }}$ |  | US401 |  | 34，00 <br> 34， <br> 100 | ${ }^{\text {37，}}$ 3700 | ${ }_{62,300}$ |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Us 401 | Sois SR 1000 （Ten Ten Rod |  | 38，500 | 64，30 |  |  | ${ }^{4.58}$ |  | ${ }_{32,6}$ |  | ${ }^{60,3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ange | ${ }_{7} 7$ | US491 |  |  | 38,100 <br> 38,100 |  | ${ }_{\text {34，80 }}^{3480}$ | ${ }_{1.88}^{1.88}$ | ${ }_{4.63^{3}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.95}$ | 0.05 |  | 35.400 45900 | ${ }_{\text {co，}}^{6070}$ | ${ }_{2}^{23}$ | ${ }^{33,50}$ | ${ }_{7}^{74.50}$ |  |
| range | 72 | US491 |  | ${ }^{\text {O2，} 2700}$ |  | ${ }^{56,2000}$ | ${ }^{28,8,80}$ |  | 4．75\％ | ${ }_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{1.02}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {3．5．500 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Bue }}^{\text {Bue }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Us } 501}$ |  | ${ }^{22,3,30}$ | － 29.200 | 54．500 <br> 45.200 | 27，900 | ${ }_{1.98}^{10}$ | ${ }^{2} 2389$ | ${ }^{2.07 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {23，}}^{23,200}$ | ${ }_{\text {24，000 }}^{22.300}$ | ${ }^{448,800}$ | $\stackrel{0.8}{0.7}$ | 0.82 | 0．82 | ${ }^{2,7}$ | 31,900 <br> 30700 | 57，800 47600 | ${ }^{2.629}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Bue }}^{\text {Bue }}$ |  | 5401 | Of Easem Pa | 26，30 | 28，200 | 45，200 | 26，900 | 1.68 | 2．39\％ | 2．07\％ | 21,20 | ${ }^{22,300}$ |  | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sois Wake Epwy | ${ }^{26,300}$ | ${ }^{28.200}$ | 45，200 | ${ }_{\text {26，900 }}^{26200}$ |  | ${ }^{23939}$ | ${ }^{2.07 \%}$ | ${ }^{21,20}$ | ${ }^{22,300}$ |  | 0．79 |  | － 0.79 | 2.0 | 30.468 | ${ }^{30.0}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{73}$ | US64 Usiness |  | ${ }^{3}+1,100$ |  | ${ }^{48,900}$ | ${ }^{32}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{56,000}$ |  |  | 1．15 |  | ${ }^{37,600}$ |  |  | 39，700 | ${ }_{5}^{57,000}$ | ${ }_{\text {1．59\％}}^{1.56 \%}$ |
|  | 75 | US647294 | Wois 2 2516 H Hode Road） | 89，400 | ${ }_{\text {20，9800 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {147．000 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{\text {2，2，000 }}$ | ${ }_{1.58}$ | ${ }_{\text {3，}}^{3.56 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {1．94\％}}^{1.989}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{60,50}}$ | 357400 <br> 6,40 | ${ }^{\text {502，90 }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1.465}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1.68}$ | $\stackrel{1.72}{0.72}$ |  | 26，400 100,100 | ${ }_{\text {P4 }}$ | ${ }^{1.55}$ | ${ }_{\substack{38,000 \\ 67.600}}$ | ${ }^{\text {S }} 1024.400$ | ${ }^{1.682 \%}$ |
|  | 76 | Us 64 |  | 77，400 | 88.500 | 130，700 | 81，100 | 1.61 | 4．46\％ | 1．71\％ | 59．000 | 65，700 | 100，100 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.77 | $1.85 \%$ | 87.900 | 126.300 | $1.59 \%$ | 65．300 | 96，700 | 1．72\％ |
|  | 77 | us 64264 |  | 74，200 | 88，600 | 137，400 | 79，000 | 1.74 | 5．90\％ | 1．93\％ | 68，300 | 76，100 | 116，000 | 0.86 | ${ }^{0.86}$ | 0.84 | 1．85\％ | 86，000 | 133，800 | 1．94\％ | 73，900 | 113，000 | 1.86 |
|  | 78 | US 847284 |  |  | 70.200 36.600 | ${ }_{\text {116，400 }}^{19.200}$ | ${ }_{\substack{60,100 \\ 35300}}$ | ${ }_{\text {1．999 }}^{1.39}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{2.22 \%}$ |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.81 | ${ }^{1.85 \%}$ | 69，500 | 114.500 | 2．19\％ | 61，200 | 92.700 | ${ }^{1.82 \%}$ |
| Reo | 79 | Us70 | Eot Easem Wake Epwy | ${ }^{34,8,80}$ | ${ }^{36,000}$ | 50，200 | ${ }^{355.500}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.41}$ | 1．95\％ | ${ }^{1.355^{\circ}}$ | 30，800 <br> 3 <br> 3,000 | ${ }^{322000}$ | ${ }_{4}^{43.550}$ | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 1．34\％ | ${ }_{4}^{41,900}$ | ${ }^{56,600}$ | ${ }^{1.32}$ | ${ }^{36,30}$ | 49.050 |  |
