

May 9, 2019

Mr. Gordon Box, LG Geotechnical Engineering Unit North Carolina Department of Transportation 1020 Birch Ridge Drive Raleigh, NC 27610

RE: GEOENVIRONMENTAL PHASE II INVESTIGATION OF PARCEL 7

Foothills Firearms and Ammo, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry Inc.

724 S. State St., Yadkinville, North Carolina

ESP Project No. GR22.309

TIP Number: U-5809 WBS Number: 44382.1.1 County: YADKIN

Description: Construct median along US 601 (State Street) from US 421 to SR 1146

(Lee Avenue) and add roundabouts at both ends of project

Dear Mr. Box:

ESP Associates, Inc. (ESP) is pleased to submit this report on our GeoEnvironmental Phase II Investigation of the subject parcel. This work was performed in accordance with your Request for Proposal dated January 25, 2019 and our Cost Proposal dated February 1, 2019.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist you during this phase of the project. If you should have any questions concerning this report, or if we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

ESP Associates, Inc.

Edward D. Billington, PG Senior Geologist/Geophysicist

EDB/NAZ

Docusigned by SEAL SEAL 7402544DC95FAD OLOGIS

not considered Final unless all signatures are completed

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION		
2.0	HISTORY		
3.0	SITE OBSERVATIONS		
4.0	METHODS		
4.1	Geophysics		
4.2	Borings		
4.3	Soil Sample Protocol		
4.4	Groundwater		
5.0	RESULTS		
5.1	Geophysics		
5.2	Sample Data		
5.3	Sample Observations		
6.0	CONCLUSIONS		
6.1	Interpretation of Results		
6.2	Geophysics		
6.3	Soil		
6.4	Estimated Quantities		
7.0	RECOMMENDATIONS		
8.0	LIMITATIONS		

TABLES

Table 1	Soil Sample PID Readings
Table 2	Soil Sample UVF Results Summary
Table 3	Soil Sample GC Results Summary

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

FIGURES

Figure 1	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, Site Photographs
Figure 3	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, EM61 Early Time Gate Response
Figure 4	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, EM61 Differential Response
Figure 5	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, EM61 Early Time Gate Response
	on Plan Sheet
Figure 6	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, EM61 Differential Response on Plan Sheet
Figure 7	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, Boring Locations on Plan Sheet
Figure 8	Parcel 7, Crystal Cleaners and Laundry, Soil Analytical Results on Plan Sheet
Figure 9	Legend for Plan Sheet Figures

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Soil Boring Logs
Appendix B	RED Lab Laboratory Testing Report
Appendix C	Chain-of-Custody Form
Appendix D	NCDEQ 2012 and 2014 Memoranda

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) is planning to construct a median along US 601 (State Street) from US 421 to SR 1146 (Lee Avenue). Roundabouts will be added at both ends of the project. The NCDOT requested that ESP Associates, Inc. (ESP) perform a Phase II Investigation of the proposed right-of-way (ROW) and proposed easement of Parcel 7 to locate possible underground storage tanks (USTs), sample soil, and delineate potential contaminated soil. The study area for Parcel 7 is approximately 0.18 acre and is located at 724 South State Street in Yadkinville, North Carolina (Figure 1).

2.0 HISTORY

This site is owned by Crystal Cleaners & Laundry, Inc. and, prior to 2014, operated as the Crystal Cleaners and Laundry. The business began operating as a gun and ammunition retail business in or around 2014. ESP contacted the NCDEQ and obtained records of site visits conducted in 2012 and 2014 (Appendix D). These memos indicate that the site used PCE (tetrachloroethylene) from 1984 through 2001, switched to DF-2000 (petroleum solvent) in 2001, then closed operations sometime between 2012 and 2014.

3.0 SITE OBSERVATIONS

During our February and March 2019 field work, the site was occupied by the Foothills Firearms and Ammo store (Figure 2). The ground in the study area was covered by asphalt pavement and grass. A possible former petroleum dispenser island patch was observed in the asphalt pavement outside of the proposed easement (Figure 2, Photo C).

4.0 METHODS

ESP performed a geophysical study of the area designated by the NCDOT on February 19 and 27, 2019. We performed sampling of subsurface soils within the proposed ROW/easement on March 4 and 5, 2019. Due to a miscommunication with the laboratory, we had to return to the site on April 25, 2019 and to obtain additional soil samples to test for solvents. A photoionization detector (PID) was used to screen subsurface soils in the field and select soil samples to send for laboratory analysis.

4.1 Geophysics

ESP performed a metal detector study over the accessible areas of the site using a Geonics EM61 MK2 with a line spacing of about three feet (Figures 3 and 4). Location control was provided in real-time using a differential global positioning system (DGPS). We collected ground-penetrating radar (GPR) data over selected EM61 anomalies using our Sensors and Software Noggin 250 GPR system. The GPR data were collected using a line spacing of one to two feet.

4.2 Borings

ESP performed direct-push drilling activities within the proposed ROW/easement of Parcel 7 using a subcontractor, SAEDACCO of Fort Mill, South Carolina. Five borings were drilled, designated B7-1 through B2-5 (Figure 7). The soil borings were advanced using a GeoProbe 7822DT drill rig. Soil samples were obtained to a depth of approximately 10 feet using two 5-foot long Macro-Core® tubes. The borings were redrilled with an approximate 0.5 to 1.0-foot offset on April 25, 2019 using a Geoprobe 54DT with the boreholes designated B7-1A through B7-5A. Soil samples were obtained to a depth of approximately 10 feet using three 4-foot long Macro-Core® tubes. Soil cores varied in recovery from 1.9 to 5 feet. The sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to drilling and between borings by the driller using a Liquinox® detergent solution.

4.3 Soil Sample Protocol

Representative soil samples were taken from the Macro-Core tubes at approximate one-foot intervals by the ESP field geologist while wearing nitrile disposable gloves. Each sample was placed in a sealed plastic bag and then kept in a warm area for 5 to 10 minutes prior to measuring volatile organic compound (VOC) levels in the head space with the PID.

Due to the history as a dry-cleaning site and since a possible former petroleum pump island was observed on the site, soil samples were selected from each sampling event to test for both petroleum hydrocarbons and chlorinated solvents. For each selected sample, a soil sample was collected from the sample bag using a Terra Core Sampler and placed into a laboratory-supplied 40-milliliter volatile organic analysis (VOA) vial containing methanol. Ten milligrams (mg) of soil was collected for the petroleum hydrocarbon analysis and 5 mg of soil was collected for the chlorinated solvent analysis. Once sealed, each vial was labeled with the sample identification number and then shaken vigorously for about one minute. The samples were packed on ice and sent via overnight delivery to RED Lab, LLC (RED Lab), located in Wilmington, North Carolina, following proper chain-of-custody procedures (Appendix C).

RED Lab used a QED Hydrocarbon Analyzer to quantitatively analyze selected soil samples using the ultraviolet fluorescence (UVF) method for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX); gasoline range organics (GRO); diesel range organics (DRO); total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH); total aromatics; polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs); and benzo(a)pyrene (BaP). RED lab also used a FROG-4000 gas chromatograph (GC) to check selected samples for chlorinated alkanes, including vinyl chloride, 1,1-dichlorethene, transdichlorethene, cis-dichlorethene, trichlorethene, and tetrachlorethene.

4.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered during the drilling investigation, although some possible perched water was encountered at a depth of approximately 5.0 feet in one boring (B7-5/5A). The sample from this depth had a strong petroleum odor.

