## GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 8.2700501 ID: B-3403 COUNTY: Alleghany
DESCRIPTION(1): Bridge No. 53 on SR-1522 Over Brush Creek.
INFORMATION ON EXISTING BRIDGES Information obtained from: _x_ field inspection microfilm(Reel: Pos:) other
COUNTY BRIDGE NO. 53 BRIDGE LENGTH 81 FT. NO. BENTS IN: CHANNEL 1 FLOOD PLAIN 2
FOUNDATION TYPE: Concrete Footing
EVIDENCE OF SCOUR(2):
ABUTMENTS OR END BENT SLOPES: None noted.
INTERIOR BENTS: Minor at Bent One: upstream end.
CHANNEL BED: None noted.
CHANNEL BANKS: Undercutting of bank at End Bent One.
EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION:
TYPE(3): None
EXTENT(4) N/A
EFFECTIVENESS(5): N/A
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (DAMS,DEBRIS,ETC.): None other than old footings from previous low water bridge.
DESIGN INFORMATION
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Silt, sand, cobbles, and boulders.
CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED): Upstream: same as above. Channel Bank
composed of rip-rap protected earthen dam downstream at EB2-side (north bank).
FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL(9): Rock.
CHANNEL BANK COVER(10) Grass, bramble, and brush.
FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH(11): 800 feet
FLOOD PLAIN COVER(12): Grass, trees, bramble, brush.

DE	SIGN INFORMATION CONT.	PAGE 2
STF	REAM ISx DEGRADING AGGRADING (13)	•
OT	HER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS	
CH.	IANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (14): Slight tendency toward End Bent One.	
CR	RITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION (15):	
	B1-A: 2493 ft. B1-B: 2493 ft.	
	B2-A: 2496 ft. B2-B: 2494 ft.	
	REPORTED BY: J. W. Mann, TEG-III DATE: 05/13/01	- -
	INSTRUCTIONS	
(1)	GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.	
(2)	NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING,	
	SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.)	
(3)	NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)	
(4)	DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.	
(5)	DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.	
(6)	NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.	
(7)	DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.	
(8)	DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE	
	DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.	
(9)	DESCRIBE THE FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL,	
(10)	DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.	
(11)	GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).	
(12)	DESCRIBE THE FLOOD PLAIN COVERING (GRASS, TREES, CROPS, ETC.)	
(13)	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING	
(14)	DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE	
	BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).	

(15) GIVE THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL SCOUR AND THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION. IF THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATIONS DEPENDENT ON SCOUR COUNTER MEASURES, EXPLAIN. (RIP RAP ARMORING ON SLOPES, ETC.) THEORETICAL SCOUR ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING, FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING, SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES;

OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.