|  | ${ }^{80}$ | Us70 | Wor tasem waxe Expuy | ${ }_{4}^{4.68 .6}$ | － |  | ${ }^{42,60}$ | ${ }_{1.27}^{1.27}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.585}$ |  |  | ${ }_{53,500}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.80}$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 82 | us $0_{0}$ | Wot 40 | ${ }^{28,40}$ | 33,100 | 49，100 | ${ }^{30,00}$ | 1.64 | $5.04{ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }^{1.73 \%}$ | ${ }^{29,000}$ |  | 47，500 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 1．73\％ | 29.000 | ${ }^{41,70}$ |  |  | ${ }_{40,3}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{83}$ | US 7 frpass | Othe | （29，100 | ¢ 28.800 |  | ${ }^{29.000}$ | ${ }_{\text {1．5．}}^{1.5}$ | 4．3．39\％ | ${ }^{2.0220}$ | ${ }^{23,000}$ | 25．100 | 39，700 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.87 | ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2} 010$ | ${ }^{37,700}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{32,90 \\ 323}}$ | 48.800 |  |
|  | ${ }^{85}$ | Trando Eprosswar－NC 540 | tiom Olo hall spinins ionc 55 |  | $\xrightarrow{19.800}$ | 34，800 | 18．500 | ${ }^{1.88}$ | 3．45\％ | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }^{18.5000}$ | ${ }^{19,800}$ | ${ }_{34,800}$ | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | ${ }^{2.488}$ | ${ }^{\text {23，200 }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{51,90}$ | ${ }_{3.56}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{86}$ |  | trom Oid hall Springs ious 1 |  | － $\begin{array}{r}32.300 \\ 33.600\end{array}$ | ${ }^{5959.900}$ | ${ }^{29.900}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.00}$ | －${ }_{\text {3，44\％}}^{4.45 \%}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.929 \%}$ | $\xrightarrow{29,900} 3$ | ${ }_{\substack{32300 \\ 33.600}}$ | ${ }^{565,900}$ | 1.00 <br> 1.00 | 1.00 <br> 1.00 | 1.00 <br> 1.00 | ${ }_{2}^{2,77^{2}}$ | 34.400 <br> 34.700 | $\frac{72,400}{71,100}$ | －$\frac{3.29 \%}{3.17 \%}$ | －34,400 <br> 34,700 | 72,400 7,1100 |  |
| range | ${ }^{88}$ |  |  | 2.100 | 2．500 | ¢ 5.500 | ${ }_{2}^{2,200}$ | ${ }^{2.50}$ | ${ }^{6.60 \%}$ |  |  | ${ }^{800}$ |  | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.33 | ${ }^{3.59}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{800}$ | ${ }^{1.300}$ |  |
| rioms | ${ }_{80}^{89}$ | ${ }^{\text {Wablefectech Oivive }}$ | Eotusiot | 4.10 | 4．800 | 1．9．300 | 3.000 <br> 4.300 | ${ }_{2}^{5,28}$ | ${ }^{8.655 \%}$ | ${ }^{6.152 \%}$ | ${ }_{3,400}$ | ${ }^{\text {3，800 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {4，800 }}$ | 0．79 | 0.79 | 0.80 | －6．28\％ | 4.850 | 9.800 | 3．15\％ | ${ }_{\substack{1.400 \\ 3.800}}$ | 4，8800 | ${ }^{3.18}$ |
| Orang | 91 | Chander Papatmens | Wotus 401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SSR 2 Sti 1 （OOd Faison Ra） | Sot Wave Tech bive | ${ }_{\text {26，800 }}^{6,700}$ |  | 55，000 | ${ }^{28,100} 7$ | ${ }_{2}^{1.98}$ |  |  | 3.700 |  | ${ }^{15.400}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.51}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.54}$ | $\stackrel{0.91}{0.91}$ |  | c．i．900 | ${ }^{6.5 .000}$ | ${ }^{2.342 \%}$ | 6，100 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{4.388 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.255 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4，200 | 6.100 | 1．64\％ | 1，900 | 1，900 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2，623，000 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2,426,500}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2．35\％ |



|  |  |  |  | Build 5 －Orange－Purple－Blue－Lilac－Green |  |  |  |  |  | DSA 10.11 <br> FINAL |  | DSA 12 <br> FINAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Raw Model |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { suide } \\ \text { Moldel } \\ \text { Gorumb } \\ \text { Rate }}}^{\substack{\text { fate }}}$ | FINAL |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Rate } \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { final } \\ \text { Aplied } \\ \text { Anowal } \\ \text { Growter } \\ \text { Rate } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | HNTB ID | Facility | Location | ${ }_{\substack{2012 \\ \text { Model }}}^{2}$ | （inct |  | 2012 | 2035 |  | 2012 | 2035 | 2012 | 2035 |
|  |  | Easten Waxe Exposssay |  | 18.800 | 37，900 | 10\％ |  | 42，900 | 3．65\％ | 17，000 | 41.000 | 18，800 | ${ }^{41,400}$ |
| Rees | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ |  |  |  | 51.50 <br> 66.900 | 3．07\％ | $\xrightarrow{25,700} 3$ | ${ }_{51500}^{52.500}$ | ${ }^{3.076 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{19.500 \\ 37.000}}$ | ${ }_{4}^{44.500} 6$ | ${ }^{24.400}$ | 47，900 <br> 54.800 |
|  | $\stackrel{\|c\|}{1.3}$ | Easemem wate Expossway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | Easien Waze Exprossway |  | 7，900 | ${ }^{73,800}$ | 2.949 | 37，900 | 69.400 | $2.67 \%$ | 37，100 | 7，300 | 00 | ${ }^{63,800}$ |