5.0 RESULTS

5.1 Geophysics

The EM61 early time gate data show the response from both shallow and deeper metallic objects (Figure 3). The differential response reduces the effect of shallow anomalies and emphasizes anomalies from larger and more deeply buried metallic objects, such as USTs (Figure 4). The EM61 differential responses corresponded to known site features, such as buried utilities and reinforced concrete. GPR data were collected over selected EM61 anomalies. The GPR data did not indicate the presence of unknown USTs within the study area.

The EM61 early time gate response and differential response are shown on the plan sheet on Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

5.2 Sample Data

The PID field readings are summarized in Table 1. The soil sample UVF hydrocarbon analysis results for BTEX, GRO, DRO, and PAHs are presented in Table 2. The RED Lab UVF laboratory report, which also includes results for TPH, total aromatics, and BaP, is provided in Appendix B. The RED Lab FROG-4000 GC results are summarized in Table 3 and the report is provided in Appendix B. Values are provided in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg or ppm).

5.3 Sample Observations

The PID readings exceeded 10 ppm in Borings B7-3A and B7-5A with values of 324 and 88.8 ppm, respectively. The results of the UVF laboratory testing indicate that BTEX was 60.9 ppm for Sample B7-3A/S5 and below the laboratory detection limits for the other samples tested. GRO was detected in 4 samples and exceeded the NCDOT action level of 50 ppm in Sample B7-3A/S5. DRO was detected in all 7 samples tested and exceeded the NCDEQ action level of 100 ppm in Samples B7-5/S2 and B7-3A/S5. PAHs were detected in all 7 samples with values ranging from 0.13 to 5.3 ppm.

The FROG-4000 GC analysis did not detect chlorinated alkanes in the 5 samples tested.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Interpretation of Results

The results of the Phase II Investigation for Parcel 7 of NCDOT Project U-5809 do not indicate the presence of abandoned USTs within the study area. Petroleum hydrocarbon soil contamination was detected above the NCDEQ action levels for GRO and DRO within the study area on Parcel 7.

6.2 Geophysics

The geophysical data did not indicate the presence of abandoned USTs in the study area.

6.3 Soil

The results of the laboratory UVF hydrocarbon analyses indicate the presence of contaminated soil above the NCDEQ action level for DRO in one sample (B7-5, S2) and above the NCDEQ action level for GRO and DRO in another sample (B7-3A, S5) (Figure 8). Based on the PID readings and the UFV results, the hydrocarbon soil contamination appears to extend from Boring B7-3/3A to Boring B7-5/5A and from a depth of approximately 2.0 feet to 10.0 feet. Chlorinated solvents were not detected.

6.4 Estimated Quantities

Assuming an average contaminated soil thickness of 8.0 feet, the volume of contaminated soil within the proposed ROW/easement is estimated as follows:

$$3,890 \text{ sq.ft.} * 8.0 \text{ ft.} = 31,120 \text{ cu.ft.} = 1,153 \text{ cu.yd.}$$

7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

ESP recommends that soil removed from the site as part of NCDOT construction activities be screened for petroleum hydrocarbon contamination, properly handled, segregated, and disposed of in accordance with NCDEQ regulations. A strong odor was observed in a few samples but not in all of the contaminated samples.

Groundwater was not encountered during this investigation to a depth of 10 feet below ground surface. If groundwater is encountered during construction, it should be tested for contamination and handled appropriately, as previous investigations on an adjacent site indicated BTEX groundwater contamination.

8.0 LIMITATIONS

ESP's professional services have been performed, findings obtained, and recommendations prepared in accordance with customary principles and practices in the fields of environmental science and engineering. ESP is not responsible for the independent conclusions, opinions, or recommendations made by others based on the data presented in this report.

The passage of time may result in a change in the environmental characteristics at this site and surrounding properties. ESP does not warrant against future operations or conditions, or against operations or conditions present of a type or at a location not investigated. ESP does not assume responsibility for other environmental issues that may be associated with the subject site.

TABLES

TABLE 1 SOIL SAMPLE PID READINGS

Boring	Sample Depth Range with PID > 10 ppm (feet bgs)	Maximum PID Reading (ppm) and Sample Depth (feet bgs)
B7-1	none	0.0
B7-2	none	4.0 (3.0-3.5)
B7-3	none	1.7 (8.0-8.5)
B7-4	none	3.1 (8.0-8.5)
B7-5	none	4.7 (2.0-2.5)
B7-1A	none	0.7 (9.0-9.5)
B7-2A	none	10.0 (6.0-6.5)
B7-3A	5.0-9.5	324 (5.0-5.5)
B7-4A	none	3.2 (8.0-8.5)
B7-5A	4.0-5.5, 8.0-8.5	88.8 (5.0-5.5)

TABLE 2
SOIL SAMPLE UVF RESULTS SUMMARY

Boring	Sample ID (depth in feet bgs)	Date Collected	BTEX (C6-C9) (mg/kg)	GRO (C5-C10) (mg/kg)	DRO (C10-C35) (mg/kg)	PAHs (mg/kg)
B7-2	S8 (8.0-8.5)	3/4/19	<0.51	<0.51	4.7	0.13
B7-5	S2 (2.0-2.5)	3/5/19	<0.59	35.8	104.5	3.1
B7-2A	S6 (6.0-6.5)	4/25/19	<0.52	3.9	65.5	2.3
B7-3A	S5 (5.0-5.5)	4/25/19	60.9	144.6	102.6	5.3
B7-3A	S9 (9.0-9.5)	4/25/19	<1.5	<1.5	96.9	2.2
B7-5A	S5 (5.0-5.5)	4/25/19	<1.8	44.4	50.6	1.5
B7-5A	S8 (8.0-8.5)	4/25/19	<1.4	<1.4	45.7	1.0


^{*}bold values indicate results exceed the NCDEQ action levels for total petroleum hydrocarbons of 50 ppm for TPH GRO and 100 ppm for TPH DRO.

TABLE 3
SOIL SAMPLE GC RESULTS SUMMARY

Boring	Sample ID (depth in feet bgs)	Date Collected	Chlorinated Alkanes Concentration*
B7-2A	S6 (6.0-6.5)	4/25/19	Non-detect
B7-3A	S5 (5.0-5.5)	4/25/19	Non-detect
B7-3A	S9 (9.0-9.5)	4/25/19	Non-detect
B7-5A	S5 (5.0-5.5)	4/25/19	Non-detect
B7-5A	S8 (8.0-8.5)	4/25/19	Non-detect

^{*}Samples were tested for vinyl chloride, 1,1-dichlorethene, trans-dichlorethene, cis-dichlorethene, trichlorethene, and tetrachlorethene.

FIGURES



From: USGS US Topo 7.5 - minute map for LONE HICKORY, NC QUADRANGLE, NC, Date: 2016, Original Scale: 1:24,000

GR22.309	FIGURE 1 - PARCEL 7, CRYSTAL CLEANERS & LAUNDRY
AS SHOWN	SITE VICINITY MAP
5/7/19	U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS
EDB	YADKIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA



ESP Associates, Inc.
7011 Albert Pick Rd.,
Suite E
Greensboro, NC 27409

336.334.7724



A. Photo of proposed easement area, looking north.




C. Photo of possible former pump island location, center of site.




B. 2018 Google Earth image of site, looking southwest.

PROJECT NO. GR22.309	FIGURE 2 – PARCEL 7, CRYSTAL CLEANERS & LAUNDRY	
NTS	SITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
5/7/19	U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS	
EDB	YADKIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	








EXPLANATION


- Miscellaneous metal object (pipe, debris, etc.)
- Utility Box (water meter, electrical outlet, etc.)
- ☐ Drop Inlet or Catch Basin
- → Manhole
- **▼** Power pole
- Guy wire anchor
- Sign pole, other pole
- O UST System Monitoring
- → Monitoring well
- Building (from NCDOT files)
- EM61 Data Collection Areas
- GPR Data Collection Areas
- UST Approximate location of known UST

Note: Locations of data and features are approximate and were collected using a DGPS instrument. ESP makes no guarantees as to the accuracy of these locations. Coordinates on the axes of the maps are approximate and provided for general reference only.

