# PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMENT PARCEL 50, PANSY EARNEST PROPERTY 3585 US HIGHWAY 1 RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA WBS ELEMENT: 34438.1.1; NCDOT PROJECT: R-2502 A

Prepared for:
NC Department of Transportation
Geotechnical Engineering Unit
GeoEnvironmental Section
1589 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1589

Prepared by: Solutions-IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

Solutions-IES Project No. 3260.06A3.NDOT

September 8, 2006

Kevin B. Buchanan

Senior Environmental Specialist

Kevi B. Buchanan

Sheri L. Knox, P. Emmi Project Manager

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INT RODUCTION	1
2.0	BACK GROUND AND SITE DESCRIPTION	1
	FIELD ACTIVITIES	
4.0	SAMPLING RESULT S	3
5.0	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS.	3

#### **TABLES**

TABLE 1 – SUMMARY OF FIELD SCREENING RESULTS FOR SOIL

TABLE 2 – SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

#### **FIGURES**

FIGURE 1 – SITE LOCATION MAP

FIGURE 2 – SITE MAP

FIGURE 3 – SOIL BORING LOCATIONS

#### **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A – PHOT OGRAPHS

APPENDIX B - GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION

APPENDIX C – BORING LOGS

APPENDIX D – GPS COORDINATES OF BORING LOCATIONS

APPENDIX E – LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) is widening the existing alignment of US Highway 1 near the towns of Marston and Hoffman, located in Richmond County, North Carolina. Acquisition of properties within the right-of-way is necessary prior to road construction. On July 19, 2006, Solutions-IES submitted a proposal (NC06554P) to conduct Preliminary Site Assessments (PSAs) on ten parcels of land located within the proposed right-of-way that are of concern to the NCDOT. This report summarizes the results of field activities conducted during the PSA for a portion of the property identified by NCDOT as Parcel 50, currently owned by Pansy Eamest (Figure 1). The right-of-way portion of this site (Study Area) is more clearly identified on Figure 2. The scope of work executed at the site was performed in general accordance with Solutions-IES proposal NC06554P and was initiated based on a Notice to Proceed issued by the NCDOT Geotechnical Engineering Unit on July 20, 2006 under contract 7000007053, dated June 5, 2006.

#### 2.0 BACKGROUND AND SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject property is located at the intersection of US Highway 1 and Tilley Street within the Corporate Limits of Hoffman, Richmond County, North Carolina (site). A one-story cinder block building (Little Grace's Variety Store) is situated on the site. The surface of the site is covered with grass, with small areas of gravel along the front (south side) of the property. Several utilities including buried fiber optic cable and water, as well as overhead electric lines, cross the site. Photographs of the Study Area at the location are presented in **Appendix A**. Little historical information regarding prior site use was provided to Solutions-IES, with the exception of information provided within the request for proposals indicating that an underground storage tank (UST) was suspected to exist on the property.

#### 3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

Prior to mobilizing to the site to conduct subsurface sampling, Solutions-IES contacted North Carolina One Call to locate underground utilities in the study area of the site. Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, P.C. (Pyramid) was contracted to perform an electromagnetic survey of the subsurface in the proposed right-of-way area within the parcel. Pyramid surveyed the Study Area on July 26 and 28, 2006. The electromagnetic survey equipment (EM61) identified various magnetic anomalies within the study area, and Pyramid returned to the Study Area to perform a ground penetrating radar (GPR) survey

utilizing a "Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000" instrument. Results of the surveys suggested the presence of buried utility lines or conduits, and indicated the potential presence of two buried metallic tanks, possibly USTs, within the Study Area, near the southwestern comer of the existing block building. The EM61 images are included in **Appendix B**, Figures 11 and 12. A GPR image is included in **Appendix B**, Figure 13.

After reviewing the background information and geophysical data, Solutions-IES elected to analyze soil samples collected from within the Study Area for total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) and mobilized to the site to obtain soil samples from designated locations. Four borings were placed in the vicinity of the suspected USTs. An additional five borings were placed to screen the right-of-way for possible impacts if there had been an unknown release of petroleum fuel. These activities were conducted between the dates of August 22 and 23, 2006. The 9 soil borings (designated P50-B1 through P50-B9) were advanced at the site in the locations depicted on **Figure 3**. These borings were labeled with the prefix "P50" to identify the samples as having originated from within the Study Area of Parcel 50. Borings P50-B1 and P50-B2 were each advanced to a total depth of 12 feet below ground surface (ft bgs), while borings P50-B3 through P50-B9 were advanced to a total depth of 8 ft bgs. Borings installed within the Study Area were advanced utilizing a truck-mounted Geoprobe®. At the completion of the sampling activities, each boring was backfilled utilizing native soils and bentonite. No visible evidence of buried tanks (e.g., fill ports, vent lines or pump islands) was observed by Solutions-IES personnel during the performance of this PSA.

Soil samples were obtained from each boring using a MacroCore® sampler fitted with single-use, disposable polyvinyl chloride (PVC) liners. Each liner was 4 feet in length. Upon retrieval, a portion of each 2-foot interval was placed in separate resealable plastic bags. These bags were sealed and placed at ambient temperature for field screening with a flame ionization detector (FID). The remaining portion of each 2-foot interval was left in the PVC liner, wrapped in plastic and placed on ice for possible laboratory analysis.

Volatile organic compounds were allowed to accumulate in the headspace of each bag for approximately 20 minutes, after which time the headspace of each sealed bag was scanned with the FID. Prior to use, the FID was calibrated in general accordance with the manufacturers recommended calibration procedures. The FID readings were entered on the boring logs along with the soil description and indications of staining or odors, if present. Logs for each boring are presented in **Appendix C.** Soils collected from borings within the Study Area generally consisted of silty sand (SM) or sandy clay (CL). GPS coordinates for each boring are provided in **Appendix D.** 

Headspace screening of the soil samples revealed the presence of volatile vapors at low concentrations in several of the samples screened with the FID. Concentrations ranged from not detected to 2.2 parts per million (P50-B2). These measurements are presented in **Table 1**. No distinguishable odors were noted in the samples.

Soil samples for laboratory analysis were retained from each boring at the sample intervals identified in **Table 1**. These samples were selected for analysis as they presented the highest FID measurements within the borings, or, if no volatile vapors were present, were obtained from the deepest depth within the boring. All soil samples were placed in laboratory-supplied containers and stored on ice pending shipment to Prism Laboratories, Inc. (Prism) in Charlotte, NC. Sample information was recorded on the chain-of-custody and the samples were submitted for chemical analysis of TPH gasoline range organics (GRO) by Modified EPA Method 5030/8015 and TPH diesel range organics (DRO) by Modified EPA Method 3545/8015.

#### 4.0 SAMPLING RESULTS

TPH DRO was detected in samples P50-B1, P50-B5 and P50-B8 at estimated concentrations of 5.4 mg/kg, 5.1 mg/kg and 6.6 mg/kg, respectively. Results from the remaining samples submitted for analysis did not reveal the presence of TPH GRO or TPH DRO at levels above the laboratory reporting limits. These data are summarized in **Table 2**. Laboratory reports associated with these samples are presented in **Appendix E**.

#### 5.0 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The geophysical survey conducted at the site indicated the potential presence of two metallic USTs within the Study Area, as well as the presence of buried utility lines or conduits. Solutions-IES installed nine soil borings (P50-B1 through P50-B9) to determine the presence or absence of petroleum contamination within the Study Area, as well as to document soil conditions.

According to the laboratory analytical results, neither TPH GRO nor TPH DRO were detected in the soil samples at concentrations exceeding the action level of 10 mg/kg described for tank closure (*Guidelines for Tank Closure, North Carolina Underground Storage Tank Section (Guidelines)*, September 2003). However, TPH DRO was detected in three soil samples at concentrations greater than the method

detection limit, which, according to the Guidelines, is a "reportable concentration". Analytical results for the remaining soil samples showed no TPH GRO or TPH DRO at concentrations above the laboratory reporting limit.

The presence of TPH DRO in soil is typically associated with a release of petroleum hydrocarbons such as diesel fuel. Because the soil samples collected from borings P50-B1, P50-B5 and P50-B8 contained TPH DRO at concentrations greater than the method detection limit, proper transportation and disposal practices should be used in handling soil that may be excavated in the vicinity of these borings. During roadway construction, the NCDOT transportation/disposal contractor may use different criteria for estimating impacted soil. However, based on current information, additional assessment is not recommended.



#### TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF FIELD SCREENING RESULTS FOR SOIL

#### Parcel 50, Pansy Earnest Property Richmond County, North Carolina

WBS Element: 34438.1.1; NCDOT Project: R-2502A Sample Collection Dates: August 22 and 23, 2006

Carrella Darrella Dalarra		Soil Borings								
Sample Depth Below Ground Surface	P50-B1	P50-B2	P50-B3	P50-B4	P50-B5	P50-B6	P50-B7	P50-B8	P50-B9	
Ground Surface				FIL	Reading (p	pm)				
0 - 2 feet	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.1	
2 - 4 feet	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.2	ND	ND	ND	0.3	
4 - 6 feet	ND	ND	0.2	ND	0.3	ND	ND	ND	1.2	
6 - 8 feet	ND	ND	0.1	ND	0.1	ND	ND	0.5	0.1	
8 - 10 feet	ND	2.2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
10 - 12 feet	1.2	1.0	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

#### Notes:

- 1. Samples denoted by shaded cells were submitted for laboratory analysis.
- 2. NS = Not sampled.
- 3. FID readings were obtained with a Photovac MicroFID Flame Ionization Detector.
- 4. ND = Not detected.
- 5. Boring log FID measurements rounded to the nearest whole number
- 6. ppm = parts per million

### TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

#### Parcel 50, Pansy Earnest Property Richmond County, North Carolina


WBS Element: 34438.1.1; NCDOT Project: R-2502A August 22 and 23, 2006

Sample I	nformation	Total Petroleun	n Hydrocarbons
Boring Number	Depth (ft bgs)	Gasoline Range <sup>1</sup> (mg/kg)	Diesel Range <sup>2</sup> (mg/kg)
P50-B1	10-12	< 7.8	5.4 J
P50-B2	8 - 10	< 7.5	< 7.5
P50-B3	4 - 6	< 7.7	< 7.7
P50-B4	6 - 8	< 7.8	< 7.8
P50-B5	4 - 6	< 7.8	5.1 J
P50-B6	6 - 8	< 8.1	< 8.1
P50-B7	6 - 8	< 7.8	< 7.8
P50-B8	6 - 8	< 8.1	6.6 J
P50-B9	4 - 6	< 8.4	< 8.4

#### Notes:

- 1. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) Method 5030/8015MOD Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons
- 2. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) Method 3545/8015MOD Diesel Range Hydrocarbons
- 3. Bold values indicate detected concentrations
- 4. J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the Method Detection Limit
- 5. mg/kg milligrams per kilogram





SITE LOCATION MAP

PARCEL 50


PANSY EARNEST PROPERTY


RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

STATE PROJECT NO. R-2502 A, WBS ELEMENT# 34438.1.1



1101 Nowell Road, Ralei Pho ne (919) 873-10 60, F	gh, NC 27609 ax (919) 873-10	74			
Cre ated by: RT Project: 3260.06A3.NDOT Checked by: SK Date: SEPTEMBER 200					
File: Figure 1.mxd Software: ESRI ArcMap 9.1	1				





## APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPHS

# PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMENT PARCEL 50, PANSY EARNES T PRO PERTY RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA WBS ELEMENT: 34438.1.1; NCDOT PROJECT: R-2502 A



**Photograph 1** – View from south to north from US Highway 1. Suspected UST locations in foreground.



**Photograph 2** – View from northeast to southwest along US Highway 1.

## APPENDIX B GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION

#### GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

#### GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS FOR THE DETECTION OF METALLIC USTS

US 1 from SR 1001 to the Richmond County Line Richmond, North Carolina State Project Number U-3459

September 1, 2006

Report prepared for:

Sheri Knox, PE Solutions IES

1101 Nowell Rd. Raleigh, NC 27607

Prepared by:

Douglas Canavello, PG

Reviewed by:

Tim Leatherman, PG

PYRAMID ENVIRONMENTAL & ENGINEERING, P.C. 700 NORTH EUGENE ST. GREENSBORO, NC 27401 (336) 335-3174

#### **Solutions IES**

# GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS FOR THE DETECTION OF METALLIC USTS US 1 from SR 1001 to the Richmond County Line State Project Number U-3456

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	Λ	IN	$\Gamma \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}$	$\Delta D$	TI	OT:	$\mathbf{r}$	<b>N</b> 1	
	()	IIN	I K (	. )   )				IIN	

#### 2.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY

#### 3.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

- 3.1 Parcel 6 Hillary McKay Property
- 3.2 Parcel 9 K.J. Lewis Property
- 3.3 Parcel 21 James Brigman Property
- 3.4 Parcel 48 Roy Barry Bostick Property
- 3.5 Parcel 50 Pansy Ernest Property
- 3.6 Parcel 51 Church of Deliverance Property
- 3.7 Parcel 61 Cooper & Brown Inc. Property
- 3.8 Parcel 70 Delia Lassiter Property
- 3.9 Parcel 22 Ivey Little Property
- 3.10 Parcel 68 James Pugh Property

#### 4.0 SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

#### 5.0 LIMITATIONS

#### **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Site & Geophysical Equipment Photos
Figure 2	Parcel 6 – Hillary McKay Property – EM61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 3	Parcel 6 – Hillary McKay Property – EM61 Differential Results
Figure 4	Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property – EM61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 5	Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property – EM61 Differential Results
Figure 6	Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property – Photo & GPR Image of UST Locations
Figure 7	Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 8	Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property – EM 61 Differential Results

Figure 9	Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property – Photo & GPR Image of UST Locations
Figure 10	Parcel 48 – Roy Barry Bostick Property – EM61 Metal Detection Results
Figure 11	Parcels 50 & 51 – Earnest & Church Properties – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results

#### FIGURES (continued)

Figure 12	Parcels 50 & 51 – Earnest & Church Properties – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 13	Parcels 50 & 51 – Earnest & Church Properties – Photo & GPR Image of UST
	Locations
Figure 14	Parcel 61 – Cooper & Brown Inc. Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 15	Parcel 61 – Cooper & Brown Inc. Property – EM 61 Differential Results
Figure 16	Parcel 70 – Delia Lassiter Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 17	Parcel 70 – Delia Lassiter Property – EM 61 Differential Results
Figure 18	Parcel 22 – Ivey Little Property – EM 61 Bottom Coil Results
Figure 19	Parcel 22 – Ivey Little Property – EM 61 Differential Results
Figure 20	Parcel 68 – James Pugh Property – EM 61 Metal Detection Results

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, PC conducted geophysical investigations for Solutions IES during the period of July 26 through August 28, 2006, within the proposed Right-of-Way (ROW) areas at 10 sites located in Richmond County, North Carolina. The work was done as part of the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) road-widening project under State Project number U-3459. The sites are located along the northern or western sides of US 1 from SR 1001 to the Richmond County Line. The geophysical surveys were conducted to determine if unknown metallic underground storage tanks (UST's) were present beneath the proposed ROW area of each site.

Solutions IES representative Ms. Sheri Knox, PE provided maps during the week of July 24, 2006 that outlined the geophysical survey area of each site. Ms. Knox also provided project management during the geophysical investigation of the sites. Geophysical surveys were conducted within the proposed ROW areas at the following 10 sites that are listed from the southern-most site to the northern-most site.

	Property Owner	<u>Parcel</u>	<u>Present Use of Property</u>
	Hillary McKay Property	(Parcel 6)	Grass-covered lot with garage
	K.J. Lewis Property	(Parcel 9)	Vacant, wooded lot
	James Brigman Property	(Parcel 21)	Vacant, grass-covered Lot
	Roy Barry Bostick Property	y (Parcel 48)	Grass-covered lot and
garage			
	Pansy Ernest Property	(Parcel 50)	Grass-covered lot with vacant store
	Church of Deliverance Prop	. (Parcel 51)	Asphalt lot with active church
	Cooper & Brown Inc. Prop.	(Parcel 61)	Vacant lot and
commerci	al building		

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

Delia Lassiter Property	(Parcel 70)	Vacant lot and building
Ivey Little Property	(Parcel 22)	Vacant lot and building
James Pugh Property	(Parcel 68)	Vacant, wooded lot

Photographs of the above sites along with photographs of the geophysical equipment used for this project are presented in **Figure 1**.