|  | 5 | Eastern waxe Expessway |  | 37，600 | 76，400 |  |  |  |  | 41，000 | 75，60 | 35，200 | ${ }^{69,600}$ |
|  | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {47，300 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {chen }}^{3.158}$ | － $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48，500 } \\ & 88,900\end{aligned}$ |  | 2．7．9\％ | ${ }_{\text {S1，700 }}^{88,900}$ | ¢ $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94，800 } \\ & \text { 30．600 }\end{aligned}$ | 45,900 <br> 88,90 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Reed }}^{\text {Ree }}$ | $8{ }^{89}$ | 140 | 何 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{8}^{86}$ | ${ }_{1}^{140}$ | 隹 | 86,300 | 126,10 | 1．66\％ | 68，800 | 99，100 | 1．60\％ | 68.800 | 99，100 | 68，800 | ${ }^{99,100}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{9}{10}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1200}$ | trom | 70,800 49.900 | （101，40 | 1．57\％${ }^{1.46 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{59.900 \\ 51.00}}$ | ${ }^{823.300}$ | l．1．40\％ | ${ }_{\text {59，600 }}^{51,000}$ | ${ }_{\substack{82,30 \\ 73,60}}$ |  |  |
|  | 12 | 1.540 | Notus 64 Usism | ${ }^{46,600}$ | ${ }_{94,700}$ | ${ }^{3.13 \%}$ | ${ }^{65.800}$ | ${ }^{103,700}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2000}$ | ${ }^{655.800}$ | ${ }^{103}$ | ${ }^{65.5800}$ |  |
|  | 13 | 1.540 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{925200}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{1.58 \%}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {cke }}$ | 5， |  |
|  |  | N（ $\mathrm{Na}_{42}$ | Eortho | 16.100 <br> 30.400 | ${ }_{\text {cker }}^{\text {22，700 }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { l．} 270 \%}$ |  | ${ }^{277,500}$ | ， | ${ }^{131,900}$ | ${ }^{26.8 .500}$ | ${ }^{18,7.900}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{15}$ | NC 42 | Notus 70 Eppass | ${ }^{13,100}$ | ${ }_{\text {18，800 }}$ | ${ }^{1.588^{\circ}}$ | ${ }^{10.000}$ | 17100 | 2．36\％ |  | 17，100 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{\text {No } 42}$ | Sols | ${ }^{18,100}$ | 30.20 | ${ }^{2.25 \%}$ | 13.80 | 21.20 | ${ }^{1.88 \%}$ | 13.800 | 21.200 | ${ }^{13.800}$ | 21.200 |
| Reo | new | NC 50 | $\frac{\text { Sor }}{}$ W wate Exply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {new }} 1$ | N（ N 50 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{16.600}$ | ${ }^{0.986 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {14，000 }}^{17,100}$ | ${ }^{\text {1，30，800 }}$ | ${ }^{0.926 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}$ | ${ }^{\frac{1}{20.500}}$ | ${ }^{14,000} 1$ | ${ }^{17,300}$ |
|  | 18 | NC 50 |  | 20.000 | ${ }^{\text {361，50 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {i，}} 1.992$ | 15.800 | 24，900 | $2.00 \%$ | 15.800 | ${ }^{24,90}$ | 15.800 | ${ }^{24,9}$ |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {NCC } 5658 . p e a s s}$ |  | 33，900 | 49.700 | ${ }^{1.68 \%}$ | 31，500 | 45，400 |  |  | 45.400 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{21}$ | NC 56 bpass |  | 33，900 | ${ }_{49,70}$ | ${ }^{1.689}$ | 33，800 | 49，500 | ${ }^{1.67 \%}$ | ${ }^{33,880}$ | ${ }^{49.50}$ | ${ }^{3} 3.800$ | ${ }^{4.5900}$ |
|  | ${ }^{22}{ }^{23}$ | Nsosbern Wase Epprossway |  | ${ }^{\frac{36,000}{16500}}$ | ${ }^{47,900} 4$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{1.47 \%}$ | ${ }^{33,900}$ | ${ }^{47,400} 5$ | ${ }^{1.229} \times$ | ${ }^{33,500}$ | ${ }^{47,400} 5$ | － | ${ }^{4,4,400}$ |
| arase | 24 | Suutem Wake Eppessuay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pupple | 24a | Sounter Wake Eppessuay |  | 29，000 | 67，500 | 3．74\％ | 29，00 | 67，500 | 3．74\％ | 29，000 | 67，500 | ，000 |  |