PROJECT NO. GR22.309	FIGURE 3 - PARCEL 7, CRYSTAL CLEANERS & LAUNDRY	
AS SHOWN	EM61 EARLY TIME GATE RESPONSE	
5/7/19	U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS	
EDB	YADKIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	







EXPLANATION

Miscellaneous metal object (pipe, debris, etc.)

Utility Box (water meter, electrical outlet, etc.)

☐ Drop Inlet or Catch Basin

→ Manhole

▼ Power pole

Guy wire anchor

Sign pole, other pole

O UST System Monitoring

→ Monitoring well

Building (from NCDOT files)

EM61 Data Collection Areas


GPR Data Collection Areas


UST Approximate location of known UST

Note: Locations of data and features are approximate and were collected using a DGPS instrument. ESP makes no guarantees as to the accuracy of these locations. Coordinates on the axes of the maps are approximate and provided for general reference only.

PROJECT NO. GR22.309	FIGURE 4 - PARCEL 7, CRYSTAL CLEANERS & LAUNDRY	
AS SHOWN	EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESPONSE	
5/7/19	U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS	
EDB	YADKIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	







See Figure 9 for explanation of symbols and line types


u5809_ls_fs.dgn U-5809_hyd_dm.dgn GRAPHIC SCALE

GR22.309	FIGURE 6 – PARCEL 7, CRYSTAL CLEANERS & LAUNDRY
1" = 30'	EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESPONSE ON PLAN SHEET
5/7/19	U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM

EDB

U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM **US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS** YADKIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA





See Figure 9 for explanation of symbols and line types

U-5809_Geo_env_ESP.dgn u5809_ls_fs.dgn U-5809_hyd_dm.dgn 20', 0' 20', GRAPHIC SCALE

GR22.309

FIGURE 7 – PARCEL 7, CRYSTAL CLEANERS & LAUNDRY

BORING LOCATIONS ON PLAN SHEET


DATE 5/7/19

U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM

EDB

U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS YADKIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA





Explanation

B7-2/S6

Maximum Analytical Results per Boring Boring No./Sample No. GRO/DRO (mg/kg, ppm)

See Figure 9 for explanation of symbols and line types

U-5809_Geo_env_ESP.dgn u5809_ls_fs.dgn U-5809_hyd_dm.dgn

GRAPHIC SCALE

GR22.309	FIGURE 8 – PARCEL 7, CRYSTAL CLEANERS & LAUNDRY
1" = 50'	SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS ON PLAN SHEET
5/7/19	U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM

EDB

US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS YADKIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA



	STATE OF NORTH	CAROLII	NA, DIVISION OF HIGHWA	YS		
	CONVENTION		AN SHEET SYMBO			
BOUNDARIES AND PROPERTY:			U.E. = Subsurface Utility Engineering	LS	WATER:	
State Line	Note: Not to S	scate 'S	.U.E. = Subsurface Utility Engineering		Water Manhole —	
County Line ————					Water Meter	- 0
Township Line —	RAILROADS:				Water Valve	
City Line ————————————————————————————————————	Standard Gauge —	CSX TRANSPORTATION	Orchard —	8 8 8 8	Water Hydrant	
Reservation Line	RR Signal Milepost —	© MLEPOST 55	Vineyard ————————	Vineyard		
Property Line —	Switch	SWITCH	EXISTING STRUCTURES:		U/G Water Line LOS B (S.U.E*)	
Existing Iron Pin	RR Abandoned		MAJOR:		U/G Water Line LOS C (S.U.E*)	
Property Corner	RR Dismantled		Bridge, Tunnel or Box Culvert -	CONC	U/G Water Line LOS D (S.U.E*)	
Property Monument	RIGHT OF WAY:		Bridge Wing Wall, Head Wall and End Wall -) CONC ## (Above Ground Water Line	
	Baseline Control Point	•	MINOR:	•	TV:	
	Existing Right of Way Marker	Ă	Head and End Wall	CONC HW	TV Pedestal	- 0
Existing Fence Line ————————————————————————————————————	Existing Right of Way Line		Pipe Culvert		TV Tower —	- ⊗
Proposed Woven Wire Fence	Proposed Right of Way Line		Footbridge	———	U/G TV Cable Hand Hole	
Proposed Chain Link Fence	Proposed Right of Way Line with	~~	Drainage Box: Catch Basin, DI or JB	Псв	U/G TV Cable LOS B (S.U.E.*)	n
Proposed Barbed Wire Fence	Iron Pin and Cap Marker		Paved Ditch Gutter	_	U/G TV Cable LOS C (S.U.E.*)	
Existing Wetland Boundary	Proposed Right of Way Line with		Storm Sewer Manhole		U/G TV Cable LOS D (S.U.E.*)	
Proposed Wetland Boundary ————————————————————————————————————	Concrete or Granite RW Marker Proposed Control of Access Line with	9	Storm Sewer ———————————————————————————————————		U/G Fiber Optic Cable LOS B (S.U.E.*)	TY F0
Existing Endangered Animal Boundary ————	Concrete C/A Marker				U/G Fiber Optic Cable LOS C (S.U.E.*)	
Existing Endangered Plant Boundary	Existing Control of Access	(\$)	UTILITIES:		U/G Fiber Optic Cable LOS D (S.U.E.*)	
Existing Historic Property Boundary	Proposed Control of Access	<u> </u>	POWER:		GAS:	
Known Contamination Area: Soil ————————————————————————————————————	Existing Easement Line	——F——	Existing Power Pole —	•	Gas Valve	- 0
Potential Contamination Area: Soil ————————————————————————————————————	Proposed Temporary Construction Easement -	_	Proposed Power Pole	ķ	Gas Meter —	
Known Contamination Area: Water ————————————————————————————————————	Proposed Temporary Drainage Easement —	_	Existing Joint Use Pole	<u>+</u>	U/G Gas Line LOS B (S.U.E.*)	•
Potential Contamination Area: Water ————————————————————————————————————	Proposed Permanent Drainage Easement ——		Proposed Joint Use Pole	-	U/G Gas Line LOS C (S.U.E.*)	
Contaminated Site: Known or Potential — 💥 🕱	Proposed Permanent Drainage / Utility Easemen		Power Manhole —	•	U/G Gas Line LOS D (S.U.E.*)	
BUILDINGS AND OTHER CULTURE:	Proposed Permanent Utility Easement ———		Power Line Tower -	\boxtimes	Above Ground Gas Line	A/G Gas
Gas Pump Vent or U/G Tank Cap — O	Proposed Temporary Utility Easement ———		Power Transformer —	₩	Above Ground Gas Line	
Sign —	Proposed Aerial Utility Easement —		U/G Power Cable Hand Hole —		SANITARY SEWER:	
Well	•	AUE	H-Frame Pole	-	Sanitary Sewer Manhole	- ®
Small Mine 💮 🛠	Proposed Permanent Easement with Iron Pin and Cap Marker	•	U/G Power Line LOS B (S.U.E.*)		Sanitary Sewer Cleanout —	
Foundation —	ROADS AND RELATED FEATURE	•	U/G Power Line LOS C (S.U.E.*)		U/G Sanitary Sewer Line —	s
Area Outline	Existing Edge of Pavement	23.	U/G Power Line LOS D (S.U.E.*)		Above Ground Sanitary Sewer	A/G Sanitary Sever
Cemetery					SS Forced Main Line LOS B (S.U.E.*)	rs
Building	Existing Curb Proposed Slope Stakes Cut		TELEPHONE:		SS Forced Main Line LOS C (S.U.E.*)	
School		_	Existing Telephone Pole	-	SS Forced Main Line LOS D (S.U.E.*)	PSS
Church —		<u>L</u>	Proposed Telephone Pole -	•		
Dam —	Proposed Curb Ramp	CR	Telephone Manhole	•	MISCELLANEOUS:	
HYDROLOGY:	Existing Metal Guardrail		Telephone Pedestal	•	Utility Pole —	•
Stream or Body of Water —	Proposed Guardrail		Telephone Cell Tower	.∓.	Utility Pole with Base —	- 🖸
Hydro, Pool or Reservoir —	Existing Cable Guiderail		U/G Telephone Cable Hand Hole ———	=	Utility Located Object —	-
Jurisdictional Stream	Proposed Cable Guiderail		U/G Telephone Cable LOS B (S.U.E.*)	r	Utility Traffic Signal Box —	- 13
Buffer Zone 1	Equality Symbol —————————	<u> </u>	U/G Telephone Cable LOS C (S.U.E.*)		Utility Unknown U/G Line LOS B (S.U.E.*)	
Buffer Zone 2	Pavement Removal	*****	U/G Telephone Cable LOS D (S.U.E.*)	r	U/G Tank; Water, Gas, Oil —————	- 🖂
Flow Arrow	VEGETATION:		U/G Telephone Conduit LOS B (S.U.E.*) —	r	Underground Storage Tank, Approx. Loc. —	
Disappearing Stream — > —	Single Tree	£	U/G Telephone Conduit LOS C (S.U.E.*)—		A/G Tank; Water, Gas, Oil —————	- 🖂
Spring —————	Single Shrub	o	U/G Telephone Conduit LOS D (S.U.E.*)—		Geoenvironmental Boring	- 🚗
Wetland ±	Hedge -	***************************************	U/G Fiber Optics Cable LOS B (S.U.E.*) —		U/G Test Hole LOS A (S.U.E.*)	- 6
Proposed Lateral, Tail, Head Ditch	Woods Line		U/G Fiber Optics Cable LOS C (S.U.E.*)		Abandoned According to Utility Records —	-
<− ∧ <i>ω</i>			U/G Fiber Optics Cable LOS D (S.U.E.*)		End of Information —	- E.O.I.
False Sump —			The series canto roo b (o.o.r.)			

