GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

| Project: | 8.1040601 | TIP No.: B-344 | -5 | County: Currituck | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Description: | Replacement of Bridg | e No. 7 on NC Hwy | 615 Over Corey's | Ditch | |
| INFORMATION | ON EXISTING BRIDGES | <u>≩</u> : | | | |
| Information ob | tained from: | Microf | | osition:) Hydraulic Design Report | |
| County Bri Number of | dge No.: 7 Bents in: | Bridge Length: Channel: | 96′ 3 | No. Bents: Flood Plain: | 5 2 |
| FOUNDAT | ION TYPE (1): Timber | pile supported. | | | |
| EVIDENCE | OF SCOUR (2): | | | | |
| Abutn abutm | nents or End Bent Slope ents and along the north | es: Excessive erosionern shoreline. | n has required sho | eet piling to be installed | d adjacent to timber |
| Interio | r Bents: No evidence of | major erosion obser | ved. All interior be | ents are within the chan | nel. |
| Chann | el Bed: No evidence of r | major erosion observ | ed. | | |
| Chann | el Banks: The channel | has eroded to the sl | neet piling. | | |
| EXISTING SCO | UR PROTECTION | | | | |
| | Timber Abutments, me | atal hulk head (sheet | niling) and Rin-ran | | |
| | | | | | . Chart william |
| extends an | 4): Sheet piles extend approx. 50' to 60' beyond and west abutments, adja | abutments along th | e northern shorelin | e. Rip-rap extends appro | oreline. Sheet piling ix. 100' to 130' from |
| observed b | ENESS (5): Relatively ef beneath the pavement be intenance during the time | ehind the metal bull | ficant erosion adja c head near End Be | cent to metal bulk head. ent No. 1 (this void was | However, void was patched by NCDOT |
| OBSTRUCT Bent No. 3 | TIONS (6) (DAMS, DEBRI 3. | IS, ETC.): Old timber | piles cut off at wat | ter line both north and so | uth of existing Interior |
| DESIGN INFOR | MATION | | | | |
| CHANNEL fine sandy | BANK MATERIAL (7) (S clayey SILT (A-4)(3), gra | AMPLE RESULTS A y clayey silty fine to | TTACHED): Gray coarse SAND (A-2 | slightly fine sandy silty (-4)(0) and gray fine sand | CLAY (A-6)(13), gray y clayey SILT (A-4)(6) |
| CHANNEL | BOTTOM MATERIAL (8 |) (SAMPLE RESULT | S ATTACHED): Gr | ay fine sandy silty CLAY | (A-6)(16) |
| FOUNDAT stiff clays. | ION BEARING MATERIA | L (9): Coastal Plain | deposits consisting | g of medium dense sand | s and medium stiff to |
| CHANNEL | BANK COVER (10): Ma | rsh grass | | | |
| FLOOD PL | AIN WIDTH (11): Ditch | width 140′±, Coasta | al plain barrier islan | id. | |
| FLOOD PL | AIN COVER (12): Marsh | grass and small bru | sh | | |
| Stream i | s: <u>X</u> DEGRA | DING AGGR | ADING (13) | | |
| OTHER OBSER | VATIONS AND COMME | NTS: | | | |
| | MIGRATION TENDENC ency to the west. | Y (14): Recent all | uvial deposits are | much deeper along the | Eastern shore line. |
| | SCOUR ELEVATION (15 ations to be determined | | | | |
| Panartad Pur | I Shane Johnson | Date | · July 21 2001 | | |

PAGE 2

INSTRUCTIONS

- (1) GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.
- 2) NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING, SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.).
- (3) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIPRAP, ETC.).
- (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.
- (5) DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
- (6) NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- (7) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL; A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (8) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL; A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (9) DESCRIBE THE FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL.
- (10) DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIPRAP, NONE, ETC.).
- (11) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (12) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.).
- (13) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING.
- (14) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- (15) GIVE THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION. IF THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION IS DEPENDENT ON SCOUR COUNTER MEASURES, EXPLAIN. (RIPRAP ARMORING ON SLOPES, ETC.) THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVER PERCENTAGE; PERCENT RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING; SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.