|  | 25 | Souther Wake Eprossway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| upple |  | Southen Wate Epprossway | Trom SR 1393 （Hillop Needmoter foas） IOS 401 | 28，100 | 6，300 | 948 |  | 68，30 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Southen Wate Eprossway |  | 20，400 <br> 17.7100 |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{4.95 \%}$ | 20，400 | 50．800 <br> 42000 | 4．05\％ | ${ }_{\text {20，400 }}^{17.100}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {20，400 }}^{17.100}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{28}$ |  | 隹 | ${ }^{21,200}$ | ${ }^{429.300}$ | 3．74\％ | ${ }^{2,1,200}$ | ${ }^{42,3,30}$ | 3．74\％ | ${ }^{21,200}$ | 49，300 | $\xrightarrow{\text { 2，} 2,200}$ | ${ }^{49,300}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Reo }}^{\substack{\text { Rea }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SR 1006 Ofld Shage | Volsp 1010 （Tent Ton Ral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cange | － 39 |  | Sor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SR 1006 Olol Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Llue |  |  | Not Tomemen Blacock Rede |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Bue }}$ Bue |  |  |  | ¢．9．90 | ${ }^{1,1,900}$ | ${ }^{3.10 \%}$ | －${ }^{\text {4，600 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c，}}^{7,800}$ | 5．06\％ | ${ }^{\text {4．100 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {c，}} 1.800$ | ${ }^{\text {4．000 }}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{31}$ | SR 1007（Poolie feanal |  | 10,200 <br> 1.400 |  | 5．65\％ | 9，600 | ${ }^{33,700}$ |  | ${ }^{0.600}$ | ${ }_{\substack{15.80 \\ 33,70}}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{32}$ |  |  | －14.300 <br> 13,300 | ${ }_{35,300}^{40.00}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.544^{2}}$ | $\xrightarrow{8.300} 7$ | $\stackrel{23,300}{20,600}$ | ${ }^{4.599 \%}$ | $\xrightarrow{8,300}$ | －23，300 <br> 20.600 | ${ }_{7}^{7,800}$ | ${ }^{20,60}$ |
| Orange | ${ }^{34}$ | SR 1010（ Cieveland sthol Poad） | Eoric 50 | 8.100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{35}^{36}$ | SR 1010 TTen－Te R Raod） |  | 年， | ${ }^{13,300}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Eotus 401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Read } \\ & \text { Reat } \\ & \text { Lilace } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Wors 50 | 11.000 | 14.400 | 188 | 6.600 | 8.600 | 1，16\％ | 6．600 | 8，600 | 6.600 | 8.600 |
|  | ${ }^{37}$ | SR 1152 （Holy Spings Foad） | Not SR 1300 （Kidatare Fam Road Comection） | 16,400 | 40,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | SR 1152 HHOly Spinios Road） | Nor Southen Wake Eprose | 25．500 | 68.60 | 5．408\％ | ${ }_{\text {li，}}$ | 27，400 | ${ }_{3.27}^{3.03}$ | ${ }^{13,800}$ | ${ }^{27,4500} 4$ | ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}, 500}$ | ${ }^{27,400} 4{ }^{\text {a }} 100$ |
|  | 39 | SR 1152（Holly Spings Roas） | ${ }_{\text {Soad }}^{\text {Somol }}$ | 20，800 | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40 <br> 41 <br> 1 |  | Not Trando Eprossuzy（NC560） | ¢，9800 | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{43.300}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{6.678}$ | －9，800 | 32,900 43,000 | 5.419 | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\substack{\text { ¢ } \\ 13.80}}$ | 2900 | － 9.800 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2，900 | 5，400 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43 |  | Wornc 5 S Bpass |  |  |  | ${ }_{1,70}$ | 2，900 | ${ }^{2.35}$ | 1.700 | 2.900 | 1，700 |  |
| Pum | ${ }_{4}^{44}$ | SR 1300 （kladidie famm foad | Worsh 1152 H（Holy Soines Road） | ${ }^{17,900}$ | ${ }^{43,20}$ | ${ }^{3.90 \%}$ | 14，500 | 23，100 | 2．05\％ | 14.50 | 23，100 | 14.500 | 23.100 |
|  | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ |  | Sol Sol | 5.900 5.900 |  | ${ }_{4}^{4.97 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{47}$ |  | Votsf 1010 |  | ${ }_{8,80}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puple |  | Sf 13938 HHillop Neodmore |  |  | 200 |  | 6．500 | ${ }^{11,400}$ | ${ }^{2.479 \%}$ | 6.500 | ${ }^{11,400}$ | 6．500 | $\frac{11,400}{1400}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {Pupple }}$ Puple |  | Sill |  |  | ${ }^{16.300}$ | 2．988\％ | － 4.400 | （1， |  | ${ }_{\text {c．}}^{6.40}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 Sos S 13939 （Hilliop Noedmore Po ） |  |  |  | ${ }^{4} 40$ | 700 | 2.46 | ${ }^{40}$ | 700 | 400 | 70 |
|  | ${ }^{48}$ |  |  | 6,6 | ${ }^{13,400}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{4.139}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 59 <br> 50 | SR2233（smmitifed R Poad | Sotus crarct | $\stackrel{\text { 21，400 }}{21}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {2，09\％}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { F．900 }}{ }$ | 21，800 | ${ }_{\text {20，} 207 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {T，9，600 }}^{13.0}$ | ${ }^{\text {21，} 1,80}$ | ${ }^{7}{ }^{7.600}$ | 2，1，800 |