PROJECT NO. GR22.309	FIGURE 9
N/A	LEGEND FOR PLAN SHEET FIGURES
5/7/19	U-5809, CONSTRUCT MEDIAN ALONG US 601 (STATE STREET) FROM US 421 TO SR 1146 (LEE AVENUE) AND ADD ROUNDABOUTS
EDB	YADKIN COUNTY. NORTH CAROLINA



APPENDIX A SOIL BORING LOGS

	FSP			FIEL	D BORIN	G LOG		BORING NO.
PROJI	ECT NAME:	N	ICDOT U-5809			PROJ. NO.: GR22.309)	B7-1
LOCA	TION:			N end of parcel	2475 274 2752			
	OF BORING: .ING FIRM:		Direct Push SAEDACCO		DATE STARTED: DATE FINISHED:		SHEET: TOTAL DEPTH:	1 of 1 10.0 ft
DRILL			Brian Ewinç		SAMPLE METHOD:		DEPTH TO GW:	
DRILL			Geoprobe 782	2DT	LOGGED BY:	E. Billington	COMMENT:	
ОЕРТН (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	0.0.04 B	PHYSICA	ASSIFICATION AND AL DESCRIPTION		REMARKS
				0.0 -0.1, Root r 0.1 - 10.0, Brov	ทลเ vn sandy clay with littl	e gravel		Core 1 Rec 3.5'/5.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	0.0					
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	0.0					
3	S-3	3.0-3.5	0.0					-
4	S-4	4.0-4.5						-
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	0.0					Core 2 Rec 5.0'/5.0' Sample stuck in barrel,
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	0.0					redrilling
7	S-7	7.0-7.5	0.0					
	0.0	8.0-8.5						
8	S-8	0.0-0.5	0.0					
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	0.0					
10								-
11								
12								
12								
13								
14								_
		l						

	ESI)			FIELD BORING LOG	BORING NO.
PROJ	ECT N	AME:	N	CDOT U-5809		B7-2
LOCA	TION:			parcel by highw	ay, near sign	,
	OF BC			Direct Pusi		
DRILL		i vivi.		Brian Ewin	SAMPLE METHOD: 5' Macro Core DEPTH TO GW	
DRILL	RIG:			e 7822DT, har	d auger (HA) LOGGED BY: E. Billington COMMENT	T:
DЕРТН (ft)	SAMPLE	NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
					0.0 -0.6, Asphalt, gravel road base 0.6 - 6.9, Mottled tan, grey and brown sandy silt	Core 1 Rec 2.0'/5.0' 0-6.9' Prob
1	S-1		1.0-1.5	2.1		fill
						3/5 - returned
						to HA top 5
2	S-2	HA	2.0-2.5	1.5		
3	S-3	НА	3.0-3.5	4.0		S3 odor
4	S-4	НА	4.0-4.5	3.3		Core 2 Rec 4.1'/5.0'
5	S-5		5.0-5.5	1.7		Core 2 Rec 4.1'/5.0'
6	S-6		6.0-6.5	1.5		
	3-0		0.0 0.0	1.5		
7	S-7		7.0-7.5	1.7	6.9 - 10.0, grey clayey sand, v. moist	<u> </u>
8	S-8		8.0-8.5	2.5		_
9	S-9		9.0-9.5			
10						
11						
12						-
13						
14						

	FSP			FIELD BORING LOG	'	BORING NO.
PROJI	ECT NAME:	N	ICDOT U-5809			B7-3
LOCA	TION:		of parcel, on g	SS		
	OF BORING: .ING FIRM:		Direct Push SAEDACCO	DATE STARTED: 3/4/19 DATE FINISHED: 3/4/19	SHEET: TOTAL DEPTH:	1 of 1 10.0 ft
DRILL			Brian Ewing	SAMPLE METHOD: 5' Macro Core	DEPTH TO GW:	
DRILL	. RIG:		Geoprobe 782	DT LOGGED BY: E. Billington	COMMENT:	
DЕРТН (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION		REMARKS
				.0 -0.1, Root mat .1 - 10.0, Brown, tan to grey brown sandy silt with clay		Core 1 Rec 2.8'/5.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	0.0			
•			0.0			-
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	0.0			
3	S-3	3.0-3.5				
4	S-4	4.0-4.5				
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	0.4			Core 2 Rec 3.1'/5.0'
		0.0.0.5				
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	0.8			<u> </u>
						-
7	S-7	7.0-7.5	0.5			
						-
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	1.7			
9	S-9	9.0-9.5				
. 9	0-5	0.0 0.0				
10						-
11						
12						
13						
14		,				

	FSP			FIELD BORING LOG	BORING NO.
PROJ	ECT NAME:	N	CDOT U-5809		B7-4
LOCA	TION:		p, middle of S	edge	
	OF BORING: .ING FIRM:		Direct Pusi		
DRILL	.ER:		Brian Ewin	SAMPLE METHOD: 5' Macro Core DEPTH TO GV	V: N/A ft
DRILL			Geoprobe 782	2DT LOGGED BY: E. Billington COMMEN	T:
DEРТН (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION 0.0 -0.1, Root mat	REMARKS
				0.1 - 5.6, Orange-brown to greenish brown, sandy silt, moist	Core 1 Rec 3.4'/5.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	1.1		
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	1.5		
3	S-3	3.0-3.5	0.7		
		0.0 0.0	0.7		
	0.4	4.0-4.5			
4	S-4	4.0-4.5			
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	2.7		Core 2 Rec 5.0'/5.0'
				5.6 - 10.0, greenish brown to grey-brown sandy clay, moist	
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	2.0		_
7	S-7	7.0-7.5	2.3		
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	3.1		
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	1.7		
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					

