GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 8.2352901 ID: B-3451 COUNTY: Durham				
DESCRIPTION(1): Bridge No. 119 on SR 1306 over Prong of Mud Creek				
INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGE Information obtained from: microfilm (Reel:Pos:) other:				
BR. NO.: 119 BR. LENGTH: 52' NO. BENTS: 3 NO. BENTS IN: CHANNEL: 1 FLOODPLAIN: 2				
FOUNDATION TYPE:Timber Piles				
EVIDENCE OF SCOUR(2):				
ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: End Bent 1 has some minor scour at base of abutment at center				
INTERIOR BENTS: Minor scour around piles				
CHANNEL BED: None				
CHANNEL BANKS: None				
EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:				
TYPE(3): Concrete encasing some of the piles at each end bent				
EXTENT(4): Approximately 2 feet above and below water elevation				
EFFECTIVENESS(5): Somewhat effective				
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): Large branches and logs caught in interior bent				
DESIGN INFORMATION				
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Alluvial sandy silt and sandy clay				
(SS-12, SS-13)				
CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Alluvial silty sand, sandy silt and				
sandy clay (SS-11, SS-12, SS-13)				
FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL(9):Triassic Sandstone				
CHANNEL BANK COVER(10): Trees, grass and brush				
FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH(11): 500+/- feet				
FLOOD PLAIN COVER(12): Trees, grass and brush				

SHEET 11 OF 12 8.2352901 (B-3451)

DESIGN INFORMATION CONT.				
STR	EAM IS: X	DEGRADING	AGGRADING (13)	
OTH	IER OBSERVAT	TONS AND COMMENTS	 S:	
•				
CHA	NNEL MIGRATI	ON TENDENCY (14):	None	
GEC	TECHNICALLY	ADJUSTED SCOUR E	LEVATIONS(15):	
	INTERIOR	BENTS		
		ELEVATION		
	BENT 1	269.3 FEET		
	BENT 2	271.0 FEET		
The Geotechnically Adjusted Scour Elevations are unchanged from the Hydraulic Unit's estimates				
	of scour fo	er a 500 year storm for B	ent 1 and Bent 2.	
	REPORT		. Love DATE: 12/12/02	
	ILLI OILLI	7.0	J. L. Love	
		U		
(1)	GIVE THE DESCR	PIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC S	INSTRUCTIONS ITE GIVING POLITE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED	
(2)	NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING,			
(3)	SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIR RAP, ETC.)			
(4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(5)	DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.			
(6) (7)				
(7) (8)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.				
(9)				
(10) (11)				
(12)				
(13)	,			
(14)	 DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). 			
(15)	,	•	OUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE	
,	(APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON			
	A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE			
			HE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION. IF THE	
			ATION IS DEPENDENT ON SCOUR COUNTER MEASURES, ETC.) THE GEOTECHNICALLY ADJUSTED SCOUR ELEVATION	
	•		ALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION,	
			CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD;	
	DIFFERENTIAL W	/EATHERING, SHEAR STREI	NGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES; OTHER	

TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.