#### 2.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY

Prior to conducting the geophysical investigations, a 10-foot by 10-foot or 10-foot by 20-foot survey grid was established across the proposed ROW areas of the 10 sites using water-based marking paint or pin flags. These marks were used as X-Y coordinates for location control when collecting the geophysical data and establishing base maps for the geophysical results.

The geophysical investigations consisted of electromagnetic (EM) induction-metal detection surveys and ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys. The EM surveys were performed using a Geonics EM61-MK1 metal detection instrument. According to the instrument specifications, the EM61 can detect a metal drum down to a maximum depth of approximately 8 feet. The EM61 data were digitally collected at each site along parallel northerly-southerly or easterly-westerly trending survey lines spaced five feet apart. The data were downloaded to a computer and reviewed in the filed and office using the Geonics DAT61W and Surfer for Windows Version 7.0 software programs.

Contour plots of the EM61 bottom coil results and the EM61 differential results for each site are included in this report. The bottom coil results represent the most sensitive component of the EM61 instrument and detect metal objects regardless of size. The bottom coil response can be used to

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

09/01/06

delineate metal conduits or utility lines, small, isolated metal objects, and areas containing insignificant metal debris.

The differential results are obtained from the difference between the top and bottom coils of the EM61 instrument. The differential results focus on the larger metal objects such as drums and USTs and ignore the smaller insignificant metal objects.

GPR surveys were conducted across selected EM61 differential anomalies and steel-reinforced concrete using a GSSI SIR-2000 unit equipped with a 400 MHz antenna. Surveys were also performed across several areas where parked vehicles that obstructed the EM61 survey had since been removed. GPR data were digitally collected in a continuous mode along X and/or Y survey lines, spaced two to five feet apart using a vertical scan of 512 samples, at a rate of 48 scans per second. An 80 MHz high pass filter and an 800 MHz low pass filter were used during data acquisition with the 400 MHz antenna. GPR data were collected down to a maximum depth of approximately five feet, based on an estimated two-way travel time of 9 nanoseconds per foot.

The GPR data were downloaded to a field computer and later reviewed in the office using Radprint and Radan 5.0 software programs. The locations of GPR survey areas or individual GPR survey lines are shown as solid, purple polygons or solid purple lines, respectively, on the EM 61 differential contour plots. The approximate perimeters of probable or possible USTs, based on the geophysical results, were marked and labeled in the field using orange, water-based marking paint and pin flags (when possible). The approximate locations of probable or possible USTs are shown as magentacolored rectangles on the EM 61 bottom coil and differential contour plots.

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

During the weeks of August 7, August 14, and August 28, preliminary contour plots of the EM61 bottom coil and the differential results were emailed to Ms. Knox.

#### 3.0 <u>DISCUSSION OF RESULTS</u>

#### 3.1 Parcel 6 – Hillary McKay Property

The Hillary McKay Property (Parcel 6) contains a former auto repair garage and a vacant wooden building. The ROW area consists of a flat-lying grass surface. The bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 2 and 3**, respectively. GPR surveys conducted around the perimeter of the garage and wooden building, suggest that the EM 61 anomalies surrounding the two buildings are in response to the structures and perhaps buried miscellaneous metal debris. The remaining EM 61 anomalies are probably in response to buried miscellaneous metal debris. The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at Parcel 6 does not contain metallic UST's.

#### 3.2 Parcel 9 – K.J. Lewis Property

The K.J. Lewis property (Parcel 9) is located immediately north of the Mercer Road and US 1 intersection, approximately 200 feet northeast of Parcel 6. The property consists of an abandoned building along the edge of US 1, which is surrounded by dense wooded terrain. A former pump island area is located in front of the building. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 4 and 5**, respectively. Due to limited access to the site, the geophysical investigation was limited to the front portion of the property that is located along US 1.

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites 09/01/06

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, PC

The geophysical investigation detected the probable presence of two USTs located adjacent to the pump island area. The first UST is centered near grid coordinates X=84 Y=27, and buried approximately 1.5 feet below surface. The second UST is centered near grid coordinates X=103 Y=27, and is buried approximately 2.0 feet below surface. This latter UST appears to be lie partially beneath the former pump island area. The approximate locations of the USTs are shown as magentacolored rectangles in Figures 4 and 5. Based on the GPR results, the probable USTs are approximately 10 feet long and 4 feet wide. A photograph showing the approximate locations of the two probable USTs and the image of GPR survey lines Y=27.5, which intersects the probable USTs, are presented in **Figure 6**.

The EM61 differential anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=118 Y=29, may possibly be in response to a UST or large metal object. However, GPR surveys could not be conducted across this EM anomaly due to the limited access caused by the dense wooded terrain. The approximate location of this possible UST is shown as a dashed, magenta-colored rectangle in Figures 4 and 5, and in the site photograph that is presented in Figure 6.

The remaining portion of the geophysical survey area does not appear to contain significant, buried, metal objects.

#### 3.3 Parcel 21 – James Brigman Property

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

The James Brigman property (Parcel 21) consists of an open, grass and asphalt-covered lot located along the western side of US 1. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 7 and 8**, respectively.

GPR surveys conducted across the linear, EM61 bottom coil anomalies that intersect grid coordinates X=62 Y=70, X=66 Y=94, X=84 Y=94, and X=87.5 Y=75, suggest the anomalies are probably in response to buried utility lines or conduits. GPR data also suggest that the high amplitude anomalies centered near grid coordinates X=77 Y=84, and X=93 Y=66, are probably in response to buried miscellaneous metal objects or junction areas for the conduits or utility lines.

GPR surveys conducted across the large, high amplitude anomaly centered near X=45 Y=75, detected the probable presence of four metallic USTs. The four probable USTs are centered near grid coordinates X=43 Y=80, X=50 Y=80, X=42 Y=73, and X=48 Y=73. Based on the GPR data, the USTs appear to be approximately 9 feet long and 3.5 to 4 feet wide and buried approximately 1.5 to 2.0 feet below surface. The approximate locations of the probable USTs are shown as magenta-colored rectangles in Figures 7 and 8. A photograph showing the approximate locations of the four probable USTs and the image of GPR survey lines Y=80, which intersects the two probable USTs centered near X=43 Y=80, and X=50 Y=80, are presented in **Figure 9**.

The remaining EM 61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area are probably in response to miscellaneous metal debris.

#### 3.4 Parcel 48 – Roy Barry Bostick Property

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

09/01/06

The Roy Barry Bostick property (Parcel 48) consists of a red, brick building surrounded by flat-lying grass-covered terrain. The parcel is located along the northwestern side of US 1 approximately 300 feet southwest of the US 1 and Tilley Street intersection. The EM 61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figure 10**.

GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=295 Y=60, suggest that the anomaly is probably in response to one or more large diameter (12 or more inches) conduits buried approximately 1.0 feet below surface. There is a possibility (although unlikely) that the anomaly may be in response to a very small UST centered near grid coordinates X=290 Y=59. The location of the possible, but unlikely UST is shown as a magenta-colored square in Figure 10.

GPR surveys conducted along the edge of the brick building suggest that the EM61 anomalies recorded in this area are probably in response to the building and/or buried miscellaneous debris. The remaining EM61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area at Parcel 48 are probably in response to known cultural features and/or buried miscellaneous debris.

#### 3.5 Parcel 50 – Pansy Ernest Property

The Pansy Ernest property (Parcel 50) is located on the western corner of the Tilley Street and US1 intersection. The parcel contains the former Little Grace's Variety store surrounded by a flay-lying grass-covered, terrain. An occupied house is located immediately west of the property. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 11 and 12**, respectively. Please note that Figures 11 and 12 also contain the EM61 results for Church of Deliverance property (Parcel 51).

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites 09/01/06

Pyramid Environmental & Engineering, PC

GPR surveys conducted across the backyard of Parcel 50 suggest the linear EM61 bottom coil anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X=570 Y=115, X=570 Y=126, X=580 Y=90, and X=586 Y=125, are probable in response to buried conduits or lines. Similarly, the locations of the linear EM61 anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X= 622 Y=80, X=622 Y=120, and X=640 Y=35, suggest these anomalies are probably in response to buried utility lines.