	FSP	1		FIELD BORING LOG	BORING NO.
PROJ	ECT NAME:		ICDOT U-5809		B7-5
	OF BORING: .ING FIRM: .ER:		Direct Pusi SAEDACC Brian Ewin Geoprobe 782	h DATE STARTED: 3/5/19 SHEE O DATE FINISHED: 3/5/19 TOTAL DEPT 9 SAMPLE METHOD: 5' Macro Core DEPTH TO GN	H: 10.0 ft W: N/A ft
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				0.0 -0.3, Asphalt, gravel base 0.3 - 5.0, Medium brown to dark brown to blackish brown, sandy silt, moist	Core 1 Rec 2.2'/5.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	1.1		
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	4.7		2.0' possible staining
3	S-3	3.0-3.5			
4	S-4	4.0-4.5			
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	2.6	5.0 - 6.0, Light brown to grey silty sand, wet	Core 2 Rec 4.1'/5.0'
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	1.7	6.0 - 10.0, med brown clayey sand	
7	S-7	7.0-7.5	1.5		-
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	0.6		
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	2.4		
10			+		
11					
12					
13					
14					
		1	<u> </u>		

	FSP			FIELD BORING LOG	BORING NO.
PROJ	ECT NAME:	N	CDOT U-5809		B7-1A
LOCA	TION:			N end of parcel	
	OF BORING: ING FIRM:		Direct Pus	DATE STARTED: $\frac{4/25/19}{4/25/19}$ SHEET DATE FINISHED: $\frac{4}{25}/19$ TOTAL DEPTH	
DRILL			Will Keyes		
DRILL			Geoprobe 54	DT LOGGED BY: E. Billington COMMEN	
DEРТН (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				0.0 - 0.2, Root mat 0.2 - 2.0, Medium brown sandy silt, dry to moist	Core 1 Rec 4.0'/4.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	0.1		Plugged core barrel, 2.5 hr delay
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	0.2	2.0 - 10.0, Medium brown to orange, sandy clay	
3	S-3	3.0-3.5	0.4		-
4	S-4	4.0-4.5	0.4		Core 2 Rec 4.0'/4.0'
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	0.5		
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	0.2		-
7	S-7	7.0-7.5	0.4		
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	0.5		Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	0.7	8.6 - 8.9, Seam of brown silty sand	
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					-

	ESP			FIELD BORING LOG	BORING NO.
PROJ	ECT NAME:	N	CDOT U-5809		B7-2A
LOCA				vay, near sign	
	OF BORING: ING FIRM:		Direct Pusi	DATE FINISHED: 4/25/19 TOTAL DEPTI	
DRILL			Will Keyes Geoprobe 54	SAMPLE METHOD: 4' Macro-Core DEPTH TO GV	V: N/A ft
DRILL		£		DT LOGGED BY: E. Billington COMMEN	l:
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				0.0 -0.4, Asphalt, gravel base	Core 1 Rec 2.6'/4.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	0.4	0.4 - 9.0, Light brown to tannish brown, sandy silt	
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	1.4		-
3	S-3	3.0-3.5			
4	S-4	4.0-4.5	0.9	4.0 - Grading to dark grey-brown	Core 2 Rec 2.5'/4.0'
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	1.8		
	-				-
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	10.0		
			10.0		
7	S-7	7.0-7.5			
	3-1	7.0-7.5			
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	5.7		Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	1.9	9.0 - 10.0, Grey-brown clayey sand, moist	
10					
11					
12					
13					
			1		
14					
14					
			+		

	ESP			FIELD BORING LOG	BORING NO.
DDO.		N	CDOT U-5809		B7-3A
LOCA	ECT NAME: TION:		of parcel, gras	1 100: 110:: G1(22:000	D7-3A
	OF BORING:		Direct Pusi		
	ING FIRM:		SAEDACC Will Keyes		
DRILL DRILL			Geoprobe 54		
		ш (£)	Ŋ		
DEРТН (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				0.0 -0.3 Root mat 0.3 - 5.5, brown to dark brown sandy silt	Core 1 Rec 1.9'/4.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	0.1		
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	0.2		
3	S-3	3.0-3.5			-
4	S-4	4.0-4.5	2.4		Core 2 Rec 2.4'/4.0'
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	324		5.0' - Odor
				5.5 - grading to with some clay	
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	112		-
7	S-7	7.0-7.5			
		0.0.0.5			
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	103		Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	182		9.0' - Odor
10					
11					
					-
12					
13					
14					
14					

	ESP			FIELD BORING LOG	BORING NO.
PRO.I	ECT NAME:	N	ICDOT U-5809		B7-4A
LOCA	TION:	Grassy stri		. edge of parcel	
	OF BORING:		Direct Pus SAEDACC		
DRILL	ING FIRM:		Will Keyes	2,112.11101122.1120110	
DRILL			Geoprobe 54		
(#)	щ	щ(#)	97.0		
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				0.0 -0.3 Root mat 0.3 - 6.6, Red-brown to dark grey-brown sandy silt	Core 1 Rec 3.0'/4.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	0.4		
		0.0.0.5			
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	1.4		
3	S-3	3.0-3.5			
4	S-4	4.0-4.5	1.1		Core 2 Rec 4.0'/4.0'
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	0.5		
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	0.7		
	3-0	0.0 0.0	0.7		
				6.6 - 10.0, Very dark brown to medium brown sandy clay	
7	S-7	7.0-7.5			
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	3.2		Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	0.6		
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					

	ESP		BORING NO.		
PROJECT NAME:		Ν	B7-5A		
LOCATION:		30' N of B7	7-2/2A	1 Nov. No.: OREZ.000	
	OF BORING: ING FIRM:	<u> </u>	Direct Pus SAEDACC		
DRILL			Will Keye	SAMPLE METHOD: 4' Macro-Core DEPTH TO GV	
DRILL RIG:			Geoprobe 54	ADT LOGGED BY: E. Billington COMMEN	Г:
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	PID READING (ppm)	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				0.0 -0.4, Asphalt, gravel base 0.4 - 2.3, tan to red-brown sandy silt	Core 1 Rec 2.6'/4.0'
1	S-1	1.0-1.5	0.7		
2	S-2	2.0-2.5	1.6		
	0-2	2.0 2.0	1.0	2.2. 4.0. Dork grove brauer agreete alaye	
		2025		2.3 - 4.0, Dark grey-brown sandy clay	
3	S-3	3.0-3.5			
4	S-4	4.0-4.5	66.3	4.0 - 4.9 Lense of silt	Core 2 Rec 2.6'/4.0'
5	S-5	5.0-5.5	88.8	4.9 - 7.9, Dark grey-brown silty sand, wet	4.9' perched water
6	S-6	6.0-6.5	3.8		
7	S-7	7.0-7.5			
8	S-8	8.0-8.5	40.6	7.9 - 10.0, Grey-brown sandy clay, dry	Core 3 Rec 2.0'/2.0'
				The state of the s	
9	S-9	9.0-9.5	3.0		
9	3-9	0.0-0.0	3.0		-
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					

APPENDIX B RED LAB LABORATORY TESTING REPORT





Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

Client: ESP ASSOCIATES INC.