|  | 51 <br> 52 <br> 5 | SR256 Hedeye Raal | No Oid faiso Hd |  | ${ }_{\text {coide }}^{10.90}$ | 4．69\％ | 5．100 | $\frac{9.900}{11.400}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{\substack{\text { 2．939 }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{5.100 \\ 3.000}}$ | ${ }^{\frac{9}{9.900}} 11.400$ | ${ }_{5}^{5.100}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{52}$ |  | Sois 5 1 107 P Poole R Ro） | ${ }_{5}^{4.200}$ | ${ }^{17,900}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5.52 \%}$ | 900 | 3，100 | 5．520 | ${ }^{900}$ | ${ }_{3,1}$ | ${ }^{900}$ |  |
|  | 54 | SR 2442 IRock Oiarry Foad） |  | ${ }^{9.7700}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | $4.11{ }^{4}$ | 4.30 | 10.800 |  | ${ }^{\text {or }}$ |  | ${ }^{4,10}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{55}$ | Ss 3424 Reod Oaury foal |  | ${ }_{\text {12，100 }}$ | 30，10 |  | 4.00 | 9，4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{56}$ |  |  |  | － | ${ }_{2.038}$ | ${ }^{\frac{5}{3.100}}$ | ${ }_{6.3}^{9.30}$ | $\frac{2.47 \%}{2.10}$ | 1，700 | ${ }_{3,30}$ | 4.000 | 6．200 |
| Reo |  |  | Eot cok Ouary faad Exersion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \％ 58 |  |  |  | $\frac{12,700}{11400}$ | ${ }^{6.18 \%}$ | ${ }^{1.20}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3.400 \\ 5.000}}$ | ${ }_{\text {5．4．9\％}}^{6.4}$ | 2，100 | ${ }^{\frac{5}{1.140}}$ | ${ }^{1.400}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Soispr 5111 （Garsstapoperer foo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{60}$ | SR 2555 Aluburn Knigndade Reaa） | Expossway | 5．800 | 18,300 | 5．12\％ | 3.800 | 7.900 | 3．23\％ | 3.800 | 7.900 | 3.800 | 7.900 |
|  | 61 <br> 62 |  | Wof Easem Wake Epprasway |  | ${ }^{20.40} 0$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{6.048}$ |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{4.04}$ | 7.500 <br> 3.500 | ${ }^{12}$ | 5．500 |  |
|  | ${ }^{63}$ | SR 2700 （ Whlio Oax Roas） |  | ${ }^{15,200}$ | ${ }^{33,40}$ | ${ }^{3.48 \%}$ | ${ }_{8,30}$ | 18.300 |  | ${ }_{10,30}$ | 20.90 | ${ }^{\text {o．}}$ |  |
|  | 64 |  |  | 14，200 | ${ }^{30,40}$ | ${ }^{3.36 \%}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7,4}$ | 15.700 |  | ${ }^{7,400}$ | ${ }_{16,10}^{160}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7,40}$ |  |
|  | 65 | Stater | Eot asem Wate Eppossmay | ${ }^{14.000}$ | \％ |  | 7.600 | 18.70 |  | ${ }^{7}, 200$ |  | ${ }^{7,500}$ | 18,40 |
| Lilac |  | Ss 2224 （Banks foad |  | $\stackrel{7}{7,500}$ | ${ }_{\text {13，70 }}$ | ${ }^{2.65 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SR 2 236（ Foork Sovico Staion Road） | Eor Sh Toobe（Oid Stage Road） | 4.900 | ${ }^{11,30}$ | 3．70\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Ble }}^{\text {Bue }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,100 <br> 600 <br> 6 | ${ }_{\text {，}}^{1,800}$ | ${ }^{2,16}$ | ${ }_{\text {1，100 }}^{600}$ | （1．800 | ${ }_{\substack{1,100 \\ 600}}$ | 1．800 |
| ${ }^{\text {Brane }}$ Ofe |  |  | US407 | 2.800 | 8.300 | $4.84{ }^{2}$ | 4，400 | 13,100 | ${ }^{4.86}$ | 4.400 | ${ }^{13,10}$ | 4.400 | ${ }^{13,100}$ |
|  | 66 <br> 67 | SR 5254 （locid Buaumem Road） | Eoish 2342 （Rock Kalary ha） |  |  |  |  |  | 5．31\％ |  | 5.000 | 3.400 | 5.200 |
|  | \％68 | Us， |  |  | 70,400 <br> 77,400 |  | $\xrightarrow{24,200} \mathbf{2 3 , 7 0 0}$ | ${ }_{\text {4，}}^{46,600}$ | 2．8．9\％ | ${ }_{\text {24，}}^{24,200}$ | ${ }_{\text {4，}}^{41,600}$ | ${ }_{\substack{24,200 \\ 23,700}}$ | 51，70 |
| ${ }^{\text {Reos }}$ |  | Us401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Red }}$ |  | US401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| aras | 70 | Us 401 | Not Southen Wake Epprossmay | 30，800 | 50，600 | 2.188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{71}$ | us 401 | Notish 1503 OOmy | ${ }^{30,80}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange | ${ }^{72}$ | US401 |  | $\xrightarrow{26,000} 2$ | ${ }_{45,500}^{45,0^{4}}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.268 \%}$ | 0，60 | 37，600 | 2．65\％ | 20.60 | 37.600 | ${ }^{20.600}$ | ${ }^{37,600}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {Bue }}$ |  | US 401 |  | 25．800 | ${ }^{37,40}$ | 1．63\％ | 20，400 |  | 99，72\％ | 20，400 |  | 20.40 |  |
| ${ }_{80}^{810}$ |  | US401 | Sot asiee Paxway |  | ${ }^{43,40}$ |  |  | 34，300 |  |  | ${ }^{34,300}$ |  | ${ }^{34,300}$ |