GPR surveys conduct across the high amplitude anomalies centered near grid coordinates X=575 Y=105, and X=590 Y=113, suggest the anomalies are probably in response to the "junction" of conduits and/or other miscellaneous objects. Although not confirmed by the GPR results, the EM61 anomaly located at X=575 Y=105, may be in response to a possible septic tank.

GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=567 Y=55, detected the probably presence of two USTs buried approximately 0.75 feet below surface. The approximate locations of the probably USTs are shown as magenta-colored rectangles in Figures 11 and 12 and each UST appears to be approximately eight feet long and three feet wide. A photograph showing the approximate locations of the two probable USTs and the image of GPR survey line Y=55, which intersects the probable USTs, are presented in **Figure 13**.

The remaining EM 61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area at Parcel 50 are probably in response to known cultural features or buried miscellaneous metal debris.

#### 3.6 Parcel 51 – Church of Deliverance Property

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites 09/01/06

The Church of Deliverance property (Parcel 51) contains an active church building surrounded by a grass, gravel or asphalt-covered parking area. The property is located on the northern corner of the Tilley Street and US 1 intersection immediately across the street from the Pansy Ernest property (Parcel 50). The EM 61 bottom coil results and the differential results for Parcel 51 are presented in **Figures 11 and 12**, respectively along with the EM 61 results for Parcel 50.

The linear EM 61 bottom coil anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X=670 Y=50, X=700 Y=30, and X=700 Y=65, are probably in response to buried utility lines or conduits. GPR surveys conducted across the EM 61 differential anomaly centered near X=705 Y=105, and along the front edge of the church building suggest the anomalies are probably in response to miscellaneous debris and the building respectively.

The remaining EM 61 anomalies recorded within the proposed ROW area at Parcel 51 are probably in response to know cultural features or miscellaneous buried debris. The geophysical results also suggest that the proposed ROW area does not contain metallic USTs.

#### 3.7 Parcel 61 – Cooper & Brown Inc. Property

The Cooper & Brown Inc. property (Parcel 61) is located on the western side of the US 1 and Little Road intersection. The proposed ROW area of Parcel 61 contains a vacant business building surrounded by flat-lying, grass or asphalt surfaces. A concrete pad is located in front of the building and probably identifies the former pump island area. An occupied house lies to the northwest of the proposed ROW area.

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

09/01/06

The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 14 and 15**, respectively. The linear EM61 bottom coil anomalies intersecting grid coordinates X=130 Y=34, X=142 Y=105, X=186 Y=100, X=210 Y=42, and X=213 Y=83, are probably in response to buried utility lines or conduits. The high amplitude anomalies centered near grid coordinates X=75 Y=67, and X=80 Y=50, are probably in response to steel reinforced concrete. GPR surveys conducted across these two areas did not detect the presence of USTs.

GPR surveys conducted across the high amplitude anomaly centered near X=226 Y=116, suggest the anomaly is probably in response to steel reinforced concrete and/or to the metal conduits that are visible at the surface. GPR surveys conducted along the perimeter of the building suggest that the EM61 anomalies are probably in response to the building and/or to miscellaneous debris. The remaining EM61 anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features and/or to buried miscellaneous metal debris.

The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at Parcel 61 does not contain metallic USTs.

#### 3.8 Parcel 70 – Delia Lassiter Property

The Delia Lassiter Property (Parcel 70) contains a vacant building surrounded primarily by grass yard and an asphalt driveway. An occupied house lies immediately north of the proposed ROW area. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 16 and 17**, respectively.

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

The linear EM61 anomaly intersecting grid coordinates X=90 Y=110, is probably in response to a buried utility line or conduit. The remaining EM anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features or to buried miscellaneous debris. The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at the Delia Lassiter property does not contain metallic USTs.

#### 3.9 Parcel 22 – Ivey Little Property

The Ivey Little property (Parcel 22) is located along the northwest side of US 1 and consists of a vacant building surrounded by a gravel-covered driveway and grass-covered fields. The EM61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figures 18 and 19**, respectively.

The linear EM 61 anomaly intersecting grid coordinates X=354 Y=35, is probably in response to a buried utility line or conduit. The remaining EM anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features or to buried miscellaneous debris. The geophysical results suggest that the proposed ROW area at the Ivey Little property does not contain metallic USTs.

#### 3.10 Parcel 68 – James Pugh Property

The James Pugh Property (Parcel 68) is a former gas station site located on the northern side of US 1, approximately 0.25 miles west of the US 1 and Special Forces Way intersection. The site consists primarily of grass, trees and brush with a former pump island pad located near the edge of US 1. The EM 61 bottom coil results and the differential results are presented in **Figure 20**.

GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomalies centered grid coordinates X=305 Y=35, and X=321 Y=37, suggest the anomalies are probably in response to the pump island pad and to the

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites 09/01/06

buried pump island-related equipment. GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=534 Y=92, suggest the anomaly is probably in response to buried miscellaneous debris or object. The remaining EM61 anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features and miscellaneous metal debris.

The geophysical investigation conducted at Parcel 68 suggests that the proposed ROW areadoes not contain metallic USTs.

#### 4.0 <u>SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS</u>

Our evaluation of the EM61 and GPR data collected across the proposed ROW areas at the 10 sites along US1 in Richmond County, North Carolina provides the following summary and conclusions:

- The EM61 and GPR surveys provided reliable results for the detection of metallic USTs within the surveyed portions of the proposed ROW areas of each site.
- GPR surveys were conducted across selected EM61 differential anomalies and across areas containing steel reinforced concrete.
- Linear EM 61 anomalies at the 10 sites are probably in response to buried utility lines and/or conduits. The majority of non-linear anomalies are probably in response to known cultural features or miscellaneous metal objects.

Geophysical Investigation Report – Richmond County, NC Sites

• The geophysical results suggest the proposed ROW areas at the following properties do not contain metallic USTs:

Hillary McKay Property (Parcel 6)

Church of Deliverance Property (Parcel 51)

Cooper & Brown Inc. Property (Parcel 61)

Delia Lassiter Property (Parcel 70)

Ivey Little Property (Parcel 22)

James Pugh Property (Parcel 68)


- W.J. Lewis Property (Parcel 9): Geophysical results suggest the probable presence of two USTs located adjacent to the pump island area. The first UST is centered near grid coordinates X=84 Y=27, and buried approximately 1.5 feet below surface. The second UST is centered near grid coordinates X=103 Y=27, and is buried approximately 2.0 feet below surface. The EM61 differential anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=118 Y=29, may possibly be in response to a UST or large metal object. However, GPR surveys could not be conducted across this EM anomaly due to the limited access caused by the dense wooded terrain.
- James Brigman Property (Parcel 21): Geophysical results detected the probable presence of four metallic USTs centered near grid coordinates X=43 Y=80, X=50 Y=80, X=42 Y=73, and X=48 Y=73. Based on the GPR data, the USTs appear to be approximately 9 feet longand 3.5 to 4 feet wide and buried approximately 1.5 to 2.0 feet below surface.


- Roy Barry Bostick Property (Parcel 48): GPR surveys conducted across the EM61 anomaly centered near grid coordinates X=295 Y=60, suggest that the anomaly is probably in response to one or more large diameter (12 or more inches) conduits buried approximately 1.0 feet below surface. There is a possibility (although unlikely) that the anomaly may be in response to a very small UST centered near grid coordinates X=290 Y=59.
- Pansy Ernest Property (Parcel 50): Geophysical results suggest the probable presence of two USTs centered near grid coordinates X=567 Y=55, and buried approximately 0.75 feet below surface. The USTs appear to be approximately eight feet long and three feet wide.

#### 5.0 <u>LIMITATIONS</u>


EM61 and GPR surveys have been performed and this report prepared for Solutions IES in accordance with generally accepted guidelines for EM61 and GPR surveys. It is generally recognized that the results of the EM61 and GPR are non-unique and may not represent actual subsurface conditions. The EM61 and GPR results obtained for this project do not conclusively define the locations of all metallic USTs but only suggest where some of the metallic USTs may be present. The EM61 and GPR anomalies, interpreted as probable or possible USTs or tanks, may be attributed to other surface or subsurface conditions or cultural interference.