Address: 7011 ALBERT PICK RD

SUITE E GREENSBORO, NC 27409

Samples taken
Samples extracted

Samples analysed

Monday, March 4, 2019

Monday, March 4, 2019

Tuesday, March 12, 2019

Contact: NED BILLINGTON Operator CAROLINE STEVENS

Project: GR22.309

													U00902
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	ВаР	% Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match
										C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18	
				,			·	,					
Soil	B7-5 S2	23.6	<0.59	35.8	104.5	140.3	68.8	3.1	0.015	38.4	60	1.6	Deg Fuel 76.5%,(FCM)
Soil	B7-2 S8	20.5	<0.51	<0.51	4.7	4.7	2.5	0.13	<0.003	0	97.3	2.7	Deg Fuel 75.5%,(FCM)

Initial Calibrator QC check OK

Final FCM QC Check OK

96.0%

Analysis by QED HC-1 Analyser

Concentration values in mg/kg for soil and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.

Abbreviations:- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode: % = confidence for hydrocarbon identification: (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match: (T) = Turbid: (P) = Particulate detected HC = Hydrocarbon: PHC = Petroleum HC: FP = Fingerprint only: % Ratios estimated carbon number proportions: (OCR)/(Q) = Outside cal range, values and HC match estimates: ND = Not Detected (B) = Blank Drift: (M) = Adjusted value: (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result: (BO) = Background Organics detected: SB = sample selected as site background







Hydrocarbon Analysis Results

ESP Client:

Address: GREENSBORO

Samples taken

Thursday, April 25, 2019

Samples extracted

Thursday, April 25, 2019

JENN RYAN

Samples analysed

Operator

Tuesday, April 30, 2019

Contact: NED BILLINGTON

Project: GR22.309

								4					
													U00902
Matrix	Sample ID	Dilution used	BTEX (C6 - C9)	GRO (C5 - C10)	DRO (C10 - C35)	TPH (C5 - C35)	Total Aromatics (C10-C35)	16 EPA PAHs	ВаР	% Ratios			HC Fingerprint Match
										C5 - C10	C10 - C18	C18	
S	B7 - 2A S6	20.6	<0.52	3.9	65.5	69.4	57	2.3	<0.021	15.6	75.4	9	Deg.Fuel 84.9%,(FCM),(BO)
S	B7 - 3A S5	22.4	60.9	144.6	102.6	247.2	143.3	5.3	<0.022	94.8	4.9	0.3	Deg Gas 78.5%,(FCM)
S	B7 - 3A S9	60.7	<1.5	<1.5	96.9	96.9	44.4	2.2	<0.061	0	81.3	18.7	Deg.PHC 78.6%,(FCM)
S	B7 - 5A S5	71.3	<1.8	44.4	50.6	95	28.7	1.5	<0.071	68.9	27.4	3.7	Deg Fuel 69.5%,(FCM)
S	B7 - 5A S8	56.2	<1.4	<1.4	45.7	45.7	20.9	1	<0.056	0	77.1	22.9	V.Deg.PHC 95%,(FCM),(P)
					<u> </u>								
					1								
	'				'							1	
	'				'							1	
	'				'							1	
	Initial (alibrator	OC check	OK					Final F	CM OC	Check	OK	99.5 %

Concentration values in mg/kg for soil samples and mg/L for water samples. Soil values uncorrected for moisture or stone content. Fingerprints provide a tentative hydrocarbon identification.


Abbreviations :- FCM = Results calculated using Fundamental Calibration Mode: % = confidence of hydrocarbon identification: (PFM) = Poor Fingerprint Match: (T) = Turbid: (P) = Particulate detected

B = Blank Drift : (SBS)/(LBS) = Site Specific or Library Background Subtraction applied to result : (BO) = Background Organics detected : (OCR) = Outside cal range : (M) = Modifed Result.

% Ratios estimated aromatic carbon number proportions : HC = Hydrocarbon : PHC = Petroleum HC : FP = Fingerprint only. Data generated by HC-1 Analyser


FROG-0080:Ta=35, Tb=155, Tc=20, Ct=35, Ht=115, collect=30, clean=10, presettle=10, settle=2, fire=6 Dilution = 500 B7-3A S5

Peak	Analyte Name	Time	Height	Area	Concentration	Final Conc.
1	Vinyl Chloride	-	-	-	0.00	0
2	1,1 dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
3	Trans Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
4	Cis Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
5	Trichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
6	Tetrachlorothene	-	-	-	0.00	0




FROG-0080:Ta=35, Tb=155, Tc=20, Ct=35, Ht=115, collect=30, clean=10, presettle=10, settle=2, fire=6 Dilution = 315 B7-3A S9

Peak	Analyte Name	Time	Height	Area	Concentration	Final Conc.
1	Vinyl Chloride	-	-	-	0.00	0
2	1,1 dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
3	Trans Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
4	Cis Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
5	Trichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
6	Tetrachlorothene	-	-	-	0.00	0




FROG-0080:Ta=35, Tb=155, Tc=20, Ct=35, Ht=115, collect=30, clean=10, presettle=10, settle=2, fire=6 Dilution = 336 B7-5A S5

Peak	Analyte Name	Time	Height	Area	Concentration	Final Conc.
1	Vinyl Chloride	-	-	-	0.00	0
2	1,1 dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
3	Trans Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
4	Cis Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
5	Trichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
6	Tetrachlorothene	-	-	-	0.00	0




FROG-0080:Ta=35, Tb=155, Tc=20, Ct=35, Ht=115, collect=30, clean=10, presettle=10, settle=2, fire=6 Dilution = 285 B7-5A S8

Peak	Analyte Name	Time	Height	Area	Concentration	Final Conc.
1	Vinyl Chloride	-	-	-	0.00	0
2	1,1 dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
3	Trans Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
4	Cis Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
5	Trichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
6	Tetrachlorothene	-	-	-	0.00	0




FROG-0080:Ta=35, Tb=155, Tc=20, Ct=35, Ht=115, collect=30, clean=10, presettle=10, settle=2, fire=6 Dilution = 158 B7-2A S6

Peak	Analyte Name	Time	Height	Area	Concentration	Final Conc.
1	Vinyl Chloride	-	-	-	0.00	0
2	1,1 dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
3	Trans Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
4	Cis Dichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
5	Trichloroethene	-	-	-	0.00	0
6	Tetrachlorothene	-	-	-	0.00	0



FROG-0080:Ta=35, Tb=155, Tc=20, Ct=35, Ht=115, collect=30, clean=10, presettle=10, settle=2, fire=6 Dilution = 1 BLANK

Peak	k Analyte Name		Height	Area	Concentration	Final Conc.	
-	Blank	-	-	-	-	-	



APPENDIX C CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY FORM

Client Name:	ESP Associates, Inc.
Address:	7011 Albert Pich Rd, Sunder Greens Long, NC 27409
Contact:	Ned Billington
Project Ref.:	6R2Z,309
Email: nbillington	@espassociates.com
Phone #:	336-420-5452
Collected by:	Sund



RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL DIAGNOSTICS

CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND ANALYTICAL REQUEST FORM

RED Lab, LLC 5598 Marvin K Moss Lane MARBIONC Bldg, Suite 2003 Wilmington, NC 28409

Each sample will be analyzed for BTEX, GRO, DRO, TPH, PAH total aromatics and BaP

