Rev. 5/91

GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

| PROJECT: 8.1331801 ID: B-4320 COUNTY: Wayne/Duplin | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION (1): Bridge No. 24 on NC 403 over Northeast Cape Fear River | | | | |
| INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES Information obtained from X field inspection microfilm (Reel: Position:) other | | | | |
| COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 24 BRIDGE LENGTH NO. BENTS 5 NO. BENTS IN CHANNEL 3 FLOOD PLAIN 2 | | | | |
| FOUNDATION TYPE: Timber pile | | | | |
| EVIDENCE OF SCOUR (2): | | | | |
| ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: None noted | | | | |
| INTERIOR BENTS: None noted | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CHANNEL BED: None noted | | | | |
| CHANNEL BANKS: None noted | | | | |
| EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION: | | | | |
| TYPE (3): Wooden end walls | | | | |
| EXTENT (4): 15' outside edge of bridge | | | | |
| EFFECTIVENESS (5): Appears satisfactory | | | | |
| OBSTRUCTIONS (6) (DAMS, DEBRIS, ETC.): None noted | | | | |
| | | | | |
| DESIGN INFORMATION | | | | |
| CHANNEL BED MATERIAL (7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Very loose to loose fine to coarse sand (SS-12) | | | | |
| CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL (8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Very loose silty fine to coarse sand (SS-2) | | | | |
| CHANNEL BANK COVER (9): Wooded | | | | |

| | | * |
|--|--|---|

(11)

| FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (10): 1,100± feet | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| FLOOD PLAIN COVER (11): Wooded | | | |
| STREAM IS X DEGRADING AGGRADING EQUILIBRIUM (12) | | | |
| OTHER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS: | | | |
| CHANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (13): West toward End Bent 1 | | | |
| GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION (14): Geotechnical analysis agrees with the Hydraulic Unit's | | | |
| estimate of scour potential to an elevation of 86± feet in Bent 1 And 88± feet in Bent 2. | | | |
| | | | |
| REPORTED BY: Fred M West Ty DATE: 8-20-03 | | | |

INSTRUCTIONS

- (1) GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.
- (2) NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING, SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.)
- (3) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)

DESIGN INFORMATION CONT.

- (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.
- (5) DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
- (6) NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- (7) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION. ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (8) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION. ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (9) DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.)
- (10) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (11) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)
- (12) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING, AGGRADING, OR EQUILIBRIUM.
- (13) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- (14) GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING; SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.