|  | ${ }^{-73}$ | Stal | Sersme |  | 51．900 | ． 1376 | 34，500 | 4， 5 5，00 | 0．75\％ | ${ }^{34,500}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{34,500}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{74}$ | Us 64 Eusinmess | Wot 5 So |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 | US 64284 | Wois 23516 （Hodge Road） | 100.200 | 145.600 | ${ }_{1}^{1.64 \%}$ | 67，700 |  |  | 67，700 | 00．500 | 67,700 | ${ }^{105.50}$ |
|  | 76 | US 84264 | Hom SR 2516 （Hadge Read） t （－540／Eastern Wake Eppossway | 87,600 | 129.900 | $1.73 \%$ | 65.000 | 99.500 | $1.87 \%$ | 65．000 | 99.50 | 55．00 | 99．500 |
|  | 77 | US 64284 |  | 86，100 | 132.500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 78 | US 64724 | Eoish reas（Smitheod Road | 69,200 | 112,700 |  | ${ }^{74.000}$ | 91，200 | ${ }^{1.7776}$ | ${ }^{74.000}$ | 91，200 | ${ }^{740,00}$ | ${ }^{11,900}$ |
| Reod |  | Us70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 79 80 80 | Us70 | Eot Easeor Wate Eppy | 40，400 | ${ }_{\substack{57,00 \\ 50.90}}$ | ${ }^{1.55 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{35.000 \\ 31,100}}$ | $\frac{49,700}{44,100}$ | ${ }^{1.55 \%}{ }^{1.53 \%}$ | ${ }^{335.500}$ | ${ }_{42,900}^{4200}$ | ${ }_{\substack{33,800 \\ 33,700}}$ | ${ }^{\frac{49}{47,1000}}$ |
|  | ${ }^{81}$ | Us70 | $\frac{\text { Eor }}{\text { Wed }}$ | 36.800 <br> $\substack{36,500}$ <br> 2.50 | ${ }_{44,802}^{480}$ | ${ }^{1.198 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {29，500 }}^{27.500}$ | ${ }_{4}^{47.300}$ | ${ }^{2.079 \%}$ | ${ }^{28,100}$ | ${ }_{4}^{45,10}$ | ${ }^{29,500}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{8}^{83}$ | Us708．ppass | Eortho | 28.500 <br> 32.400 | ${ }^{47,700}$ | ${ }^{1.70 \%}$ | 28，200 | 46.600 | 2.21 | ${ }^{25,400}$ | ${ }^{45,3}$ | ${ }^{28,600}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{84}$ | Us70 Pspass | Eolnc 42 | ${ }^{35,400}$ | ${ }^{56.900}$ | 2．08\％ | 30．800 | 50，100 | $2.14 \%$ | ${ }^{28,200}$ |  | ${ }^{31,400}$ |  |
|  | \％${ }_{8}^{86}$ | Triande Eppossuay Nc 540 | tion Oid haly Spings inc 55 | 18,300 <br> 28000 <br> 2 | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{57,100}$ | － 5.8 .88 | ${ }_{35,600}$ | $\xrightarrow{52,000}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3.180}}$ | ${ }_{35,500}^{32.500}$ |  |  |  |
|  | －87 | Tranale Expossmy－－ Cc 540 | from US 1 lo old US | 27，100 | ${ }^{73,50}$ | 4．43\％ | 34，500 | 71，800 | ${ }^{3.24 \%}$ | ${ }^{34,50}$ | ${ }^{71,8}$ | 34，500 | 71.800 |
| Brome | ${ }^{-89}$ |  | Eot Easem Waze Epowy | 4.60 | 14.600 | 15\％ |  |  |  | 2.00 | 4.700 | 3.600 | 6．400 |
| Orarage | －90 | Watereer Diove | Eter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange | ${ }^{92}$ | US 401 | Sot Wake Teen Dive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{7}, 200$ | ${ }_{14.50}$ | 3．09\％ | 6．500 | 12.700 | $2.55 \%$ | 6.500 | 12,700 | 6.500 | ${ }^{12,700}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Read } \begin{array}{l} \text { Liad } \\ \text { Liac } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | NC 50 |  |  |  | 1，900 | 1，900 | 0．0\％ | ．900 | 1.900 | ，900 | 1.900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.418 |  |  |  |  |


| Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension Crossing Locations |  |  |  |  |  | Model Data |  |  |  |  | Historical NCDOT AADT |  |  |  | 48-Hr Field Data Counts \& Selected Design Data |  |  |  |  |  |  | No-Build Forecast AADT |  |  | Build Forecast AADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | No-Build |  |  | Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DSA | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { State } \\ \text { Route } \end{array}$ No. | Y Line | Crossing Type | Location | 2009 | 2012 | 2035 | 2012 | 2035 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | Year | AADT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHV } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{D} \\ (\%) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ \text { Dir } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duals } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { TTST } \\ (\%) \end{array}\right\|$ | 2010 | 2012 | 2035 | 2012 | 2035 |
|  | 〒 | - | Old NC 55 | UNDERPASS | NC $55-\mathrm{N}$ of Felder Ave | 20300 | 25600 | 43300 | 21900 | 33800 | 11,000 | 14,000 | 17,000 | 15,000 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 4\% | 1\% | 17,300 | 20,000 | 33,900 | 17,100 | 26,500 |