Sample Collection	TAT Red	quested	Matrix		Canada ID	1157	CC DTEV	T-1-134"	T 14/	C 1
Date/Time	24 Hour	48 Hour	(S/W)		Sample ID	UVF	GC BTEX	Total Wt.	Tare Wt.	Sample Wt.
3/5/19			. 5	B7-5,	52			55,2	44.2	11.0
3/4/19			1	57-2	,58			56.9	44.2	12.7
3/5/19	e e			B8-1,	52			56.2	45.5	10.7
3/5/19				B8-2	58			53.8	43.9	9.9
3/5/19				38-3				56.0	44.6	11.4
3/4/19				B10-1	, 53			54.6	43.9	10.7
3/4/19				B10-2				54.7	43.9	10-8
3/4/19				B10-3				53.3	44.6	9.3
3/4/19				810-4	,52			54.6	44.5	10.1
314119				B21-1				55.3	U4.3	11.0
3/4/19				B21-2	, SZ			54.9	44.6	10.3
3/4/19		\forall	Y	B21-3	,56	4		54.0	43.6	10.4
							-			
3/4/19			5	B7-1	No Results for	or this set	&C	56.9	44.7	12,2
3/4/19				丑7-2,	56	51 1113 301		57.0	44.8	12.2
3/4/19				37-3,	52			56.9	44,4	12.5
3/5/19					55			58-0	44.5	13.5
3/5/19		A	4	37-5,	52		4	57.3	44.4	12.9
							4			
							-			
Comments:							RE	D Lab USE	ONLY	
Relinquished by			Date/Time Accept			L	/			
Kelinquished by			3/4/		Accepted	υγ	Date/Time 3/12/19 12p	(//	
Polinge	Relinguished by			/Time	Car Stan	3/12		\	()	
Keiinqt	uisiled by		Date,	rime	Accepted	ру	Date/Time			
						I				

Client Name:	ESP
Address:	Growsborn
Contact:	Ned Billington
Project Ref.:	GR 22.309
Email:	An Cole
Phone #:	Orefice
Collected by:	Ned Billington



RED Lab, LLC 5598 Marvin K Moss Lane MARBIONC Bldg, Suite 2003 Wilmington, NC 28409

Each sample will be analyzed for BTEX, GRO, DRO, TPH, PAH total aromatics and BaP

REQUEST FORM

Sample Collection	TAT Rec	uested	Matrix	Sample ID	UVF	GC BTEX	Total Wt.	Tare Wt.	Sample Wt.
Date/Time	24 Hour	48 Hour	(S/W)				58.4	45.8	1200
4/25/19			5	B7-24 56			50.0	45.6	100
4 25 19				B7-3A S5			86.0	1000	1130
4125/19			5	B7-3A 59			2 (10)	43.7	1 20
4/25/19			5	B7-5A 55			55,2	44.1	14.6
4/25/19			5	B7-54 58			56.1	79.1	14.6
7/05/11									
,									
	1							ļ	
								<u> </u>	
								-	
									-
									
		+							
2							R	ED Lab USE	ONLY
Comments:	Comments:						4	1	
Polin	, Relinquished by		Dat	te/Time Accepted b	ру	Date/Time		151	
No.	Ned Billington		4/2		9	4130		$(\ \ / \)$	
Relinguished by			te/Time Accepted	ру	Date/Time		$\setminus \cup \setminus$		
Relin	quisileu by								

Client Name:	130 /	34VZ.							RED Lab,	LLC		
Address:	1								5598 Mar	vin K Moss	Lane	
	Greens					MARBIONC Bldg, Suite 2003						
Contact:		lington				Wilmington, NC 28409						
Project Ref.:	6R22							analyzed for				
Email:	Email:							PH, PAH total				
Phone #:				RAPI	D ENVIR	aromatics and BaP. Standard GC Analyses are for BTEX and Chlorinated						
	Ned Br	Mans-				Solvents: Vo	C, 1,1 DCE, 1,	2 cis DCE, 1,2				
Collected by:	New Y	Mish	CHAIN	OF CU	STODY	AND ANA	ΔΙ ΥΤΙζΔΙ	REQUEST FORM		trans DCE, TCE, and PCE. Specify target analytes in the space provided below.		
Sample Collection	TAT Po	quested	Analysi			AITO AIT	ALT HOAL	TIEQUEST TOTAL	analytes in the space provided below.			
Date/Time	24 Hour	48 Hour	UVF	GC	Initials		Samp	le ID	Total Wt.	Tare Wt.	Sample Wt.	
4 25 19	24 11001	48 11001	OVI	-	60B	B7-11	1	72156	51.0	44.3	6.7	
4/25/19				V	505		A55		50.2	43.8	6.4	
4 25 49				~	ERS		34 59		50.8	44.8	6.0	
4 25 19					506	87-	5A 55		51.4	44.3	7.1	
4/25/19	-				603	87-	5A S8		56.9	414.1	12.8	
4/25/19					EOR	B7-	ZA 56	*	30.1		16.0	
1123/1												
						* +1	us one V	US 10 gram> 50,1				
										1 0		
	<u> </u>					l i			-			
					 			·	 	ļ		
	_				<u> </u>							
			-		 	S S				<u> </u>		
					<u> </u>							
						4			-			
					<u> </u>	*						
	<u> </u>				-							
			-						<u> </u>			
	ļ				_					ļ		
00040450170/0501					<u> </u>							
COMMENTS/REQUESTS:						Chlore V	nard A	is: Kanes and Full	fold to	so 501.	rends	
Relinqu	Relinquished by Accep				Accep	ted by		Date/Time	RE	D Lab USE	ONLY	
7 Wall 2			4/29/19	10.1-	is low	the	451	1/19 9:00 am	BILL			
Relinquished by				Accepted by Date/Time					- $ -$			
										Ref. No 0 42519A		

APPENDIX D NCDEQ 2012 AND 2014 MEMORANDA

NORTH CAROLINA DIVISION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT Dry Cleaning Solvent Cleanup Act (DSCA) Compliance Program Initial Inspection Report

Date: 5/14/2012

Facility Identification

Crystal Cleaners & Laundry
Facility ID: 990001C
EPA Generator ID: CESQG
County/FIPS: Yadkin/197
DSCA Cleanup ID:

Facility Data

Crystal Cleaners & Laundry 724 State Street

Yadkinville NC 27055

Lat: 36.121207 **Long:** -80.660513

SIC: 7216 / Dry Cleaning Plants, Except Rugs

NAICS: 81232/ Dry Cleaning and Laundry Services (except Coin-Operated)

Date of Facility Establishment: 1/1/1984

Compliance Data

Inspection Date: 5/10/2012

Time In: 09:45 AM **Time Out:** 11:05 AM

Inspector(s): Pam Moore **Operating Status:** OO/Operating

Compliance Codes: C/In Compliance (Overall)

Action Code: 10/Initial Inspection

Date of Facility Establ	ISIIIIEIII. 1/1/1704		
	Contact Data	Classification Data	
Facility Contact Melinda Hoots 724 State St. Yadkinville, NC 27055 (336) 679-3151	Facility Owner Nolan Brown PO Box 969 Yadkinville, NC 27057	Property Owner Nolan Brown PO Box 969 Yadkinville, NC 27057	Service Type: Full Service (Active) Solvent: DF2000 System: Dry-to-Dry Installation Date: 1/1/2001 Installation Category: N/A Consumption Category: N/A HW Generator Status: CESQG
	Paraela Masse		Comments

Inspector's Signature:

Date of Signature:

(I) **DIRECTIONS:** From the Peace Street lot, go west on Peace Street and take the ramp onto Capital Blvd North. Merge onto Wade Ave. Continue to follow Wade Ave which becomes I-40 W. Go about 62 miles on I-40 W. Keep right at exit 131 to take I-40 W toward Greensboro and go 38 miles. Take exit 188 for US-421 N toward Yadkinville/Wilkesboro and go about 20 miles. Take exit 257 for US-601 toward Yadkinville/Mocksville. Turn right onto US-601/S State St. The facility is on the left.