09/01/06





### **FIGURES**







Parcel 6 - Hillary McKay Property



Parcel 9 - K.J. Lewis Property



Parcel 21 - James Brigman Property



Parcel 48 - Roy Barry Bostick Property



Parcel 50 - Pansy Earnest Property



Parcel 51 - Church of Deliverance Property



Parcel 61 - Cooper & Brown Property



Parcel 70 - Delia Lassiter Property



Parcel 22 - Ivey Little Property



Parcel 68 - James Pugh Property

#### **GEOPHYSICAL EQUIPMENT**



The photo shows the Geonics EM61 metal detector that was used to conduct the metal detection survey at the sites in Richmond County, North Carolina.




The photos show the SIR-2000 GPR system equipped with a 400 MHz antenna that was used to conduct the ground penetrating radar investigation at the sites in Richmond County, North Carolina.

ССІЕИТ	SOLUTIONS IES	3TAQ	08/31/06	ркми	MJD
SITE	US 1 - RICHMOND COUNTY SITES	YAJ		СН.КD	
YTIO	MARSTON & HOFFMAN	DMG			
ЭЛПТ	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	.ои-г	2006-200	FIGURE	





#### SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

This figure shows the photographs of the ten sites located near Marston and Hoffman, North Carolina where geophysical investigations were conducted within the ROW areas for the detection of metallic USTs.










Note: The contour plot shows the bottom coil (most sensitive) response of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The bottom coil response shows buried metallic objects regardless of size. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.





	CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	08/01/06 MJD		ĺ
	SITE	PARCEL 6 - HILLARY MCKAY PROPERTY	CHKD	LE IN FEE	
	СПТ	MARSTON	DWG	APHIC SC/	
Э.	ШТЕ	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	(S) 2006-200   B)   B)   B)   B)   B)   B)   B)	GR	

EM61 BOTTOM COIL RESULTS










Note: The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 metal detection survey in millivolts (mV). The differential response focuses on larger, buried metallic objects such as drums and USTs and ignores smaller miscellaneous, buried, metal debris. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.


The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.



	CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	08/01/06 NMJD	F	
	SITE	PARCEL 6 - HILLARY MCKAY PROPERTY	CH'KD	ALE IN FEE	
	CITY	MARSTON	DWG	APHIC SCA	
).	TITLE	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	(Signal 2006-200   Signal 2006	GR	


EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESULTS








The photograph shows the locations of two probable USTs and one possible UST buried 1.5 to 2.0 feet below surface, as suggested by the geophysical results at Parcel 9.




The GPR image obtained along a portion of survey line Y=27.5, shows the anomalies that are probably in response to USTs near X=84 and X=103, and buried approximately 1.5 and 2.0 feet below surface, respectively. The location of this GPR image is shown with a solid purple line in the above photograph.




CLIEN	SOLUTIONS IES	08/26/05	
SITE	PARCEL 9 - K. J. LEWIS PROPERTY	CHKO	
CITY	MARSTON E NORTH CAROLINA	Dwg Dwg	
TITLE	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	9 2006-200 R	










Note: The contour plot shows the bottom coil (most sensitive) response of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The bottom coil response shows buried metallic objects regardless of size. The EM metal detection data were collected on August 15, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.


GPR surveys suggest that the large, high amplitude, EM61 anomaly in the southwest portion of the survey area is probably in response to four metallic USTs.


[ 2006-200

MJD




EM61 BOTTOM COIL RESULTS









Note: The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 metal detection survey in millivolts (mV). The differential response focuses on larger, buried metallic objects such as drums and USTs and ignores smaller miscellaneous, buried, metal debris. The EM metal detection data were collected on August 15, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on August 16, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

GPR surveys suggest that the large, high amplitude, EM61 anomaly in the southwest portion of the survey area is probably in response to four metallic USTs.




	CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	08/17/06 NM MJD	F
	SITE	PARCEL 21 - JAMES BRIGMAN PROPERTY	CHKD	ILE IN FEE
>	CITY	MARSTON	DWG	APHIC SCA
P.C.	ПТСЕ	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS		GRA

EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESULTS




The photograph shows the location of four probable USTs buried 1.75 to 2.0 feet below surface, as suggested by the geophysical results at Parcel 21.





The GPR image obtained along a portion of survey line Y=80, shows the anomalies that are probably in response to USTs near X=43 and X=50, and buried approximately 2.0 and 1.5 feet below surface, respectively. The location of this GPR image is shown with a solid purple line in the above photograph.



Ī	CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	EET
l	SITE	PARCEL 21 - JAMES BRIGMAN PROPERTY	E IN
l	CITY	MARSTON	GRAPHIC SCA
l	ШE	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	GRA











The photograph shows the location of two probable USTs buried approx. 0.75 feet below surface, as suggested by the geophysical results at Parcel 50.





The GPR image obtained along survey line Y=55 shows the anomalies that are probably in response to USTs near X=566 and X=570, and buried approximately 0.75 feet below surface. The location of this GPR image is shown with a solid purple line in the above photograph.





CLIENT	SOLUTIONS IES	08/26/05	ь
SITE	PARCEL 50 (PANSY ERNEST PROPERTY)	OH'KD CH'KD	ALE IN PE
СПТ	MARSTON NORTH CAROLINA	DMG	APHIC SC
тте	GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	2006-200	g S





















Note: The contour plot shows the bottom coil (most sensitive) response of the EM61 instrument in millivolts (mV). The bottom coil response shows buried metallic objects regardless of size. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on July 28, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.


[ 2006-200

The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.




EM61 **BOTTOM COIL** RESULTS











Note: The contour plot shows the differential results of the EM61 metal detection survey in millivolts (mV). The differential response focuses on larger, buried metallic objects such as drums and USTs and ignores smaller miscellaneous, buried, metal debris. The EM metal detection data were collected on July 27, 2006 using a Geonics EM61 instrument. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) data were acquired on July 28, 2006 using a Geophysical Survey Systems SIR 2000 instrument with a 400 MHz antenna.

The geophysical investigation suggests that the survey area does not contain metallic USTs.



EM61 DIFFERENTIAL RESULTS



APPENDIX C
BORING LOGS

Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 1


Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Boring Date: 08/22/06 Drilling Method: Direct Push Cave In Depth: NA

Site: Parcel 50 Sampler Type: Macro Core

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 12' bgs





Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 2

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/22/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: Total Depth of Boring: 12' bgs

Logge	d By	/: K.B Checked By	<i>/:</i>				Total Depth of Boring	<i>y:</i> 12' bgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE		(	SAN	IPLE	PID Field Screen	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description			Sample Interval	% Recovery	PID Field Screen	Well Data
		Ground Surface						
1 2		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand	•			100 '	0	
3-		SM Dry, tan, fine silty sand SM				100 '	0	
5		Damp to wet, tan, fine silty sand  SC  Moist, orange, medium clayey sand  SC				100 '	0	
7		Moist, orange and tan, clayey sand  CL  Moist, red and grey, silty sandy clay with				100 '	0	
9-		CL Dry, grey and red, sandy clay  SM				100 '	2	
10 -		Damp, tan and brown, fine silty sand  CL  Dry, tan, white and orange sandy clay				100 '	1	
12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16					•			



Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 3

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/22/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	d By	: K.B	Checked By:	JD				Total Depth of B	oring:	8' bgs
	SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SA	MP	LE	PID Field Screen	oth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol		Description		Sample Interval		% Recovery	ppm 250 500 750  FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
			Ground Surface							
0 <del>-</del>		SM Dry, I	brown, fine silty sand with roots				100	0		
3-4-			p, tan, fine silty sand				100	0		
5 - 6 -		SC Dam sand CL	p orange and grey, medium clayey				100	0		
7-8-		SM	t, grey and red, sandy clay t to damp, grey and red, fine silty sand				100	0		
9-										
11 =										
12 -										
14 -										
16										



Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 4

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/22/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	d By	Checked By:				Total Depth of Bo	ring:	8' bgs
	SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE		PID Field Screen	oth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description		Sample Interval	% Recovery	ppm 250 500 750  FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
		Ground Surface						
1 -		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand			100 '	0		
3-		SM Moist, brown, fine silty sand SM Moist to damp, brown, fine silty sand			100 '	0		
5-		Damp, orange and tan, fine clayey sand  CL  Moist, grey and red, sandy clay			100 4	0		
6 <del>-</del>		CL Dry, grey, sandy clay			100 5	0		
9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12								
13 - 14 - 15 - 16 -								



Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 5

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/22/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	d By		ecked By:				Total Depth of E	Boring:	8' bgs
	SUBSURFACE PROFILE			S	AM	PLE	PID Field Screen	oth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description		-	Sample Interval % Recovery		ppm 250 500 750  FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
		Ground Surface							
1 -		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand				100	0		
3-		SM Damp, tan, fine silty sand				100	0		
5		SM Damp, orange and tan, fine silty sand CL Moist, orange and grey, silty clay	d /			100	0		
6 - 7 -		CL Moist, grey and red, sandy clay				100	0		
9-									
11 -									
13									
15 <u> </u>								-	



Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 6

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/22/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	а ву.	<u> </u>			lotal Depth of Bo		8 bgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE		PLE	PID Field Screen	Depth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interval	% Recovery	ppm 250 500 750  FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample De	Well Data
0 -		Ground Surface					
1 2		SM Dry, brown, fine silty sand		100	0		
3-4-		SM Moist to damp, tan, fine silty sand	ļ	100	0		
5 - 6 -		CL Dry, grey and red, sandy clay		100	0		
7 - 8 -				100 '	0		
9							
10 -							
12 -							
14 <del>-</del> 15 <del>-</del> 16 <del>-</del>							
=							



Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 7

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/23/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	a By.					Total L	epth of E		8' bgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE	SA	MΡ	LE	PID Field	Screen	Depth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description	Sample Interva		% Recovery	ppm 250 500 FID Field 3 ppm 250 500	750 Screen	Lab Sample De	Well Data
		Ground Surface							
1 2		SM Dry, dark brown, fine silty sand			100	0			
3-4-		SM Moist to damp, tan, fine silty sand			100	0			
5 - 6 -		CL Dry, grey, orange and red, sandy clay  CL			100	0			
7-8-		Moist, grey and orange, sandy clay			100	0			
9									
11 -									
13 -									
14 - 15 - 16 -					Ŷ				



Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 8

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/23/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	d By	Checked By:				Total Depth of Bo	ring:	8' bgs
	SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE		PID Field Screen	oth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description		Sample Interval	% Recovery	ppm 250 500 750  FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample Depth	Well Data
		Ground Surface						
1 =		SM Dry to moist, tan and brown, fine silty sand			100 '	0		
3-4-		SM Moist, tan and brown, fine silty sand SM Moist, tan, fine silty sand			100 '	0		
5-6-6-		SC Moist, tan and orange, fine clayey sand CL Dry, grey, orange and red, fine sandy clay			100 '	0		
7-8-8-		CL Dry, grey and orange, fine sandy clay			100 '	1		
9-								
11 =								
12 -								
14 -								
16			<u> </u>					



Project: Richmond County PSA's Solutions-IES Project No.: 3260.06A3.NDOT Boring Number: 9

Client: NCDOT

WBS # 34438.1.1 Initial Water Level: NA
State Project # R-2502A County: Richmond Stabilized Water Level: NA

Drilling Method: Direct Push Boring Date: 08/23/06 Cave In Depth: NA

Sampler Type: Macro Core Site: Parcel 50

Logged By: K.B Checked By: JD Total Depth of Boring: 8' bgs

Logge	a By	-				Total Depth of Bo		8' bgs
		SUBSURFACE PROFILE		_	PLE	PID Field Screen	Depth	
Depth ft. bgs	USCS Symbol	Description		Sample Interva	% Recovery	ppm 250 500 750  FID Field Screen ppm 250 500 750	Lab Sample De	Well Data
		Ground Surface						
1 -		SM Dry, dark brown, fine silty sand			100	0		
3		SM Moist, tan, fine silty sand	1	T	100	0		
4 =		Damp, orange and tan, fine clayey sand	4					
5		CL Dry, grey, orange and red, fine sandy clay	ı		100 4	1		
6 - 7 -		CL Dry, grey and orange, fine sandy clay			100 '	0		
8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16								



# APPENDIX D GPS COORDINATES OF BORING LOCATIONS

## Appendix D

## GPS Coordinates of Boring Locations Parcel 50, Pansy Earnest Property Richmond County, North Carolina

WBS Element: 34438.1.1; NCDOT Project R-2502A

Boring Identification	Northing	Easting
P50-B1	35.03086436	-79.55073042
P50-B2	35.03086855	-79.55072807
P50-B3	35.03086779	-79.55073101
P50-B4	35.03089361	-79.55082145
P50-B5	35.03082605	-79.55077325
P50-B6	35.03085748	-79.55072137
P50-B7	35.03092932	-79.55068021
P50-B8	35.03098254	-79.55071416
P50-B9	35.03100257	-79.55087584

Notes:

# APPENDIX E LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

## **Case Narrative**



Date:

08/30/06

Company: N. C. Department of Transportation

Contact:

Sheri Knox Address: c/o Solution - IES

1101 Nowell Road

Raleigh, NC 27607

**Client Project ID:** 

NCDOT Parcel 50

Prism COC Group No:

G0806707

Collection Date(s):

8/22/06 thru 8/23/06

Lab Submittal Date(s):

08/23/06

Client Project Name Or No: Richmond Co. WBS# 34438.1.1

This data package contains the analytical results for the project identified above and includes a Case Narrative, Laboratory Report and Quality Control Data totaling 12 pages. A chain-of-custody is also attached for the samples submitted to Prism for this project.

Data qualifiers are flagged individually on each sample. A key reference for the data qualifiers appears at the end of this case narrative. Quality control statements and/or sample specific remarks are included in the sample comments section of the laboratory report for each sample affected.

#### Semi Volatile Analysis

No Anomalies Reported

### **Volatile Analysis**

No Anomalies Reported

#### **Metals Analysis**

N/A

### Wet Lab and Micro Analysis

N/A

Please call if you have any questions relating to this analytical report.

Date Reviewed by:	Paula A. Gilleland	Project Manager:	Angela D. Qvercash	1
Signature:	Paula J. Tilleland	Signature:		
Review Date:	08/30/06	Approval Date:	08/30/06	

#### **Data Qualifiers Key Reference:**

- B: Compound also detected in the method blank.
- #: Result outside of the QC limits.
- DO: Compound diluted out.
- E: Estimated concentration, calibration range exceeded.
- J: The analyte was positively identified but the value is estimated below the reporting limit.
- H: Estimated concentration with a high bias.
- L: Estimated concentration with a low bias.
- M: A matrix effect is present.

Notes: This report should not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written consent of Prism Laboratories, Inc. The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis.



## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: Project No.: **NCDOT Parcel 50** 

WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B1 10-12

Prism Sample ID: 159248

COC Group:

G0806707

Time Collected:

08/22/06

Time Submitted: 08/23/06

15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	90.0	%			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	lthao	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID					•			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	5.4 J	mg/kg	7.8	2.2	1	8015B	08/28/06 18:47	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.28	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
					Surrogate	)	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	72	4	19 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	6.49	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Weight 2	4.76	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	3.0	50	8015B	08/29/06 22:43	grappaccioli	Q17375
					Surrogate		% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		79		55 - 129

#### Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: Project No.:

NCDOT Parcel 50 WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B2 8-10

Prism Sample ID: 159249

COC Group:

Time Collected:

G0806707 08/22/06 16:30

Time Submitted: 08/23/06

15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination									
Percent Solids	93.4	<b>%</b>			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	lthao	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G	C-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.5	2.1	1	8015B	08/28/06 23:51	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.21	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
					Surrogate	ı	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	68		19 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.23	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	5.41	g			. 1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.5	2.9	50	8015B	08/29/06 23:24	grappaccioli	Q17375
					Surrogate		% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					oui.oguto		70 1000 VOI 9		OI LIIIICO

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID:

Project No.:

**NCDOT Parcel 50** WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B3 4-6

Prism Sample ID: 159250

COC Group:

G0806707 16:45

Time Collected:

08/22/06

Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	91.0	%			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	Ithao	
<u>Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by GO</u> Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	<u>:-FID</u> BRL	mg/kg	7.7	2.2	1	8015B	08/29/06 0:29	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.4	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
					Surrogate	1	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	68	4	19 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.01	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	7.11	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.7	3.0	50	8015 <b>B</b>	08/30/06 0:04	grappaccioli	Q17375
					Surrogate		% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		98		55 - 129

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: Project No.: **NCDOT Parcel 50** 

WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B4 6-8 Prism Sample ID: 159251 COC Group:

Time Collected:

G0806707

08/22/06 17:00

me Submitted:	08/23/06	15:10
ne Submitted.	00/23/00	13.10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	90.2	%			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	Ithao	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by GO	C-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	2.2	1	8015B	08/29/06 8:04	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.16	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
7					Surrogate	·	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	66	2	19 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	4.51	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	4.79	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by			7.0	2.0	50	00450	00/00/00 0.45		0.47077
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	3.0	50	8015B	08/30/06 0:45	grappaccioli	Q17375
					Surrogate		% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		87		55 - 129


#### Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis





## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607

Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: Project No.: NCDOT Parcel 50 WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B5 4-6

Prism Sample ID: 159252

COC Group:

G0806707

Time Collected:

08/22/06 17:05

Time Submitted: 08/23/06 15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	90.3	- %			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	ithao	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G		·							
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	5.1 J	mg/kg	7.8	2.2	1	8015B	08/28/06 20:02	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.42	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
					Surrogate	)	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
			•		o-Terphen	yl	68		19 - 124
•									
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.67	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	6.09	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	3.0	50	8015B	08/30/06 2:48	grappaccioli	Q17375
					Surrogate		% Recovery		rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		115	5	55 - 129

#### Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis





## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID:

NCDOT Parcel 50

Project No.:

WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B6 6-8

Prism Sample ID: 159253

COC Group: Time Collected: G0806707

Time Submitted:

08/22/06

8/23/06	1	5	:	1	0
---------	---	---	---	---	---

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	86.8	%			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	Ithao	
<u>Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by GO</u> Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	: <u>-FID</u> BRL	mg/kg	8.1	2.3	1	8015B	08/28/06 20:40	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.04	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
					Surrogate	1	% Recovery	Cor	ntrol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	70		49 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.41	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	4.96	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID						•		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	8.1	3.1	50	8015B	08/30/06 3:29	grappaccio	Q17375

#### Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co. Project ID:

Project No.: WBS# 34438.1.1

**NCDOT Parcel 50** 

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B7 6-8

Prism Sample ID: 159254

COC Group: Time Collected: G0806707

08/23/06 8:05

Time Submitted: 08/23/06

15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination									
Percent Solids	89.6	%			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	lthao	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by GO	:-FID								
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	2.2	1	8015B	08/29/06 7:32	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.2	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
					Surrogate		% Recovery	Con	trol Limits
					o-Terpheny	/l	64		49 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	6.11	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	Ibrown	
Weight 2	6.10	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	7.8	3.0	50	8015B	08/30/06 4:10	grappaccioli	Q17375

One surrogate recovery was outside the control limits. No target compounds were detected in this sample. No further action was taken.

Surrogate	% Recovery	Control Limits				
aaa-TFT	155 <b>#</b>	55 - 129				

Phone: 704/529-6364 - Toll Free Number: 1-800/529-6364 - Fax: 704/525-0409



# **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607

Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID:

**NCDOT Parcel 50** 

Project No.:

WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B7 6-8

Prism Sample ID: 159254

COC Group:

G0806707

Time Collected: Time Submitted:

08/23/06

08/23/06 15:10

Parameter

Result

Units

MDL Report

Dilution

Method

**Analysis** 

Analyst

Batch

Limit

Factor

Date/Time

ID

Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID: Project No.: NCDOT Parcel 50 WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B8 6-8

Prism Sample ID: 159255 COC Group:

G0806707

Time Collected:

08/23/06 8:15

Time Submitted:

08/23/06

15:10

Parameter .	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	86.8	%			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:30	Ithao	
<u>Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by G</u> Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	<u>C-FID</u> 6.6 J	mg/kg	8.1	2.3	1	8015B	08/28/06 22:34	jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.24	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:45	wconder	P16210
					Surrogate	<u> </u>	% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	74	4	19 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	5.35	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	4.08	g			1	GRO	08/25/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by	GC-FID								
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	BRL	mg/kg	8.1	3.1	50	8015B	08/30/06 4:51	grappaccioli	Q17375
					Surrogate		% Recovery	Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		128		55 - 129

#### Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



## **Laboratory Report**

08/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES 1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607 Project Name: Richmond Co.

Project ID:

NCDOT Parcel 50

Project No.:

WBS# 34438.1.1

Sample Matrix: Soil

Client Sample ID: P50.B9 4-6

Prism Sample ID: 159256

G0806707

08/23/06 8:25

Time Collected: Time Submitted: 08/23/06

COC Group:

15:10

Parameter	Result	Units	Report Limit	MDL	Dilution Factor	Method	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Batch ID
Percent Solids Determination Percent Solids	83.2	%			1	SM2540 G	08/28/06 16:3	() Ithao	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by Go Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	<u>C-FID</u> BRL	mg/kg	8.4	2.4	1	8015B	08/28/06 23:1	3 jvogel	Q17362
Sample Preparation:			25.01	g /	1 mL	3545	08/26/06 11:4	5 wconder	P16210
					Surrogate	•	% Recove	y Cont	trol Limits
					o-Terphen	yl	76	•	49 - 124
Sample Weight Determination									
Weight 1	6.30	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Weight 2	5.95	g			1	GRO	08/28/06 0:00	lbrown	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	GC-FID BRL	mg/kg	8.4	3.3	50	8015B	08/30/06 5:33	grappaccioli	Q17375
					Surrogate		% Recove	y Cont	rol Limits
					aaa-TFT		93		55 - 129

#### Sample Comment(s):

BRL = Below Reporting Limit

J = Estimated value between the Reporting Limit and the MDL

The results in this report relate only to the samples submitted for analysis and meet state certification requirements other than NELAC certification except for those instances indicated in the case narrative and/or test comments.

All results are reported on a dry-weight basis



**Level II QC Report** 

8/30/06

N. C. Department of Transportation

Attn: Sheri Knox c/o Solution - IES Project Name: Richmond Co. Project ID:

Project No.:

COC Group Number: G0806707

1101 Nowell Road Raleigh, NC 27607

NCDOT Parcel 50 WBS# 34438.1.1

Date/Time Submitted: 8/23/06 15:10

#### Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by GC-FID, method 8015B

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)   ND   7   <3.5   mg/kg										
Result	Method Bl	ank	Result	RL		Units				QC Batch ID
Result		Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	7	<3.5	mg/kg				Q17362
Matrix Spike Sample ID:         Result         Spike Amount         Recovery Winds         Recovery	Laboratory	Laboratory Control Sample			Units		Range	WWW. 2011. 10		
Sample   Dic   Result   Spike   Amount   Units   Recovery   Range   CC   Batch   No.   Spike   Sample   Dic   Control   Cont		Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	57.55	80 1	mg/kg	72	55 - 109			Q17362
Matrix Spike Duplicate         Spike Amount Units Recovery Range Repowery Range RPD Range QC Batch ID         Recovery Range RPD Range QC Batch ID         RPD Range QC Batch ID           159242 Diesel Range Organics (GRO) by GC-FID, method 8015B         80 mg/kg         62 50 - 117 9 0 - 24 Q1736         QC Batch ID           Method Blank         Result Range Organics (GRO) by GC-FID, method 8015B           Laboratory Control Sample         Spike Result Result Amount Units %         Recovery Recovery Recovery Range Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 48.3         Spike Amount Units Recovery Range Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 48.3         Spike Amount Units Recovery Range Recovery Range Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 53.45         Spike Amount Units Recovery Range Recovery Range Recovery Range R	_	Ke	Result		Units	Recovery %	Range			
Sample ID:   Result   Spike   Spike   Spike   Amount   Units   Recovery   Range   RPD   Range   QC Batch   ID	159242	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	55.75	80	mg/kg	55	50 - 117			Q17362
Method Blank   Result   RL   Control   Units   Units   Control   Con	•	ke Duplicate	Result		Units		Range		Range	
Method Blank   Result   RL   Control   Limit   Units   Units   Control   Limit   Units   Limit   Units   Limit   Units   Limit   Limit   Units   Limit   Limit   Limit   Units   Limit   Lim	159242	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	61.05	80	mg/kg	62	50 - 117	9	0 - 24	Q1736:
Laboratory Control Sample  Spike Amount Units Recovery Range QC Batch ID  Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 48.3 50 mg/kg 97 67 - 116 Q17375  Matrix Spike Sample ID: Result Amount Units Recovery Range Recovery Range QC Batch ID  159245 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 53.45 50 mg/kg 107 57 - 113 Q17375  Matrix Spike Duplicate Spike Recovery Range Recovery	Method Bla	ank	Result	RL		Units				QC Batch ID
Result   Spike   Result   Amount   Units   Recovery   Range   QC Batch   ID		Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	7	<3.5	mg/kg				Q17375
Matrix Spike     Spike Amount     Spike Amount     Recovery Wange     Recovery Wange     Range Wange     QC Batch ID       159245     Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)     53.45     50 mg/kg     107     57 - 113     Q17378       Matrix Spike Duplicate       Sample ID:     Result     Spike Amount     Recovery Wange     Recovery Range RPD Range RPD Range Wange     Range RPD Range Wange     Range Wange     RPD Range Wange     Recovery Wange     Reco	Laboratory	Control Sample	Result		Units		Range			
Sample ID:  Result Amount Units Recovery % Pange QC Batch ID  159245 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 53.45 50 mg/kg 107 57 - 113 Q17375  Matrix Spike Duplicate Spike Sample ID:  Result Amount Units Recovery Range Recovery Range RPD Range QC Batch GRO Note: The control of the co		Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	48.3	50 r	ng/kg	97	67 - 116	.,		Q1737
Sample ID: Result Amount Units WW W SID  159245 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 53.45 50 mg/kg 107 57 - 113 Q17375  Matrix Spike Duplicate Sample ID: Result Amount Units WW W W SID  Q17375	Matrix Spil	Ke .		Spike						OC Botch
Matrix Spike Duplicate     Spike     Recovery Range Recovery Range RPD Range QC Batch Mount Units % % % % % ID	Sample ID:		Result		Units	Recovery %				
Spike Recovery Range RPD Range QC Batch Sample ID: Result Amount Units % % % % ID	159245	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	53.45	50	mg/kg	107	57 - 113			Q17375
	=	ce Duplicate	Result		Units		Range		Range	
	159245	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	53.65	50						Q17375



PRISM. LABORATORIES, INC.							STODY URE PROPER BILLI		COF			110414	LAB US		Property of the second	NO N/A
Full Service							Sa			on arrival?		<b>~</b>				
449 Springbrook Road • I	:0ZZ4*U343	,			EL 50 - Rici	22000	4.8		DE? Temp <b>≤</b> ATIVES indi	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	~ ·					
Phone: 704/529-6364 • F	ax: 704/525-0409	9	3	Short Hold An	-		•	NO)	ST 100 (100 )		DLDING TIM		7			
Client Company Name	SOLVTI	UNS- IES		provisions and	d/or QC	Requireme	cific reporting (Conts	VI 1898-1898	Control of the Control	SEALS IN	44.200 CT 100 CT			<u>ب</u>		
Report To/Contact Na	me: <u>5/2/2/</u>	LNOX	<del></del> i	Invoice To:	NEDO	OT WI	<i>§5# 34-43</i>	8.1.		35 To 10 Page		OUT HEAD!	SPACE?	-J -	<	
Reporting Address:/	PAREIGH A	Il Ect		کے .Address	TATE	purjec	T# U-2	50Z	PR	IOPER C	ONTAINE	ERS used?		· <b>~</b> -		
Phone: <u>9/9873/00</u>			12 in 11													e nie na kraliumatickiedzbałdo dzes zo
Pnone: <u>9// 0 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / </u>	Pax (1es	i) (NO): <u>777 o</u> . VOX 10 Sov 27	TANS-165				ence <u>3260,</u>			TO BE	TO BE FILLED IN BY CLIENT/SAMPLING PERSONNEL					
EDD Type: PDFEx				-	Date □ 1	Day □2 Da	ıys ⊡3 Days ⊡4	Days 🛭	Ka Days	Certific	cation:	NELA	/CU	SACE	FL	NC_ <u>~</u>
Site Location Name: _	NCDOT PA	MIEL 50		"Working Days" Samples receive	′ ⊔.6 dafter15	i:00 will be pro	andard 10 days	Pre-Appr	oved			SC_	OTHE	:R	N/A	
Site Location Physical	Address: 🔏	CHMOND CO	,NC	Turnaround time	is based	on business d	lays, excluding weel	kends an		Water	Chlori	nated: `	YES N	10		
	<u> </u>						ITIONS REGARDING IES, INC. TO CLIENT)			Sample	e Iced	Upon C	ollection:	YES	NO	•
		TIME	MATRIX	SAMPL	E CONTA	AINER		,	_ A	NALYSES RE	QUESTE	D				PRISM
CLIENT SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	DATE	COLLECTED MILITARY	(SOIL,	*TYPE		1	PRESERVA- TIVES		or/v	/ /				REMARKS	3	LAB
•	1	HOURS	SLUDGE)	SEE BELOW	NO.	SIZE		/ 6	$O_{\mu} \setminus O_{\mu}$			/ .				ID NO.
P50.81.10.12	8/22/06	1615	SOIL	6	3	40M1 8-02	METHANO! NONE	Х	X							159248
P50.82 ,8,10	8/22/06	1630	SOIL	6.	3	1	)	X	X							159249
P50, B3, 4.6	8/22/06	1645	SOIL	G-	3			X	Х						· ·	159250
P50, B4, 6.8	8/22/06	1200	SOIL	6-	3			X	$  \times  $							154251
P50.85,4.6	8/22/06	1705	SOIL	6	3			X	Х							154925
P50,86.6.8	8/22/06	1730	SOIL	G	3	\ \V	<b>V</b>	X	X							159253
P50. B7-6.8	8/23/06	0805	SOIL	6	3			×	*							159254
P50. B8-6-8	8/23/06	0815	SOIL	6	3			×	×							154255
PSO. B9.4-6'	8/23/06	0825	5010	6	3	V	↓	7	4						· · ·	159256
							,									
Sampler's Signature	X /		Sampled B	y (Print Name)	Kev	in Bu	chavar	Affilia	tion <u>Sae</u>	UTIONS.	- 155	•	PRESS	DOWN	FIRMLY	- 3 COPIES
Upon relinguishing, this	s Chain of Cust	ody is your auti	norization for	Prism to proc	eed with	the analyse	s as requested a	bove. A	ny change	s must be					PRISM (	JSE ONLY
submitted in writing to Relinquished By: (Signapure)		ect Manager. 11	Rece	lived By (Signature	A / W	X	ses have been in	ilializeu.	Date	/ Military/H	ours	Addition	I nal Comme	ents:	ite Arrival T	ime
Com. NO			_/60	DO	M X	40_		•	1276	S RY	0			1		Carlo Ca
Rélinquished By: (Signature)			Rece	Mod By (Signature	(Z)	£			Pate 7					(A)	ite Departu Ield Tech F	
Relinquished By: (Signature)	11/1		Rece	oived For Prism Lab	oferiories By	y:			Date	1 111						
A NOTE A	A NOTE COOL	DO MOVILD BE TA	DED SHIT WITH	CUSTODVSEALS	FOR TRAN	SDODTATION T	O THE LABORATORY		COC Group	S/2				ı A	fileage:	
SAMPLE	SARE NOT ACCEP	TED AND VERIFIED	AGAINST COC U	INTIL RECEIVED A	T THE LAB	ORATORY.	O THE LABORATORY.									
	delivered 🚨 Prisr		Other							<u> </u>					SEE PE	VERSE FOR
NPDES: UST:	SC DNC D	1	RINKING WA NC □SC		D WAST.		A: CERCLA		ANDFILL INC DS	I						CONDITIONS
	🖸			급		👊									ORIGII	JAI
*CONTAINER TYPE CO	ODES: A = An	mber C = Clear	G = Glass	P = Plastic: TL	. = Teflo	n-Lined Cap	VOA = Volatile	Organic	s Analysis	(Zero Head	Space)				OHIGH	<b>4.</b> 7∟