GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 32930.1.1 ID: B-3205 COUNTY: MADISON		
DESCRIPTION(1): BRIDGE NUMBER 30 ON NC-209 OVER SPRING CREEK		
INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES Information obtained from: X field inspection microfilm(Reel:Pos:) other		
COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 30 BRIDGE LENGTH 85 NO. BENTS IN: CHANNEL 1 FLOOD PLAIN 2		
FOUNDATION TYPE: STONE FOOTINGS		
EVIDENCE OF SCOUR(2):		
ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: NORTH EAST WING REPAIR INDICATES PAST DAMAGE		
INTERIOR BENTS: NOT MUCH		
CHANNEL BED: NEW SOUR HOLE NEXT TO INTERIOR BENT, UPSTREAM END, NORTH SIDE.		
BOULDER BED LOAD RESISTANT TO MOST SCOUR, BOULDERS REARRANGED BY FLOOD EVENTS.		
CHANNEL BANKS: MOST BANKS PROTECTED BY BOULDER FILL - SOUTH BANK UPSTREAM IS NOT.		
EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:		
TYPE(3):ABUTMENTS AND WINGS FROM UNDERWATER UP TO ROADWAY		
EXTENT(4): THEY ARE SHORT - LESS THAN 10 FEET HIGH.		
EFFECTIVENESS(5): PRETTY GOOD		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE DESIGN INFORMATION REMOVED BY HURRICANE FLOODING IN THE SUMMER OF 2004		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE DESIGN INFORMATION REMOVED BY HURRICANE FLOODING IN THE SUMMER OF 2004		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE DESIGN INFORMATION REMOVED BY HURRICANE FLOODING IN THE SUMMER OF 2004 CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): COBBLES AND BOULDERS		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE DESIGN INFORMATION REMOVED BY HURRICANE FLOODING IN THE SUMMER OF 2004 CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): COBBLES AND BOULDERS CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): MOSTLY BOULDERY FILL.		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE DESIGN INFORMATION REMOVED BY HURRICANE FLOODING IN THE SUMMER OF 2004 CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): COBBLES AND BOULDERS CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): MOSTLY BOULDERY FILL. THIN ALLUVIUM ON SOUTH BANK UPSTREAM IS COARSE SAND, COBBLES AND BOULDERS		
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): FORMER SAND AND BOULDER DEPOSIT UNDER BRIDGE DESIGN INFORMATION REMOVED BY HURRICANE FLOODING IN THE SUMMER OF 2004 CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): COBBLES AND BOULDERS CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): MOSTLY BOULDERY FILL. THIN ALLUVIUM ON SOUTH BANK UPSTREAM IS COARSE SAND, COBBLES AND BOULDERS FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL(9): HARD GNEISS, SOME SLIGHT TO MOD. WEATHERING		

updated 10/5/95

DESIGN INFORMATION CONT.	PAGE //OF //	
STREAM IS XX DEGRADING	AGGRADING (13)	
OTHER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS:	CREEK IS ACTIVELY DOWN CUTTING VALLEY AND	
ALLUVIAL SOILS ARE GENERALLY SHALLOW W/ COBBLES AND BOULDERS OVER BEDROCK		
'CHANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (14):	NORTH	
GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION (15):		
BEDROCK ACROSS SITE NEAR ELEVATION 2398 - 2400 FEET WILL RESTRICT SCOUR		
TO THAT ELEVATION		

DATE: 5/25/2005

INSTRUCTIONS

PQ LOCKAMY

- (1) GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.
- (2) NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING, SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.)
- (3) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)

REPORTED BY:

- (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.
- (5) DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
- (6) NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- (7) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (8) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (9) DESCRIBE THE FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL,
- (10) DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.
- (11) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (12) DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)
- (13) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING
- (14) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- (15) GIVE THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING, SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.