|  |  | 1301 | Sunset Lake Rd | OVERPASS | Sunset Lake Rd - W of Family Circle Rd | 8700 | 13200 | 23900 | 8500 | 17600 | - | - | - | 5,400 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 10,200 | 13,200 | 23,900 | 8,500 | 17,500 |
|  |  | 1301 | Sunset Lake Rd | OVERPASS | Sunset Lake Rd - E of Stephenson Rd (SR 1302) | 8400 | 12500 | 28000 | 8300 | 19500 | 5,800 | 6,900 | 8,900 | 6,600 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 7,900 | 10,200 | 22,900 | 6,800 | 15,900 |
|  |  | 1389 | Pierce-Olive Rd | OVERPASS | Pierce-Olive Rd - N of Optimist Farm Rd (SR 1390) | 3200 | 4300 | 13400 | 4300 | 13400 | 1,500 | 2,000 | 2,700 | 2,900 | - | - | 10\% | 60\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 3,300 | 3,600 | 9,400 | 3,600 | 9,400 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \end{aligned}$ | 1387 | West Lake Rd | OVERPASS | West Lake Rd - N of Langston Cir (SR 4758) | 6100 | 7200 | 15000 | 7200 | 15000 | 4,900 | 6,800 | 7,600 | 7,600 | 2011 | 7,300 | 10\% | 55\% | SB | 5\% | 1\% | 7,300 | 8,000 | 16,800 | 8,000 | 16,800 |
|  |  | 1405 | Rhodes Rd | OVERPASS | Rhodes Rd - N of Deerborn Dr (SR 1568) | 900 | 1100 | 2200 | 1200 | 2100 | - | - | - | - | 2011 | 1,000 | 11\% | 60\% | SB | 7\% | 1\% | 1,000 | 1,100 | 2,200 | 1,200 | 2,200 |
|  |  | 1578 | Deer Meadow Rd | OVERPASS | Southern Wake Freeway | 2000 | 2100 | 2600 | 2000 | 2600 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 10\% | 60\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | $1100^{*}$ | 1,100 | 1,400 | 1,100 | 1,400 |
|  |  | 1404 | Johnson Pond Rd | OVERPASS | S of Ten-Ten Rd (SR 1010) | 7000 | 8400 | 12900 | 6300 | 9100 | 2,600 | 2,300 | 2,400 | 2,600 |  | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 2,500 | 2,800 | 4,300 | 2,100 | 3,100 |
|  |  | 1371 | Lake Wheeler Rd | UNDERPASS | N of Optimist Farm Rd (SR 1390) | 5200 | 6700 | 12100 | 5300 | 9000 | 5,300 | 6,200 | 6,600 | 6,500 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 7,000 | 8,200 | 14,800 | 6,500 | 11,000 |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | 1390 | Optimist Farm Rd | OVERPASS | E of Pierce Olive Rd (SR 1389) | 5500 | 8500 | 14900 | 5000 | 10200 | 3,400 | 6,100 | 6,400 | 6,100 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | EB | 2\% | 1\% | 7,200 | 9,400 | 16,500 | 5,500 | 11,300 |
|  |  | 1404 | Johnson Pond Rd | OVERPASS | S of Hilltop Needmore Rd (SR 1404) | 2900 | 3100 | 15300 | 1700 | 9200 | 2,800 | 3,200 | 3,600 | 3,500 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 3,800 | 3,900 | 19,200 | 2,100 | 11,500 |
|  |  | 2751 | Hilltop Rd | OVERPASS | N of NC 42 | 7900 | 9900 | 17300 | 6100 | 10500 | 3,400 | 3,700 | 4,200 | 3,900 |  |  | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 4,200 | 4,800 | 8,400 | 3,000 | 5,100 |
|  |  | 2750 | Norman Blalock Rd | OVERPASS | W of Bridgemont Ln (SR 5309) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2013 | 1,200 | 10\% | 65\% | WB | 3\% | 2\% | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,800 | 1,100 | 1,800 |
|  |  | 2739 | Barber Bridge Rd | OVERPASS | N of NC 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 650 | 720 | 700 | 690 |  |  | $10 \%$ | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 700 | 800 | 1,300 | 800 | 1,300 |
|  |  | 2736 | Rock Service Station Rd | OVERPASS | N of NC 42 | 2000 | 2700 | 8400 | 1800 | 5800 | 3,100 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 3\% | 1\% | 2,700 | 3,300 | 10,300 | 2,200 | 7,100 |
|  |  | 2738 | Mal Weathers Rd | OVERPASS | S of Southern Meadows Dr (SR 5902) | - | - | - | - | - | 560 | 640 | 710 | 790 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 800 | 900 | 1,500 | 900 | 1,500 |
|  |  | 2727 | Sauls Rd | OVERPASS | S of Pagan Rd (SR 2737) | 1900 | 2200 | 4500 | 1700 | 4800 | 1,700 | 1,600 | 1,700 | 1,700 |  |  | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 5\% | 1\% | 1,700 | 1,900 | 3,900 | 1,500 | 4,200 |
|  | ' | 1010 | Ten-Ten Rd | UNDERPASS | W of US 401 | 17600 | 20600 | 27100 | 13600 | 19400 | - | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 2012 | 15,600 | 9\% | 55\% | EB | 3\% | 2\% | 15,000 | 15,600 | 20,500 | 10,300 | 14,700 |
|  |  | 2711 | Buffaloe Rd | OVERPASS | S of Vandora Springs Rd (SR 2713) | 3200 | 3400 | 7700 | 3300 | 6100 | 3,200 | 2,100 | 3,100 | 3,300 | - | . | 11\% | 65\% | EB | 3\% | 1\% | 3,200 | 3,300 | 7,500 | 3,200 | 5,900 |