(II) FACILITY HISTORY: The facility was established in 1984 by Nolan Brown as a perc plant but changed to petroleum in 2001. Mr. Brown is the current owner. The facility is open from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. The facility is stand alone and does not service any pickup stores.

Solvent History:

Solvent	Dates Used		
Perchloroethylene	1984 to 2001		
DF2000	2001 to present		

Previous Inspections:

Date	Visit Type	Violation Types	Worst Violation(s)	Action Taken	Date Sent	Response Due	Received Date	Inspector
9/17/2008	Outreach Training Visit	MMP	Spill containment	CAL	9/17/2008	10/10/2008	10/10/2008	Jack Kitchen

Complaints: NA

DSCA Sampling: None

(III) FACILITY CLASSIFICATION: Because Crystal Cleaners uses a petroleum based dry cleaning machine there is no facility classification.

NEW STATIONARY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS (NSPS) CATEGORY: The dry cleaning machine was installed after December 14, 1982, but the facility's total drying capacity is less than 84 lbs.; therefore, Crystal Cleaners is not subject to NSPS Subpart JJJ regulations.

Dry Cleaning Equipment Summary

Mach	Type of	Gen	Manufacturer	Model #	Serial #	Mfr Date	Install Date	Solvent Used	Observed
No	Machine		(Mfr)			(year)	(year)		Operating?
1	Dry-to-Dry	non	Union	HL 860	667F10359A	1/1/2001	1/1/2001	DF2000	yes
		-							
		perc							

HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATOR CATEGORY - CESQG: Crystal Cleaners & Laundry is classified as a Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator because the facility generated less than 220 pounds of waste per month at the time of the inspection, and stores less than 2,200 pounds of hazardous waste on site.

(IV) INSPECTION SUMMARY: On May, 10, 2012, Pam Moore, Compliance Inspector, with the North Carolina Division of Waste Management, Dry Cleaning Solvent Cleanup Act (DSCA) Program conducted a Compliance Inspection at Crystal Cleaners & Laundry (picture 1). The inspector met with Mr. David Tickle, store financial manager, who provided the inspector access to the facility's equipment and available records. Ms. Melinda Hoots, facility manager, was away from the facility on business and the attendant called Mr. Tickle who came to the facility to meet with Ms. Moore.

The Union HL860 (60-lb. capacity) petroleum dry cleaning machine (picture 2) is equipped with secondary containment around and underneath the dry cleaning machine. The machine was observed in operation. Mr. Tickle said the machine is operated from about 7:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. Monday through Friday and processes an average of two loads per day. No perceptible leaks were observed by the inspector while the machine was in operation. Separator water is collected in a closed container (picture 3) located within the spill pan of the dry cleaning machine. Less than one gallon was in the container and Mr. Tickle said that the machine generates about one gallon of separator water per week. The separator water is drummed and disposed by a licensed waste hauler. When the inspector asked Mr. Tickle how often the solvent filters are changed on the dry cleaning machine, he told the inspector that Michael Sizemore is the maintenance person for the facility and that he takes care of filter changes. Mr. Tickle called Mr. Sizemore and was told some of the filters on the machine are changed weekly, some are changed monthly and some are changed semi-annually. Mr. Sizemore drains the filters more than 8 hours prior to removing and disposing in the waste drum. Solvent waste from the dry cleaning machine is plumbed directly to a waste drum (picture 4) located in spill containment adjacent to the dry cleaning machine. The waste drum was sealed and labeled. One empty 15-gallon waste drum was observed behind the dry cleaning machine. Several empty waste drums were stored in a locked shed behind the facility.

Two 55-gallon solvent drums were stored on site in spill containment (picture 5). One drum was full and one was about half full. Mr. Tickle stated that it is unusual for the facility to store solvent on site, and that they usually do not. He said it was likely due to an impending price increase and so extra solvent was purchased prior to the price increase. The spill containment unit housing the solvent drums is equipped with an expandable bladder. Solvent (DF2000) is purchased from N. S. Farrington Co.

The clothes press vacuum pump (picture 6) is located in the boiler room. The vacuum pump was installed in 2011 to replace the old vacuum pump. Pump condensate is collected in a container (picture 7) and disposed in the waste drum. No condensate was in the container, as the pump is drained at the end of the day. Less than one gallon of condensate is generated by the vacuum pump each week. During the outreach visit in 2008 the inspector stated pump condensate did not appear to be collected. This issue has been corrected.

The spotting table is located to the right of the dry cleaning machine and is equipped with a waste collection container. No waste was in the container. Mr. Tickle said the spotting table produces very little waste and that the container is periodically emptied into the waste drum.

Mr. Sizemore, the maintenance mechanic, keeps a log of solvent filter changes and any maintenance repairs conducted on the dry cleaning machine.

Waste manifests dating to 2006 were on site. A review of the manifests shows that Crystal Cleaners had 1,218 lbs. of solvent waste picked up from the facility from June 2011 to May 2012 for an average of 102 lbs. per month, categorizing the facility as a Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator (CESQG). Crystal Cleaners contracts with Safety Kleen (EPA ID#TXR000050930) to haul their waste to the Safety Kleen facility in Cranston, R.I. Solvent purchase receipts were on site. The last solvent purchase was on May 7, 2012 for 55 gallons.

The operation and maintenance manuals for the dry cleaning machine were on site. An emergency information form was completed and posted directly above the telephone near the front of the facility. Emergency spill cleanup material (pig mats) was on site. The Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for the solvent and spotting agents were in a binder at the front counter.

The following is a summary of Crystal Cleaners & Laundry compliance with respect to the DSCA Required Minimum Management Practices provided in 15A NCAC 02S.0202, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) found in 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart M and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) referenced in 40 CFR part 261.5 and 262.

MMP VIOLATIONS - 15A NCAC 02S.0202

None

RCRA VIOLATIONS - Hazardous Waste Regulations: 40 CFR Part 262.34

None

(V) CONCLUSIONS: Based on observations documented by the DSCA Inspector during the 5/10/2012 inspection, Crystal Cleaners & Laundry is currently in compliance with the applicable regulations.

(VI) ENFORCEMENT HISTORY (NOVs, NREs, Penalties): None.

(VII) RECOMMENDATIONS: Because the facility was found to be in compliance, no response to the DSCA compliance checklist is required. The next inspection should be scheduled for May 2014.

Memo

To: File

From: Jack Kitchen

CC:

Date: 8/13/2014

Re: Crystal Cleaners 990001C (Decommissioned)

Jack Kitchen

Crystal Cleaners & Laundry

724 State Street Yadkinville NC 27055

Lat: 36.121207 **Long:** -80.660513

Property Owner:


Nolan Brown PO Box 969 Yadkinville, NC 27057

Notes: Crystal Cleaners & Laundry was established in 1984 by Nolan Brown as a perc plant but changed to petroleum in 2001. The facility operated as a DF2000 / nonhazardous hydrocarbon solvent dry cleaner until 2014

On August 13, 2014, Jack Kitchen, Compliance Inspector, with the North Carolina Division of Waste Management, Dry Cleaning Solvent Cleanup Act (DSCA) conducted an onsite visit and confirmed that the business had closed and that no dry cleaning equipment or dry cleaning solvent waste remained on the property. The facility is now occupied by Foothills Firearms and Ammo since August 1, 2014. The new business is owned by Mr. Jeff Whitacre. On the day of the onsite visit, employee Ryan Hudson provided access to the facility.



Picture 1: Foothills Firearms and Ammo store previously Crystal Cleaners & Laundry



Picture 2: Interior of facility.