|  |  | 2712 | Thompson Rd | OVERPASS | S of Timber Dr (SR 2812) | 1300 | 1300 | 1700 | 1300 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,700 | 1,300 | 1,700 |
|  |  | 2710 | Aversboro Rd | OVERPASS | S of Timber Dr (SR 2812) | 6600 | 7800 | 12700 | 8000 | 13500 | 5,900 | 6,200 | 6,700 | 6,900 | 2009 | 8,600 | 10\% | 60\% | SB | 3\% | 1\% | 7,300 | 8,100 | 13,200 | 8,300 | 14,000 |
|  |  | 2707 | Bryan Rd | OVERPASS | S of Tallowwood Dr / S of Southern Wake Freeway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 940 |  | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,800 | 1,100 | 1,800 |
|  |  | 2547 | White Oak Rd | OVERPASS | S of Bryan Rd (SR 2707) | 10400 | 12100 | 27700 | 11300 | 25800 |  |  |  | - |  | - | 12\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 10,400 | 12,100 | 27,700 | 11,300 | 25,800 |
|  | N | 2722 | Old McCullers Rd | UNDERPASS | Southern Wake Freeway | 1200 | 1600 | 4400 | 160 | 4400 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 1100** | 1,200 | 1,900 | 1,200 | 1,900 |
|  |  | 2723 | Fanny Brown Rd | OVERPASS | S of Ten-Ten Rd (SR 1010) | 8000 | 8400 | 12800 | 6600 | 12900 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,900 | 4,400 | - | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 4,700 | 4,900 | 7,500 | 3,900 | 7,500 |
|  |  | 2725 | Holland Church Rd | OVERPASS | S of Ten-Ten Rd (SR 1010) | 1800 | 2000 | 3800 | 2000 | 3800 | - | - | - | - | 2009 | 3,300 | 10\% | 70\% | SB | 6\% | 1\% | 3,300 | 3,500 | 6,500 | 3,500 | 6,500 |
|  |  | 2727 | Sauls Rd | OVERPASS | S of Contender Dr (SR 5396) | 4400 | 4800 | 9000 | 5000 | 12100 |  |  |  |  | 2011 | 3,400 | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 5\% | 1\% | 3,400 | 3,600 | 6,800 | 3,800 | 9,200 |
|  | $\stackrel{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\omega}}$ | 2731 | Jordan Rd | OVERPASS | S of Ten-Ten Rd (SR 1010) | 8000 | 8400 | 12800 | 6600 | 12900 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,300 | 1,900 |  | - | 10\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 2,000 | 2,200 | 4,800 | 2,200 | 4,800 |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\omega}}}{ }$ | 2703 | New Bethel Church Rd | OVERPASS | E of l-40 | 4200 | 6100 | 11100 | 3200 | 7100 | - | - | - | 300 |  | - | 10\% | 65\% | EB | 2\% | 1\% | 400 | 500 | 900 | 300 | 600 |
| 등. | ¢ | - | Waterfield Dr | UNDERPASS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E of S Greenfield Parkway } \\ & \text { (SR 4142) } \end{aligned}$ | 2800 | 3300 | 6500 | 3500 | 6500 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 10\% | 65\% | EB | 3\% | 1\% | 3,000 | 3,300 | 6,500 | 3,500 | 6,50 |
|  |  | 2555 | Raynor Rd | UNDERPASS | S of US 70 Business | 10000 | 13600 | 23200 | 12200 | 21500 | 5,500 | 5,300 | 5,800 | 5,700 |  |  | 12\% | 65\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 5,900 | 7,200 | 12,300 | 6,500 | 11,400 |
|  |  | 1004 | E. Garner Rd | UNDERPASS | E of Auburn-Knightdale Rd (SR 2555) | 3000 | 4100 | 23800 | 3500 | 21700 | 5,300 | 5,000 | 4,600 | 3,500 | 2009 | 3,400 | 16\% | 75\% | EB | 2\% | 1\% | 3,100 | 3,700 | 21,800 | 3,200 | 19,900 |
|  |  | 2558 | Guy Rd | OVERPASS | S of US 70 Business | 8900 | 11100 | 21900 | 12100 | 24200 | 7,300 | 7,100 | 7,800 | 6,600 | 2010 | 7,500 | 8\% | 55\% | SB | 2\% | 1\% | 7,500 | 8,700 | 17,200 | 9,500 | 19,000 |
|  |  | 1004 | E. Garner Rd | UNDERPASS | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { E of Rock Quarry Rd } \\ \text { (SR 2542) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6400 | 8100 | 26200 | 8100 | 27600 | 9,100 | 7,600 | 7,700 | 5,900 | 2012 | 6,000 | 15\% | 75\% | EB | 3\% | 1\% | 6,400 | 6,000 | 19,400 | 6,000 | 20,400 |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { 「 }}{\dagger}$ | 2552 | Battle Bridge Rd | OVERPASS | E of Auburn-Knightdale Rd (SR 2555) | 1500 | 2600 | 6700 | 2400 | 8300 | 540 | 980 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 2011 | 1,100 | 15\% | 55\% | EB | 12\% | 4\% | 1,100 | 1,500 | 3,900 | 1,400 | 4,800 |

* 2010 No-Build AADT for Deer Meadow Rd determined using ITE Trip Generation rates. The 2010 No-Build AADT forecast was then grown at model rates.
** Old McCullers Rd AADT (near the underpass location) calculated as $25 \%$ of Old McCullers Rd forecasted AADT at intersection with US 401 .
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